

***Interactive comment on “Chinese SO<sub>2</sub> pollution over Europe – Part 1: Airborne trace gas measurements and source identification by particle dispersion model simulations” by V. Fiedler et al.***

**Anonymous Referee #3**

Received and published: 9 February 2009

This paper presents observations of a high concentration SO<sub>2</sub> plume over Europe that FLEXPART model calculations indicate originated from Asia. This appears to be a unique observation, however I feel additional analysis should be done to strengthen the arguments for its origin. I think this paper could be worth publishing after addressing the comments below.

Specific comments

Clarify in the abstract and Section 2.1 that the flights were part of INTEX-B (not INTEX).

Full Screen / Esc

Printer-friendly Version

Interactive Discussion

Discussion Paper



Reference can be made to the overview paper by Singh et al recently published in ACPD.

Section 3: What is the significance of the low RH in the plume? Perhaps the low NO<sub>y</sub> values compared to "typical" values is due to washout of HNO<sub>3</sub>?

A better estimate of how the SO<sub>2</sub>/NO<sub>y</sub> ratio will change with time should be given. SO<sub>2</sub> and HNO<sub>3</sub> will both be removed by washout (what is the difference between 'wet cloud processes' and 'in-could processes') – what are their relative washout rates? The thermal degradation of PAN to NO<sub>x</sub> is not a loss of NO<sub>y</sub> (NO<sub>x</sub> is still counted in NO<sub>y</sub>). SO<sub>2</sub> is additionally converted to H<sub>2</sub>SO<sub>4</sub> and sulfate, so if washout is equal the ratio will decrease, but this should be explained more thoroughly.

Section 4: I found the description of Figure 10 confusing. I would describe it as showing the age spectrum, or the contributions of air masses with different ages to the total SO<sub>2</sub>. Perhaps there is a slight error in time or location in FLEXPART and the measured plume A actually corresponds to the slightly earlier FLEXPART plume that includes contributions from significantly fresher pollution. Table 3 indicates Europe and China have similar SO<sub>2</sub>/NO<sub>x</sub> emission ratios. It seems possible to me that perhaps this plume had a closer source. A similar plot showing the relative contributions of different source locations to the total SO<sub>2</sub> could be quite illuminating. How much does N. America and Europe contribute along this flight track?

Table 1: This table might be more useful if values were also given for background values and other plumes.

Table 2: It would be helpful to have these values for other regions (and include Table 3 in this).

Technical comments p.1379, lines 21-22: instead of "in westerly direction ..." I would say "from west to east has rarely been measured ..."

p.1380, line 5: identify which province Sudbury is in.

Full Screen / Esc

Printer-friendly Version

Interactive Discussion

Discussion Paper



p.1380, line 17: is 'permanent' the appropriate word? Do you mean continuous?

p.1383 and Figure 2: Why is the data smoothed with a running mean? If the data are noisy then data should be binned to 30s averages, instead of a running mean.

p.1383, In 16: 'preferably' isn't the right word here (and elsewhere). 'primarily' would be better.

p.1383, In 20-21: 'plotted' instead of 'scaled'

p.1384, In 18: The emissions were made for INTEX-B

p.1385, In 18: 'did come' -> 'came'; In 20: 'preferably' -> primarily

Fig.1: Is a higher quality image possible? Add a color bar for the altitude. The 2nd sentence of the caption doesn't make sense to me.

Fig.6: Explain in the caption the 10 numbers printed on the plots.

Fig.7: Include a colorbar for the emissions.

---

Interactive comment on Atmos. Chem. Phys. Discuss., 9, 1377, 2009.

Full Screen / Esc

Printer-friendly Version

Interactive Discussion

Discussion Paper

