

***Interactive comment on* “Effects of the 2006 El Niño on tropospheric ozone and carbon monoxide: implications for dynamics and biomass burning” by S. Chandra et al.**

Anonymous Referee #2

Received and published: 29 April 2009

- 1) **Scientific Significance** Does the manuscript represent a substantial contribution to scientific progress within the scope of Atmospheric Chemistry and Physics (substantial new concepts, ideas, methods, or data)? Good
- 2) **Scientific Quality** Are the scientific approach and applied methods valid? Are the results discussed in an appropriate and balanced way (consideration of related work, including appropriate references)? Excellent
- 3) **Presentation Quality** Are the scientific results and conclusions presented in a clear, concise, and well structured way (number and quality of figures/tables, appropriate use of English language)? Excellent

Full Screen / Esc

Printer-friendly Version

Interactive Discussion

Discussion Paper



For final publication in ACP, the discussion paper should be accepted subject to minor revisions.

This is a very nice paper, which need just a bit of improvement. I attach an annotated version of the paper, with grammatic and punctuation corrections, as well as one substantive correction.

Interactive comment on Atmos. Chem. Phys. Discuss., 9, 2735, 2009.

ACPD

9, S1824–S1825, 2009

Interactive
Comment

Full Screen / Esc

Printer-friendly Version

Interactive Discussion

Discussion Paper

