

Interactive comment on “Reactive oxidation products promote secondary organic aerosol formation from green leaf volatiles” by J. F. Hamilton et al.

Anonymous Referee #2

Received and published: 27 March 2009

Dear authors, I am recommending accepting the manuscript with very minor revisions.

p.3925 line 16: The filters were taken at ... would read better "The samples were collected at ..." and also information about sampling time would fit here.

p. 3933 line 27: is the reference correctly presented? the pages in the middle of the book name?

p. 3939 Fig.1 in case the figure does not represent your results, a proper reference should be stated

p.3942 Fig. 4: Suggested pathways for formation... or Proposed pathways for forma-

Full Screen / Esc

Printer-friendly Version

Interactive Discussion

Discussion Paper



tion... would be more correct

p. 3943 Fig 5: the text on the axes is too small and almost not readable. The text on x-axis "Time after chamber open" should be read better "Time of reaction or Reaction time". Can you comment the features on the SOA-curves in a) and c)? Fig. 5c): why is there no plateau on the SOA curve?

Interactive comment on Atmos. Chem. Phys. Discuss., 9, 3921, 2009.

ACPD

9, S1094–S1095, 2009

Interactive
Comment

Full Screen / Esc

Printer-friendly Version

Interactive Discussion

Discussion Paper

