Atmos. Chem. Phys. Discuss., 9, C8474–C8475, 2009 www.atmos-chem-phys-discuss.net/9/C8474/2009/ © Author(s) 2009. This work is distributed under the Creative Commons Attribute 3.0 License.



## *Interactive comment on* "Overview of mercury measurements in the Antarctic troposphere" *by* A. Dommergue et al.

J. Pacyna (Referee)

jp@nilu.no

Received and published: 15 December 2009

The reviewed paper is an excellent synthesis of information on the concentration levels of mercury in the Antarctic troposphere and an attempt to explanation of difficult and complicated troposheric chemistry with mercury participation. To my knowledge this is the most detailed analysis presented so far of mercury measurement results in the Antarctic troposphere. This analysis is prepared on the basis of state-of-the art measurements carried out by the authors of the paper as well as other researchers. An interesting question arises from this analysis about the origin of mercury measured in the Antarctic troposphere. Obviously, the authors point on sources located on the Southern Chemisphere and compare the impact of these sources with the impact of Northern Chemisphere emissions on the concentration levels in the Arctic. I wonder,

C8474

whether sources, such as combustion of coal in South Africa, other African countries and Australia, as well artisanal gold mining and production in South Africa and Africa can be defined as contributors to the concentrations measured in the Antarctic troposphere? Do we have any meterological data, such as wind trajectories to model in more quantitative manner potential impact of emissions from anthropogenic sources in Southern Chemisphere on air concentrations in Antarctica? Is it feasible to expect sources in Northern Chemisphere to contribute to the air contamination by mercury in the Antarctic?

Interactive comment on Atmos. Chem. Phys. Discuss., 9, 26673, 2009.