Atmos. Chem. Phys. Discuss., 9, C7933–C7934, 2009 www.atmos-chem-phys-discuss.net/9/C7933/2009/ © Author(s) 2009. This work is distributed under the Creative Commons Attribute 3.0 License.



ACPD

9, C7933-C7934, 2009

Interactive Comment

Interactive comment on "Latitudinal gradient and interannual variation of PM_{10} concentration over eighty-six Chinese cities" by W. J. Qu et al.

Anonymous Referee #1

Received and published: 5 December 2009

Review of "Latitudinal gradient and interannual variation of PM10 concentration over eighty-six Chinese cities" by Qu W. et al. General comments: This is generally a good paper in which spatio-temporal variation of PM10 in China is shown using daily API data at 86 stations during 2000-2007. A clear latitudinal gradient of PM10 is presented and the authors try their best to explain what they see from the data.

Specific comments: A few issues need further consideration before the paper is accept 1). PM10 is set to 600 when API is 500. The authors should discuss uncertainty arising from this approximation, especially in north region where dust storms often impact PM in spring. 2). PM10 is calculated from eq. 1 no primary pollutant is indicated. There are 40% of cases in south region, so again the authors should give a few words about data uncertainty in this case. 2. Meteorology and terrain is key factors impacting PM10,

Full Screen / Esc

Printer-friendly Version

Interactive Discussion

Discussion Paper



so the authors should discuss these issues when spatio-temporal variation of PM10 is explained. 3. The median value is much better than the average here, especially in north china. One would expect small influence from inaccurate of PM10 on PM10 trend analysis when API is 500. 4. The authors should provide strong evidence that different measures have been made during 2000-2006 in Beijing-Tianjing-Shijiazhuang area and its surrounding areas, if not, discussion of Olympic Games should be deleted. 5. In section 3.7, trend is not independent of time interval selected in the analysis, so quite different trends of visibility or AOD from that of PM10 is not surprising.

Interactive comment on Atmos. Chem. Phys. Discuss., 9, 23141, 2009.

ACPD

9, C7933-C7934, 2009

Interactive Comment

Full Screen / Esc

Printer-friendly Version

Interactive Discussion

Discussion Paper

