

## ***Interactive comment on “Ozone budget in the West African lower troposphere during the AMMA (African Monsoon Multidisciplinary Analysis) campaign” by M. Saunois et al.***

**M. Saunois et al.**

saum@aero.obs-mip.fr

Received and published: 5 May 2009

The referee has raised an interesting point regarding our conclusion about the contribution of VOCs emitted in the south of the study region on the ozone production in the north. Firstly we would like to point out that we are not suggesting that this is the only factor contributing to the ozone maximum in the north. In the abstract and conclusions we quite clearly say that this is in combination with the high  $\text{NO}_x$  emissions from the soils in the north and that the ozone minimum in the south is driven by dry deposition to the trees. Together these factors all affect the north south difference of 15 ppb. The  $\sim 5$  ppb reduction in the ozone concentrations in the north (and in this gradient) for

C536

the run with no isoprene emissions demonstrates that the VOC emissions in the south do impact the ozone production in the north, but that other factors mentioned in the abstract and conclusions (deposition and soil  $\text{NO}_x$ ) are important. Also other VOCs besides isoprene are emitted by vegetation as listed in Table 3 in the article.

We do not specify individual VOCs as being the cause of the  $\text{O}_3$  production in the north so do not believe we need to quantify their relative contributions. We do however agree with the referee that  $\text{CH}_4$  and VOCs emitted directly into the northern region will have contributed to the peroxy radicals responsible for the ozone production there and it would be useful to better quantify this. We have therefore performed some sensitivity studies along the lines suggested by the referee, namely:

- A run with no  $\text{CH}_4$  (NOCH4)
- A run with no VOC emissions north of  $12^\circ\text{N}$  (NNVOC)
- A run with no VOC emissions south of  $12^\circ\text{N}$  (NSVOC)

Also, in Figure 11, the term  $\text{HO}_2 + \text{NO}$  was missing and is the major contributor (ahead of  $\text{MO}_2$ ) of the  $\text{NO}$  to  $\text{NO}_2$  conversion in the north. The corrected Figure 11 is included with this response (Fig. 1).

Omitting  $\text{CH}_4$  decreases  $\text{MO}_2$  concentrations by a half to two thirds of the levels in the base run, and  $\text{HO}_2$  by  $\sim 13\%$  at  $15^\circ\text{N}$  (not shown).  $\text{CH}_4$  is the major contributor to  $\text{MO}_2$  formation, especially to the north where  $\text{MO}_2$  reaches its maximum ( $16^\circ\text{N}$ ). Without  $\text{CH}_4$  ozone chemical production decreases in the north only (by 20%) whilst ozone concentrations decrease by  $\sim 3$  ppb in the south and by  $\sim 9$  ppb in the north (see the included Figure 2 which shows ozone meridional profiles).  $\text{CH}_4$  does have an impact on the ozone gradient ( $\sim 6$  ppbv).

Switching-off VOC emissions north of  $12^\circ\text{N}$  (NNVOC) has no significant impact on the ozone levels or the gradient, but shifts the maximum slightly to the north.

C537

RUN Name	O <sub>3</sub> min (ppbv) ~11°N	O <sub>3</sub> max (ppbv) ~16°N	O <sub>3</sub> diff (ppbv) (grad.)
RETRO	23	40	17
NOSIO	24 (+1)	36 (-4)	12 (-5)
NOANT	21 (-2)	38 (-2)	17 (=)
IDSOL	23 (=)	33 (-7)	10 (-7)
NODEP	34 (+11)	46 (+6)	12 (-5)
NOCH4	20 (-3)	31 (-9)	11 (-6)
NNVOC	23 (=)	40 (=)	17 (=)
NSVOC	23 (=)	35 (-5)	12 (-5)

**Table 1.** Values of the ozone minimum, maximum and gradient in ppbv relative to each runs including the new sensitivity tests.

Switching-off VOC emissions south of 12°N (NSVOC) has a similar effect to switching-off isoprene emissions (the major species of VOC): only ozone levels north of the vegetated areas are impacted. This remains an interesting result and the northward advection of materials (like longer lived species) is a way to explain this.

Table 1 illustrates that the ozone latitudinal profile, with a minimum over the trees and a maximum to the north can be attributed to several factors. The largest impact on the gradient (~7 ppb) is from the difference in NO<sub>x</sub> emissions (concentrations) between the north and the south. The ozone production in the north requires organic precursor species. As we said previously some of this comes from NMVOCs emitted in the south and clearly indicates a significant role of the northward advection of these VOCs in the establishment of the gradient (~5 ppb contribution). In addition, as pointed out by the referee, CH<sub>4</sub> is a precursor of peroxy radicals and it contributes a similar amount (~6 ppb) to the ozone gradient. Dry deposition is also important as it preferentially reduces the ozone over the trees such that it contributes ~5 ppb to the gradient and thus effectively accentuates the northerly maximum by this amount.

C538

Based on the results of these runs we will refine our conclusions. We will re-word the abstract and conclusion to include the impact of CH<sub>4</sub> and clarify the secondary role of the northward advection. We thank the referee for opening this debate and would like to encourage she/he to submit their remaining comments.

*Figures included:*

- Fig. 1: The corrected Figure 11
- Fig. 2: Ozone meridonal profiles for some of the runs (including the new sensitivity tests)

---

Interactive comment on Atmos. Chem. Phys. Discuss., 9, 6979, 2009.

C539




Fig. 1. Fig. 11 (corrected). NO loss rates for the base run (RETRO).

C540




Fig. 2. Ozone meridional profiles: same as Fig.7 a, except that NODEP and OHRE2 runs are removed and that NOCH4, NNVOC, NSVOC run results are shown.

C541