Atmos. Chem. Phys. Discuss., 9, C3348–C3350, 2009 www.atmos-chem-phys-discuss.net/9/C3348/2009/ © Author(s) 2009. This work is distributed under the Creative Commons Attribute 3.0 License.



ACPD

9, C3348-C3350, 2009

Interactive Comment

Interactive comment on "Evidence of the water-cage effect on the photolysis of NO_3^- and FeOH $^{2+}$, and its implications for the photochemistry at the air-water interface of atmospheric droplets" by P. Nissenson et al.

R.-I. Olariu

oromeo@uaic.ro

Received and published: 28 July 2009

The authors present interesting findings concerning the influence of the air-water interface of atmospheric droplets on photochemical processes induced by various photoactive species. This is a well written paper with very interesting findings for the atmospheric chemistry.

Below, please find some of my comments on the manuscript:

1) Water-cage effect is the concept used to interpret possible enhancement/inhibition

Full Screen / Esc

Printer-friendly Version

Interactive Discussion

Discussion Paper



of various photochemical processes occurring at the interface between the air and atmospheric water droplets.

Increasing the concentration of an organic molecule, that can be used as an OH radical scavenger, close to the water-cage avoids a readily sink of photoactive OH radicals generated through the photolysis of NO3-, FeOH2+ or H2O2. Data in Figures 2, 3 and 4 come and prove the above mentioned statement made by the authors. However, regarding nitrite and acetone formation upon an increase in the 2-propanol concentration, there are similarities and dissimilarities in their behaviour which would allow inferring few comments. Figure 2 shows that at high concentration of 2-propanol, acetone is almost constant while nitrite seems to decrease. For sure there are additional reactions involving both products, of whose a secondary nitration would be the most probable. On the other hand, slow increase or negative rate in nitrite formation can also suggest that some reactions will not take place at high concentration in the organic molecule (reactions 2, 3 and 5).

2) The investigations were performed by the authors at pH=2.5. Based on the data from the literature they claim that at pH=2.5 the most important photoactive species of Fe(III) is FeOH2+.

Air, aqueous and solid phase of a species may coexist in the atmosphere. We know that for Fe(OH)3 the following constants are governing the equilibriums in the system: $Ks(Fe(OH)3) = 3.2 \times 10\text{-}38$ for [Fe3+,3OH-] (I) = $2.0 \times 10\text{-}26$ for [FeOH2+,2OH-] (II) = $4.0 \times 10\text{-}17$ for [Fe(OH)2+,OH-] (III) For Fe(ClO4)3, 10-4 M solution, one can calculate at which pH these species are in equilibrium: stage I with pH = 2.83, stage II with pH = 3.15 and stage III with pH = 1.68. Thus at pH = 2.5, where the authors performed their study, it seems that in the working solution may exist especially Fe(OH)2+. Under these circumstances the authors should provide more details to make sure that the water cage effect on the photolysis of FeOH2+ but not of Fe(OH)2+ was investigated.

If indeed pH=2.5 is meant for the investigation than the authors should justify either or

ACPD

9, C3348-C3350, 2009

Interactive Comment

Full Screen / Esc

Printer-friendly Version

Interactive Discussion

Discussion Paper



not this value is significant for atmospheric droplets.

Interactive comment on Atmos. Chem. Phys. Discuss., 9, 13123, 2009.

ACPD

9, C3348-C3350, 2009

Interactive Comment

Full Screen / Esc

Printer-friendly Version

Interactive Discussion

Discussion Paper

