Atmos. Chem. Phys. Discuss., 9, C305–C306, 2009 www.atmos-chem-phys-discuss.net/9/C305/2009/© Author(s) 2009. This work is distributed under the Creative Commons Attribute 3.0 License.



ACPD

9, C305-C306, 2009

Interactive Comment

Interactive comment on "Sources and transport of Δ^{14} C on CO₂ within the Mexico City Basin and vicinity" by S. A. Vay et al.

J. Turnbull

jocelyn.turnbull@colorado.edu

Received and published: 21 April 2009

This paper presents an interesting set of $\Delta^{14}\text{CO}_2$ results from Mexico City and surrounds. Very few $\Delta^{14}\text{CO}_2$ measurements have been made in large cities, so these results represent an exciting new dataset. The results indicate that most of the samples from this region have $\Delta^{14}\text{CO}_2$ values higher than the Northern Hemisphere midlatitude free troposphere "background" level, as represented by measurements from a North-South transect over the Pacific Ocean, from Hawaii (21°N) to Anchorage, Alaska (61°N), as well as independent measurements from Niwot Ridge, Colorado (40°N). This is contrary to initial expectations, whereby the addition of ^{14}C -free fossil fuel CO $_2$ should lead to lower $\Delta^{14}\text{CO}_2$ values close to the large Mexico City metropolitan area. The "above-background" data appear to fall into two groups: those with slightly (10%)

Full Screen / Esc

Printer-friendly Version

Interactive Discussion

Discussion Paper



or less) elevated $\Delta^{14}\text{CO}_2$ levels; and those with more strongly elevated $\Delta^{14}\text{CO}_2$ levels. The authors propose differing explanations for the two datasets. For the first dataset, they suggest that addition of ^{14}C -elevated CO_2 from biosphere sources is the most likely explanation. For the second dataset, with strongly elevated $\Delta^{14}\text{CO}_2$, they propose nuclear industry and/or hazardous waste ^{14}C sources.

While it is difficult to imagine another explanation for the more strongly elevated Δ^{14} CO₂ samples, for the slightly elevated (10% or less) samples, some other possible explanations should be considered. Firstly, the samples were collected in canisters, which are presumably metal. There is evidence that CO₂ mixing ratios measured in metal canisters can be elevated, and it follows that $\Delta^{14}CO_2$ values could also be altered, possibly by memory effects from previous samples. Secondly, and likely more importantly, the background values used represent, at least for the most part, mid-latitude (30-50°N) Northern Hemisphere background values. $\Delta^{14}CO_2$ measurements from several mid-latitude Northern Hemisphere free troposphere and mountain sites are in good agreement, indicating that these measurements likely represent a reasonable choice of background for mid-latitude Northern Hemisphere surface sites. However, the modeled global Δ^{14} CO₂ distribution (e.g. Randerson et al., 2002) indicates that background $\Delta^{14}CO_2$ values in the tropics are 10-15% higher than Northern Hemisphere mid-latitude background values. Thus background values for Mexico City (latitude 19°N) may be somewhat higher than those for mid-latitude Northern Hemisphere sites, probably by at least a few permil, and possibly more. This suggests that the Δ^{14} CO₂ values in the range of 57-68% observed by Vay et al. could be explained. at least in part, by the higher background value for this region, rather than requiring strong biosphere ¹⁴C sources in the region (although it is worth noting that the higher modeled background Δ^{14} CO₂ values in the tropics are in part due to biospheric respiration of relatively 14C-rich CO₂).

Interactive comment on Atmos. Chem. Phys. Discuss., 9, 7213, 2009.

ACPD

9, C305-C306, 2009

Interactive Comment

Full Screen / Esc

Printer-friendly Version

Interactive Discussion

Discussion Paper

