

Interactive comment on “Lightning-produced NO_x during the Northern Australian monsoon; results from the ACTIVE campaign” by L. Labrador et al.

Anonymous Referee #2

Received and published: 9 June 2009

The paper presents results from aircraft measurements of NO_x during the ACTIVE campaign. It is well written and clearly structured. Results are presented for one flight, where relatively high mixing ratios of NO_x have been measured, despite the low number of local flashes, and the possible origin of this NO_x is discussed. This discussion is quite speculative.

I am aware of the difficulties of assessing LtNO_x, which is definitely a hard task; nevertheless, I have two major questions/concerns with respect to the current study:

1. The ACTIVE campaign lasted over several months. Measurements of CO (Fig. 1), as well as flash counts (Table 3), are presented for the whole campaign; but for NO_x, only one measurement day is presented in this study. What is the reason for this restriction? Table 3 reports on high flash counts for Nov. 2005 and Feb. 2006, but the

C1620

respective NO_x levels are not discussed. Are they comparably high? Also a figure like Fig. 1 could be presented for NO_x.

2. The origin of the high mixing ratios of NO_x is discussed and cannot be explained by local LNO_x production. However, the discussion is rather speculative and does not fully exploit additional information that is publically available:

a) ATSR measurements show fires in Eastern Australia for January 2006 up to 15°S and 144°E, i.e. quite close to the backward trajectories!

see <http://dup.esrin.esa.int/ionia/wfa/products/200601ALGO1.gif> and the respective data file.

A potential interference of NO_x from biomass burning, lifted up by convection, has to be discussed.

b) The hypothesized accumulation of LNO_x along the backward trajectories sounds plausible, but could easily be verified (and partly quantified) by using additional lightning information. In particular, WWLLN data could be used to estimate the number of flashes along the trajectory, and might be related to the observed NO_x mixing ratios. I am aware that WWLLN data is with costs, but I assume that at least one of the institutes involved in this study has access. It would spare some speculations!

I recommend publication in ACP after dealing with those issues.

Detailed comments:

p. 10648, 14-15: close to the backward trajectories, biomass burning was observed!

p. 10649, 17: repetition of 10; 9-19 could perhaps be smoothed.

p. 10650, 18: TTL.

p. 10651, 5: What is BLC?

p. 10659, 11: Jaegl'e

C1621

Figures: Should generally be larger and of higher resolution (dpi) with lossless compression.

Fig. 5: It is hard to recognize the NO_x measurements. What is the use of the additional colorscale (from green to violet), if the measurements are all just red?

Fig. 6: In a) and b) there is only one backward trajectory visible, not a group of trajectories at different altitudes as discussed in the manuscript (10659, 5).

Interactive comment on Atmos. Chem. Phys. Discuss., 9, 10647, 2009.