

This discussion paper is/has been under review for the journal Atmospheric Chemistry and Physics (ACP). Please refer to the corresponding final paper in ACP if available.

**C<sub>1</sub>-C<sub>5</sub> alkyl nitrates in  
Coastal New England**

R. S. Russo et al.

# Temporal variability, sources, and sinks of C<sub>1</sub>-C<sub>5</sub> alkyl nitrates in Coastal New England

R. S. Russo<sup>1</sup>, Y. Zhou<sup>1</sup>, K. B. Haase<sup>1,2</sup>, O. W. Wingenter<sup>2</sup>, E. K. Frinak<sup>1</sup>, H. Mao<sup>1</sup>,  
R. W. Talbot<sup>1</sup>, and B. C. Sive<sup>1</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Climate Change Research Center, Institute for the Study of Earth, Oceans, and Space,  
University of New Hampshire, Durham, NH, 03824, USA

<sup>2</sup>Department of Chemistry, New Mexico Institute of Mining and Technology,  
Socorro, NM, 87801, USA

Received: 29 September 2009 – Accepted: 16 October 2009 – Published: 3 November 2009

Correspondence to: R. S. Russo (rrusso@gust.sr.unh.edu)

Published by Copernicus Publications on behalf of the European Geosciences Union.

Title Page

Abstract

Introduction

Conclusions

References

Tables

Figures

◀

▶

◀

▶

Back

Close

Full Screen / Esc

Printer-friendly Version

Interactive Discussion



## Abstract

Seven C<sub>1</sub>-C<sub>5</sub> alkyl nitrates were measured both on the mainland and off the coast of New Hampshire using gas chromatographic techniques. Five separate data sets will be presented to characterize the seasonal and diurnal trends and the major sources and loss processes of these compounds. In situ measurements were conducted at the University of New Hampshire (UNH) Atmospheric Observing Station at Thompson Farm (TF) located in southeast NH during winter (January–February) and summer (June–August) 2002 and summer (July–August) 2004. The median ( $\pm$ standard deviation) total alkyl nitrate mixing ratio ( $\Sigma$ RONO<sub>2</sub>) was 25 ( $\pm$ 7) in winter and 16 ( $\pm$ 14) pptv in summer. Furthermore, daily canister samples collected at midday and later analyzed in the laboratory from January 2004–February 2008 gave median  $\Sigma$ RONO<sub>2</sub> of 23 ( $\pm$ 8) in winter and 14 ( $\pm$ 10) pptv in summer. Alkyl nitrate mixing ratios increased throughout the morning and were highest in the afternoon reflecting mixing of remnant boundary layer air toward the surface and photochemical production during the day. During summers 2002 and 2004, MeONO<sub>2</sub> decreased overnight and reached minimum hourly average mixing ratios in the early morning (05:00–07:00 LT). Comparison with wind speed and trace gas (i.e., hydrocarbons, ozone, carbon monoxide, total reactive nitrogen) trends suggested that dry deposition contributed to the early morning MeONO<sub>2</sub> minimum which is a previously unaccounted for removal mechanism. The mean dry deposition rate and velocity of MeONO<sub>2</sub> was estimated to be  $-0.5 \text{ nmol m}^{-2} \text{ hr}^{-1}$  and  $0.13 \text{ cm s}^{-1}$ , respectively. Results from ambient air and surface seawater measurements made onboard the NOAA R/V *Ronald H. Brown* in the Gulf of Maine during the 2002 New England Air Quality Study and from ambient canister samples collected throughout the Great Bay estuary in August 2003 are also presented. Comparisons between the alkyl nitrate trends with anthropogenic and marine source fingerprints and tracers suggest that a marine source of alkyl nitrates is not significant in coastal New England. Given the apparent prominence of a secondary source, comparisons between observed and predicted alkyl nitrate/parent hydrocarbon ratios

## C<sub>1</sub>-C<sub>5</sub> alkyl nitrates in Coastal New England

R. S. Russo et al.

Title Page

Abstract

Introduction

Conclusions

References

Tables

Figures

◀

▶

◀

▶

Back

Close

Full Screen / Esc

Printer-friendly Version

Interactive Discussion



were made which demonstrated that background mixing ratios have a continuous and prevalent influence on the alkyl nitrate distribution.

## 1 Introduction

Alkyl nitrates are secondary products of the photooxidation of volatile organic compounds (VOCs) in the presence of nitrogen oxides ( $\text{NO}_x = \text{NO} + \text{NO}_2$ ) and represent a link between the atmospheric carbon and nitrogen cycles. Alkyl nitrate production (Reactions 1–4) is initiated by the oxidation of a hydrocarbon (RH). The resulting alkyl radical (R) quickly reacts with  $\text{O}_2$  to form an alkyl peroxy radical ( $\text{RO}_2$ ). Further reaction with nitric oxide (NO) yields an alkoxy radical (RO) and nitrogen dioxide ( $\text{NO}_2$ ) or an alkyl nitrate ( $\text{RONO}_2$ ):



where  $k_1$ ,  $k_2$ ,  $k_3$ ,  $k_4$ , and  $k_6$  are reaction rate constants,  $J_5$  is the photolysis rate constant, and  $\alpha_1$  and  $\alpha_4$  are the reaction branching ratios. The primary removal mechanisms from the atmosphere are photolysis and reaction with the hydroxyl radical (OH) (Reactions 5 and 6). Photolysis is dominant for the shorter-chain ( $\text{C}_1$ - $\text{C}_3$ ) alkyl nitrates while OH oxidation becomes a more important loss process for the larger alkyl

Title Page

Abstract

Introduction

Conclusions

References

Tables

Figures

◀

▶

◀

▶

Back

Close

Full Screen / Esc

Printer-friendly Version

Interactive Discussion



**C<sub>1</sub>-C<sub>5</sub> alkyl nitrates in Coastal New England**

R. S. Russo et al.

[Title Page](#)[Abstract](#)[Introduction](#)[Conclusions](#)[References](#)[Tables](#)[Figures](#)[◀](#)[▶](#)[◀](#)[▶](#)[Back](#)[Close](#)[Full Screen / Esc](#)[Printer-friendly Version](#)[Interactive Discussion](#)

5 nitrates (Roberts, 1990; Clemitshaw et al., 1997; Talukdar et al., 1997; Flocke et al., 1998). Reactions (1–4) demonstrate that alkyl nitrates share a common photochemical production mechanism with ozone ( $O_3$ ), as  $O_3$  formation follows the photolysis of the  $NO_2$  formed in Reaction (R3). The formation of alkyl nitrates serves as a sink for  $NO_x$  and for the RO and  $RO_2$  radicals, and therefore, impacts the  $O_3$  production efficiency (Atkinson et al., 1982; Ranschaert et al., 2000). Alkyl nitrates may be transported long distances because of their long lifetimes and serve as a temporary reservoir for  $NO_x$  ultimately leading to  $O_3$  production in remote regions (Clemitshaw et al., 1997; Flocke et al., 1998; Roberts et al., 1998). In addition, alkyl nitrates are a component of total reactive nitrogen ( $NO_y = NO_x + HNO_3 + NO_3 + N_2O_5 + \text{organic nitrates}$ ), and their relative contribution to  $NO_y$  varies with location. For example in continental regions, alkyl nitrates typically comprise less than 10% of  $NO_y$  because of the close proximity to primary  $NO_x$  emissions (e.g., Shepson et al., 1993; Flocke et al., 1998; Thornberry et al., 2001; Simpson et al., 2006). In contrast, they may constitute a much larger proportion of  $NO_y$  in remote regions, such as the equatorial marine boundary layer (20–80%) (Talbot et al., 2000; Blake et al., 2003a) or the Arctic (~10–20%) (Muthuramu et al., 1994).

20 Characterizing alkyl nitrates may help explain imbalances in the atmospheric  $NO_y$  budget. Discrepancies between surface deposition rates determined from the sum of the individual  $NO_y$  compounds compared to the measured total  $NO_y$  deposition suggests that all the species contributing to the total deposition are not being accounted for (e.g., Nielsen et al., 1995; Lefer et al., 1999; Horii et al., 2006). The shortfall is often largest in photochemically processed air masses and is usually attributed to unidentified alkyl and multifunctional organic nitrates (e.g., Nielsen et al., 1995; Munger et al., 1998; Horii et al., 2006). Consequently, research on the contribution of organic nitrogen to atmospheric nitrogen deposition and the impact on ecosystem functioning has been gaining importance (Cornell et al., 2003).

25 In addition to their secondary photochemical source, primary emissions of light alkyl nitrates from the ocean (Atlas et al., 1993; Chuck et al., 2002; Moore and Blough,

2002; Blake et al., 2003a) and biomass burning have been observed (Simpson et al., 2002). However, information on the relative influence of primary marine and secondary anthropogenic sources of alkyl nitrates in coastal regions is limited (e.g., Roberts et al., 1998; Chuck et al., 2002; Simpson et al., 2006). Recent modeling results suggest  
5 that the photolysis of alkyl nitrates emitted from the tropical Pacific Ocean, and the subsequent production of NO<sub>2</sub>, may increase O<sub>3</sub> production by up to 20% (Neu et al., 2008). This demonstrates the importance of identifying alkyl nitrate sources and quantifying their mixing ratios in marine environments.

The seacoast region of New Hampshire is downwind of the heavily populated and  
10 urban northeastern US corridor and is in an excellent location for studying the chemical composition of air masses transported from the continental US to the North Atlantic. Previous research has shown that this area is influenced by marine, vegetative, and anthropogenic sources of nonmethane hydrocarbons (NMHCs) and halocarbons (Sive et al., 2007; Varner et al., 2008; White et al., 2008; Zhou et al., 2005, 2008) and is  
15 strongly impacted by boundary layer dynamics (Mao and Talbot, 2004a; Talbot et al., 2005). In this work, we characterize the seasonal and diurnal variability, sources, and sinks of alkyl nitrates at an inland site (Thompson Farm) and in coastal New England. A portion of the alkyl nitrate data was obtained as a component of the 2002 and 2004  
20 New England Air Quality Studies (NEAQS). The objectives of NEAQS were to examine the transport, formation, and distribution of air pollutants in New England and the Gulf of Maine. Additionally, we incorporate data from a one day intensive study throughout the Great Bay estuary in August 2003 and daily canister samples collected during 2004–2008 at Thompson Farm in order to further describe the temporal variability and atmospheric distribution of alkyl nitrates.

**C<sub>1</sub>-C<sub>5</sub> alkyl nitrates in Coastal New England**

R. S. Russo et al.

[Title Page](#)[Abstract](#)[Introduction](#)[Conclusions](#)[References](#)[Tables](#)[Figures](#)[I◀](#)[▶I](#)[◀](#)[▶](#)[Back](#)[Close](#)[Full Screen / Esc](#)[Printer-friendly Version](#)[Interactive Discussion](#)

## 2 Experimental

### 2.1 Thompson Farm gas chromatography system: 2002 and 2004

Measurements of  $C_2$ - $C_{10}$  NMHCs,  $C_1$ - $C_2$  halocarbons, and  $C_1$ - $C_5$  alkyl nitrates were made during winter (11 January to 1 March) and summer (1 June to 31 August) 2002 and summer (1 July to 15 August) 2004 at the University of New Hampshire Atmospheric Observing Station at Thompson Farm (TF) ( $43.11^\circ$  N,  $70.95^\circ$  W, elevation 24 m) in Durham, New Hampshire (Fig. 1). TF is surrounded by a mixed forest and is located ~20 km inland from the Gulf of Maine, 5 km northwest of the Great Bay estuary, and ~100 km north of Boston, MA. Samples were analyzed onsite by an automated gas chromatography (GC) system equipped with two flame ionization detectors (FID) for detecting NMHCs and two electron capture detectors (ECD) for measuring halocarbons and alkyl nitrates (described in detail by Zhou et al., 2005, 2008). Briefly, air was drawn continuously through a PFA Teflon lined manifold from the top of a 15 m tower. Once per hour, a 1000 cc (1200 cc in 2004) aliquot of this air was collected through a dual stage trap for water management and sample concentration. Following enrichment, the sample was rapidly heated to  $100^\circ\text{C}$  and injected. Helium carrier gas flushed the sample to the GC where the stream was split into four channels for analysis. A 1000 cc aliquot from one of two working standards was analyzed every fifth sample (a 1200 cc aliquot every ninth sample in 2004). The measurement precision for each of the hydrocarbons, halocarbons, and alkyl nitrates ranged from 0.3–15%. Specifically, the alkyl nitrate measurement precision is conservatively 5% for mixing ratios above 5 pptv and 10% for mixing ratios below 5 pptv. The accuracy of the alkyl nitrate measurements is 10–20%, and their detection limit is 0.01 pptv. The alkyl nitrates discussed in this work are methyl nitrate ( $\text{MeONO}_2$ ), ethyl nitrate ( $\text{EtONO}_2$ ), 2-propyl nitrate ( $2\text{-PrONO}_2$ ), 1-propyl nitrate ( $1\text{-PrONO}_2$ ), 2-butyl nitrate ( $2\text{-BuONO}_2$ ), 2-pentyl nitrate ( $2\text{-PenONO}_2$ ), and 3-pentyl nitrate ( $3\text{-PenONO}_2$ ).

Title Page

Abstract

Introduction

Conclusions

References

Tables

Figures

◀

▶

◀

▶

Back

Close

Full Screen / Esc

Printer-friendly Version

Interactive Discussion



## 2.2 GC system on the NOAA R/V *Ronald H. Brown*

During 30 July to 6 August 2002, ambient air and surface seawater samples were collected onboard the NOAA Research Vessel *Ronald H. Brown* off the coasts of New Hampshire and Boston, MA. An automated GC measured MeONO<sub>2</sub>, 2-PrONO<sub>2</sub>, and 2-BuONO<sub>2</sub> in addition to several hydrocarbons and halocarbons. Ambient air was sampled from ~15m above the sea surface and traveled approximately 80m to the GC located near the back of the ship. A portion of this air flow was drawn by the sample concentrator and a 1000 cc sample was cryogenically trapped. Water from the ship's clean seawater system flowed to a Weiss type equilibrator. Air was drawn from the equilibrator at 200 ccm for determining concentrations of the stripped gases from the surface seawater. After the ambient air or equilibrator sample was concentrated, it was injected to a two gas chromatograph system. One GC quantified halocarbons and alkyl nitrates with an ECD, and the other GC measured C<sub>2</sub>-C<sub>6</sub> hydrocarbons by FID (Zhou et al., 2005).

## 2.3 Canister samples

### 2.3.1 Great Bay experiment

In addition to the routine measurements at TF (Sect. 2.1), an intensive study was conducted from 18:00 on 18 August to 19:00 on 19 August 2003 (LT) to examine the influence of marine derived halocarbons from the Great Bay estuary throughout southeast NH. The Great Bay is a 2140 hectare estuary located ~16km inland from the coast of NH. The study area consisted of TF and 4 sites located in southern NH: Downtown Boat Launch, Exeter (42.98° N, 70.95° W), Fort Constitution (FC), Newcastle (43.07° N, 70.71° W), Pease Weather Station, Portsmouth (43.08° N, 70.82° W), and Wagon Hill Farm (WHF), Durham (43.13° N, 70.87° W) (Fig. 1 inset). At each of the four sites, a two-liter electropolished stainless steel canister was filled to ambient pressure each hour. The samples were returned to the laboratory at UNH for analysis on a three GC

Title Page

Abstract

Introduction

Conclusions

References

Tables

Figures

◀

▶

◀

▶

Back

Close

Full Screen / Esc

Printer-friendly Version

Interactive Discussion



**C<sub>1</sub>-C<sub>5</sub> alkyl nitrates in Coastal New England**

R. S. Russo et al.

[Title Page](#)[Abstract](#)[Introduction](#)[Conclusions](#)[References](#)[Tables](#)[Figures](#)[◀](#)[▶](#)[◀](#)[▶](#)[Back](#)[Close](#)[Full Screen / Esc](#)[Printer-friendly Version](#)[Interactive Discussion](#)

system equipped with 2 FIDs, 2 ECDs, and a mass spectrometer (MS). The laboratory GC system has been previously described in Sive et al. (2005), White et al. (2008), and Zhou et al. (2005, 2008). Briefly, the samples were analyzed by cryotrapping 1000 cc of air on a glass bead filled loop immersed in liquid nitrogen. The loop was then isolated, warmed to ~80°C with hot water, and then the sample was injected. Helium carrier gas flushed the contents of the loop and the stream was split into five, with each sub-stream feeding a separate GC column. The FIDs were used for detecting C<sub>2</sub>-C<sub>10</sub> NMHCs, and the ECDs measured C<sub>1</sub>-C<sub>5</sub> alkyl nitrates and C<sub>1</sub>-C<sub>2</sub> halocarbons. Oxygenated VOCs, sulfur compounds, halocarbons, and several NMHCs were detected by the MS. A 1000 cc aliquot from one of two working standards was assayed every fifth analysis. The measurement precision for each of the hydrocarbons, halocarbons, and alkyl nitrates ranged from 0.1–12%. The alkyl nitrate measurement precision for the canister samples is conservatively 3–4% for mixing ratios above 5 pptv and <10% for mixing ratios below 5 pptv. The accuracy of the alkyl nitrate canister measurements is 10–20%, and the detection limit is 0.01 pptv.

### 2.3.2 Thompson Farm daily canister samples

Measurements of C<sub>1</sub>-C<sub>5</sub> alkyl nitrates from daily canister samples collected throughout 12 January 2004 to 8 February 2008 are also presented. The four year data set was separated into four seasons which are defined as winter: December, January, February; spring: March, April, May; summer: June, July, August; fall: September, October, November. Note that the data includes five winter seasons (2004–2008) and four spring, summer, and fall seasons (2004–2007). Details of the sample collection and analysis are given in Russo et al. (2009). Briefly, the ambient air samples were collected at approximately noon (LT) from the top of the 12 m tower at TF. The samples were analyzed in the lab at UNH every 1–3 months for a large suite of volatile organic compounds (C<sub>2</sub>-C<sub>10</sub> NMHCs, C<sub>1</sub>-C<sub>5</sub> alkyl nitrates, C<sub>1</sub>-C<sub>2</sub> halocarbons, and selected oxygenated and sulfur compounds) using the same three GC system described in Sect. 2.3.1. The primary working standards for the canister analysis system were two



calibrated whole air samples contained in 36 L electropolished low pressure pontoons (~350psi). Due to overlapping periods when different working standards were being used throughout the four years, mixing ratios were verified and recalibrated (if/when necessary), and were cross referenced with other calibrated whole air and synthetic standards maintained by our laboratory. Furthermore, we have conducted several instrument intercomparison studies to ensure that the measurements from the in situ TF GC and canister samples made over multiple years are comparable (see Sive et al., 2005, 2007; Zhou et al., 2008; Russo et al., 2009, for additional information).

## 2.4 Measurement intercomparison

It is also necessary to intercompare our standards and calibration scales with other laboratories conducting VOC measurements. In summer 2004, an informal VOC intercomparison experiment was conducted by D. Blake at UCI to evaluate the comparability of measurements made by different investigators during the summer 2004 ICARTT campaign. The intercomparison data presented here was provided by D. Blake (personal communication, UCI, 2005). Four pressurized canisters filled with whole air collected by UCI were sent to each laboratory for analysis. The mixing ratios in each canister as well as the mean of the four samples were reported. In total, UNH reported mixing ratios for 99 compounds, including C<sub>2</sub>-C<sub>10</sub> NMHCs, C<sub>1</sub>-C<sub>5</sub> alkyl nitrates, and C<sub>1</sub>-C<sub>2</sub> halocarbons (e.g., Varner et al., 2008; Zhou et al., 2008). The UNH alkyl nitrate measurements were in good agreement with UCI (Fig. 2). The mean mixing ratios (in pptv) of each alkyl nitrate in the four canister samples were: (UNH/UCI) MeONO<sub>2</sub> 8.2/8.4, EtONO<sub>2</sub> 8.4/8.5, 2-PrONO<sub>2</sub> 24.2/27.3, 1-PrONO<sub>2</sub> 4.4/3.7, 2-BuONO<sub>2</sub> 30.2/29.5, 3-PeONO<sub>2</sub> 7.6/8.8, and 2-PeONO<sub>2</sub> 12.4/12.8. Furthermore, the percent difference between the UNH and UCI C<sub>2</sub>-C<sub>4</sub> alkane measurements was ≤2%, and the C<sub>5</sub> alkanes agreed within 7–8%. These results demonstrate that the alkyl nitrate and NMHC mixing ratios reported by these various laboratories were based on similar calibration scales, and thus the measurements can be meaningfully compared.

Title Page

Abstract

Introduction

Conclusions

References

Tables

Figures

◀

▶

◀

▶

Back

Close

Full Screen / Esc

Printer-friendly Version

Interactive Discussion



## 2.5 Ancillary measurements

Hourly average measurements of O<sub>3</sub>, carbon monoxide (CO), carbon dioxide (CO<sub>2</sub>), and NO<sub>y</sub> made at TF during winter and summer 2002, summer 2004, and 18–19 August 2003 were also used in this analysis. The instruments used were a Thermo Environmental Instruments (TEI) model 49C-PS using ultraviolet spectroscopy at 254 nm for O<sub>3</sub>, a custom modified TEI model 48CTL using absorption of infrared radiation at 4600 nm for CO, a Licor model 7000 differential infrared absorption instrument for CO<sub>2</sub>, and a TEI model 42C using chemiluminescence measured NO<sub>y</sub> (Mao and Talbot, 2004a,b; Talbot et al., 2005). Meteorological parameters (wind speed, wind direction, temperature) were measured with a Met One model 014A anemometer.

## 3 Temporal variation of alkyl nitrates at Thompson farm

Three distinct data sets are combined to provide a robust characterization of the temporal variation of alkyl nitrates in New Hampshire. The continuous in situ measurements made at Thompson Farm during winter 2002 and summers 2002 and 2004 and the four years (2004–2008) of daily canister samples allow both the short (diurnal to seasonal) and long (seasonal to interannual) term trends to be described (Figs. 3 and 4).

### 3.1 Seasonal variation

The median ( $\pm$ standard deviation) total alkyl nitrate mixing ratio ( $\Sigma$ RONO<sub>2</sub>=sum of individual C<sub>1</sub>-C<sub>5</sub> alkyl nitrates) in winter and summer 2002 was 25 ( $\pm$ 7) and 16 ( $\pm$ 14) pptv, respectively (Table 1). Similar median ( $\pm$ standard deviation) mixing ratios of 23 ( $\pm$ 8) and 14 ( $\pm$ 10) pptv were observed in the winter and summer daily canister samples, respectively (Table 2). The nearly 60% lower  $\Sigma$ RONO<sub>2</sub> mixing ratio in summer was driven by the reduced levels of C<sub>3</sub>-C<sub>5</sub> alkyl nitrates which were typically highest in late winter–early spring (February–March) and exhibited a broad minimum from May–October (Fig. 4, Table 2). In contrast, monthly mean and median MeONO<sub>2</sub> and EtONO<sub>2</sub>

Title Page

Abstract

Introduction

Conclusions

References

Tables

Figures

◀

▶

◀

▶

Back

Close

Full Screen / Esc

Printer-friendly Version

Interactive Discussion



mixing ratios were fairly constant ( $\sim 2\text{--}4$  pptv) all year, and both compounds exhibited low day-to-day variability in late fall-winter (Fig. 4a). This behavior may reflect their longer lifetimes resulting in a more homogeneous distribution throughout the year.

The relative contributions of the individual  $C_1\text{--}C_5$  alkyl nitrates to  $\Sigma\text{RONO}_2$  were nearly the same in the continuous measurements and in the daily samples (Tables 1 and 2). 2-propyl nitrate was generally the most abundant ( $\sim 4\text{--}7$  pptv), and 2-BuONO<sub>2</sub> was at comparable to slightly higher levels in winter-early spring. In all study years, 2-BuONO<sub>2</sub> exhibited the most pronounced seasonal variation with approximately a factor of 2–3 higher median mixing ratio in winter than in summer. While the relative contribution of 2-BuONO<sub>2</sub> to  $\Sigma\text{RONO}_2$  decreased from winter to summer, the contributions from MeONO<sub>2</sub> and EtONO<sub>2</sub> increased. As a result, MeONO<sub>2</sub>, EtONO<sub>2</sub>, and 2-BuONO<sub>2</sub> made nearly equal contributions to  $\Sigma\text{RONO}_2$  in summer (15–20%). The pentyl nitrates and 1-PrONO<sub>2</sub> were the least abundant components of  $\Sigma\text{RONO}_2$  all year ( $< 1\text{--}3$  pptv). Overall, the alkyl nitrate mixing ratios and distributions at TF were comparable to trends observed at other North American sites, such as Michigan (Ostling et al., 2001), Colorado and the eastern US (Stroud et al., 2001), the southeastern US (Bertman et al., 1995), Summit, Greenland (Swanson et al., 2003), Ontario, Canada (Shepson et al., 1993), Alert NW Territories, Canada (Muthuramu et al., 1994), and Chebogue Point, Nova Scotia (Roberts et al., 1998).

One possible explanation for the seasonal variation of alkyl nitrates at TF is their different tropospheric lifetimes throughout the year reflecting changes in photochemical production and/or destruction (OH oxidation and photolysis) rates. Lifetimes are shorter in summer than in winter because of higher OH concentrations and faster photolysis rates which may explain the lower  $C_3\text{--}C_5$  alkyl nitrate mixing ratios. In addition, weaker photochemical activity may contribute to the low MeONO<sub>2</sub> and EtONO<sub>2</sub> variability in winter (Figs. 3 and 4; Tables 1 and 2). Another possible explanation for the seasonal trend is changes in the dominant source regions and regional scale transport pathways. Previous research has documented that the chemical composition of air masses transported to New England strongly depends on both the season and source

 **$C_1\text{--}C_5$  alkyl nitrates in Coastal New England**

R. S. Russo et al.

[Title Page](#)[Abstract](#)[Introduction](#)[Conclusions](#)[References](#)[Tables](#)[Figures](#)[◀](#)[▶](#)[◀](#)[▶](#)[Back](#)[Close](#)[Full Screen / Esc](#)[Printer-friendly Version](#)[Interactive Discussion](#)

**C<sub>1</sub>-C<sub>5</sub> alkyl nitrates in Coastal New England**

R. S. Russo et al.

[Title Page](#)[Abstract](#)[Introduction](#)[Conclusions](#)[References](#)[Tables](#)[Figures](#)[◀](#)[▶](#)[◀](#)[▶](#)[Back](#)[Close](#)[Full Screen / Esc](#)[Printer-friendly Version](#)[Interactive Discussion](#)

region. For example, north-northwesterly winds typically transport clean, Canadian air masses to New England that contain low O<sub>3</sub>, CO, NO<sub>y</sub>, and hydrocarbon mixing ratios and that are representative of background conditions (Munger et al., 1996; Moody et al., 1998; Shipham et al., 1998). This transport pattern is more frequent during the winter and often occurs behind a cold front. In contrast, air masses containing enhanced levels of anthropogenic emissions are primarily observed during transport from the south and west. This air mass transport pathway occurs most frequently in summer and when New England is on the western side of a high pressure system after it has moved offshore or in the warm sector of an approaching low pressure system (Moody et al., 1998; Fischer et al., 2004; Mao and Talbot, 2004b). Consequently, the greater frequency of northwesterly winds in winter and southwesterly winds in summer may also contribute to the seasonal trends by influencing the dominant source region(s) of alkyl nitrates and their precursors transported to TF.

### 3.2 Diurnal variation

The chemical and physical processes controlling the atmospheric distribution of alkyl nitrates can be elucidated through examination of their diurnal trends. During winter and summer 2002, the hourly average ( $\pm$ standard error) alkyl nitrate mixing ratios were lowest at ~05:00 to 07:00 LT, increased throughout the morning, and reached peak levels in the afternoon (Fig. 5a, only MeONO<sub>2</sub> summer data shown). The morning increase likely reflects a combination of vertical mixing, advection, and photochemical production. This is supported by comparison to the O<sub>3</sub>, wind speed, and J<sub>NO<sub>2</sub></sub> diurnal cycles (Fig. 5a). Following sunrise, surface heating causes the nocturnal boundary layer (NBL) to dissipate and air masses from the residual layer above the NBL are mixed toward the surface resulting in increased O<sub>3</sub> and alkyl nitrate mixing ratios. This illustrates that the development and dissipation of the NBL can contribute to the light alkyl nitrate trends observed at TF in both winter and summer.

The finding of an early morning MeONO<sub>2</sub> minimum is particularly intriguing because its lifetime in the atmosphere is much longer than one day. For example, the

**C<sub>1</sub>-C<sub>5</sub> alkyl nitrates in Coastal New England**

R. S. Russo et al.

[Title Page](#)[Abstract](#)[Introduction](#)[Conclusions](#)[References](#)[Tables](#)[Figures](#)[◀](#)[▶](#)[◀](#)[▶](#)[Back](#)[Close](#)[Full Screen / Esc](#)[Printer-friendly Version](#)[Interactive Discussion](#)

lifetime of MeONO<sub>2</sub> resulting from oxidation and photolysis is ~5 days in summer and over one month in winter (assuming [OH]=6×10<sup>5</sup> (winter) and 2×10<sup>6</sup> (summer) moleculescm<sup>-3</sup>;  $k_{\text{OH-MeONO}_2}=2.3\times 10^{-14}\text{cm}^3\text{molecule}^{-1}\text{s}^{-1}$  (Atkinson et al., 2006);  $J_{\text{MeONO}_2}\sim 2.2\times 10^{-6}\text{s}^{-1}$  in summer (Roberts, 1990), and  $0.29\times 10^{-6}\text{s}^{-1}$  in winter (<http://cprm.acd.ucar.edu/Models/TUV>)). However, the decrease in mixing ratios throughout the night indicates the predominance of removal processes occurring on a time scale ≤1 day. Processes potentially contributing to the early morning minima include losses from chemistry, deposition, decomposition, or mixing with air masses having lower mixing ratios. Chemical reactions and decomposition can be neglected because the rates of reaction with oxidants potentially available at night, such as O<sub>3</sub> and the nitrate radical (NO<sub>3</sub>), are insignificant for alkyl nitrates (Becker and Wirtz, 1989; Atkinson, 1990) and because alkyl nitrates are thermally stable at tropospheric temperatures (Roberts, 1990). Additionally, it is typically thought that alkyl nitrates do not undergo wet and dry deposition because of their low solubility (e.g., Roberts, 1990; Shepson et al., 1996). However, wet deposition of alkyl nitrates has been reported in some studies (e.g., Hauff et al., 1998). Moreover, the Henry's Law constant of MeONO<sub>2</sub> (2.0 M/atm) (Kames and Schurath, 1992) is similar to peroxyacyl nitrates (1–5 M/atm) and higher than O<sub>3</sub> (~0.01 M/atm) (Kames and Schurath, 1992; Sander, 1999). Both PAN and O<sub>3</sub> are well known to undergo surface deposition (e.g., Schrimpf et al., 1996; Finkelstein et al., 2000; Sparks et al., 2003; Talbot et al., 2005). Transport or mixing may play a role in regulating nighttime ambient mixing ratios. However, the observation of decreasing MeONO<sub>2</sub> on nights with calm winds and a stable inversion layer is indicative of a process besides advection or vertical mixing of different air masses (see below). Therefore, it seems likely that deposition contributed to the MeONO<sub>2</sub> diurnal behavior at TF.

### 3.2.1 Evidence for the dry deposition of MeONO<sub>2</sub>

Two criteria were used to identify the nights when a stable NBL formed: (1) O<sub>3</sub> ≤ 5 ppbv and (2) wind speed < 0.5 m/s. During summer 2002, there were only 4 nights when concurrent measurements of alkyl nitrates, O<sub>3</sub>, and wind speed were available and the atmospheric conditions met our criteria. For example, on the evenings of 7 and 13 June 2002, O<sub>3</sub> decreased to ≤ 3 ppbv and the wind speed was near zero (Fig. 6a). Ozone and wind speed remained at these levels through ~07:00 on the following morning indicating that a stable nocturnal inversion layer had developed. Under these stable and calm conditions, the surface air is isolated from the air in the remnant boundary layer aloft which inhibits vertical mixing and advection (e.g., Hastie et al., 1993; Gusten et al., 1998; Talbot et al., 2005). Therefore, variations in trace gas mixing ratios reflect local sources or sinks. This is illustrated by the large increase in CO and NMHC mixing ratios on both nights resulting from local petroleum, natural gas, vehicular, or combustion emissions (e.g., Talbot et al., 2005; White et al., 2008) (Fig. 6b; propane is shown as a representative example of the NMHC behavior). Additionally, NO<sub>y</sub> decreased (Fig. 6b) mainly because of wet and dry deposition of HNO<sub>3</sub> and NO<sub>2</sub> (e.g., Munger et al., 1998). Furthermore, on both nights, MeONO<sub>2</sub> decreased, maintained nearly constant mixing ratios for several hours, and increased in the morning concurrently with O<sub>3</sub> and wind speed (Fig. 6a).

Additionally, measurements made at TF during summer (1 July–15 August) 2004 are included to provide further evidence for the surface deposition of MeONO<sub>2</sub>. Similar to 2002, the hourly average MeONO<sub>2</sub> mixing ratios decreased overnight, were lowest during the early morning hours, and increased throughout the morning following the dissipation of the NBL (Fig. 5b). Based on our criteria, a strong NBL developed on nearly half of the nights during summer 2004. On approximately 80% of these nights, MeONO<sub>2</sub> decreased concurrently with O<sub>3</sub> and wind speed (Fig. 7a, wind speed not shown). In addition, decreasing NO<sub>y</sub> mixing ratios were observed on most of these nights. Non-depositing species, such as CO and NMHCs, exhibited the opposite trend

[Title Page](#)[Abstract](#)[Introduction](#)[Conclusions](#)[References](#)[Tables](#)[Figures](#)[◀](#)[▶](#)[◀](#)[▶](#)[Back](#)[Close](#)[Full Screen / Esc](#)[Printer-friendly Version](#)[Interactive Discussion](#)

with significantly increasing mixing ratios overnight and rapidly decreasing mixing ratios following dissipation of the NBL in the morning (Fig. 7b, propane and ethene are shown as representative examples of the CO and NMHC behavior).

The diurnal variation of MeONO<sub>2</sub> at TF provides evidence for a previously unaccounted for removal mechanism from the atmosphere and for a potential additional source of organic nitrogen to the surface. For each night when a stable NBL developed, the flux from the atmosphere to the surface and the dry deposition velocity ( $V_d$ ) of MeONO<sub>2</sub> was estimated as follows:

$$\text{Flux} = \left[ \frac{dC}{dt} \right] \times H = -C \times V_d \quad (1)$$

where  $C$  is the mean MeONO<sub>2</sub> concentration (moleculescm<sup>-3</sup>) between 00:00 to 06:00 LT,  $H$  is the nocturnal boundary layer height, and  $[dC/dt]$  is the change in MeONO<sub>2</sub> concentration between 00:00 to 06:00 LT. In these calculations, we used  $H=125\text{m}$  because it is a typical nocturnal boundary layer height for this site (e.g., Talbot et al., 2005; Sive et al., 2007; Mao et al., 2008; White et al., 2008; Zhou et al., 2008). However, it must be kept in mind that the resulting dry deposition flux and velocity estimates scale directly with the boundary layer height which varies with meteorological conditions and season. The estimates of the dry deposition rate and velocity of MeONO<sub>2</sub> were similar during both summers and agree within the stated error bars suggesting that a relatively uniform amount of MeONO<sub>2</sub> is lost from the atmosphere to the surface (Table 3). The mean dry deposition rate of MeONO<sub>2</sub> was approximately  $-0.5\text{nmolm}^{-2}\text{hr}^{-1}$  (range  $0.18$  to  $1.47\text{gNha}^{-1}\text{y}^{-1}$ ), and the dry deposition velocity was  $\sim 0.13\text{cms}^{-1}$  (Table 3). To the best of our knowledge, these are the first estimates of the deposition rate and velocity of MeONO<sub>2</sub>. Furthermore, the dry deposition lifetime ( $\tau_d=H/V_d$ ) of MeONO<sub>2</sub> was  $\sim 1\text{--}3$  days (Table 3) which is shorter than the combined oxidation+photolysis lifetime ( $\sim 5$  days). The total lifetime of MeONO<sub>2</sub> resulting from deposition, oxidation, and photolysis is  $\sim 0.4\text{--}1.7$  days in summer further illustrating that dry deposition is a likely explanation for the diurnal variation of MeONO<sub>2</sub> at TF.

[Title Page](#)[Abstract](#)[Introduction](#)[Conclusions](#)[References](#)[Tables](#)[Figures](#)[◀](#)[▶](#)[◀](#)[▶](#)[Back](#)[Close](#)[Full Screen / Esc](#)[Printer-friendly Version](#)[Interactive Discussion](#)

### 3.2.2 Comparison with previous research on the dry deposition of organic nitrogen

The MeONO<sub>2</sub> dry deposition flux and velocity values at TF are at the lower end of estimates compared to other organic nitrogen compounds at North American sites. For example, dry deposition velocities were estimated to be 0.65 cms<sup>-1</sup> for isoprene nitrates (Giacopelli et al., 2005), 0.4 cms<sup>-1</sup> for hydroxyalkyl nitrates (Shepson et al., 1996), and 0.1 to 0.6 cms<sup>-1</sup> for peroxyacetyl nitrate (PAN) (Shepson et al., 1992; Schrimpf et al., 1996; Sparks et al., 2003; Doskey et al., 2004; Turnipseed et al., 2006; Wolfe et al., 2009). Additionally, nighttime  $V_d$  values for peroxyacetyl nitrate (MPAN) and peroxypropionyl nitrate (PPN) ranging from ~0.1 to 0.7 cms<sup>-1</sup> with fluxes of -10 to -50 nmolm<sup>-2</sup>hr<sup>-1</sup>, as well as PAN fluxes that were an order of magnitude larger (-140 to -260 nmolm<sup>-2</sup>hr<sup>-1</sup>), have been observed over pine forests (Turnipseed et al., 2006; Wolfe et al., 2009).

Previous studies in the eastern US and Canada have discussed the potentially significant contribution from unmeasured organic nitrogen compounds to nitrogen deposition. For example, research conducted at Harvard Forest (HF) (a temperate deciduous forest ~110km southwest of TF) concluded that the deposition of unmeasured organic nitrogen compounds could explain discrepancies between total NO<sub>y</sub> and the sum of speciated NO<sub>y</sub> compound measurements (Munger et al., 1996, 1998; Lefer et al., 1999; Horii et al., 2004, 2006). Additionally, studies conducted at several sites throughout Canada reported that "Other-NO<sub>y</sub>" compounds (i.e., excluding HNO<sub>3</sub>, NO<sub>2</sub>, pNO<sub>3</sub><sup>-</sup>, PAN, PPN) contributed 9–38% to NO<sub>y</sub> dry deposition (Zhang et al., 2009). Furthermore, measurements made at Duke Forest in North Carolina concluded that total dry nitrogen deposition (not including organic nitrate compounds) was potentially significantly underestimated (Sparks et al., 2008). Based on the total dry nitrogen deposition in eastern Canada (0.5–2.4 kgNha<sup>-1</sup>y<sup>-1</sup>; Zhang et al., 2009) and at Duke Forest (7.9 kgNha<sup>-1</sup>y<sup>-1</sup>; Sparks et al., 2008), as well as the MeONO<sub>2</sub> deposition rates estimated in this work, MeONO<sub>2</sub> contributes <1% to the total N deposition. These re-

[Title Page](#)[Abstract](#)[Introduction](#)[Conclusions](#)[References](#)[Tables](#)[Figures](#)[◀](#)[▶](#)[◀](#)[▶](#)[Back](#)[Close](#)[Full Screen / Esc](#)[Printer-friendly Version](#)[Interactive Discussion](#)



sults suggest that MeONO<sub>2</sub> constitutes a minor component of total N dry deposition. Nonetheless, our results provide supporting evidence that unaccounted for organic nitrogen compounds contribute to nitrogen dry deposition, and these compounds should be included in studies on the nitrogen budget in various ecosystems.

## 4 Sources of alkyl nitrates in Coastal New England

The following discussion uses a combination of four separate VOC data sets, which are representative of three different environments, in order to identify the major source(s) of alkyl nitrates in southeastern New Hampshire by comparing their temporal and/or spatial distributions to characteristic source signatures and to NMHC and halocarbon trends.

### 4.1 Inland/coastal environment: Thompson Farm

The predominant source region and regional transport pathway of air masses to TF varies with season as discussed in Sect. 3.1. In order to determine whether the alkyl nitrate mixing ratios observed at TF depend upon the source region, the hourly average wind direction data from winter and summer 2002 was separated into four sectors (northeast (0–90°), southeast (90–180°), southwest (180–270°), and northwest (270–360°)). In winter, mixing ratios were fairly uniform in the SW, NW, and NE sectors but were significantly higher ( $p < 0.05$ ) in the SE sector, while in summer, the mean mixing ratios in both the SE and SW sectors were significantly higher ( $p < 0.001$ ) than in the northern sectors (Table 4). It is not unexpected that enhanced mixing ratios would be observed during transport from the SE. Previous studies have shown that air masses that passed over east coast metropolitan areas and over the Atlantic Ocean can be transported inland to TF from the south-southeast by the sea breeze (Miller et al., 2003; Angevine et al., 2004; Mao and Talbot, 2004a; Zhou et al., 2008). Furthermore, in both seasons, the highest and lowest mean parent hydrocarbon (ethane, propane, *n*-

Title Page

Abstract

Introduction

Conclusions

References

Tables

Figures

◀

▶

◀

▶

Back

Close

Full Screen / Esc

Printer-friendly Version

Interactive Discussion



**C<sub>1</sub>-C<sub>5</sub> alkyl nitrates in Coastal New England**

R. S. Russo et al.

butane, *n*-pentane) mixing ratios were observed in the southern and northern transport sectors, respectively (not shown). This suggests that a major source region of NMHCs was the urban/industrial regions to the west-southwest of New England. In addition, this points toward a continental source of alkyl nitrates which was most likely secondary production following the oxidation of hydrocarbons. Moreover, the highest mixing ratios of alkyl nitrates corresponded to events with enhanced mixing ratios of NMHCs and CO. For example, the highest C<sub>2</sub>-C<sub>5</sub> alkyl nitrate mixing ratios during winter 2002 were observed on 7 February under west-southwesterly winds (Fig. 3). Concurrent with the high alkyl nitrates were enhanced (above 90th percentile for winter) mixing ratios of CO (370–750 ppbv), ethane (4–7.2 ppbv), propane (5.7–11 ppbv), *n*-butane (1–3.7 ppbv), and *n*-pentane (0.3–0.5 ppbv).

The dominant and consistent contribution of 2-PrONO<sub>2</sub> and 2-BuONO<sub>2</sub> to ΣRONO<sub>2</sub> at TF over various years and time scales further corroborates that their major source was photochemical production from propane and *n*-butane (Tables 1 and 2). Both 2-PrONO<sub>2</sub> and 2-BuONO<sub>2</sub> have been found to be the most abundant alkyl nitrates at numerous continental locations (Ridley et al., 1990; Shepson et al., 1993; Ostling et al., 2001; Stroud et al., 2001; Blake et al., 2003b; Swanson et al., 2003; Simpson et al., 2003, 2006). This characteristic continental source signature reflects a balance between the increasing alkyl nitrate yield and the decreasing lifetimes of both the parent alkane and alkyl nitrate with increasing carbon number (Atkinson et al., 1982; Flocke et al., 1991, 1998; Arey et al., 2001). In contrast, in remote oceanic regions, the C<sub>1</sub>-C<sub>3</sub> alkyl nitrates have been found to be supersaturated (Chuck et al., 2002; Dahl et al., 2005) and positively correlated with marine halocarbons (Atlas et al., 1993; Blake et al., 1999, 2003a). Additionally, oceanic emissions of MeONO<sub>2</sub> were estimated to be a factor of ~3–4 larger than EtONO<sub>2</sub> in the Pacific (Blake et al., 2003a; Dahl et al., 2007) and Atlantic Oceans (Chuck et al., 2002). Although TF is often influenced by marine air masses originating from the SE and NE (Zhou et al., 2005; 2008), MeONO<sub>2</sub> and EtONO<sub>2</sub> were not correlated with marine halocarbons, and the year-round MeONO<sub>2</sub>/EtONO<sub>2</sub> ratio averaged ~1. This suggests that the MeONO<sub>2</sub> and

[Title Page](#)[Abstract](#)[Introduction](#)[Conclusions](#)[References](#)[Tables](#)[Figures](#)[◀](#)[▶](#)[◀](#)[▶](#)[Back](#)[Close](#)[Full Screen / Esc](#)[Printer-friendly Version](#)[Interactive Discussion](#)

EtONO<sub>2</sub> levels observed in seacoast New Hampshire were controlled by anthropogenic precursor source(s).

Furthermore, the C<sub>3</sub>-C<sub>5</sub> alkyl nitrates were strongly correlated with each other in both winter and summer 2002 ( $r^2 \sim 0.7\text{--}0.9$ ), and in all seasons throughout 2004–2008 ( $r^2 \sim 0.60\text{--}0.96$ ). Ethyl nitrate exhibited slightly weaker correlations with the C<sub>3</sub>-C<sub>5</sub> alkyl nitrates ( $r^2 \sim 0.4\text{--}0.8$ ). In winter, MeONO<sub>2</sub> was poorly correlated with the other alkyl nitrates, whereas in summer 2002 and spring-fall 2004–2008, MeONO<sub>2</sub> was moderately correlated with the C<sub>2</sub>-C<sub>5</sub> compounds ( $r^2 \sim 0.3\text{--}0.6$ ). The weaker MeONO<sub>2</sub> and EtONO<sub>2</sub> correlations may be a consequence of their longer lifetimes or different sources. In addition, the C<sub>1</sub>-C<sub>5</sub> alkyl nitrates tracked each other extremely well (Figs. 3 and 4) reflecting their similar and/or collocated precursor sources.

## 4.2 Marine environment: measurements aboard the NOAA R/V *Ronald H. Brown*

Measurements of MeONO<sub>2</sub>, 2-PrONO<sub>2</sub>, and 2-BuONO<sub>2</sub> were made from ambient air and surface seawater samples collected onboard the NOAA R/V *Ronald H. Brown* during 30 July to 6 August 2002 as a component of the NEAQS 2002 campaign. Sampling occurred in Boston Harbor on 30–31 July and off the coast of New Hampshire from 1–6 August. 2-Propyl and 2-butyl nitrate were well correlated in air ( $r^2 = 0.97$ ) and were positively, but weakly ( $r^2 \sim 0.34$ ), correlated with MeONO<sub>2</sub> indicating that the alkyl nitrates shared a common source. In the air samples, MeONO<sub>2</sub>, 2-PrONO<sub>2</sub>, and 2-BuONO<sub>2</sub> ranged from 2–8, 4–30, and 2–16 pptv, respectively (Figs. 8a,c; 2-BuONO<sub>2</sub> not shown). In contrast, 2-PrONO<sub>2</sub> and 2-BuONO<sub>2</sub> mixing ratios in the surface seawater were lower and more uniform ( $\sim 9\text{--}13$  pptv,  $0.008\text{--}0.017$  nmolL<sup>-1</sup> and  $2\text{--}5$  pptv,  $0.002\text{--}0.006$  nmolL<sup>-1</sup>, respectively) (Fig. 8d). Methyl nitrate was more variable in the seawater but exhibited a similar range of mixing ratios ( $\sim 1\text{--}6$  pptv,  $0.007\text{--}0.051$  nmolL<sup>-1</sup>) as in the air samples (Fig. 8b). These results suggest that the New England coastal waters were undersaturated in 2-PrONO<sub>2</sub> and 2-BuONO<sub>2</sub> and that MeONO<sub>2</sub> was near equilibrium with respect to the overlying atmosphere. These re-

### C<sub>1</sub>-C<sub>5</sub> alkyl nitrates in Coastal New England

R. S. Russo et al.

[Title Page](#)[Abstract](#)[Introduction](#)[Conclusions](#)[References](#)[Tables](#)[Figures](#)[◀](#)[▶](#)[◀](#)[▶](#)[Back](#)[Close](#)[Full Screen / Esc](#)[Printer-friendly Version](#)[Interactive Discussion](#)

sults are consistent with Chuck et al. (2002) and Reeves et al. (2007) who proposed that alkyl nitrates in northern hemisphere temperate waters were near equilibrium with the atmosphere. Similar to the TF results (Sect. 4.1), this limited data set from the Gulf of Maine during NEAQS 2002 does not provide conclusive evidence for a marine source of light alkyl nitrates in this region.

### 4.3 Estuarine environment: Great Bay experiment

An intensive study focused on examining the spatial variability and sources of VOCs throughout the Great Bay estuary in seacoast New Hampshire was conducted from 18:00 18 August to 19:00 19 August 2003 (LT) (Fig. 1 inset). At TF, winds were from the south-southeast from noon on 18 August until early morning on 19 August when they shifted to the west-northwest (Fig. 9b). Three day back trajectories obtained from the NOAA HYSPLIT model (Draxler and Rolph, 2003) showed that air masses originated in eastern Canada and traveled along the Maine coastline before reaching NH from the east on the evening of 18 August. Furthermore, a strong nocturnal inversion layer developed between midnight and 07:00 LT on 19 August as demonstrated by decreases in  $O_3$  and wind speed and by an increase in carbon dioxide (Figs. 9a,b).

The mixing ratios of each alkyl nitrate were remarkably uniform across the five sampling locations (Fig. 9c–i). The standard deviation of the mixing ratios at all five sites each hour was  $\leq 0.2$  pptv for  $MeONO_2$ ,  $EtONO_2$ ,  $1-PrONO_2$ ,  $2-PenONO_2$ , and  $3-PenONO_2$  and  $\leq 0.5$  pptv for  $2-PrONO_2$  and  $2-BuONO_2$ . The lack of a spatial variation in mixing ratios and a correlation with marine derived compounds suggests that the source(s) of the alkyl nitrates was not associated with coastal emissions. In contrast, the marine halocarbons (bromoform ( $CHBr_3$ ), dibromomethane ( $CH_2Br_2$ ), methyl iodide ( $CH_3I$ ), and ethyl iodide ( $C_2H_5I$ )) exhibited a distinct spatial variation with higher mixing ratios at coastal sites (FC, WHF) compared to inland sites (TF, Pease, Exeter) (Zhou et al., 2005). For example, the elevated mixing ratios of  $CHBr_3$  ( $>10$  pptv) reflect the influence of local coastal and estuarine emissions on the evening of 18 August (Fig. 9j). Additionally, NMHC mixing ratios increased significantly under the nocturnal

Title Page

Abstract

Introduction

Conclusions

References

Tables

Figures

◀

▶

◀

▶

Back

Close

Full Screen / Esc

Printer-friendly Version

Interactive Discussion




[Title Page](#)[Abstract](#)[Introduction](#)[Conclusions](#)[References](#)[Tables](#)[Figures](#)[◀](#)[▶](#)[◀](#)[▶](#)[Back](#)[Close](#)[Full Screen / Esc](#)[Printer-friendly Version](#)[Interactive Discussion](#)

boundary layer at each sampling location and decreased rapidly following the breakup of the inversion layer in the morning (White et al., 2008) (Fig. 9j). The slightly increasing alkyl nitrate mixing ratios on 19 August likely reflect processed continental emissions and/or the downward mixing of air from above the inversion layer in the morning. Overall, the different temporal and spatial distributions of the alkyl nitrates throughout the Great Bay estuary compared to tracers of anthropogenic and marine emissions is further evidence for their dominant secondary source.

## 5 Alkyl nitrate/parent hydrocarbon relationships

In the previous section, the analysis of four distinct data sets indicated that the dominant source of alkyl nitrates in the seacoast region of New Hampshire was photochemical production from hydrocarbons. Accordingly, the relationships with the parent hydrocarbons were further examined using a sequential reaction scheme. The production and loss reactions of alkyl nitrates summarized in Reactions (R1–R6) can be simplified as follows by assuming that Reaction (R1) between the parent hydrocarbon and OH is the rate-limiting step:



The simplified kinetic equations lead to a differential equation, whose solution is a function only of time, and can thus be integrated to yield Eq. (2) (see Bertman et al., 1995 for details on the derivation of Eq. 2):

$$\frac{[\text{RONO}_2]}{[\text{RH}]} = \frac{\beta k_A}{(k_B - k_A)} (1 - e^{(k_A - k_B)t}) + \frac{[\text{RONO}_2]_0}{[\text{RH}]_0} e^{(k_A - k_B)t} \quad (2)$$

where  $k_A = k_1[\text{OH}]$ ,  $k_B = k_6[\text{OH}] + J_5$ ,  $\beta = \alpha_1 \alpha_4$ , and  $[\text{RONO}_2]_0/[\text{RH}]_0$  is the initial alkyl nitrate/parent hydrocarbon ratio (see Table 5 for parameter values). If  $[\text{RONO}_2]_0/[\text{RH}]_0 = 0$ , the solution to the equation describes the time evolution of the

**C<sub>1</sub>-C<sub>5</sub> alkyl nitrates in Coastal New England**

R. S. Russo et al.

[Title Page](#)[Abstract](#)[Introduction](#)[Conclusions](#)[References](#)[Tables](#)[Figures](#)[◀](#)[▶](#)[◀](#)[▶](#)[Back](#)[Close](#)[Full Screen / Esc](#)[Printer-friendly Version](#)[Interactive Discussion](#)

alkyl nitrate/parent hydrocarbon (RONO<sub>2</sub>/RH) ratio based solely on gas phase hydrocarbon chemistry (Bertman et al., 1995). Previous studies typically assumed that the initial alkyl nitrate mixing ratio is zero (Bertman et al., 1995; Roberts et al., 1998; Stroud et al., 2001; Simpson et al., 2003), while more recent studies included analyses using non-zero initial ratios (Reeves et al., 2007). Some studies have also attempted to quantify the influence of additional hydrocarbon precursors (e.g., Sommariva et al., 2008).

In order to examine the relationships between alkyl nitrates and their parent hydrocarbons, we compared the observed RONO<sub>2</sub>/RH ratios to the values calculated when the initial [RONO<sub>2</sub>]<sub>0</sub>/[RH]<sub>0</sub> ratio equaled zero (pure photochemistry curve), the mean, and the background (10th percentile) ratio values at TF during winter and summer 2002 (Fig. 10, Table 5). Also included in Fig. 10 are the mean RONO<sub>2</sub>/RH ratios from samples collected at several remote sites along the US west coast (34–47° N) during December 2001 and June 2002 (unpublished data, D. Blake, UCI). These samples are representative of background air masses and generally agree with the highest TF RONO<sub>2</sub>/RH ratios (i.e., more aged air masses) in winter. The lower summer ratios at TF, compared to the west coast, likely reflect the influence of more recent emissions and higher parent hydrocarbon mixing ratios because of the closer proximity to anthropogenic sources. In fact, the samples collected on nights with a stable NBL, and thus representing local NMHC emissions and low RONO<sub>2</sub>/RH ratios, largely correspond to the points on the lower left of the plots at the most recent processing times. In both winter and summer, air mass ages ranging from several hours to 5 days were observed at TF.

In both seasons, the EtONO<sub>2</sub>/ethane ratios were factors of ~5–10 above the pure photochemistry curve (Fig. 10a, b). The trend toward the curve at the longest processing times is indicative of mixing with aged air masses containing lower ratios. Previous studies also observed large deviations of predicted EtONO<sub>2</sub>/ethane ratios from the ambient ones (Bertman et al., 1995; Roberts et al., 1998; Simpson et al., 2003). The deviation has typically been interpreted as evidence of a primary source of EtONO<sub>2</sub>

**C<sub>1</sub>-C<sub>5</sub> alkyl nitrates in Coastal New England**

R. S. Russo et al.

or of an additional source of the precursor ethyl peroxy radical from the decomposition of larger organic compounds, specifically alkoxy radicals (Bertman et al., 1995; Flocke et al., 1998). Interestingly, the mean and background initial ratio curves agreed with the TF observations very well in both the winter and summer over the entire range of measured ratios (Fig. 10a, b). Thus, the deviation from the zero initial ratio curve may reflect background EtONO<sub>2</sub>/ethane ratios in the atmosphere because of the sufficiently long lifetimes of EtONO<sub>2</sub> and ethane (~1 month and several months, respectively, in winter and ~1 week and 1–2 months, respectively, in summer). Bertman et al. (1995) and Reeves et al. (2007) also found better agreement between observed and predicted EtONO<sub>2</sub>/ethane ratios at short processing times when an initial ratio was used. It should be noted that these results do not rule out a potential contribution from direct emissions or additional precursor sources of EtONO<sub>2</sub>. Rather, these results provide an additional explanation for the discrepancy between observed and predicted ratios.

The 2-PrONO<sub>2</sub>/propane and 1-PrONO<sub>2</sub>/propane ratios were factors of 2–3 higher than the pure photochemistry curve at the shortest processing times in winter and trended toward the curve at longer times (Fig. 10c, e). In contrast, in summer, the propyl nitrate/propane ratios were factors of 0.5–0.9 above the pure photochemistry curve at all air mass ages (Fig. 10d, f). The small offsets above the curve at TF were much lower than observed by other studies conducted in North America (Bertman et al., 1995; Roberts et al., 1998; Stroud et al., 2001). The close agreement between the measured and predicted ratios and the similar behavior exhibited by both propyl nitrate/propane ratios indicates that 1-PrONO<sub>2</sub> and 2-PrONO<sub>2</sub> share a similar production mechanism following the oxidation of propane. This is in contrast to observations over the Atlantic Ocean (Reeves et al., 2007) and at Chebogue Point, Nova Scotia (Roberts et al., 1998) where the 2-PrONO<sub>2</sub>/propane and 1-PrONO<sub>2</sub>/propane ratios displayed different behavior which was attributed to the influence of different precursor compounds. Furthermore, the lifetimes of propane (weeks-months), 2-PrONO<sub>2</sub>, and 1-PrONO<sub>2</sub> (days-weeks) are sufficiently long to sustain background propyl nitrate/propane ratios which may contribute to the deviations between ambient and predicted ratios. Overall, a sig-

[Title Page](#)[Abstract](#)[Introduction](#)[Conclusions](#)[References](#)[Tables](#)[Figures](#)[◀](#)[▶](#)[◀](#)[▶](#)[Back](#)[Close](#)[Full Screen / Esc](#)[Printer-friendly Version](#)[Interactive Discussion](#)

nificant amount of the data in both seasons falls between the mean, background, and zero initial ratio curves further suggesting that precursor sources other than propane do not contribute to the propyl nitrate distribution observed at TF. Additionally, in Fig. 10a–f, the initial 2-BuONO<sub>2</sub>/*n*-butane ratio was equal to its background values (Table 5) in order to highlight how well the predicted C<sub>2</sub>–C<sub>3</sub> RONO<sub>2</sub>/RH ratio curves encompass the observations.

The pentyl nitrate/*n*-pentane ratios were slightly (factors of 0.3–0.4) below the pure photochemistry curves except at the shortest reaction times in winter (Fig. 10g–j). Previous studies have also found the pentyl nitrate/*n*-pentane ratios to lie slightly below the predicted curve, but the cause of this unexpected behavior has remained unknown (Roberts et al., 1998; Stroud et al., 2001; Simpson et al., 2003). One possible explanation suggested by Reeves et al. (2007) was the fragmentation of *n*-pentane to alkyl radicals other than the 2-pentyl and 3-pentyl radicals. At TF, the slopes of the pentyl nitrate/*n*-pentane ratios were consistent with the zero initial ratio curves, especially in summer, suggesting that photochemical production from *n*-pentane was the main source of both 2- and 3-PenONO<sub>2</sub>. In contrast to the C<sub>2</sub>–C<sub>3</sub> RONO<sub>2</sub>/RH ratios, the mean initial ratio curves overestimated the observations in both seasons (not shown). In this case, plotting the mean initial 2-BuONO<sub>2</sub>/*n*-butane ratio with the background pentyl nitrate/*n*-pentane curves resulted in better agreement with the observations (pink lines in Fig. 10g–j). This result is not unexpected when the different lifetimes of the C<sub>4</sub> and C<sub>5</sub> alkyl nitrates are considered. 2-butyl nitrate and *n*-butane have longer lifetimes and higher background mixing ratios than the pentyl nitrates and *n*-pentane, respectively, suggesting that the initial pentyl nitrate/*n*-pentane ratio would be lower than the 2-BuONO<sub>2</sub>/*n*-butane ratio near a source region. This is corroborated by the TF measurements in which the mean and background pentyl nitrate/*n*-pentane ratios were lower than the 2-BuONO<sub>2</sub>/*n*-butane ratios (with the exception of the winter background 2-PenONO<sub>2</sub>/*n*-pentane ratio) (Table 5).

**C<sub>1</sub>–C<sub>5</sub> alkyl nitrates in Coastal New England**

R. S. Russo et al.

[Title Page](#)[Abstract](#)[Introduction](#)[Conclusions](#)[References](#)[Tables](#)[Figures](#)[I◀](#)[▶I](#)[◀](#)[▶](#)[Back](#)[Close](#)[Full Screen / Esc](#)[Printer-friendly Version](#)[Interactive Discussion](#)



## 6 Summary

Measurements of C<sub>1</sub>-C<sub>5</sub> alkyl nitrates made at various locations throughout seacoast New Hampshire and spanning several years (2002–2008) were presented. The total alkyl nitrate mixing ratio was generally ~15–25 pptv and constituted only a small component (≤1%) of ambient NO<sub>y</sub> at TF. This suggests that alkyl nitrates are not likely to have a significant influence on the local O<sub>3</sub> or NO<sub>y</sub> budget. However, owing to the high mixing ratios of precursor compounds (NO<sub>x</sub> and NMHCs) emitted from the urban northeast US corridor, production of alkyl nitrates during trans-Atlantic transport is likely to occur and has been observed (e.g., Reeves et al., 2007). This may ultimately influence the NO<sub>x</sub> and O<sub>3</sub> distributions of downwind regions, such as Europe, making it necessary to accurately quantify the distributions of precursors and secondary species originating in the northeastern US. Furthermore, the observation of surface loss of MeONO<sub>2</sub> provides evidence that unaccounted for reactive nitrogen compounds contribute to NO<sub>y</sub> deposition.

*Acknowledgement.* We would like to thank M. L. White, J. L. Ambrose, and L. Conway for canister analysis; L. C. M. Ducharme for chromatogram integration; and D. Blake (UCI) for providing unpublished data. Financial support for our work was provided to AIRMAP through the Office of Oceanic and Atmospheric Research at the National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration.

## References

- Angevine, W. M., Senff, C. J., White, A. B., Williams, E. J., Koermer, J., Miller, S. T. K., Talbot, R., Johnston, P. E., McKeen, S. A., and Downs, T.: Coastal boundary layer influence on pollutant transport in New England, *J. Appl. Meteorol.*, 43, 1425–1437, 2004.
- Arey, J., Aschmann, S. M., Kwok, E. S. C., and Atkinson, R.: Alkyl nitrate, hydroxyalkyl nitrate, and hydroxycarbonyl formation from the NO<sub>x</sub>-air photooxidations of C<sub>5</sub>–C<sub>8</sub> *n*-alkanes, *J. Phys. Chem. A*, 105, 1020–1027, 2001.

Title Page

Abstract

Introduction

Conclusions

References

Tables

Figures

◀

▶

◀

▶

Back

Close

Full Screen / Esc

Printer-friendly Version

Interactive Discussion



**C<sub>1</sub>-C<sub>5</sub> alkyl nitrates in  
Coastal New England**

R. S. Russo et al.

Title Page

Abstract

Introduction

Conclusions

References

Tables

Figures

◀

▶

◀

▶

Back

Close

Full Screen / Esc

Printer-friendly Version

Interactive Discussion



Atkinson, R., Aschmann, S. M., Carter, W. P. L., Winer, A. M., and Pitts, J. N.: Alkyl nitrate formation from the NO<sub>x</sub>-air photooxidations of C<sub>2</sub>-C<sub>8</sub> *n*-alkanes, *J. Phys. Chem.*, 86, 4563–4569, 1982.

Atkinson, R., Aschmann, S. M., and Winer, A. M.: Alkyl nitrate formation from the reaction of a series of branched RO<sub>2</sub> radicals with NO as a function of temperature and pressure, *J. Atmos. Chem.*, 5, 91–102, 1987.

Atkinson, R.: Gas-phase tropospheric chemistry of organic compounds – A review, *Atmos. Environ. A Gen.*, 24, 1–41, 1990.

Atkinson, R.: Kinetics of the gas-phase reactions of OH radicals with alkanes and cycloalkanes, *Atmos. Chem. Phys.*, 3, 2233–2307, 2003, <http://www.atmos-chem-phys.net/3/2233/2003/>.

Atkinson, R., Baulch, D. L., Cox, R. A., Crowley, J. N., Hampson, R. F., Hynes, R. G., Jenkin, M. E., Rossi, M. J., Troe, J., and IUPAC Subcommittee: Evaluated kinetic and photochemical data for atmospheric chemistry: Volume II – gas phase reactions of organic species, *Atmos. Chem. Phys.*, 6, 3625–4055, 2006, <http://www.atmos-chem-phys.net/6/3625/2006/>.

Atlas, E., Pollock, W., Greenberg, J., Heidt, L., and Thompson, A. M.: Alkyl nitrates, non-methane hydrocarbons, and halocarbon gases over the equatorial Pacific ocean during SAGA-3, *J. Geophys. Res.*, 98, 16933–16947, 1993.

Becker, K. H. and Wirtz, K.: Gas-phase reactions of alkyl nitrates with hydroxyl radicals under tropospheric conditions in comparison with photolysis, *J. Atmos. Chem.*, 9, 419–433, 1989.

Bertman, S. B., Roberts, J. M., Parrish, D. D., Buhr, M. P., Goldan, P. D., Kuster, W. C., Fehsenfeld, F. C., Montzka, S. A., and Westberg, H.: Evolution of alkyl nitrates with air-mass age, *J. Geophys. Res.*, 100, 22805–22813, 1995.

Blake, N. J., Blake, D. R., Wingenter, O. W., Sive, B. C., Kang, C. H., Thornton, D. C., Bandy, A. R., Atlas, E., Flocke, F., Harris, J. M., and Rowland, F. S.: Aircraft measurements of the latitudinal, vertical, and seasonal variations of NMHCs, methyl nitrate, methyl halides, and DMS during the First Aerosol Characterization Experiment (ACE 1), *J. Geophys. Res.*, 104, 21803–21817, 1999.

Blake, N. J., Blake, D. R., Swanson, A. L., Atlas, E., Flocke, F., and Rowland, F. S.: Latitudinal, vertical, and seasonal variations of C<sub>1</sub>-C<sub>4</sub> alkyl nitrates in the troposphere over the Pacific Ocean during PEM-Tropics A and B: Oceanic and continental sources, *J. Geophys. Res.*, 108, 8242, doi:10.1029/2001jd001444, 2003a.

**C<sub>1</sub>-C<sub>5</sub> alkyl nitrates in Coastal New England**

R. S. Russo et al.

Title Page

Abstract

Introduction

Conclusions

References

Tables

Figures

◀

▶

◀

▶

Back

Close

Full Screen / Esc

Printer-friendly Version

Interactive Discussion



- Blake, N. J., Blake, D. R., Sive, B. C., Katzenstein, A. S., Meinardi, S., Wingenter, O. W., Atlas, E. L., Flocke, F., Ridley, B. A., and Rowland, F. S.: The seasonal evolution of NMHCs and light alkyl nitrates at middle to high northern latitudes during TOPSE, *J. Geophys. Res.*, 108, 8359, doi:10.1029/2001jd001467, 2003b.
- 5 Chuck, A. L., Turner, S. M., and Liss, P. S.: Direct evidence for a marine source of C<sub>1</sub> and C<sub>2</sub> alkyl nitrates, *Science*, 297, 1151–1154, 2002.
- Clemittshaw, K. C., Williams, J., Rattigan, O. V., Shallcross, D. E., Law, K. S., and Cox, R. A.: Gas-phase ultraviolet absorption cross-sections and atmospheric lifetimes of several C<sub>2</sub>–C<sub>5</sub> alkyl nitrates, *J. Photochem. Photobiol. A*, 102, 117–126, 1997.
- 10 Cornell, S. E., Jickells, T. D., Cape, J. N., Rowland, A. P., and Duce, R. A.: Organic nitrogen deposition on land and coastal environments: a review of methods and data, *Atmos. Environ.*, 37, 2173–2191, doi:10.1016/s1352-2310(03)00133-x, 2003.
- Dahl, E. E., Yvon-Lewis, S. A., and Saltzman, E. S.: Saturation anomalies of alkyl nitrates in the tropical Pacific Ocean, *Geophys. Res. Lett.*, 32, L20817, doi:10.1029/2005gl023896, 2005.
- 15 Dahl, E. E., Yvon-Lewis, S. A., and Saltzman, E. S.: Alkyl nitrate (C<sub>1</sub>-C<sub>3</sub>) depth profiles in the tropical Pacific Ocean, *J. Geophys. Res.*, 112, C01012, doi:10.1029/2006jc003471, 2007.
- Doskey, P. V., Kotamarthi, V. R., Fukui, Y., Cook, D. R., Breitbeil, F. W., and Wesely, M. L.: Air-surface exchange of peroxyacetyl nitrate at a grassland site, *J. Geophys. Res.*, 109, D10310, doi:10.1029/2004jd004533, 2004.
- 20 Draxler, R. R. and Rolph, G. D.: HYSPLIT (HYbrid Single-Particle Lagrangian Integrated Trajectory) Model, NOAA Air Resources Laboratory, Silver Spring, MD, online available at: <http://www.arl.noaa.gov/ready/hysplit4.html>, 2003.
- Finkelstein, P. L., Ellestad, T. G., Clarke, J. F., Meyers, T. P., Schwede, D. B., Hebert, E. O., and Neal, J. A.: Ozone and sulfur dioxide dry deposition to forests: Observations and model evaluation, *J. Geophys. Res.*, 105, 15365–15377, 2000.
- 25 Fischer, E. V., Talbot, R. W., Dibb, J. E., Moody, J. L., and Murray, G. L.: Summertime ozone at Mount Washington: Meteorological controls at the highest peak in the northeast, *J. Geophys. Res.*, 109, D24303, doi:10.1029/2004jd004841, 2004.
- Flocke, F., Volzthomas, A., and Kley, D.: Measurements of alkyl nitrates in rural and polluted air masses, *Atmos. Environ. A Gen.*, 25, 1951–1960, 1991.
- 30 Flocke, F., Volz-Thomas, A., Buers, H. J., Patz, W., Garthe, H. J., and Kley, D.: Long-term measurements of alkyl nitrates in southern Germany 1. General behavior and seasonal and diurnal variation, *J. Geophys. Res.*, 103, 5729–5746, 1998.

**C<sub>1</sub>-C<sub>5</sub> alkyl nitrates in Coastal New England**

R. S. Russo et al.

[Title Page](#)[Abstract](#)[Introduction](#)[Conclusions](#)[References](#)[Tables](#)[Figures](#)[I◀](#)[▶I](#)[◀](#)[▶](#)[Back](#)[Close](#)[Full Screen / Esc](#)[Printer-friendly Version](#)[Interactive Discussion](#)

Giacopelli, P., Ford, K., Espada, C., and Shepson, P. B.: Comparison of the measured and simulated isoprene nitrate distributions above a forest canopy, *J. Geophys. Res.*, 110, D01304, doi:10.1029/2004jd005123, 2005.

Gusten, H., Heinrich, G., and Sprung, D.: Nocturnal depletion of ozone in the Upper Rhine Valley, *Atmos. Environ.*, 32, 1195–1202, 1998.

Hastie, D. R., Shepson, P. B., Sharma, S., and Schiff, H. I.: The influence of the nocturnal boundary layer on secondary trace species in the atmosphere at Dorset, Ontario, *Atmos. Environ. A Gen.*, 27, 533–541, 1993.

Hauff, K., Fischer, R. G., and Ballschmiter, K.: Determination of C<sub>1</sub>-C<sub>5</sub> alkyl nitrates in rain, snow, white frost, lake, and tap water by a combined codistillation head-space gas chromatography technique. Determination of Henry's law constants by head-space GC, *Chemosphere*, 37, 2599–2615, 1998.

Horii, C. V., Munger, J. W., Wofsy, S. C., Zahniser, M., Nelson, D., and McManus, J. B.: Fluxes of nitrogen oxides over a temperate deciduous forest, *J. Geophys. Res.*, 109, D08305, doi:10.1029/2003jd004326, 2004.

Horii, C. V., Munger, J. W., Wofsy, S. C., Zahniser, M., Nelson, D., and McManus, J. B.: Atmospheric reactive nitrogen concentration and flux budgets at a Northeastern US forest site, *Agr. Forest. Meteorol.*, 136, 159–174, doi:10.1016/j.agrformet.2006.03.005, 2006.

Kames, J. and Schurath, U.: Alkyl nitrates and bifunctional nitrates of atmospheric interest—Henry Law constants and their temperature dependencies, *J. Atmos. Chem.*, 15, 79–95, 1992.

Kwok, E. S. C. and Atkinson, R.: Estimation of hydroxyl radical reaction rate constants for gas-phase organic compounds using a structure-reactivity relationship: An update, *Atmos. Environ.*, 29, 1685–1695, 1995.

Lefer, B. L., Talbot, R. W., and Munger, J. W.: Nitric acid and ammonia at a rural northeastern US site, *J. Geophys. Res.*, 104, 1645–1661, 1999.

Mao, H. T. and Talbot, R.: O<sub>3</sub> and CO in New England: Temporal variations and relationships, *J. Geophys. Res.*, 109, D21304, doi:10.1029/2004jd004913, 2004a.

Mao, H. T. and Talbot, R.: Role of meteorological processes in two New England ozone episodes during summer 2001, *J. Geophys. Res.*, 109, D20305, doi:10.1029/2004jd004850, 2004b.

Mao, H., Talbot, R. W., Sigler, J. M., Sive, B. C., and Hegarty, J. D.: Seasonal and diurnal variations of Hg<sup>0</sup> over New England, *Atmos. Chem. Phys.*, 8, 1403–1421, 2008,

**C<sub>1</sub>-C<sub>5</sub> alkyl nitrates in Coastal New England**

R. S. Russo et al.

[Title Page](#)[Abstract](#)[Introduction](#)[Conclusions](#)[References](#)[Tables](#)[Figures](#)[◀](#)[▶](#)[◀](#)[▶](#)[Back](#)[Close](#)[Full Screen / Esc](#)[Printer-friendly Version](#)[Interactive Discussion](#)

<http://www.atmos-chem-phys.net/8/1403/2008/>.

Miller, S. T. K., Keim, B. D., Talbot, R. W., and Mao, H.: Sea breeze: Structure, forecasting, and impacts, *Rev. Geophys.*, 41, 1011, doi:10.1029/2003rg000124, 2003.

Moody, J. L., Munger, J. W., Goldstein, A. H., Jacob, D. J., and Wofsy, S. C.: Harvard forest regional-scale air mass composition by Patterns in Atmospheric Transport History (PATH), *J. Geophys. Res.*, 103, 13181–13194, 1998.

Moore, R. M. and Blough, N. V.: A marine source of methyl nitrate, *Geophys. Res. Lett.*, 29, 1737, doi:10.1029/2002gl014989, 2002.

Munger, J. W., Wofsy, S. C., Bakwin, P. S., Fan, S. M., Goulden, M. L., Daube, B. C., Goldstein, A. H., Moore, K. E., and Fitzjarrald, D. R.: Atmospheric deposition of reactive nitrogen oxides and ozone in a temperate deciduous forest and a subarctic woodland. 1. Measurements and mechanisms, *J. Geophys. Res.*, 101, 12639–12657, 1996.

Munger, J. W., Fan, S. M., Bakwin, P. S., Goulden, M. L., Goldstein, A. H., Colman, A. S., and Wofsy, S. C.: Regional budgets for nitrogen oxides from continental sources: Variations of rates for oxidation and deposition with season and distance from source regions, *J. Geophys. Res.*, 103, 8355–8368, 1998.

Muthuramu, K., Shepson, P. B., Bottenheim, J. W., Jobson, B. T., Niki, H., and Anlauf, K. G.: Relationships between organic nitrates and surface ozone destruction during Polar Sunrise Experiment 1992, *J. Geophys. Res.*, 99, 25369–25378, 1994.

Neu, J. L., Lawler, M. J., Prather, M. J., and Saltzman, E. S.: Oceanic alkyl nitrates as a natural source of tropospheric ozone, *Geophys. Res. Lett.*, 35, L13814, doi:10.1029/2008gl034189, 2008.

Nielsen, T., Egelov, A. H., Granby, K., and Skov, H.: Observations on particulate organic nitrates and unidentified components of NO<sub>y</sub>, *Atmos. Environ.*, 29, 1757–1769, 1995.

Ostling, K., Kelly, B., Bird, S., Bertman, S., Pippin, M., Thornberry, T., and Carroll, M. A.: Fast-turnaround alkyl nitrate measurements during the PROPHET 1998 summer intensive, *J. Geophys. Res.*, 106, 24439–24449, 2001.

Ranschaert, D. L., Schneider, N. J., and Elrod, M. J.: Kinetics of the C<sub>2</sub>H<sub>5</sub>O<sub>2</sub>+NO<sub>x</sub> reactions: Temperature dependence of the overall rate constant and the C<sub>2</sub>H<sub>5</sub>ONO<sub>2</sub> branching channel of C<sub>2</sub>H<sub>5</sub>O<sub>2</sub>+NO, *J. Phys. Chem. A*, 104, 5758–5765, 2000.

Reeves, C. E., Slemr, J., Oram, D. E., Worton, D., Penkett, S. A., Stewart, D. J., Purvis, R., Watson, N., Hopkins, J., Lewis, A., Methven, J., Blake, D. R., and Atlas, E.: Alkyl nitrates in outflow from North America over the North Atlantic during Intercontinental Transport of

Ozone and Precursors 2004, *J. Geophys. Res.*, 112, D10s37, doi:10.1029/2006jd007567, 2007.

Ridley, B. A., Shetter, J. D., Walega, J. G., Madronich, S., Elsworth, C. M., Grahek, F. E., Fehsenfeld, F. C., Norton, R. B., Parrish, D. D., Hubler, G., Buhr, M., Williams, E. J., Allwine, E. J., and Westberg, H. H.: The behavior of some organic nitrates at Boulder and Niwot Ridge, Colorado, *J. Geophys. Res.*, 95, 13949–13961, 1990.

Roberts, J. M.: The atmospheric chemistry of organic nitrates, *Atmos. Environ. A Gen.*, 24, 243–287, 1990.

Roberts, J. M., Bertman, S. B., Parrish, D. D., Fehsenfeld, F. C., Jobson, B. T., and Niki, H.: Measurement of alkyl nitrates at Chebogue Point, Nova Scotia during the 1993 North Atlantic Regional Experiment (NARE) intensive, *J. Geophys. Res.*, 103, 13569–13580, 1998.

Russo, R. S., Zhou, Y., White, M. L., Talbot, R., and Sive, B. C.: Multi-year (2004–2008) record of nonmethane hydrocarbons and halocarbons in New Hampshire: Seasonal variations and regional sources, to be submitted to *Atmos. Chem. Phys. Discuss.*, 2009.

Sander, R.: Compilation of Henry's law constants for inorganic and organic species of potential importance in environmental chemistry, version 3, online available at: <http://www.mpch-mainz.mpg.de/~sander/res/henry.html>, 1999.

Schrimpf, W., Lienaerts, K., Muller, K. P., Rudolph, J., Neubert, R., Schussler, W., and Levin, I.: Dry deposition of peroxyacetyl nitrate (PAN): Determination of its deposition velocity at night from measurements of the atmospheric PAN and <sup>222</sup>Rn concentration gradient, *Geophys. Res. Lett.*, 23, 3599–3602, 1996.

Shepson, P. B., Bottenheim, J. W., Hastie, D. R., and Venkatram, A.: Determination of the relative ozone and PAN deposition velocities at night, *Geophys. Res. Lett.*, 19, 1121–1124, 1992.

Shepson, P. B., Anlauf, K. G., Bottenheim, J. W., Wiebe, H. A., Gao, N., Muthuramu, K., and Mackay, G. I.: Alkyl nitrates and their contribution to reactive nitrogen at a rural site in Ontario, *Atmos. Environ. A Gen.*, 27, 749–757, 1993.

Shepson, P. B., Mackay, E., and Muthuramu, K.: Henry's law constants and removal processes for several atmospheric beta-hydroxy alkyl nitrates, *Environ. Sci. Technol.*, 30, 3618–3623, 1996.

Shipham, M. C., Crill, P. M., Bartlett, K. B., Goldstein, A. H., Czepiel, P. M., Harriss, R. C., and Blaha, D.: Methane measurements in central New England: An assessment of regional transport from surrounding sources, *J. Geophys. Res.*, 103, 21985–22000, 1998.

**C<sub>1</sub>-C<sub>5</sub> alkyl nitrates in Coastal New England**

R. S. Russo et al.

Title Page

Abstract

Introduction

Conclusions

References

Tables

Figures

◀

▶

◀

▶

Back

Close

Full Screen / Esc

Printer-friendly Version

Interactive Discussion



**C<sub>1</sub>-C<sub>5</sub> alkyl nitrates in Coastal New England**

R. S. Russo et al.

Simpson, I. J., Meinardi, S., Blake, D. R., Blake, N. J., Rowland, F. S., Atlas, E., and Flocke, F.: A biomass burning source of C<sub>1</sub>-C<sub>4</sub> alkyl nitrates, *Geophys. Res. Lett.*, 29, 2168, doi:10.1029/2002gl016290, 2002.

Simpson, I. J., Blake, N. J., Blake, D. R., Atlas, E., Flocke, F., Crawford, J. H., Fuelberg, H. E., Kiley, C. M., Meinardi, S., and Rowland, F. S.: Photochemical production and evolution of selected C<sub>2</sub>-C<sub>5</sub> alkyl nitrates in tropospheric air influenced by Asian outflow, *J. Geophys. Res.*, 108, 8808, doi:10.1029/2002jd002830, 2003.

Simpson, I. J., Wang, T., Guo, H., Kwok, Y. H., Flocke, F., Atlas, E., Meinardi, S., Rowland, F. S., and Blake, D. R.: Long-term atmospheric measurements of C<sub>1</sub>-C<sub>5</sub> alkyl nitrates in the pearl river delta region of southeast China, *Atmos. Environ.*, 40, 1619–1632, doi:10.1016/j.atmosenv.2005.10.062, 2006.

Sive, B. C., Zhou, Y., Troop, D., Wang, Y. L., Little, W. C., Wingenter, O. W., Russo, R. S., Varner, R. K., and Talbot, R.: Development of a cryogen-free concentration system for measurements of volatile organic compounds, *Anal. Chem.*, 77, 6989–6998, doi:10.1021/ac0506231, 2005.

Sive, B. C., Varner, R. K., Mao, H., Blake, D. R., Wingenter, O. W., and Talbot, R.: A large terrestrial source of methyl iodide, *Geophys. Res. Lett.*, 34, L17808, doi:10.1029/2007gl030528, 2007.

Sommariva, R., Trainer, M., de Gouw, J. A., Roberts, J. M., Warneke, C., Atlas, E., Flocke, F., Goldan, P. D., Kuster, W. C., Swanson, A. L., and Fehsenfeld, F. C.: A study of organic nitrates formation in an urban plume using a Master Chemical Mechanism, *Atmos. Environ.*, 42, 5771–5786, doi:10.1016/j.atmosenv.2007.12.031, 2008.

Sparks, J. P., Roberts, J. M., and Monson, R. K.: The uptake of gaseous organic nitrogen by leaves: A significant global nitrogen transfer process, *Geophys. Res. Lett.*, 30, 2189, doi:10.1029/2003gl018578, 2003.

Sparks, J. P., Walker, J., Turnipseed, A., and Guenther, A.: Dry nitrogen deposition estimates over a forest experiencing free air CO<sub>2</sub> enrichment, *Global Change Biol.*, 14, 768–781, doi:10.1111/j.1365-2486.2007.01526x, 2008.

Spivakovsky, C. M., Logan, J. A., Montzka, S. A., Balkanski, Y. J., Foreman-Fowler, M., Jones, D. B. A., Horowitz, L. W., Fusco, A. C., Brenninkmeijer, C. A. M., Prather, M. J., Wofsy, S. C., and McElroy, M. B.: Three-dimensional climatological distribution of tropospheric OH: Update and evaluation, *J. Geophys. Res.*, 105, 8931–8980, 2000.

Stroud, C. A., Roberts, J. M., Williams, J., Goldan, P. D., Kuster, W. C., Ryerson, T. B.,

[Title Page](#)[Abstract](#)[Introduction](#)[Conclusions](#)[References](#)[Tables](#)[Figures](#)[◀](#)[▶](#)[◀](#)[▶](#)[Back](#)[Close](#)[Full Screen / Esc](#)[Printer-friendly Version](#)[Interactive Discussion](#)

**C<sub>1</sub>-C<sub>5</sub> alkyl nitrates in Coastal New England**

R. S. Russo et al.

Title Page

Abstract

Introduction

Conclusions

References

Tables

Figures

◀

▶

◀

▶

Back

Close

Full Screen / Esc

Printer-friendly Version

Interactive Discussion



Sueper, D., Parrish, D. D., Trainer, M., Fehsenfeld, F. C., Flocke, F., Schauffler, S. M., Stroud, V. R. F., and Atlas, E.: Alkyl nitrate measurements during STERAO 1996 and NARE 1997: Intercomparison and survey of results, *J. Geophys. Res.*, 106, 23043–23053, 2001.

Swanson, A. L., Blake, N. J., Atlas, E., Flocke, F., Blake, D. R., and Rowland, F. S.: Seasonal variations of C<sub>2</sub>-C<sub>4</sub> nonmethane hydrocarbons and C<sub>1</sub>-C<sub>4</sub> alkyl nitrates at the Summit research station in Greenland, *J. Geophys. Res.*, 108, 4065, doi:10.1029/2001jd001445, 2003.

Talbot, R. W., Dibb, J. E., Scheuer, E. M., Bradshaw, J. D., Sandholm, S. T., Singh, H. B., Blake, D. R., Blake, N. J., Atlas, E., and Flocke, F.: Tropospheric reactive odd nitrogen over the South Pacific in austral springtime, *J. Geophys. Res.*, 105, 6681–6694, 2000.

Talbot, R., Mao, H. T., and Sive, B.: Diurnal characteristics of surface level O<sub>3</sub> and other important trace gases in New England, *J. Geophys. Res.*, 110, D09307, doi:10.1029/2004jd005449, 2005.

Talukdar, R. K., Burkholder, J. B., Hunter, M., Gilles, M. K., Roberts, J. M., and Ravishankara, A. R.: Atmospheric fate of several alkyl nitrates 2. UV absorption cross-sections and photodissociation quantum yields, *J. Chem. Soc. Faraday T.*, 93, 2797–2805, 1997.

Thornberry, T., Carroll, M. A., Keeler, G. J., Sillman, S., Bertman, S. B., Pippin, M. R., Ostling, K., Grossenbacher, J. W., Shepson, P. B., Cooper, O. R., Moody, J. L., and Stockwell, W. R.: Observations of reactive oxidized nitrogen and speciation of NO<sub>y</sub> during the PROPHET summer 1998 intensive, *J. Geophys. Res.*, 106, 24359–24386, 2001.

Turnipseed, A. A., Huey, L. G., Nemitz, E., Stickel, R., Higgs, J., Tanner, D. J., Slusher, D. L., Sparks, J. P., Flocke, F., and Guenther, A.: Eddy covariance fluxes of peroxyacetyl nitrates (PANs) and NO<sub>y</sub> to a coniferous forest, *J. Geophys. Res.*, 111, D09304, doi:10.1029/2005jd006631, 2006.

Varner, R. K., Zhou, Y., Russo, R. S., Wingenter, O. W., Atlas, E., Stroud, C., Mao, H., Talbot, R., and Sive, B. C.: Controls on atmospheric chloroiodomethane (CH<sub>2</sub>ClI) in marine environments, *J. Geophys. Res.*, 113, D10303, doi:10.1029/2007jd008889, 2008.

White, M. L., Russo, R. S., Zhou, Y., Mao, H., Varner, R. K., Ambrose, J., Veres, P., Wingenter, O. W., Haase, K., Stutz, J., Talbot, R., and Sive, B. C.: Volatile organic compounds in northern New England marine and continental environments during the ICARTT 2004 campaign, *J. Geophys. Res.*, 113, D08S90, doi:10.1029/2007jd009161, 2008.

White, M. L., Russo, R. S., Zhou, Y., Ambrose, J. L., Haase, K., Frinak, E. K., Varner, R. K., Wingenter, O. W., Mao, H., Talbot, R., and Sive, B. C.: Are biogenic emissions a significant



source of summertime atmospheric toluene in the rural Northeastern United States?, Atmos. Chem. Phys., 9, 81–92, 2009,

<http://www.atmos-chem-phys.net/9/81/2009/>.

5 Wolfe, G. M., Thornton, J. A., Yatavelli, R. L. N., McKay, M., Goldstein, A. H., LaFranchi, B., Min, K.-E., and Cohen, R. C.: Eddy covariance fluxes of acyl peroxy nitrates (PAN, PPN and MPAN) above a Ponderosa pine forest, Atmos. Chem. Phys., 9, 615–634, 2009, <http://www.atmos-chem-phys.net/9/615/2009/>.

10 Zhang, L., Vet, R., O'Brien, J. M., Mihele, C., Liang, Z., and Wiebe, A.: Dry deposition of individual nitrogen species at eight Canadian rural sites, J. Geophys. Res., 114, D02301, doi:10.1029/2008jd010640, 2009.

Zhou, Y., Varner, R. K., Russo, R. S., Wingenter, O. W., Haase, K. B., Talbot, R., and Sive, B. C.: Coastal water source of short-lived halocarbons in New England, J. Geophys. Res., 110, D21302, doi:10.1029/2004jd005603, 2005.

15 Zhou, Y., Mao, H. T., Russo, R. S., Blake, D. R., Wingenter, O. W., Haase, K. B., Ambrose, J., Varner, R. K., Talbot, R., and Sive, B. C.: Bromoform and dibromomethane measurements in the seacoast region of New Hampshire, 2002–2004, J. Geophys. Res., 113, D08305, doi:10.1029/2007jd009103, 2008.

ACPD

9, 23371–23418, 2009

---

## C<sub>1</sub>-C<sub>5</sub> alkyl nitrates in Coastal New England

R. S. Russo et al.

---

Title Page

Abstract

Introduction

Conclusions

References

Tables

Figures

◀

▶

◀

▶

Back

Close

Full Screen / Esc

Printer-friendly Version

Interactive Discussion



C<sub>1</sub>-C<sub>5</sub> alkyl nitrates in Coastal New England

R. S. Russo et al.

**Table 1.** Seasonal mean, standard deviation (SD), median, and number of samples (N) of alkyl nitrates at Thompson Farm during Winter (11 January–1 March) and Summer (1 June–31 August) 2002 (mixing ratios in pptv). %  $\Sigma\text{RONO}_2$  is the median contribution of each alkyl nitrate to the total alkyl nitrate mixing ratio in both seasons. %  $\text{NO}_y$  is the median contribution of  $\Sigma\text{RONO}_2$  to  $\text{NO}_y$  in both seasons (i.e., seasonal median value of  $\Sigma\text{RONO}_2/\text{NO}_y$ ).

	Winter	Summer
<b>MeONO<sub>2</sub></b>		
Mean (SD)	3.4 (1.3)	3.1 (1.3)
Median (N)	3.0 (735)	2.7 (775)
% $\Sigma\text{RONO}_2$	12	17
<b>EtONO<sub>2</sub></b>		
Mean (SD)	4.2 (0.8)	3.4 (1.6)
Median (N)	4.0 (720)	3.0 (773)
% $\Sigma\text{RONO}_2$	16	19
<b>2-PrONO<sub>2</sub></b>		
Mean (SD)	7.4 (1.7)	6.5 (4.7)
Median (N)	6.9 (731)	4.9 (771)
% $\Sigma\text{RONO}_2$	27	30
<b>1-PrONO<sub>2</sub></b>		
Mean (SD)	1.4 (0.3)	1.2 (0.8)
Median (N)	1.3 (716)	1.1 (783)
% $\Sigma\text{RONO}_2$	5	6
<b>2-BuONO<sub>2</sub></b>		
Mean (SD)	6.5 (1.8)	3.6 (3.1)
Median (N)	6.1 (739)	2.6 (768)
% $\Sigma\text{RONO}_2$	24	16
<b>3-PenONO<sub>2</sub></b>		
Mean (SD)	1.8 (0.5)	1.4 (1.4)
Median (N)	1.7 (738)	0.9 (697)
% $\Sigma\text{RONO}_2$	7	5
<b>2-PenONO<sub>2</sub></b>		
Mean (SD)	2.4 (0.8)	2.0 (2.1)
Median (N)	2.2 (735)	1.2 (671)
% $\Sigma\text{RONO}_2$	9	7
<b><math>\Sigma\text{RONO}_2</math></b>		
Mean (SD)	26.3 (6.9)	20.2 (13.6)
Median (N)	24.9 (748)	15.9 (797)
% $\text{NO}_y$	0.20	0.60

Title Page

Abstract

Introduction

Conclusions

References

Tables

Figures

I◀

▶I

◀

▶

Back

Close

Full Screen / Esc

Printer-friendly Version

Interactive Discussion



**Table 2.** Monthly mean, standard deviation (SD), median, and number of samples (N) from the daily canister samples collected at Thompson Farm throughout 12 January 2004–8 February 2008 (mixing ratios in pptv). %  $\Sigma\text{RONO}_2$  is the median contribution of each alkyl nitrate to the total alkyl nitrate mixing ratio each month. %  $\text{NO}_y$  is the median contribution of  $\Sigma\text{RONO}_2$  to  $\text{NO}_y$  in each month.

	Jan.	Feb.	March	April	May	June	July	Aug.	Sept.	Oct.	Nov.	Dec.
<b>MeONO<sub>2</sub></b>												
Mean (SD)	3.0 (0.5)	3.4 (0.4)	3.4 (0.5)	3.2 (0.4)	3.2 (0.4)	3.1 (0.9)	3.0 (0.9)	3.0 (0.9)	3.0 (0.9)	3.0 (0.6)	3.2 (0.5)	3.1 (0.4)
Median (N)	3.3(135)	3.4 (109)	3.4 (107)	3.2 (95)	3.2 (103)	3.0 (99)	2.9 (98)	2.9 (107)	2.9 (106)	2.9 (91)	3.1 (101)	3.1 (100)
% $\Sigma\text{RONO}_2$	14	13	13	16	20	21	19	20	19	19	15	14
<b>EtONO<sub>2</sub></b>												
Mean (SD)	2.7 (0.6)	2.8 (0.6)	3.2 (0.9)	2.9 (0.7)	2.7 (0.8)	2.9 (1.5)	2.6 (1.2)	2.2 (1.0)	2.3 (1.1)	2.5 (0.8)	2.8 (1.2)	2.6 (0.6)
Median (N)	2.7 (136)	2.7 (108)	3.0 (107)	2.8 (95)	2.6 (103)	2.5 (99)	2.4 (92)	1.9 (105)	2.0 (111)	2.2 (92)	2.5 (102)	2.4 (102)
% $\Sigma\text{RONO}_2$	11	11	12	14	16	17	15	14	14	14	12	11
<b>2-PrONO<sub>2</sub></b>												
Mean (SD)	6.2 (2.0)	6.8 (1.8)	7.7 (2.8)	6.2 (2.1)	4.9 (2.3)	5.0 (3.7)	5.4 (3.4)	4.6 (2.8)	4.9 (3.2)	5.1 (2.8)	5.9 (2.0)	6.3 (2.8)
Median (N)	5.8 (137)	6.4 (108)	6.8 (108)	5.6 (95)	4.1 (105)	3.6 (101)	4.6 (98)	3.7 (107)	4.0 (111)	4.0 (92)	5.4 (102)	5.4 (102)
% $\Sigma\text{RONO}_2$	24	25	27	28	27	25	29	27	27	26	26	25
<b>1-PrONO<sub>2</sub></b>												
Mean (SD)	0.8 (0.3)	0.9 (0.3)	0.9 (0.3)	0.8 (0.3)	0.7 (0.5)	0.7 (0.5)	0.7 (0.4)	0.6 (0.3)	0.6 (0.4)	0.7 (0.4)	0.7 (0.3)	0.8 (0.4)
Median (N)	0.8 (137)	0.8 (108)	0.8 (108)	0.7 (94)	0.6 (105)	0.6 (101)	0.6 (98)	0.5 (107)	0.5 (109)	0.5 (92)	0.6 (102)	0.7 (102)
% $\Sigma\text{RONO}_2$	3	3	3	4	4	4	4	4	4	3	3	3
<b>2-BuONO<sub>2</sub></b>												
Mean (SD)	7.2 (2.7)	7.5 (2.6)	7.7 (3.0)	5.2 (2.0)	3.2 (1.8)	3.2 (2.7)	3.1 (2.2)	2.9 (2.1)	3.6 (2.8)	4.5 (3.1)	6.1 (2.6)	7.0 (4.1)
Median (N)	6.8 (135)	6.8 (108)	6.9 (106)	4.7 (95)	2.8 (105)	2.1 (101)	2.7 (98)	2.3 (107)	2.7 (111)	3.2 (92)	5.1 (102)	5.7 (102)
% $\Sigma\text{RONO}_2$	28	26	27	23	18	15	17	17	18	21	25	26
<b>3-PenONO<sub>2</sub></b>												
Mean (SD)	2.4 (1.1)	2.8 (1.2)	2.7 (1.6)	1.7 (0.9)	1.4 (1.0)	1.7 (1.5)	1.5 (1.0)	1.5 (1.0)	1.6 (1.2)	1.7 (1.0)	2.1 (0.9)	2.2 (1.2)
Median (N)	2.3 (132)	2.8 (105)	2.2 (105)	1.5 (95)	1.1 (96)	1.2 (83)	1.2 (96)	1.2 (103)	1.4 (105)	1.4 (89)	1.9 (101)	1.9 (98)
% $\Sigma\text{RONO}_2$	9	11	9	8	7	8	8	8	9	9	9	9
<b>2-PenONO<sub>2</sub></b>												
Mean (SD)	2.8 (1.3)	3.0 (1.3)	3.0 (1.7)	1.9 (1.0)	1.5 (1.1)	2.1 (1.8)	1.6 (1.1)	1.7 (1.2)	1.9 (1.4)	1.8 (1.2)	2.5 (1.2)	2.6 (1.5)
Median (N)	2.6 (132)	3.0 (106)	2.6 (104)	1.7 (95)	1.3 (96)	1.4 (83)	1.3 (95)	1.5 (103)	1.5 (106)	1.4 (89)	2.2 (101)	2.3 (98)
% $\Sigma\text{RONO}_2$	11	12	10	8	8	10	8	11	10	9	10	11
<b><math>\Sigma\text{RONO}_2</math></b>												
Mean (SD)	25.1 (7.6)	26.8 (7.3)	28.2 (10.0)	22.0 (6.5)	17.3 (7.2)	18.0 (11.7)	17.6 (9.4)	16.2 (8.7)	17.6 (10.5)	19.1 (8.9)	23.2 (7.8)	24.1 (9.4)
Median (N)	23.3 (134)	25.1 (109)	24.2 (108)	20.5 (95)	15.2 (105)	13.6 (101)	16.2 (98)	14.3 (107)	15.3 (111)	15.5 (92)	20.7 (102)	21.5 (101)
% $\text{NO}_y$	0.6	0.8	1.0	0.9	0.7	0.6	0.6	0.6	0.7	0.6	0.5	0.5

Title Page

Abstract

Introduction

Conclusions

References

Tables

Figures

◀

▶

◀

▶

Back

Close

Full Screen / Esc

Printer-friendly Version

Interactive Discussion



C<sub>1</sub>-C<sub>5</sub> alkyl nitrates in Coastal New England

R. S. Russo et al.

**Table 3.** Mean dry deposition flux  $\pm$ standard error (SE) ( $\text{nmolm}^{-2}\text{hr}^{-1}$ ) and velocity  $\pm$ standard error ( $V_d$ ) ( $\text{cms}^{-1}$ ) of  $\text{MeONO}_2$ . The range of dry deposition rates and velocities calculated for each night during summers 2002 and 2004 when a stable nocturnal boundary layer developed using the criteria that  $\text{O}_3 \leq 5\text{ppbv}$  and wind speed  $< 0.5\text{m/s}$  are shown in parentheses. Fluxes were calculated from the linear regression between the change in  $\text{MeONO}_2$  mixing ratios versus time (00:00 to 06:00, EDT=UTC-04:00).  $\tau_d (=H/V_d)$  is the  $\text{MeONO}_2$  lifetime (days) resulting from dry deposition. N is the number of nights included in the calculations for each summer.

	Flux $\pm$ SE (Range)	$V_d \pm$ SE (Range)	$\tau_d$	N
Summer 2002	$-0.51 \pm 0.19$ (-0.15 to -1.04)	$0.13 \pm 0.04$ (0.04–0.20)	0.7–3.3	4
Summer 2004	$-0.47 \pm 0.08$ (-0.17 to -1.2)	$0.13 \pm 0.02$ (0.05–0.30)	0.5–2.6	16

[Title Page](#)[Abstract](#)[Introduction](#)[Conclusions](#)[References](#)[Tables](#)[Figures](#)[I◀](#)[▶I](#)[◀](#)[▶](#)[Back](#)[Close](#)[Full Screen / Esc](#)[Printer-friendly Version](#)[Interactive Discussion](#)

**C<sub>1</sub>–C<sub>5</sub> alkyl nitrates in Coastal New England**

R. S. Russo et al.

**Table 4.** Mean ( $\pm$ standard error) mixing ratios of alkyl nitrates (pptv) in the northeast (NE, 0–90°), southeast (SE, 90–180°), southwest (SW, 180–270°), and northwest (NW, 270–360°) transport sectors during Winter and Summer 2002.

	Winter				Summer			
	NE	SE	SW	NW	NE	SE	SW	NW
MeONO <sub>2</sub>	3.6±0.2	4.2±0.2	3.2±0.1	2.9±0.1	2.4±0.1	3.2±0.1	3.4±0.1	2.7±0.1
EtONO <sub>2</sub>	4.2±0.1	4.7±0.1	4.0±0.04	4.0±0.1	2.6±0.1	3.5±0.1	3.6±0.1	2.9±0.1
2-PrONO <sub>2</sub>	7.3±0.2	7.9±0.1	7.1±0.1	7.4±0.1	4.2±0.3	6.9±0.4	7.3±0.3	5.1±0.3
1-PrONO <sub>2</sub>	1.5±0.05	1.5±0.03	1.3±0.02	1.4±0.02	1.0±0.1	1.3±0.1	1.4±0.1	1.0±0.1
2-BuONO <sub>2</sub>	6.4±0.3	7.0±0.1	6.3±0.1	6.5±0.1	2.1±0.2	3.9±0.2	4.2±0.2	2.8±0.2
3-PenONO <sub>2</sub>	1.8±0.1	1.9±0.04	1.7±0.03	2.3±0.05	0.7±0.1	1.5±0.1	1.6±0.1	1.0±0.1
2-PenONO <sub>2</sub>	2.4±0.1	2.6±0.1	2.3±0.1	2.3±0.1	0.9±0.1	2.2±0.2	2.5±0.1	1.4±0.1
ΣRONO <sub>2</sub>	26.2±0.0	29.0±0.6	25.5±0.4	25.8±0.5	13.3±0.7	21.6±0.0	22.6±0.8	16.1±0.9

Title Page

Abstract

Introduction

Conclusions

References

Tables

Figures

I◀

▶I

◀

▶

Back

Close

Full Screen / Esc

Printer-friendly Version

Interactive Discussion



## C<sub>1</sub>-C<sub>5</sub> alkyl nitrates in Coastal New England

R. S. Russo et al.

**Table 5.** Values used in Eq. (2) to produce the predicted photochemical evolution curves in Fig. 10.  $k_1$  ( $\times 10^{-12}$ ) and  $k_6$  ( $\times 10^{-13}$ ) are the rate constants ( $\text{cm}^3 \text{molecule}^{-1} \text{s}^{-1}$ ) for the reactions between the parent hydrocarbon (R1) and alkyl nitrate (R6) with OH, respectively.  $\alpha_1$  and  $\alpha_4$  are the branching ratios of Reactions (R1) and (R4) producing the alkyl peroxy radical and alkyl nitrate, respectively.  $J_5$  ( $\times 10^{-6} \text{s}^{-1}$ ) is the alkyl nitrate photolysis rate constant.  $[\text{RONO}_2]_0/[\text{RH}]_0$  is the initial alkyl nitrate/parent hydrocarbon ratio used in (2) and is equal to the seasonal mean (seasonal background) ambient ratios at TF. In Fig. 10a–f,  $[\text{2-BuONO}_2]_0/[\textit{n-butane}]_0$ =seasonal background ratio. In Fig. 10g–j,  $[\text{2-BuONO}_2]_0/[\textit{n-butane}]_0$ =seasonal mean ratio.

	$k_1^a$	$\alpha_1^b$	$\alpha_4$	$k_6^{f,g}$	$J_5$		$[\text{RONO}_2]_0/[\text{RH}]_0$	
					Winter	Summer	Winter	Summer
EtONO <sub>2</sub>	0.24	1	0.006 <sup>c</sup>	1.8	0.33 <sup>h</sup>	1.5 <sup>i</sup>	0.002 (0.001)	0.003 (0.002)
2-PrONO <sub>2</sub>	1.1	0.7	0.039 <sup>d</sup>	2.9	0.43 <sup>h</sup>	1.6 <sup>i</sup>	0.004 (0.002)	0.012 (0.002)
1-PrONO <sub>2</sub>	1.1	0.3	0.02 <sup>e</sup>	5.8	0.58 <sup>h</sup>	2.2 <sup>i</sup>	0.0004 (0.0002)	0.003 (0.0004)
2-BuONO <sub>2</sub>	2.3	0.86	0.084 <sup>d</sup>	8.6	0.48 <sup>i</sup>	1.1 <sup>k</sup>	0.013 (0.005)	0.039 (0.01)
3-PenONO <sub>2</sub>	3.8	0.35	0.126 <sup>d</sup>	11	0.47 <sup>j</sup>	1.2 <sup>k</sup>	0.008 (0.004)	0.016 (0.003)
2-PenONO <sub>2</sub>	3.8	0.55	0.106 <sup>d</sup>	19	0.45 <sup>j</sup>	1.2 <sup>k</sup>	0.011 (0.006)	0.023 (0.003)


$[\text{OH}] = 6 \times 10^5$  (winter) and  $2 \times 10^6$  (summer)  $\text{molecules cm}^{-3}$  (Spivakovsky et al., 2000).

<sup>a</sup> Atkinson et al. (2006); <sup>b</sup> Kwok and Atkinson (1995); <sup>c</sup> Ranschaert et al. (2000); <sup>d</sup> Arey et al. (2001); <sup>e</sup> Atkinson et al. (1987); <sup>f</sup> Atkinson (2003); <sup>g</sup> Atkinson (1990); <sup>h</sup> Clemitshaw et al. (1997); <sup>i</sup> Simpson et al. (2003); <sup>j</sup> Roberts (1990); <sup>k</sup> Bertman et al. (1995).

[Title Page](#)
[Abstract](#)
[Introduction](#)
[Conclusions](#)
[References](#)
[Tables](#)
[Figures](#)
[◀](#)
[▶](#)
[◀](#)
[▶](#)
[Back](#)
[Close](#)
[Full Screen / Esc](#)
[Printer-friendly Version](#)
[Interactive Discussion](#)


**C<sub>1</sub>-C<sub>5</sub> alkyl nitrates in  
Coastal New England**

R. S. Russo et al.




**Fig. 1.** Map detailing the location of Thompson Farm in Durham, New Hampshire, and (inset) the sampling sites used during the Great Bay Experiment.

[Title Page](#)[Abstract](#)[Introduction](#)[Conclusions](#)[References](#)[Tables](#)[Figures](#)[◀](#)[▶](#)[◀](#)[▶](#)[Back](#)[Close](#)[Full Screen / Esc](#)[Printer-friendly Version](#)[Interactive Discussion](#)

**C<sub>1</sub>-C<sub>5</sub> alkyl nitrates in Coastal New England**

R. S. Russo et al.




**Fig. 2.** Alkyl nitrate and NMHC measurement intercomparison between the University of New Hampshire, University of California-Irvine, University of Miami, and University of York, UK (NMHCs only) laboratories. Each bar is the average mixing ratio (pptv) of four canister samples analyzed by each laboratory.

[Title Page](#)[Abstract](#)[Introduction](#)[Conclusions](#)[References](#)[Tables](#)[Figures](#)[◀](#)[▶](#)[◀](#)[▶](#)[Back](#)[Close](#)[Full Screen / Esc](#)[Printer-friendly Version](#)[Interactive Discussion](#)



**C<sub>1</sub>–C<sub>5</sub> alkyl nitrates in Coastal New England**

R. S. Russo et al.




**Fig. 3.** Time series of (a) MeONO<sub>2</sub>, EtONO<sub>2</sub>, 1-PrONO<sub>2</sub>, (b) 2-PrONO<sub>2</sub>, 2-BuONO<sub>2</sub>, and (c) 2-PenONO<sub>2</sub>, 3-PenONO<sub>2</sub> (pptv) during winter (11 January–1 March) and summer (1 June–31 August) 2002. Note: there is a break in the x-axis during March–May 2002.

[Title Page](#)[Abstract](#)[Introduction](#)[Conclusions](#)[References](#)[Tables](#)[Figures](#)[◀](#)[▶](#)[◀](#)[▶](#)[Back](#)[Close](#)[Full Screen / Esc](#)[Printer-friendly Version](#)[Interactive Discussion](#)

**C<sub>1</sub>–C<sub>5</sub> alkyl nitrates in Coastal New England**

R. S. Russo et al.




**Fig. 4.** Time series of (a) MeONO<sub>2</sub>, EtONO<sub>2</sub>, 1-PrONO<sub>2</sub>, (b) 2-PrONO<sub>2</sub>, 2-BuONO<sub>2</sub>, and (c) 2-PenONO<sub>2</sub>, 3-PenONO<sub>2</sub> (pptv) from daily canister samples collected at Thompson Farm during 12 January 2004 to 8 February 2008.

[Title Page](#)[Abstract](#)[Introduction](#)[Conclusions](#)[References](#)[Tables](#)[Figures](#)[◀](#)[▶](#)[◀](#)[▶](#)[Back](#)[Close](#)[Full Screen / Esc](#)[Printer-friendly Version](#)[Interactive Discussion](#)

C<sub>1</sub>-C<sub>5</sub> alkyl nitrates in Coastal New England

R. S. Russo et al.




**Fig. 5.** Hourly average (LT) O<sub>3</sub> (ppbv), wind speed (m/s),  $J_{\text{NO}_2}$  (yellow shaded curve), and MeONO<sub>2</sub> ( $\pm$ standard error) (pptv) using all data during **(a)** 1 June to 31 August 2002 and **(b)** 1 July to 15 August 2004 at Thompson Farm.

[Title Page](#)[Abstract](#)[Introduction](#)[Conclusions](#)[References](#)[Tables](#)[Figures](#)[◀](#)[▶](#)[◀](#)[▶](#)[Back](#)[Close](#)[Full Screen / Esc](#)[Printer-friendly Version](#)[Interactive Discussion](#)

**C<sub>1</sub>-C<sub>5</sub> alkyl nitrates in Coastal New England**

R. S. Russo et al.




**Fig. 6.** Time series of **(a)** MeONO<sub>2</sub> (pptv), O<sub>3</sub> (ppbv) and wind speed (m/s) and **(b)** CO (ppbv), propane (pptv) and NO<sub>y</sub> (ppbv) at Thompson Farm during 6–16 June 2002. Shaded regions are the nights of 8 and 14 June.

[Title Page](#)[Abstract](#)[Introduction](#)[Conclusions](#)[References](#)[Tables](#)[Figures](#)[◀](#)[▶](#)[◀](#)[▶](#)[Back](#)[Close](#)[Full Screen / Esc](#)[Printer-friendly Version](#)[Interactive Discussion](#)

**C<sub>1</sub>–C<sub>5</sub> alkyl nitrates in Coastal New England**

R. S. Russo et al.




**Fig. 7.** Time series of **(a)** MeONO<sub>2</sub> (pptv), O<sub>3</sub> (ppbv), NO<sub>y</sub> (ppbv) and **(b)** ethene and propane (pptv) at Thompson Farm during 15 July to 11 August 2004. Shaded regions are nights with O<sub>3</sub> ≤ 5 ppbv and wind speed < 0.5 m/s indicating that a strong and stable inversion layer had developed.

[Title Page](#)[Abstract](#)[Introduction](#)[Conclusions](#)[References](#)[Tables](#)[Figures](#)[◀](#)[▶](#)[◀](#)[▶](#)[Back](#)[Close](#)[Full Screen / Esc](#)[Printer-friendly Version](#)[Interactive Discussion](#)

**C<sub>1</sub>-C<sub>5</sub> alkyl nitrates in Coastal New England**

R. S. Russo et al.




**Fig. 8.** Air and surface seawater samples (pptv) collected in the Gulf of Maine onboard the NOAA Ship *Ronald H. Brown* during NEAQS 2002 throughout 30 July–6 August 2002: **(a)** ambient air and **(b)** surface seawater mixing ratios of MeONO<sub>2</sub>; **(c)** ambient air and **(d)** surface seawater mixing ratios of 2-PrONO<sub>2</sub>.

[Title Page](#)[Abstract](#)[Introduction](#)[Conclusions](#)[References](#)[Tables](#)[Figures](#)[◀](#)[▶](#)[◀](#)[▶](#)[Back](#)[Close](#)[Full Screen / Esc](#)[Printer-friendly Version](#)[Interactive Discussion](#)

C<sub>1</sub>-C<sub>5</sub> alkyl nitrates in Coastal New England

R. S. Russo et al.



**Fig. 9.** (a) 10 min average O<sub>3</sub> (ppbv) and CO<sub>2</sub> (ppmv) mixing ratios and (b) wind speed (m/s), wind direction, and  $J_{\text{NO}_2}$  (yellow shaded curve) at Thompson Farm from 18 August at 16:00 to 19 August 2003 at 22:00 (LT). Alkyl nitrate mixing ratios (pptv) at four sites throughout the Great Bay estuary and at Thompson Farm: (c) MeONO<sub>2</sub>, (d) EtONO<sub>2</sub>, (e) 2-PrONO<sub>2</sub>, (f) 1-PrONO<sub>2</sub>, (g) 2-BuONO<sub>2</sub>, (h) 2-PeONO<sub>2</sub>, (i) 3-PeONO<sub>2</sub>, and (j) hourly average propane and CHBr<sub>3</sub> mixing ratios (pptv) for the five sampling sites. (c–i) The black line is the average  $\pm$  standard deviation mixing ratio of all 5 sites each hour.

Title Page

Abstract

Introduction

Conclusions

References

Tables

Figures

◀

▶

◀

▶

Back

Close

Full Screen / Esc


Printer-friendly Version

Interactive Discussion



C<sub>1</sub>–C<sub>5</sub> alkyl nitrates in Coastal New England

R. S. Russo et al.



**Fig. 10.** Alkyl nitrate/parent hydrocarbon ratios versus 2-BuONO<sub>2</sub>/*n*-butane for Winter (left) and Summer (right) 2002: **(a)** and **(b)** EtONO<sub>2</sub>/ethane, **(c)** and **(d)** 2-PrONO<sub>2</sub>/propane, **(e)** and **(f)** 1-PrONO<sub>2</sub>/propane, **(g)** and **(h)** 3-PenONO<sub>2</sub>/*n*-pentane, and **(i)** and **(j)** 2-PenONO<sub>2</sub>/*n*-pentane. The white squares are averages each containing 10% of the data. Error bars represent  $\pm 1$  standard deviation of the 2-BuONO<sub>2</sub>/*n*-butane ratio in the *x*-direction and  $\pm 1$  standard deviation of the RONO<sub>2</sub>/RH ratio in the *y*-direction. Solid black line and circles: [RONO<sub>2</sub>]<sub>o</sub>/[RH]<sub>o</sub>=0, the black dots correspond to the number of days since the parent alkane was emitted; (a–f) red dashed line with triangles: [RONO<sub>2</sub>]<sub>o</sub>/[RH]<sub>o</sub>=seasonal mean and [2-BuONO<sub>2</sub>]<sub>o</sub>/[*n*-butane]<sub>o</sub>=seasonal background; green lines with squares: [RONO<sub>2</sub>]<sub>o</sub>/[RH]<sub>o</sub> and [2-BuONO<sub>2</sub>]<sub>o</sub>/[*n*-butane]<sub>o</sub>=seasonal background; (g–j) pink dashed lines with diamonds: [PenONO<sub>2</sub>]<sub>o</sub>/[*n*-pentane]<sub>o</sub>=seasonal background and [2-BuONO<sub>2</sub>]<sub>o</sub>/[*n*-butane]<sub>o</sub>=seasonal mean. See Table 5 for initial ratio values. The yellow diamonds are RONO<sub>2</sub>/RH ratios from samples collected at remote sites along the US west coast in December 2001 and June 2002.

Title Page

Abstract

Introduction

Conclusions

References

Tables

Figures

◀

▶

◀

▶

Back

Close

Full Screen / Esc

Printer-friendly Version

Interactive Discussion

