16085

Atmos. Chem. Phys. Discuss., 9, 16085–16129, 2009 www.atmos-chem-phys-discuss.net/9/16085/2009/ © Author(s) 2009. This work is distributed under the Creative Commons Attribution 3.0 License.

This discussion paper is/has been under review for the journal *Atmospheric Chemistry and Physics (ACP)*. Please refer to the corresponding final paper in *ACP* if available.

Advective mixing in a nondivergent barotropic hurricane model

B. Rutherford¹, G. Dangelmayr¹, J. Persing¹, W. H. Schubert², and M. T. Montgomery³

¹Department of Mathematics, Colorado State University, Fort Collins, CO 80523-1874, USA ²Department of Atmospheric Science, Colorado State University, Fort Collins, CO 80523-1371, USA ³Department of Meteorology, Naval Postgraduate School, Monterey, CA 93943-5114, USA

Received: 18 June 2009 - Accepted: 1 July 2009 - Published: 28 July 2009

Correspondence to: B. Rutherford (rutherfo@math.colostate.edu)

Published by Copernicus Publications on behalf of the European Geosciences Union.

9, 16085–16129, 2009 Advective mixing in a nondivergent barotropic hurricane model B. Rutherford et al. **Title Page** Introduction Abstract Conclusions References **Tables Figures** 14 Close Back Full Screen / Esc

ACPD

Printer-friendly Version

Interactive Discussion





Abstract

This paper studies Lagrangian mixing in a two-dimensional barotropic model for hurricane-like vortices. Since such flows show high shearing in the radial direction, particle separation across shear-lines is diagnosed through a Lagrangian field, referred to as R-field, that measures trajectory separation orthogonal to the Lagrangian veloc-5 ity. The shear-lines are identified with the level-contours of another Lagrangian field, referred to as S-field, that measures the average shear-strength along a trajectory. Other fields used for model diagnostics are the Lagrangian field of finite-time Lyapunov exponents (FTLE-field), the Eulerian Q-field, and the angular velocity field. Because of the high shearing, the FTLE-field is not a suitable indicator for advective mixing, 10 and in particular does not exhibit clear ridges marking the location of finite-time stable and unstable manifolds. The FTLE-field is similar in structure to the radial derivative of the angular velocity. In contrast, distinct and persisting ridges and valleys can be clearly recognized in the R-field, and their propagation speed indicates that transport across shear-lines is caused by Rossby waves. A radial mixing rate derived from the 15 R-field gives a time-dependent measure of flux across the shear-lines. On the other hand, a measured mixing rate across the shear-lines, which counts trajectory crossings, confirms the results from the R-field mixing rate, and shows high mixing in the evewall region after the formation of a polygonal evewall, which continues until the

20 vortex breaks down.

1 Introduction

Several recent studies (Frank and Ritchie, 1999, 2001; Montgomery et al., 2006; Hendricks and Schubert, 2009) are devoted to the mixing of fluid from different regions of a hurricane, which is considered as a fundamental mechanism that is intimately related

to hurricane intensity. A complete understanding of these mixing processes, in particular the eye-eyewall mixing (Montgomery et al., 2006; Willoughby, 2001), is expected

9, 16085–16129, 2009

Advective mixing in a nondivergent barotropic hurricane model





to significantly enhance our understanding of the physical mechanisms sustaining the hurricane. Since mixing is based on particle motion, the Lagrangian frame of reference provides the most natural framework in which it can be diagnosed. Much progress has been made in recent years in the study of Lagrangian mixing in two-dimensional in-

- ⁵ compressible flows (Haller, 2002; Haller and Poje, 1997; Haller and Yuan, 2000; Haller, 2001, 2000; Shadden et al., 2005), resulting in a number of different, though related diagnostics, most of which are based on concepts from dynamical systems theory. For applications of Lagrangian techniques to atmospheric models, see Joseph and Legras (2001); Huber et al. (2001).
- Much insight into specific aspects of mixing in hurricanes can be gained from the study of simplified two-dimensional models. Basically there are two classes of such models: Axisymmetric models, most notably the model of Rotunno and Emanuel (1987), and planar models such as the model of Kossin and Schubert (2001). In this paper we apply Lagrangian techniques to analyze mixing in the planar, nondivergent
 ¹⁵ barotropic model. Lagrangian mixing in the axisymmetric model introduced in Rotunno
- and Emanuel (1987) is investigated in Rutherford et al. (2009).

The model studied in this paper provides a two-dimensional representation of a hurricane that initiates with an annular ring of enhanced vorticity, and then undergoes a vortex breakdown resulting in a monopolar end state. During the breakdown, a polygo-

- nal eyewall occurs, which forms four elliptical pools of high vorticity. Mixing of potential vorticity (PV), which in this model is proportional to vorticity, can be visualized using Eulerian diagnostic measures of instantaneous particle separation. A commonly used Eulerian diagnostic is the so called Q-field, derived from the Jacobian of the Eulerian velocity field. According to the Okubo-Weiss criterion (Schubert et al., 1999), positive
- values of this field indicate instantaneous particle separation, whereas negative values indicate contraction. For our model, the Q-field shows that regions of high PV gradient are also places where high trajectory separation and mixing occurs.

While Eulerian measures of mixing can only diagnose instantaneous particle separation, Lagrangian techniques utilize a moving frame approach along trajectories and 9, 16085–16129, 2009

Advective mixing in a nondivergent barotropic hurricane model





compute measures for the average separation over a finite integration time. This approach is particularly useful in time-dependent velocity fields, where trajectories may cross Eulerian streamlines. Much of the recent work in Lagrangian mixing has extended the ideas of hyperbolicity for steady flows to time dependent velocity fields (Haller, 2002; Haller and Poje, 1997; Haller and Yuan, 2000; Green et al., 2006; Ide et al., 2002), generalizing the concept of stable and unstable manifolds of an equilibrium to the stable and unstable manifolds of a hyperbolic trajectory. These manifolds are referred to as Lagrangian coherent structures (LCS's). Even in two-dimensional flows, time-dependence can give rise to multiple intersections of these manifolds, leading to a partition of the flow into invariant regions (lobes), and to mixing through the lobe dynamics (Ide et al., 2002).

5

10

15

Efficient visualization of LCS's is accomplished through the construction of Lagrangian scalar fields, which measure separation of nearby trajectories. Current Lagrangian methods utilize a variety of fields, including finite-time Lyapunov exponents (Haller, 2002; Haller and Poje, 1997; Haller and Yuan, 2000), finite-size Lyapunov exponenta (Crean et al. 2006), and relative dispersion (Hubber et al. 2001). For the

ponents (Green et al., 2006), and relative dispersion (Huber et al., 2001). Each of these methods defines a scalar field and the LCS's as maximal ridges of that field.

To study Lagrangian mixing in our model, we compute particle trajectories from the numerically calculated, time-varying velocity field. The Lagrangian diagnostic fields ²⁰ are functions of the initial time and position at which the trajectories are seeded. A comparison of these fields with the Okubo-Weiss criterion indicates that high particle separation predicted from the Q-field typically does not coincide with Lagrangian hyperbolic structures.

An important feature of the particle trajectories calculated from our model is that they show an almost circular motion, combined with high shearing in the radial direction. The problem caused by this high shear is that trajectory separation and mixing occur without the entrainment of trajectories, as the mixing is largely diffusive. Distinct regions of trajectories with similar properties become more difficult to distinguish through the use of scalar fields which measure only distance, such as the field of finite-time

ACPD

9, 16085–16129, 2009

Advective mixing in a nondivergent barotropic hurricane model





Lyapunov exponents (FTLE-field). In fact, the FTLE-field computed from our model does not show distinguished ridges characteristic of hyperbolic mixing. Instead, the structure of the FTLE-field is very similar to the structure of the radial derivative of the angular velocity, indicating that the FTLE-field is dominated by the shear and not by hyperbolic mixing.

In order to separate shear from hyperbolic mixing we follow the approach used in Haller and Iacono (2003), and decompose the separation of trajectories in the directions along and normal to the Lagrangian velocity. This approach allows us to identify two Lagrangian fields: The R-field, which is a diagnostic for hyperbolic mixing normal to the Lagrangian velocity, and the S-field, which is a measure of shearing, and is used to define shear-lines by its level-contours. In contrast to the FTLE-field, the R-field shows distinct ridges and valleys observable as coherent structures. The evolution of these structures provides a mechanism for mixing through the eyewall, and their speed indicates that this mixing is caused by Rossby waves. The structures are particularly distinct after polygonal eyewall formation, and they persist until the vortex breaks down, in regions where the Okubo-Weiss criterion predicts pools of high separation associated with the formation of pools of high vorticity.

The time-dependence of our velocity field leads to time-dependent shear-lines, and regions of high orthogonal (hyperbolic) separation lead to sets of trajectories that are mixed through the shear-lines. We quantify this mixing by introducing measured (via trajectory counting) and predicted (from the R-field) mixing rates. In addition, we study radial mixing rates defined by angular averages of the FTLE-field, the S-field, and the R-field. The mixing rates defined through the former two fields are characteristic of shearing and show high mixing during the initial phase of the model, whereas the predicted and measured mixing rates, as well as the angular average of the R-field, show high mixing after the formation of the polygonal eyewall.

In previous work on the same model, Hendricks and Schubert (2009) have applied the Lagrangian-Eulerian hybrid method of effective diffusion (Shuckburgh and Haynes, 2003; Nakamura, 1996). Here diffusive mixing properties are computed based on the

ACPD

9, 16085–16129, 2009

Advective mixing in a nondivergent barotropic hurricane model





increasing lengths of the vorticity contours, with the computations initialized at the initial time of the model. The resulting mixing rate is a function of an effective radius and the integration time, and shows similar structures as our mixing rates.

Our methods depart from those of Hendricks and Schubert (2009) in that we utilize a moving time window, which attributes mixing to short-time advective events. Rather than determining contour lengths, we study transport across contours of the S-field. The resulting mixing rates are completely determined by the given velocity field, that is, they do not depend on a chosen initial profile of the tracer distribution.

The outline of the paper is as follows. We begin, in Sect. 2, with an overview of the
nondivergent barotropic model, and of the numerical methods used to compute the velocity field and the particle trajectories. In Sect. 3 we introduce the scalar fields utilized for diagnosing mixing and shear: The Eulerian Q-field, the angular velocity field, the Lagrangian FTLE-field, the R-field, and the S-field. The latter two fields are extracted from the transformed variational system introduced in Haller and Iacono (2003). The
main results of the paper are presented in Sects. 4–6. In Sect. 4 we study the behavior of the three Lagrangian fields for a fixed initial time of 6 h, after a polygonal eyewall has formed, and for different integration times. The ridge, valley, and edge structures observed in the R-field are identified with coherent structures and invariant sets relative to the shearing. In Sect. 5 we fix the integration time to 1 h and study the diagnostic

²⁰ fields for varying initial times.

The structures observed in these fields are related to different mixing processes occurring during the three main phases of the model: Initial phase, polygonal eyewall, and vortex breakdown. Section 6 is devoted to the mixing rates mentioned before, which are displayed as functions of initial time and either radius or value of S along a shear-line. Concluding remarks and an outlook on future work are given in Sect. 7

²⁵ shear-line. Concluding remarks and an outlook on future work are given in Sect. 7.

ACPD

9, 16085–16129, 2009

Advective mixing in a nondivergent barotropic hurricane model





2 Model overview

The model used in this paper is the 2-D nondivergent barotropic model for hurricane-like vortices studied by Kossin and Schubert (2001). The velocity field, $\mathbf{u}(\mathbf{x}, t) = (u(\mathbf{x}, t), v(\mathbf{x}, t))^*$ with $\mathbf{x} = (x, y)^* \in \mathbb{R}^2$ (asteriks denote transposed vectors or matrices), is given as the solution on the f-plane of the incompressible Navier Stokes equation,

$$\frac{\partial \mathbf{u}}{\partial t} + (\mathbf{u} \cdot \nabla)\mathbf{u} - f\mathbf{B}\mathbf{u} + \frac{1}{\rho}\nabla\rho = \nu\nabla^2\mathbf{u},\tag{1}$$

$$\nabla \cdot \mathbf{u} = \mathbf{0}, \tag{2}$$

where $\mathbf{B} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ -1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$, ρ is the pressure, ρ the constant density, f the constant Coriolis

• parameter, and v the constant viscosity, chosen to be $100 m^2 s^{-1}$. Expressing the velocity in terms of a streamfunction $\psi(\mathbf{x}, t)$ as $\mathbf{u} = -\mathbf{B}\nabla\psi$ and eliminating the pressure from (1), leads to the equation

$$\frac{\partial \zeta}{\partial t} + \frac{\partial \psi}{\partial x} \frac{\partial \zeta}{\partial y} - \frac{\partial \psi}{\partial y} \frac{\partial \zeta}{\partial x} = v \nabla^2 \zeta, \qquad (3)$$

where $\zeta = \nabla^2 \psi$ is the relative vorticity. Following Kossin and Schubert (2001), we impose periodic boundary conditions on ψ with a fundamental domain of 600 km×600 km, and choose as initial condition an almost circular symmetric ring of vorticity, $\zeta_0(r, \theta)$, to model a 2-D hurricane after an initial eyewall has formed, as shown in Fig. 1(a). The defining equation of $\zeta_0(r, \theta)$ is the equation used in Kossin and Schubert (2001).

Equation (3) was solved numerically using a Fourier pseudospectral method with 512×512 collocation points. Dealiasing results in 170×170 Fourier modes. The ODE-system for the Fourier modes was solved via Matlab's ode 45 routine, which implements a fourth order Runge-Kutta method with adaptive time steps.

9, 16085–16129, 2009

Advective mixing in a nondivergent barotropic hurricane model B. Rutherford et al.	
Title Page	
Abstract	Introduction
Conclusions	References
Tables	Figures
14	۶I
•	•
Back	Close
Full Screen / Esc	
Printer-friendly Version	
Interactive Discussion	



In our numerical calculation of ψ and ζ , we reproduced the behavior observed in Kossin and Schubert (2001). The almost circular symmetric initial vorticity develops a wavenumber 4 asymmetry, causing a polygonal eyewall to form at the high vorticity ring after a time of 6 h (Fig. 1b). Strong mixing, with high vorticity fluid from the eyewall

- forming spiral bands outside of the eyewall, transports high vorticity fluid towards the environment at 8 h (Fig. 1c). The breakdown of the initial vorticity ring, where pools of high and low vorticity fluid are stretched into long filaments, is mostly complete after 12 h (Fig. 1d). After 24 h (Fig. 1e), diffusive mixing along the filaments leads to a more mixed state. Few pools of high or low vorticity fluid remain, with a pool of low-vorticity fluid form the neutring the pools of high or low vorticity and bight and
- ¹⁰ fluid from the eye migrating through the eyewall, and high vorticity fluid redistributing in the eye. After 48 h (Fig. 1f), a high vorticity eye and a low vorticity environment remain in a monopole endstate. The eyewall is no longer present as there is no longer a strong angular velocity gradient.

In this paper we study Lagrangian mixing in the model, which is based on follow-¹⁵ ing trajectories for varying initial times. The trajectories were calculated with the same spatial and temporal resolution as the model output, using a fourth order Runge-Kutta method with a fixed time step of 7.5 s. Because of time and memory limitations associated with the large number of trajectories needed for quantifying mixing over a sequence of initial times, the trajectories used for computing time-dependent mixing ²⁰ rates were calculated with a time step of 60 s. Comparison of the results for the two time steps for a small random set of initial conditions showed that the use of the coarser time resolution in the mixing calculations is justified.

3 Diagnostic fields for mixing and shear

In this section we introduce the scalar fields utilized to diagnose the particle flow resulting from the numerically calculated velocity field. A main characteristic feature of the model is an almost circular motion, the trajectories encircle the origin in the counterclockwise direction. The model shows a strong variation of the particle speed |**u**| in the

ACPD

9, 16085–16129, 2009

Advective mixing in a nondivergent barotropic hurricane model



radial direction. This variation leads to high shearing that dominates the particle separation, but is not the result of hyperbolic trajectory separation. Superimposed on this shear effect is hyperbolic mixing due to trajectory separation in directions orthogonal to the velocity.

In order to diagnose hyperbolicity, we exploit the Lagrangian field introduced in Haller and Iacono (2003), in which hyperbolic trajectory splitting is separated from particle separation due to shearing. The more common FTLE-field is also analyzed, however, this field is dominated by the shear and hence not suitable as an indicator for hyperbolic mixing. In order to quantify hyperbolic mixing, we define closed shear lines as contour lines of a suitably defined shear field, and measure and predict transport across these lines (Sect. 6). Further indicators used in our study are two Eulerian fields: The Hessean determinant of the streamfunction (Q-field), and the radial gradient of the

3.1 Eulerian fields

instantaneous angular velocity.

15 3.1.1 Q-field

Eulerian trajectory separation occurs when the linearized velocity shows local expansion of area. The local variation of area can be inferred from the Jacobian of the velocity field, that is, from the Hessian determinant of the streamfunction, which is referred to as the Q-field,

$$Q(\mathbf{x},t) = \psi_{xy}^2(\mathbf{x},t) - \psi_{xx}(\mathbf{x},t)\psi_{yy}(\mathbf{x},t).$$

According to the Okubo-Weiss criterion Schubert et al. (1999), regions with Q>0 show local trajectory separation, whereas regions with Q<0 show local contraction. The Q-field allows diagnosis of instantaneous separation, which typically differs from Lagrangian measures of separation.

ACPD

9, 16085–16129, 2009

Advective mixing in a nondivergent barotropic hurricane model

B. Rutherford et al.



(4)

3.1.2 Angular velocity

The strong rotation and near symmetry of the flow suggests that polar coordinates (r, θ) provide a useful coordinate system for displaying fields calculated from the velocity field. In particular, the quasi-circular behavior of trajectories suggests that the angular velocity, $\omega = r^{-1} \mathbf{u} \cdot (-\sin \theta, \cos \theta)^*$, is an approximate measure of the particle speed, and the derivative $\partial \omega / \partial r$ is an approximate measure of shearing.

For any scalar field $\varphi(\mathbf{x}, t)$, a measure for the radial variation is provided by the angular average, indicated by an over-bar,

$$\overline{\varphi}(r,t) = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_0^{2\pi} \varphi(r,\theta,t) \, d\theta.$$

¹⁰ In Fig. 2, the averaged angular velocity is displayed as function of r for t=0, 6, 8, 12, 24, and 48 h, illustrating the transition from the initial vortex to the vortex break down and to the final monopole state.

3.2 Lagrangian fields

Let $\phi_{t_0}^t(\mathbf{x}_0)$ be the flow map associated with the equation

15 $\dot{\mathbf{x}} = \mathbf{u}(\mathbf{x}, t)$

for particle trajectories $\mathbf{x}(t)$, that is, the solution of (5) with initial condition $\mathbf{x}(t_0) = \mathbf{x}_0$. Small perturbations in the initial condition, $\mathbf{y}_0 = \mathbf{x}_0 + \xi_0$, lead to a perturbed trajectory $\mathbf{y}(t) = \mathbf{x}(t) + \xi(t)$. For sufficiently small $|\xi_0|$, the perturbation $\xi(t)$ can be approximated through the Jacobian of the flow map as

²⁰
$$\xi(t) = d_{\mathbf{x}_0} \phi_{t_0}^t(\mathbf{x}_0) \xi_0$$

which satisfies the variational equation

 $\dot{\xi} = \nabla \mathbf{u}(\mathbf{x}(t), t) \xi.$

9, 16085–16129, 2009

Advective mixing in a nondivergent barotropic hurricane model

B. Rutherford et al.



(5)

(6)

(7)

3.2.1 Finite time Lyapunov exponents

Finite time Lyapunov exponents have become a standard indicator for Lagrangian trajectory separation (Haller and Poje, 1997; Haller, 2000; Haller and Yuan, 2000; Haller, 2002). Consider a time range $[t_0, t_0 + T]$ with fixed integration time *T*. The growth of $|\xi(t)|$ during this time range is governed by the Cauchy-Riemann deformation tensor,

$$\Delta(\mathbf{x}_{0}, t_{0}) = \left(d_{\mathbf{x}_{0}} \phi_{t_{0}}^{t_{0}+T}(\mathbf{x}_{0}) \right)^{*} \left(d_{\mathbf{x}_{0}} \phi_{t_{0}}^{t_{0}+T}(\mathbf{x}_{0}) \right)$$

and becomes maximal when ξ_0 is aligned with the eigenvector corresponding to the larger eigenvalue, $\lambda_{max}(\Delta)$, of Δ . The quantity

$$\sigma(\mathbf{x}_0, t_0) = \frac{1}{2|\mathcal{T}|} \ln \lambda_{\max}(\Delta(\mathbf{x}_0, t_0))$$

5

is the FTLE-field (considered as a function of x₀) at the initial time t₀. One distinguishes forward FTLE-fields (*T*>0) and backward FTLE-fields (*T*<0). Maximal ridges of the forward and backward FTLE-fields play the role of unstable (repelling) and stable (attracting) manifolds over the time range considered (Haller, 2000; Shadden et al., 2005). In the limit *T*→∞ or *T*→−∞, *σ* approaches the true Lyapunov exponent, and
the maximal ridges approach the stable and unstable manifolds, of the full trajectory seeded at (x₀, t₀). Data limitations in the case of numerically computed velocity fields allow only finite time integrations, and restrict the analysis to FTLE's.

For our velocity field, the particle separation is dominated by the shearing in the radial direction. As a result, the FTLE-values measure growth of perturbations in ap-

²⁰ proximately angular directions, and high FTLE-values (ridges) occur near extrema of $\partial \omega / \partial r$, whereas low FTLE-values occur near zero contours of $\partial \omega / \partial r$. Generally, FTLE-fields are not suitable as indicators of hyperbolic mixing in the presence of high shear.

ACPD

9, 16085–16129, 2009

Advective mixing in a nondivergent barotropic hurricane model



3.2.2 Lagrangian fields for hyperbolic mixing and shear

Following Haller and Iacono (2003), in order to separate mixing and shear in the variational system (7), a moving frame of reference is introduced by setting

 $\boldsymbol{\xi} = \mathbf{M}(\mathbf{x}(t),t)\boldsymbol{\eta},$

where vectors of the matrix the component М. $\mathbf{M}(\mathbf{x}, t) =$ 5 $\frac{1}{|\mathbf{u}(\mathbf{x},t)|}(\mathbf{u}(\mathbf{x},t),\mathbf{u}^{\perp}(\mathbf{x},t)), \quad \mathbf{u}^{\perp}=(-\nu,u)^*, \text{ are the normalized fluid velocity } \mathbf{u}/|\mathbf{u}|, \text{ and }$ the unit vector orthogonal to **u**. This transformation is motivated by the fact that for autonomous velocity fields, $\mathbf{u} = \mathbf{u}(\mathbf{x})$, $\mathbf{u}(\mathbf{x}(t))$ is a solution of (7). Although our numerically computed fluid velocity is non-autonomous, its time variation is slow, so that $\mathbf{u}(\mathbf{x}(t), t)$ is still close to a solution of (7) for finite times. The transformed system 10 for η can be written in the form Haller and Iacono (2003),

 $\dot{\eta} = \left[\mathbf{A}(t) + b(t)\mathbf{B}\right]\eta,$ where $\mathbf{A}(t) = \begin{pmatrix} -r(\mathbf{x}(t), t) \ a(\mathbf{x}(t), t) \\ 0 \ r(\mathbf{x}(t), t) \end{pmatrix},$ $b(t) = \frac{1}{|\mathbf{u}|^2} \mathbf{u}^{\perp} \cdot \mathbf{u} |_{\mathbf{x} = \mathbf{x}(t)},$ 15 $r(\mathbf{x}, t) = \frac{1}{|\mathbf{u}|^2} (\mathbf{u}^{\perp})^* (\nabla \mathbf{u}) \mathbf{u}^{\perp}$

$$= \frac{1}{|\mathbf{u}|^2} \left(u^2 v_y - u v (u_y + v_x) + v^2 u_x \right),$$

and the non-diagonal entry *a* is composed of two parts, $a(\mathbf{x}, t) = s(\mathbf{x}, t) + d(\mathbf{x}, t)$, where

 $s(\mathbf{x},t) = \frac{1}{|\mathbf{u}|^2} \mathbf{u}^* (\nabla \mathbf{u}) \mathbf{u}^\perp$

ACPD

9, 16085–16129, 2009

(8)

(9)

Advective mixing in a nondivergent barotropic hurricane model

Title Page	
Introduction	
References	
Figures	
►I.	
► E	
Close	
Full Screen / Esc	
Printer-friendly Version	
Interactive Discussion	



$$= \frac{1}{|\mathbf{u}|^2} (u^2 u_y + uv(v_y - u_x) - v^2 v_x),$$

$$d(\mathbf{x}, t) = \frac{1}{|\mathbf{u}|^2} (\mathbf{u}^{\perp})^* (\nabla \mathbf{u}) \mathbf{u}$$

$$= \frac{1}{|\mathbf{u}|^2} (u^2 v_x + uv(v_y - u_x) - v^2 u_y).$$

The terms in the transformed linearized system (9) motivate the definition of Lagrangian fields as diagnostics for hyperbolic mixing and shear. Since our velocity field is slowly varying in time, the terms associated with b(t) in (9) are neglected in these definitions.

3.3 R-field

15

20

As a consequence of incompressibility, the matrix A(t) has the eigenvalues $\pm r(\mathbf{x}(t), t)$. Fixing an integration time *T*, the integrated field *R*,

10
$$R(\mathbf{x}_0, t_0) = \int_{t_0}^{t_0+T} r(\phi_{t_0}^{t_0+\tau}(\mathbf{x}_0), \tau) d\tau,$$
 (10)

describes the growth of a perturbation in the direction orthogonal to the Lagrangian velocity, and the ratio R/|T| plays the role of a finite-time Lyapunov exponent in this direction. Thus R is a measure of attraction (R < 0) or repulsion (R > 0) of nearby trajectories towards $\mathbf{x}(t)$ over the integration interval [t_0, t_0+T]. Due to incompressibility, expansion orthogonal to \mathbf{u} is combined with contraction in the direction of \mathbf{u} and vice versa.

We note that in the limit $T \rightarrow \infty$, *R* reduces to the mixing efficiency proposed by Ottino (1989), when this efficiency is evaluated in the direction orthogonal to **u**. In our study, *R* will be used as the main diagnostic field for hyperbolicity, and in addition as a means to predict mixing rates across the shear-lines defined below.

ACPD

9, 16085–16129, 2009

Advective mixing in a nondivergent barotropic hurricane model



3.4 S-field

The term $s(\mathbf{x}, t)$ can be written in the form

 $s(\mathbf{x},t) = (\nabla |\mathbf{u}(\mathbf{x},t)|) \cdot \mathbf{u}^{\perp}(\mathbf{x},t),$

and hence characterizes the rate of change of the particle speed in the direction orthogonal to the velocity. Thus *s* is a local, Eulerian measure of shear in the fluid flow. We define the S-field by integrating *s* along trajectories,

$$S(\mathbf{x}_{0}, t_{0}) = \int_{t_{0}}^{t_{0}+T} s(\phi_{t_{0}}^{t_{0}+\tau}(\mathbf{x}_{0}), \tau) d\tau,$$
(12)

and use S as a Lagrangian diagnostic field for shearing.

We note that an alternative Lagrangian measure of shear has been defined in Haller and lacono (2003) using the non-diagonal entry of the fundamental matrix of $\dot{\eta}=A(t)\eta$. However, this field involves a double time-integral and is computationally more expensive. The S-field has a straightforward interpretation as shear-diagnostic due to (11), and requires less computational effort.

As in the case of the FTLE-field, we distinguish forward (T > 0) and backward (T < 0) ¹⁵ fields for both *R* and *S*.

3.5 Shear-lines

For a given integration time *T*, we define the shear-line of strength *C* at initial time t_0 as the level contour of *S*, i.e. $S_C = \{\mathbf{x}_0 | S(\mathbf{x}_0, t_0) = C\}$. High values of |C| correspond to lines with high shear. For our model, the shear lines are all closed curves around the origin (distorted circles). Positive and negative values of *S* indicate that the Lagrangian speed increases when moving radially outwards and inwards, respectively. We refer to the first case as "normal propagating shear" and to the second case as "counter-propagating shear". Hyperbolic mixing measured by *R* is associated with transport across the shear-lines. This will be used in Sect. 6 to define mixing rates.

9, 16085–16129, 2009

(11)





4 Lagrangian fields and coherent structures

In Figs. 3, 4, and 5 we show forward and backward FTLE-, *S*-, and R-fields, respectively, at the initial time $t_0=6$ h, after the polygonial eyewall has formed, and for integration times $T=\pm 15$ min, $T=\pm 30$ min, and $T=\pm 120$ min. For $T=\pm 15$ min, the FTLE-field

- ⁵ (Fig. 3a, b) reveals coherent structures near the polygonial eyewall, since the effect of the shear is not so pronounced over this short time range. For increasing |7| the shear becomes dominant, and the FTLE-level contours evolve into distorted circles (Fig. 3c-f). Comparison of the azimuthally averaged fields (Sect. 5) shows that high FTLE-values occur near extreme values of ∂ω/∂r. While high FTLE-values correspond to
- ¹⁰ high trajectory separation, they do not give clear LCS's (ridges) for longer integration times. LCS's can be seen only at very short integration times (Fig. 3a, b), and in regions that are predicted by the Q-field. As integration time is increased (Fig. 3c–f), the LCS's do lengthen as expected, but they also become broader. In particular, the LCS's for $T=\pm15$ min that are located near the corners of the eyewall, converge into a single
- ¹⁵ broad ring that represents an annulus of high shear.

The S-field (Fig. 4) is a shear-indicator, and its level contours (the shear-lines) are distorted circles for all integration times. The FTLE-field shows similar structures as the S-field for longer integration times, confirming that trajectory separation is mainly due to shear.

- ²⁰ The R-field (Fig. 5) shows structures of high and low R-values that persist over a series of integration times, making them coherent. These structures lengthen and become more resolved (narrower) when the integration time increases. Initial points on ridges and valleys have R>0 and R<0, indicating strong separation and contraction in the (approximately radial) direction orthogonal to the Lagrangian velocity, respectively.
- ²⁵ The structures exist in both the forward and backward time fields, and some of the forward and backward time structures have intersection points. Since the R-field is radially continuous, high values of the R-field lead to trajectories that show high net movement orthogonal to the Lagrangian velocity, and hence are more likely to cross

ACPD

9, 16085–16129, 2009

Advective mixing in a nondivergent barotropic hurricane model





shear-lines. Since the structures span across the shear-lines, they are not Lagrangian, as trajectories with high angular velocity pass trajectories with lower angular velocity.

A prominent feature of the forward R-field at $t_0=6h$ (and later) are the filaments observable in Fig. 5a, c, e. This filamentation is a consequence of the polygonial $_5$ eyewall. At $t_0=2h$ (Fig. 6a) no filaments are observed. The filamentation concerns the ridges and valleys, as well as the edges between them.

4.1 An advective mixing mechanism

Initial conditions \mathbf{x}_0 that satisfy $R(\mathbf{x}_0, t_0)=0$ are invariant in the sense that there is no net movement of neighboring trajectories relative to the Lagrangian velocity. As can be seen in Figs. 5 and 6a, ridges and valleys of *R* come in nearby pairs, and the ridge and valley of a pair are separated by a segment of a zero contour which forms (approximately) an edge of the R-field. The edge is neutrally stable, that is, it attracts from one side (from the ridge) and repels from the other side (towards the valley). The situation is illustrated in Fig. 6a, c, d for $t_0=2$ h. At this initial time the motion is almost circular (Fig. 6b), but motion across shear-lines can be observed already.

Let \mathcal{R} be a structure (ridge or valley) of R at initial time t_0 . The structure is coherent in the sense that it evolves continuously, for varying initial time, into a structure \mathcal{R}' seen as a ridge or valley of R at initial time $t_0+\tau$. It is, however, not Lagrangian because it is not advected with the flow, that is, the image of \mathcal{R} under the flow map, $\mathcal{R}_{\tau} = \phi_{t_0}^{t_0+\tau}(\mathcal{R})$,

has advanced farther from \mathcal{R} than \mathcal{R}' , and is not a structure of R at $t_0+\tau$. (Fig. 7a). Generally we observe that the coherent structures move at a slower rotational speed than that of the mean flow, which can be attributed to the effect of Rossby waves (Montgomery and Lu, 1997).

A coherent structure \mathcal{R} has a leading and a lagging end relative to counterclockwise rotation. Concerning the evolution of \mathcal{R} under the flow map, two cases can occur for structures computed in a forward time integration:

ACPD 9, 16085–16129, 2009 Advective mixing in a nondivergent barotropic hurricane model B. Rutherford et al. **Title Page** Introduction Abstract Conclusions References Tables **Figures** Close Back Full Screen / Esc **Printer-friendly Version** Interactive Discussion

- (a) If the leading end is at higher angular velocity than the lagging end, then the image \mathcal{R}_{T} of \mathcal{R} under $\phi_{t_0}^{t_0+T}$ is lengthened over the integration and tends to align with a contour of the S-field (Fig. 7b).
- (b) If the leading end is at lower angular velocity than the lagging end, then the flow
- map rotates \mathcal{R} , and for sufficiently large \mathcal{T} the image $\mathcal{R}_{\mathcal{T}}$ tends to align with a contour of the S-field in the opposite direction (Fig. 7c).

For a nearby pair of a ridge and a valley, the relative position of their flow map images is preserved in case (a), whereas in case (b) they switch position. This rotation and position switching are a mechanism for the advective mixing during the polygonal eye-¹⁰ wall stage. The square eyewall gives four valleys and ridges aligned in a way that four sets of trajectories pass from outside to inside and four from inside to outside of an *S*-contour. The combination of ridge-edge-valley sets of *R*, aligned with the leading ends at lower angular velocity, can be seen as an indicator of fluid regions that will roll into mesovortices over the forward integration time. Thus the strength and size of the ¹⁵ surrounding ridges and valleys are an indicator of the potential flux in and out of the mesovortex.

5 Field diagnostics for varying initial time

5

In Figs. 8–13 we show in (a) the azimuthally averaged forward FTLE-field $\overline{\sigma}$ together with the azimuthal average $\partial \omega / \partial r$, in (b) the azimuthally averaged forward S-field \overline{S} together with the azimuthally averaged forward R-field \overline{R} , and in (c), (d), (e), and (f) the full forward FTLE-field, S-field, R-field, and the Q-field, respectively. The initial times in these figures are $t_0=2$ h, 4 h, 6 h, 8 h, 10 h, and 12 h, and the integration time is T=1 h. Since the shear-lines are distorted circles, we can interpret the average $\overline{\sigma}(r_0, t_0)$ $(r_0=|\mathbf{x}_0|)$ as radial mixing rate. In all Figs. 8a–13a we observe that high FTLE-values occur at extrema of $\partial \omega / \partial r$, demonstrating again that the FTLE-field is dominated by 16101

ACPD

9, 16085–16129, 2009

Advective mixing in a nondivergent barotropic hurricane

the shear. A similar interpretation as radial mixing rates can be attributed to the averages $\overline{S}(r_0, t_0)$ and $\overline{R}(r_0, t_0)$. The plots of \overline{S} in Figs. 8b–13b are very similar in structure to those of $\overline{\sigma}$, as both measure shear. The quantity \overline{R} can be interpreted as a measure of hyperbolic mixing, which is important for transport through the eyewall.

5 5.1 2–4 h: Initial state

10

15

At the initial time of 2 h (Fig. 8), the model is still close to the initial state and shows a broad ring of high vorticity fluid. Vorticity, *S*, and FTLE-values are all near-symmetric. The R-field shows distinct lines of high radial mixing, with the zero contours separating regions of high and low orthogonal separation. The wavenumber four asymmetry begins to show in the R-field, particularly in the forward time integration. The initial vorticity profile is nearly preserved, with any asymmetries barely noticible.

At 4 h (Fig. 9), much of the symmetry of the initial state still remains. A forward time integration begins to display asymmetries in the FTLE- and S-fields, whereas the R-field retains the structures that were present at 2 h. At 4 h the backward time integration of R (not shown) also shows regions of high orthogonal separation.

5.2 6-8 h: Polygonal eyewall

At 6 h (Fig. 10), the vorticity field shows a polygonal eyewall structure. A square inner eyewall structure forms, with pools of low vorticity fluid organized into the corners of the eye. Since the fluid is largely unmixed (in the sense of vorticity), the formation of a square eyewall requires that some high vorticity fluid is reorganized from the eyewall to the eye and environment. Since vorticity is materially conserved, low vorticity fluid from the eye and environment must replace the fluid that left the eyewall. The fluid that is mixed across the boundaries is consistent with the ridges and valleys of the R-field. The filamentation that develops from the stretching of high vorticity fluid that exits from

the vorticity ring to the environment, can be seen in the form of spiral bands in the vorticity field.

ACPD 9, 16085-16129, 2009 Advective mixing in a nondivergent barotropic hurricane model B. Rutherford et al. **Title Page** Abstract Introduction Conclusions References **Figures** Tables Back Close Full Screen / Esc **Printer-friendly Version** Interactive Discussion



The Q-field shows that, instantaneously, high trajectory separation occurs along the square boundary of the inner eyewall. The pools of low vorticity show instantaneous contraction. The outer vorticity ring shows high trajectory separation. Even when the FTLE-fields are calculated for the small integration time of T=3 min, there is a notici-

- ⁵ ble difference between the separation points of the Q-field and the FTLE-field. The square eyewall formation corresponds to four structures of high FTLE-values in both the forward and backward time fields for short integration times. As integration time is increased, the structures lengthen along the eyewall boundary. At an integration time of 30 min, the combination of the 8 structures in the forward and backward time fields
 ¹⁰ form a complete separatrix (see Fig. 5). At a 60 min integration time, the ring does show bisher ETLE values, but the four individual structures are almost indistinguishable and
- higher FTLE-values, but the four individual structures are almost indistinguishable and a single band is present.

The R-field shows a series of stable and unstable manifolds that originated as coherent structures from the earlier times, but have bifurcated into many smaller structures as the time dependence of the velocity field increases due to the rotating polygonal structure. There are still four distinguishable regions of higher R-values, but the structures are not as clear. The maximal *R*-regions are located at the same places that show high FTLE-values, but with much greater resolution than the FTLE-fields, which are blurred by the shear.

At 8 h (Fig. 11), the polygonal eyewall structure that is present at 6 h is still clearly visible. The R-field has similar properties as the R-field at 6 h (Fig. 10), with few additional bifurcations, showing that the velocity field has similar stability, or similar Rossby wavenumber. The FTLE- and S-fields show that a third ring of high shear is beginning to form at the outer edge of the vortex.

25 5.3 Later state: mixing into a monopole

For $t_0=10$ h (Fig. 12), the thick ring of high vorticity is breaking, and is visible in the FTLE- and S-fields as less shear on one side of the ring, along with a broadening of the ring of shear. The Q-field is less square at this time. As the shear is less

ACPD

9, 16085–16129, 2009

Advective mixing in a

nondivergent

barotropic hurricane

dominant, higher radial mixing is allowed to occur in the region, with regions of high and low *R* advected across the shear-lines. As more advection (stirring) in and out of the eyewall occurs, there is filamentation of the initial vorticity-contours with diffusive mixing occurring along the lengthening contour boundaries, leading to an "averaging"
 of PV-values for the well-mixed fluid.

For $t_0=12$ h (Fig. 13), the inner ring of vorticity has broken down. The FTLE- and S-fields show the outer rings converged as a thick ring, and the model is entering the monopole state. The R-field shows coherent structures but not the structures associated with the borders of the polygonal eyewall, but small regions that enter the single region of high shear.

Beyond 12 h, the initial regions of vorticity are not recognizable, and high (although not as high as the initial state) vorticity fluid begins to organize into the eye. The low vorticity fluid from the eye becomes well mixed, and the eyewall and environment become filled with relatively low PV-fluid. The angular velocity gradient decreases, and the S field shows no eyewall.

Although the fluid that is mixed beyond 12 h is not distinguishable based on its initial vorticity, the R-field still gives regions of advective mixing, showing that the moving frame of initial conditions still shows regions of fluid that are transported.

6 Mixing rates

10

15

²⁰ The radial mixing rates $\overline{\sigma}(r_0, t_0)$ and $\overline{S}(r_0, t_0)$ quantify mixing due to shear, whereas $\overline{R}(r_0, t_0)$ quantifies hyperbolic mixing. In these mixing rates, the lines along and across which mixing is quantified are circles. Hyperbolic mixing rates that are more closely related to the shearing structures are mixing rates which quantify transport across shear-lines (level contours S_C of S, see Sect. 3.2.2).

ACPD

9, 16085–16129, 2009

Advective mixing in a nondivergent barotropic hurricane model



6.1 Measured mixing rate

Given a level-contour S_C of the S-field and an integration time T, we define the mixing rate $R_m(C, t_0)$ as the area of initial conditions whose trajectories cross S_C during $[t_0, t_0 + T]$, divided by the length of the contour. This mixing rate is computed ("measured") by seeding a grid of initial conditions and counting trajectories which cross S_C .

6.2 Predicted mixing rate

We define a predicted mixing rate through the R-field as follows. Let r_c be the average radius along S_c . If $R(\mathbf{x}_0, t_0) > 0$ and $\mathbf{x}_0 = r_0(\cos \theta_0, \sin \theta_0)^*$ with $r_0 < r_c$, \mathbf{x}_0 is inside the circle with radius r_c , and the trajectory $\phi_{t_0}^t(\mathbf{x}_0)$ is repelling. Thus trajectories seeded on the ray with angle θ_0 and radial values slightly above r_0 move outwards, towards the circle with radius r_c . This suggests to define a boundary point $(r_c - \delta(\theta_0), \theta_0)$ through the condition

$$e^{R(r_0,\theta_0,t_0)}\delta(\theta_0) + r_0 = r_C.$$
(13)

Points on the θ_0 -ray above this boundary point and below r_C can be expected to cross

¹⁵ the r_c -circle. If $r_0 > r_c$ and $R(\mathbf{x}_0, t_0) > 0$, trajectories on the ray θ_0 with radial values slightly below r_0 move inwards, towards the circle with radius r_c again, which leads to the same boundary point (13), now with $\delta(\theta_0) < 0$. If $R(\mathbf{x}_0, t_0) < 0$, the trajectory is attracting, and initial conditions on the opposite side of the r_c -circle move towards this circle, provided \mathbf{x}_0 is sufficiently close to that circle. The corresponding boundary point ²⁰ is then defined by $(r_c + \delta(\theta_0), \theta_0)$, where δ satisfies

$$e^{R(r_C,\theta_0,t_0)}\delta(\theta_0) + r_C = r_0.$$

By varying θ_0 , the conditions (13) for R > 0 and (14) for R < 0 define an annulus of initial conditions around the r_c -circle, whose area we use to define the predicted mixing rate $R_p(C, t_0)$. This mixing rate is an approximation of the measured mixing rate. Of course, several approximations and simplifying assumptions are involved in this definition, but

ACPD

9, 16085–16129, 2009

Advective mixing in a nondivergent barotropic hurricane model

B. Rutherford et al.



(14)

the results obtained make sense and the structure of R_p is similar to the structure of R_m .

Color-coded plots of the mixing rates $\overline{\sigma}(r_0, t_0)$, $\overline{S}(r_0, t_0)$, $\overline{R}(r_0, t_0)$, $R_m(C, t_0)$, and $R_p(C, t_0)$ are displayed in Figs. 15, 16, 17, 18, and 19, respectively, for integration

- times T=30 min and T=1 h. The rates $\overline{\sigma}$ and \overline{S} should be compared to the average angular velocity $\overline{\omega}$ and its radial derivative $\overline{\partial \omega / \partial r}$ shown in Fig. 14. These rates are measures of shear and show the highest shear during the initial 6 h, with the amount of shear dissipating as hyperbolic mixing begins to occur during the polygonal eyewall stage.
- ¹⁰ The hyperbolic mixing is captured by $\overline{R}(r_0, t_0)$, $R_m(C, t_0)$, and $R_p(C, t_0)$. All of these rates show that high hyperbolic mixing begins with the polygonal eyewall formation at 6 h and continues through the transition to a high vorticity eye at 24 h. In particular, the measured and predicted mixing rates $R_m(C, t_0)$ and $R_p(C, t_0)$ are very similar in structure, and reveal strong mixing near the zero *S*-contour S_0 (jet).
- ¹⁵ We note that Hendricks and Schubert (2009) studied mixing for the same barotropic model using the concept of effective diffusivity (Shuckburgh and Haynes, 2003; Nakamura, 1996). This quantity was computed at the initial time $t_0=0$, and with an integration time $t \equiv T$ (flow map ϕ_0^t) varying over the full duration of the model run of 48 h. The effective diffusivity yields a mixing rate that depends on *r* and *t*. Our mixing rates have
- ²⁰ a similar dependence on t_0 as the mixing rate of Hendricks and Schubert (2009) had on *t*, due to our moving frame approach. The mixing rate of Hendricks and Schubert (2009) shows two radial regions, at approximately r=30 km and r=50 km, where high mixing occurs during t=6 and t=32 h. The similar time and spatial regions associated with the same high mixing in our study occur for $\overline{\sigma}$ and \overline{S} during $t_0=6$ and $t_0=24$ h,
- when the band of low FTLE-values (the jet) is less prominent and more hyperbolic mixing occurs. The mixing rates $R_m(C, t_0)$ and $R_p(C, t_0)$ show very similar times of high mixing as the mixing rate of Hendricks and Schubert (2009), and the *S*-contours for which these high rates occur are located approximately at the same radial values

ACPD

9, 16085–16129, 2009

Advective mixing in a nondivergent barotropic hurricane model





noted above.

5

High R-values determine sets of trajectories that show growth orthogonal to the Lagrangian velocity, and result in filimentation that enables turbulent diffusion to occur. The R-field gives an advective measure that converges on very short time scales, yet still yields similar mixing rates as the effective diffusivity obtained by integration over the full model time.

7 Conclusions

We have characterized Lagrangian mixing in a two-dimensional, nondivergent barotropic model for hurricane-like vortices through several diagnostic techniques. For this model, the field of finite-time Lyapunov exponents provided a measure of total 10 particle separation, but it did not separate the effects of hyperbolicity and shear, and did not show distinct coherent structures. In order to separate the effects of the high shearing occurring in the model from hyperbolic mixing, the trajectory separation was decomposed in directions along and normal to the Lagrangian velocity. This decomposition gave rise to two Lagrangian fields, the R-field and the S-field, which guantified 15 the relative contributions of hyperbolicity and shear to the mixing process, respectively. In this approach, shear-lines and shear-strengths were identified with level-contours and level-values of the S-field. The R-field gave a measure of transport across the shear-lines, and it showed distinct ridges and valleys observable as hyperbolic coherent structures. The evolution of these structures under the flow map during an integra-20

- tion time provided a mechanism for advective mixing in the polygonial eyewall region, revealed in mixing events in which the flow map images of the structures are rotated. The impact of the coherent structures on the mixing process was quantified in terms of time- and space-dependent mixing rates, with the spatial dependence displayed as a function of shear-strength as well as a function of the (average) radius of shear-lines.
- Overall, the moving-frame approach used in this paper provides time-dependent mixing rates that isolate mixing events occurring in particular time windows.

ACPD 9, 16085–16129, 2009

Advective mixing in a nondivergent barotropic hurricane model





The methods used here led to mixing profiles of similar structure as in Hendricks and Schubert (2009), but with a moving time frame and with fast convergence in Lagrangian fields integrated over short times. The S-field provided a natural choice of contours for varying initial time that allowed to quantify mixing by determining transport across them.

Future work will address the impact of hyperbolicity in the presence of high shear in a three-dimensional setting, where planar shear and movement orthogonal to the shear are separated using suitable extensions of the fields introduced in this paper. These techniques will be applied to a realistic, three-dimensional hurricane model that has both shearing and hyperbolic components governing the mixing processes. The
 focus of this work will be rather different from that of a recent paper by Sapsis and Haller (2009), who studied mixing in a three-dimensional hurricane model by computing Lagrangian guantities along a slow manifold.

Acknowledgements. This work was supported by the National Science Foundation under NSF Cooperative Agreement ATM-0715426. The authors thank E. Hendricks, A. Jamshidi, K. Musgrave, C. Rozoff, J. Vigh, and M. Riemer for their discussions.

References

20

Frank, W. M. and Ritchie, E. A.: Effects of environmental flow upon tropical cyclone structure, Mon. Weather Rev., 127, 2044–2061, 1999. 16086

Frank, W. M. and Ritchie, E. A.: Effects of vertical wind shear on the intensity and structure of numerically simulated hurricanes, Mon. Weather Rev., 129, 2249–2269, 2001. 16086

Green, M. A., Rowley, C. W., and Haller, G.: Detection of Lagrangian coherent structures in 3-D turbulence, J. Fluid Mech., 572, 111–120, 2006. 16088

Haller, G.: Finding finite-time invariant manifolds in two-dimensioanl velocity fields, Chaos, 10, 99–108, 2000. 16087, 16095

Haller, G.: Lagrangian structures and the rate of strain in a partition of two-dimensional turbulence, Phys. Fluids, 13, 3365–3385, 2001. 16087

Haller, G.: Lagrangian coherent structures from approximate velocity data, Phys. Fluids, 14, 1851–1861, 2002. 16087, 16088, 16095



9, 16085–16129, 2009

Advective mixing in a nondivergent barotropic hurricane model



- Haller, G. and Iacono, R.: Stretching, alignment, and shear in slowly varying velocity fields, Phys. Rev. E, 68, 056304–1–6, 2003. 16089, 16090, 16093, 16096, 16098
- Haller, G. and Poje, A. C.: Finite time transport in aperiodic flows, Physica D, 119, 352–380, 1997. 16087, 16088, 16095
- 5 Haller, G. and Yuan, G.: Lagrangian coherent structures and mixing in two-dimensional turbulence, Physica D, 147, 352–370, 2000. 16087, 16088, 16095
 - Hendricks, E. A. and Schubert, W. H.: Transport and mixing in idealized barotropic hurricanelike vortices, Quart. J. Roy. Meteor. Soc., 135, 2009. 16086, 16089, 16090, 16106, 16108
 Huber, M., McWilliams, J. C., and Ghil, M.: A climatology of turbulent dispersion in the troposphere, J. Atmos. Sci., 58, 2377–2394, 2001. 16087, 16088
- Ide, K., Small, D., and Wiggins, S.: Distinguished hyperbolic trajectories in time-dependent fluid flows: Analytical and computational approach for velocity fields defined as data sets, Nonlinear Proc. Geoph., 9, 237–263, 2002. 16088

10

Joseph, B. and Legras, B.: Relation between kinematic boundaries, stirring, and barriers for the antarctic polar vortex, J. Atmos. Sci., 59, 1198–1212, 2001. 16087

- Kossin, J. P. and Schubert, W. H.: Mesovortices, polygonal flow patterns, and rapid pressure falls in hurricane-like vortices, J. Atmos. Sci., 58, 2196–2209, 2001. 16087, 16091, 16092
 Montgomery, M. T. and Lu, C.: Free waves on barotropic vortices, Part I: Eigenmode structure, J. Atmos. Sci., 54, 1868–1885, 1997. 16100
- Montgomery, M. T., Bell, M. M., Aberson, S. D., and Black, M. L.: Hurricane Isabel (2003): New insights into the physics of intense storms, Part I: Mean vortex structure and maximum intensity estimates, Bull. Amer. Met. Soc., 87, 1349–1354, 2006. 16086
 - Nakamura, N.: Two-dimensional mixing, edge formation, and permeability diagnosed in area coordinates, J. Atmos. Sci., 53, 1524–1537, 1996. 16089, 16106
- ²⁵ Ottino, J. M.: The Kinematics of Mixing: Stretching, Chaos, and Transport, Cambridge University Press, 1989. 16097
 - Rotunno, R. and Emanuel, K.: An air-sea interaction theory for tropical cyclones, Part II: Evolutionary study using a nonhydrostatic axisymmetric numerical model, J. Atmos. Sci., 44, 542–561, 1987. 16087
- Rutherford, B., Persing, J., Dangelmayr, G., Kirby, M., and Montgomery, M.: Lagrangian mixing in an axisymmetric hurricane model, preprint, submitted for publication, 2009. 16087
 Sapsis, T. and Haller, G.: Inertial particle dynamics in a hurricane, preprint, submitted, 2009. 16108

9, 16085–16129, 2009

Advective mixing in a nondivergent barotropic hurricane model





Schubert, W. H., Montgomery, M. T., Taft, R. K., Guinn, T. A., Fulton, S. R., Kossin, J. P., and Edwards, J. P.: Polygonal eyewalls, asymmetric eye contraction, and potential vorticity mixing in hurricanes, J. Atmos. Sci., 56, 1197–1223, 1999. 16087, 16093

Shadden, S. C., Lekein, F., and Marsden, J. E.: Definition and properties of Lagrangian co-

herent structures from finite-time Lyapunov exponents in two-dimensional aperiodic flows, Physica D, 212, 271–304, 2005. 16087, 16095

Shuckburgh, E. and Haynes, P.: Diagnosing transport and mixing using a tracer-based coordinate system, Phys. Fluids, 15, 3342–3357, 2003. 16089, 16106

Willoughby, H. E.: Tropical cyclone eye thermodynamics, Mon. Weather Rev., 126, 3053–3067, 2001. 16086

10

ACPD

9, 16085–16129, 2009

Advective mixing in a nondivergent barotropic hurricane model

















ACPD

9, 16085–16129, 2009

Advective mixing in a nondivergent barotropic hurricane model

B. Rutherford et al.



Fig. 3. Forward and backward FTLE-fields at the initial time t_0 =6 h integrated (a) 15 min, (b) -15 min, (c) 30 min, (d) -30 min, (e) 120 min, and (f) -120 min.



Fig. 4. Forward and backward S-fields at the initial time $t_0=6$ h integrated (a) 15 min, (b) -15 min, (c) 30 min, (d) -30 min, (e) 120 min, and (f) -120 min.



ACPD

9, 16085–16129, 2009

Advective mixing in a nondivergent barotropic hurricane model







9, 16085–16129, 2009

Advective mixing in a nondivergent barotropic hurricane model

B. Rutherford et al.



Fig. 5. Forward and backward R-fields at the initial time $t_0=6$ h integrated (a) 15 min, (b) -15 min, (c) 30 min, (d) -30 min, (e) 120 min, and (f) -120 min.



ACPD

9, 16085–16129, 2009

Advective mixing in a nondivergent barotropic hurricane model

B. Rutherford et al.



Fig. 6. (a) R-field with ridges and valleys and **(b)** Lagrangian speed at $t_0=2$ h. **(c)** Zoom of box A from R-field showing repelling edge, and **(d)** zoom of box B showing attracting edge. Integration time is T=60 min. Black lines show the azimuthal velocity at the initial time.









Fig. 8. (a) Angular averages $\overline{\sigma}$ (dashed) and $\partial \omega / \partial r$ (solid) versus radius, (b) angular averages \overline{S} (solid) and \overline{R} (dashed) versus radius, (c) forward FTLE-field σ , (d) forward S-field, (e) forward R-field, and (f) Q-field for initial time of $t_0=2h$ with integration time T=1h.















Fig. 10. (a) Angular averages $\overline{\sigma}$ (dashed) and $\partial \omega / \partial r$ (solid) versus radius, **(b)** angular averages \overline{S} (solid) and \overline{R} (dashed) versus radius, **(c)** forward FTLE-field σ , **(d)** forward S-field, **(e)** forward R-field, and **(f)** Q-field for initial time of t_0 =6 h with integration time T=1 h.























Fig. 13. (a) Angular averages $\overline{\sigma}$ (dashed) and $\partial \omega / \partial r$ (solid) versus radius, **(b)** angular averages \overline{S} (solid) and \overline{R} (dashed) versus radius, **(c)** forward FTLE-field σ , **(d)** forward S-field, **(e)** forward R-field, and **(f)** Q-field for initial time of t_0 =12 h with integration time T=1 h.











Printer-friendly Version

Interactive Discussion



Fig. 15. Radial FTLE-values $\overline{\sigma}(r_0, t_0)$ for integration times (a) 30 min and (b) 1 h.

ACPD 9, 16085-16129, 2009 Advective mixing in a nondivergent barotropic hurricane model B. Rutherford et al. **Title Page** Abstract Introduction Conclusions References Tables Figures



►

.∎◄

4



Fig. 16. Radial *S*-values $\overline{S}(r_0, t_0)$ for integration times (a) 30 min and (b) 1 h.

ACPD 9, 16085-16129, 2009 Advective mixing in a nondivergent barotropic hurricane model B. Rutherford et al. **Title Page** Abstract Introduction Conclusions References Tables Figures .∎∢ ► 4 Back Close Full Screen / Esc **Printer-friendly Version** Interactive Discussion





Fig. 17. Radial R-values $\overline{R}(r_0, t_0)$ for integration times (a) 30 min and (b) 1 h.

ACPD 9, 16085-16129, 2009 Advective mixing in a nondivergent barotropic hurricane model B. Rutherford et al. **Title Page** Abstract Introduction Conclusions References Tables Figures .∎◄ ► 4 Back Close Full Screen / Esc **Printer-friendly Version** Interactive Discussion











Fig. 19. Predicted mixing rate $R_{\rho}(C, t_0)$ across shear-lines S_C for integration times (a) 30 min and (b) 1 h.

