Atmos. Chem. Phys. Discuss., 9, 13271–13298, 2009 www.atmos-chem-phys-discuss.net/9/13271/2009/ © Author(s) 2009. This work is distributed under the Creative Commons Attribution 3.0 License.



This discussion paper is/has been under review for the journal *Atmospheric Chemistry and Physics (ACP)*. Please refer to the corresponding final paper in *ACP* if available.

Different characteristics of char and soot in the atmosphere and their ratio as an indicator for source identification in Xi'an, China

Y. M. $Han^{1,2}$, J. J. $Cao^{1,3}$, S. C. $Lee^{2,1}$, K. F. $Ho^{2,1}$, and Z. S. An^{1}

 ¹SKLLQG, Institute of Earth Environment, Chinese Academy of Sciences, Xi'an 710075, China
 ²Department of Civil and Structural Engineering, The Hong Kong Polytechnic University, Hung Hum, Kowloon, Hong Kong
 ³Department of Environmental Sciences, Xi'an Jiaotong University, Xi'an, China
 Received: 15 May 2009 – Accepted: 8 June 2009 – Published: 16 June 2009
 Correspondence to: Y. M. Han (yongming@ieecas.cn)

Published by Copernicus Publications on behalf of the European Geosciences Union.

ACPD 9, 13271-13298, 2009 Different characteristics of char and soot in the atmosphere Y. M. Han et al. Title Page Introduction Abstract Conclusions References **Tables Figures** 14 Close Back Full Screen / Esc **Printer-friendly Version** Interactive Discussion



Abstract

Elemental carbon (EC) is a collective term encompassing all thermally altered carbonaceous materials and can be subdivided into two classes: char and soot. Since the different classes of EC have different chemical and physical properties, their optical light-absorbing properties differ, so that it is essential to differentiate them in the environment. One year of observations of the daily and seasonal variations of carbonaceous particles were conducted in Xi'an, China in 2004 to demonstrate the different characteristics of char and soot in the atmosphere. Total carbon (TC), organic carbon (OC), EC and char-EC showed similar seasonal trends, with high concentrations in winter and low concentrations in summer, while soot-EC revealed relatively small seasonal variations, with maximum concentration $(1.85 \,\mu g \,m^{-3})$ in spring and minimum concentration $(1.15 \,\mu g \,m^{-3})$ in summer. The strong correlation between EC and char-EC (R^2 =0.99) indicates that previously reported total EC reflected the characteristics of char only, while overlooking that of soot. However, soot exhibits stronger

- ¹⁵ light-absorbing characteristics than char, and merits greater focus. The small seasonal variation of soot-EC indicates that soot may be the background fraction in total EC, and is likely to have an even longer lifetime in the atmosphere than previously estimated for total EC, which suggests that soot has a greater contribution to global warming. Although char-EC/soot-EC ratio is similar to primary OC/EC ratio as both
- vary with emission sources, OC/EC ratio is affected by the secondary organic aerosol (SOA) formation. Thus char-EC/soot-EC may be a more effective indicator than OC/EC in source identification of carbonaceous aerosol. Comparison of seasonal variations of OC/EC and char-EC/soot-EC ratios in Xi'an confirms this point. However, wet scavenging by snow and rain was more effective for char than for soot and influenced the end of the table of the table.
- 25 char-EC/soot-EC ratio, and this factor should be considered in source identification as well.

ACPD

9, 13271–13298, 2009

Different characteristics of char and soot in the atmosphere





1 Introduction

Previous studies of carbonaceous aerosols have focused on two main classes: organic carbon (OC) and elemental carbon (EC, also termed black carbon, BC). EC has received more attention in recent years because it contributes to global warming, carries carcinogenic compounds, and causes serious health risk (Jacobson, 2001; Menon et al., 2002; Avakian et al., 2002; Hansen and Nazarenko, 2004; Koelmans et al., 2006). It is estimated that the contributions by EC to global warming, in terms of direct climate forcing, is second only to CO₂ (Jacobson, 2001), and reducing emissions of EC could mitigate the projected warming trends and delay the time of onset of the "dangerous" climate change (e.g. Shindell and Faluvegi, 2009). Globally, biomass and biofuel burning contributes about 62% to total EC and fossil fuel about 38% (Bond et al., 2004). However, EC is not a single chemical compound with well-defined characteristics (Pöschl, 2005; Andreae and Gelencsér, 2006), but is best understood as a range of compounds occurring along a "combustion continuum" (Masiello, 2004; Koel-

- ¹⁵ mans et al., 2006). EC is generally subdivided into char and soot. Soot is composed of submicron particles formed from the condensation of hydrocarbon radicals at high temperature (>600°C). Char, unlike soot that forms submicron, grape-like clusters, retains the morphology of their source material with diameters ranging from 1 to 100 μ m. Due to differences in the chemical and physical properties of char and soot (Masiello,
- 2004) and the resulting difference in optical light-absorbing properties (Kirchstetter et al., 2004; Reid et al., 2005; Andreae and Gelencsér, 2006), the differentiation between char and soot in the environment would help us better understand their environmental and climatic impacts.

There have been few studies discriminating between char and soot because the necessary methods have not been perfected. Recently, Han et al. (2007a) estimated to differentiate between char and soot using the thermal optical reflectance (TOR) method that has long been utilized in carbonaceous aerosol studies as the most reliable method (Gelencsér, 2004). Han et al. (2007a) found that char and soot evolved in different

ACPD

9, 13271–13298, 2009

Different characteristics of char and soot in the atmosphere





conditions in the TOR method (char oxidized in EC1 conditions, at 550°C in a 2% O₂/98% He atmosphere, and soot in EC2 and EC3 conditions, at 700°C and 800°C in a 2% O₂/98% He atmosphere, respectively). Also, comparing the TOR method with the chemothermal (CTO-375) method indicated that soot-EC determined by the CTO-375
⁵ method (Nguyen et al., 2004; Hammes et al., 2007) always corresponded to EC2 and EC3 determined by the TOR method (Han et al., 2007b). This suggests that the TOR method can be used to operationally differentiate between char and soot (Han et al., 2007a).

In order to demonstrate the different characteristics of char and soot, one year of observations of the daily and seasonal variations of carbonaceous particles, including total carbon (TC), OC, EC, char-EC, and soot-EC in $PM_{2.5}$ (particulate matter with an aerodynamic diameter smaller than 2.5 micrometres [μ m]) in Xi'an, China in 2004 are examined. In addition, as the primary particles of char and soot, their ratio is tested as means of source identification for carbonaceous aerosols. The influence of wet scavenging on the behavior of char and soot and their ratios is also discussed.

2 Sampling and analysis

20

25

2.1 Research area and sampling

Xi'an is the capital city of Shaanxi province in Central China, located on the Guanzhong Plain at 33°29′–34°44′ N, 107°40′–109°49′ E (Fig. 1). The city is situated in a semi-arid zone, with a mean temperature of 13.0–13.4°C and annual rainfall of 558–750 mm. Rainfall generally occurs during July and September due to the East Asian monsoon system.

Sampling was carried out on the rooftop of the building of the Institute of Earth Environment, Chinese Academy of Sciences, at 10 m above ground, which is surrounded by a residential area ~15 km south of downtown (Fig. 1) and has no major industrial activities. Daily $PM_{2.5}$ samples were collected from 1 January to 31 December

ACPD

9, 13271-13298, 2009

Different characteristics of char and soot in the atmosphere



using mini-volume samplers (Airmetrics, Oregon, USA) operating at flow rates of 5 L per minute (L min⁻¹). All samples were acquired on pre-fired (900°C for three hours), pre-weighed 47 mm Whatman quartz-fiber filters for 24 h from 10:00 a.m. to 10:00 a.m. every day.

Meteorological data were monitored simultaneously with a HFY-IA Wind Speed/Wind Direction Instrument (Changchun Institute of Metrological Instruments, Changchun, Jilin Province, China). Meanwhile, daily temperatures and weather conditions such as rain, snow, dust storms, and visibility issued by the Xi'an Meteorological Bureau were manually recorded. Based on local meteorological characteristics, the four sea sons were designated as January, February and December for winter, March to May for spring, June to August for summer, and September to November for fall.

2.2 Carbon analysis

Samples were analyzed gravimetrically for mass concentrations using an electronic microbalance with $\pm 1 \,\mu g$ sensitivity (Sartorius, Gottingen, Germany). Carbon analyses were conducted with a Desert Research Institute (DRI) Model 2001 Carbon Analyzer (Atmoslytic Inc., Calabasas, CA) following the IMPROVE TOR protocol (Chow et al., 1993; Han et al., 2007a, b). A 0.526 cm² punch from a quartz filter was heated to produce four OC fractions: OC1, OC2, OC3, and OC4 at temperatures of 120, 250, 450. and 550°C, respectively, in a non-oxidizing helium (He) atmosphere, and three EC fractions: EC1, EC2, EC3 at 550, 700, and 800°C, respectively, in an oxidizing 20 atmosphere of 2% oxygen/98% helium. Meanwhile, a pyrolyzed organic carbon fraction (POC) was also produced and was determined when a reflected laser light attained its original value after O₂ was added to the analysis atmosphere. IMPROVE OC is defined as the sum of the four OC fractions plus POC, and EC as the sum of the three EC fractions minus POC. The sum of OC and EC is total carbon (TC). According to Han et 25 al. (2007a), char-EC is defined as EC1 minus POC, and soot-EC as the sum of EC2 and EC3. This differentiation has been used in both aerosol (Han et al., 2008) and sediment studies (Han et al., 2009a), as well as in soils and urban dusts to indicate

9, 13271-13298, 2009

Different characteristics of char and soot in the atmosphere





local air pollution problems in China (Han et al., 2009b).

The analyzer was calibrated with known quantities of CH₄ every day. Average concentrations of filter blanks were 1.56, 0.42, 0.32, and 0.10 μ g m⁻³ for OC, EC, char-EC, and soot-EC, respectively, which were subtracted from the ambient measurements.

Replicate analyses were performed at the rate of one per group of 10 samples. Comparison with average values from replicate analyses showed errors were <5% for TC, <8% for OC and EC, and <10% for char-EC and soot-EC.</p>

3 Results

Daily and monthly variations in OC, EC, char-EC, and soot-EC, as well as daily mass and TC variations are shown in Figs. 2 and 3. Also, seasonal mass and carbon concentrations are summarized in Table 1. The annual average $PM_{2.5}$ mass concentration was 178.5 μ g m⁻³, which is much higher than the National Ambient Air Quality Guideline of the European Union (25 μ g m⁻³), as well as the Air Quality Guideline and the Interim Target-3, -2 and -1 of the World Health Organization (WHO) of 10, 15, 35, 35 μ g m⁻³, respectively, indicating a very serious potential health concern for local residents. Average OC and EC concentrations were 36.39 and 8.41 μ g m⁻³, respectively, contributing to 20.4% and 4.7% of the total PM_{2.5} mass, respectively. Comparing EC concentrations in Xi'an with those of other Chinese cities reported in previous studies

- (e.g. He et al., 2001; Cao et al., 2003, 2007; Ye et al., 2003; Yu et al., 2004; Duan et al., 2007) indicated EC rank highly in Xi'an, and this may also imply that char-EC rank highly since char-EC are well correlated with EC in different Chinese cities (Han et al., 2009). This finding is consistent with a previous study on the spatial distribution of EC and char-EC from 14 Chinese cities (Cao et al., 2007; Han et al., 2009). Average char-EC and soot-EC concentrations were 6.86 and 1.54 μ g m⁻³, accounting for
- 81.6% and 18.4% of the total EC, respectively. The char-EC level was about 6 times higher than that in a small village in Daihai, Inner Mongolia (Han et al., 2008), which can be attributed to the difference in fuel consumption between Xi'an, a mega-city, and

ACPD 9, 13271-13298, 2009 Different characteristics of char and soot in the atmosphere Y. M. Han et al. **Title Page** Abstract Introduction Conclusions References **Figures** Tables Back Close Full Screen / Esc **Printer-friendly Version** Interactive Discussion



Daihai, a rural mountain area. The average soot-EC concentration in Xi'an was close to the average soot-EC concentration $(1.24 \,\mu g \,m^{-3})$ from 14 Chinese cities (Han et al., 2009), which confirms the very small difference in soot-EC concentrations at large.

4 Discussion

5 4.1 Variations in char-EC and soot-EC concentrations

Concentrations of PM_{2.5}, TC, OC, EC, and char-EC showed similar patterns, with high concentrations in winter and low concentrations in summer. This pattern is similar to previous studies (e.g. Cao et al., 2003, 2005, 2007; Han et al., 2008) and is consistent with fuel consumption as winter heating generates heavy emissions in Xi'an (Han et al., 2009). Soot-EC concentrations revealed a slightly different pattern, with 10 the highest monthly concentrations in spring (March and April), but the lowest soot-EC level still occurred in summer. This trend is similar to that found in a previous study in a remote mountain area of Inner Mongolia in northern China (Han et al., 2008), and is similar with CO variation with maximum concentration occurring during local spring in both Northern and Southern Hemispheres (Yung et al., 1999). Both soot 15 and CO come mainly from fossil fuel combustion and biomass burning. In China, forest fires frequently occur in spring (March and April) after the long-term dry season in winter, which may contribute to the high soot-EC concentrations at that time. Comparison of daily, monthly and seasonal variations of char-EC and soot-EC concentrations (Fig. 3) indicated that abundance of char-EC was more variable. The maximum and 20 minimum seasonal average char-EC concentrations were 10.49 and 2.57 μ g m⁻³, re-

spectively. Soot-EC showed a narrower seasonal variation, with a maximum level in spring $(1.85 \,\mu g \,m^{-3})$ and minimum level in summer $(1.15 \,\mu g \,m^{-3})$. If the influence of wet scavenging (see Sect. 4.4) is neglected, the seasonal variations in soot-EC con-²⁵ centrations may be even smaller, and this implies that soot may have an even longer lifetime than previously estimated for total EC (Orgen and Charlson, 1983).





4.2 Correlations between different carbon particles

Correlations between different carbonaceous materials are presented in Table 2. Similarly to many previous studies (e.g. Cao et al., 2003, 2005; Duan et al., 2007; Han et al., 2008), OC and EC in Xi'an were positively correlated (p<0.0001), indicating com-

- ⁵ mon combustion sources for carbonaceous aerosols in urban areas. Char-EC showed stronger correlation with TC, OC, and EC than soot-EC, which may be a result of the different formation mechanism of char and soot (Han et al., 2009b), with char formed from combustion residues and soot from gas-particle re-condensation. EC had the strongest correlation with char-EC among these components, which is consistent with char-EC
- ¹⁰ dominating the total EC. The regression equation between EC and char-EC (Fig. 4) is EC=1.15+1.06*char-EC (R^2 =0.99). The slope and intercept are similar to those obtained from the correlations between EC and char-EC from fourteen Chinese cities (Han et al., 2009). This further confirms that previously reported EC concentrations measured with the TOR method can be used to calculate char-EC concentrations in
- ¹⁵ urban areas. Since EC is the sum of char-EC and soot-EC, the intercept of $1.15 \,\mu g \,m^{-3}$ can be seen as the background value of soot-EC in Xi'an. This value is similar to the average concentration of soot-EC in summer. In the different seasons throughout the year, motor vehicle activities vary little, while coal heating is concentrated in winter, so the background of soot may be derived mainly from vehicle exhaust. Previous re-²⁰ searchers have referred to EC as a "proxy" for the concentration of soot carbon (see
- review by Andreae and Gelencsér, 2006). Our results suggest that previously reported EC may reflect char variations only.

EC and char-EC are moderately correlated with soot-EC in Xi'an, which may be affected by the background value of soot-EC. However, this relationship is stronger than

that from fourteen Chinese cities (Han et al., 2009), which showed poor correlation between char-EC and soot-EC. This result may be associated with the relatively limited sources for EC emissions in Xi'an compared with those from the fourteen cities, which is shown by the narrow range of char-EC/soot-EC ratios from primary Xi'an coal com-

ACPD

9, 13271-13298, 2009

Different characteristics of char and soot in the atmosphere





bustion emissions (1.5–3.0 from Cao et al. (2005) vs. 1.2–66.7 from Fig. 6). Comparison of the correlations between char-EC and soot-EC among the different four seasons (Fig. 5) showed increasing slopes and correlations from winter to summer, consistent with the increasing contribution of soot-EC to total EC with the enhanced percentage of motor vehicle emissions in summer.

4.3 Char-EC/soot-EC ratio as an indicator for source identification

4.3.1 Char-EC/soot-EC ratios from primary emissions

5

EC forms from all combustion processes with three main sources: biomass burning, coal combustion, and motor vehicle exhaust. It has been suggested that primary emissions have distinct OC/EC ratios, with higher values from biomass burning and lower 10 levels from fossil fuel combustion (e.g. Turpin and Huntzicker, 1991; Castro et al., 1999; Cao et al., 2003, 2005, 2007; Malm et al., 2004; Zhang et al., 2007). Similarly, char-EC/soot-EC ratios also have distinct values from primary emissions (Chow et al., 2004; Cao et al., 2005, 2006; Han et al., 2008). Ambient OC/EC ratio is generally influenced by three factors: primary emission source, different OC and EC removal rates by de-15 position, and secondary organic aerosol (SOA) formation (Cachier et al., 1996; Cao et al., 2005). However char-EC/soot-EC ratio is controlled predominately by combustion process and remains stable in the environment, and thus is determined only by the first two factors. Since SOA accounts for a substantial fraction of carbon in aerosol (Turpin and Huntzicker, 1991; Castro et al., 1999; Cao et al., 2003; Schichtel et al., 2008) and 20 it is not easy to obtain the exact percentage (Turpin and Huntzicker, 1991; Castro et al.,

- 1999), substantial bias may exist in source identification using the OC/EC ratio. This problem does not exist for the char-EC/soot-EC ratio, and thus it may be better to use the char-EC/soot-EC ratio for source identification.
- Primary emissions of OC/EC and char-EC/soot-EC ratios from biomass burning, coal combustion, vehicle exhaust, and cooking are presented in Fig. 6. Since there are few reports of char-EC and soot-EC from primary emissions, OC/EC and char-EC/soot-EC

ACPD 9, 13271-13298, 2009 Different characteristics of char and soot in the atmosphere Y. M. Han et al. **Title Page** Introduction Abstract References Conclusions Tables **Figures** Close Back Full Screen / Esc **Printer-friendly Version** Interactive Discussion



ratios in Fig. 6 are calculated using the raw data reported from the eight carbon fractions in the literature measured with the TOR method (Chow et al., 2004; Cao et al., 2005, 2006; Chen et al., 2007; and some unpublished data from Yuan Liu). Details for the combustion conditions can be found in these articles. Motor vehicle emissions have

- the lowest OC/EC and char-EC/soot-EC ratios, with char-EC/soot-EC generally lower than 1.0. Coal combustion and biomass burning have higher, albeit distinct, OC/EC and char-EC/soot-EC ratios, which may be controlled mainly by the fuel types, combustion mode and temperature, and moisture content, etc. The ratios depend on the mixing function of the different factors. For example, grass combustion may produce
- lower char-EC/soot-EC, while wood combustion has higher char-EC/soot-EC (Chow et al., 2004; Chen et al., 2007). Generally, biomass burning by smoldering at low temperatures results in high char-EC/soot-EC (Chen et al., 2007). In coal combustion, bituminous coal generally produces very high char-EC/soot-EC, while anthracite coal produces relatively lower char-EC/soot-EC. Residential coal combustion in Xi'an
 has char-EC/soot-EC ranging from 1.5 to 3.0 (Cao et al., 2005). Residential cooking
- produces char-EC/soot-EC generally within the range of 2.0 to 6.0 (Chow et al., 2004).

4.3.2 Source identification from char-EC/soot-EC ratios in Xi'an

Daily variations in OC/EC and char-EC/soot-EC ratios are presented in Fig. 7. The average OC/EC ratios in winter and summer have almost similar values, and the lowest
ratios occurred in spring (Table 1). Monthly variations in OC/EC ratios revealed two peaks, in January and July, respectively (Fig. 3). The first peak can be ascribed to the coal consumption in winter, although SOA formation may also have an important impact (Cao et al., 2005). The next peak is most likely to be linked to SOA formation since motor vehicle exhaust are the main contributor to EC in summer, which have very low primary OC/EC ratios (Fig. 6), and the higher temperature in summer would produce more SOA (e.g. Turpin and Huntzicker, 1991). This confirms that OC/EC ratio is not universally suitable for primary source identification of carbonaceous aerosols, since it is not only influenced by the fuel used (the primary emissions), but also by the





formation of SOA.

Unlike OC/EC, char-EC/soot-EC ratios showed a clear seasonal variation, with the highest value of 6.69 in winter and the lowest value of 2.17 in summer. Xi'an has a long-term "heating season" from October 15 to March 15 (Cao et al., 2005). It was reported

- 5 (Xi'an Clean Energy Office, 2002) that 3.5 Tg coal was consumed as the main energy source in the total primary energy consumption in 2000, which accounts for 81% of the total energy consumption. Obviously, the high char-EC/soot-EC ratios in winter can be attributed to coal consumption for heating. The average char-EC/soot-EC ratio in summer was still higher than that from road dusts (1.66) in Xi'an city (Han et al., 2009b),
- ¹⁰ which may suggest that apart from the main contributions from motor vehicle exhaust, industrial coal combustion and some biomass burning events in summer cannot be neglected in summer. For example, the biomass burning occurred during June 8 and 9 in rural area around Xi'an caused a sharp increase in char-EC/soot-EC ratio, with values close to 10 (Fig. 6). Monthly char-EC/soot-EC ratios showed their highest peak
- in February (Fig. 3), with a small decreasing trend in January and December, probably due to the difference in wet scavenging rates by snow and rain between char and soot particles (see Sect. 4.4). Obviously, the variations in char-EC/soot-EC ratio are consistent with the energy sources and thus are effective indicator for source identification. Since char-EC/soot-EC is well correlated with char-EC concentrations (Table 2), char-
- ²⁰ EC variation may be roughly used for source identification of carbonaceous aerosols.

4.4 Wet scavenging influencing the discrepancy in char and soot removal

Generally, carbon concentrations in urban areas are mainly determined by the fuel consumption. TC, OC and EC, as well as char-EC (Figs. 2 and 3), showed high concentrations in winter and low concentrations in summer, which is in good agreement
with fuel consumption in Xi'an. Although temperature itself should not affect carbon concentrations, it is negatively correlated with the mass and carbon concentrations (Table 2). Temperature varies with coal combustion, as well as mixing height in Xi'an, which would influence carbon concentrations. For example, in winter, especially in cold

9, 13271-13298, 2009

Different characteristics of char and soot in the atmosphere



weather, more coal is used for heating in Xi'an, which would result in higher emissions. Meanwhile low temperatures would lead to a lower mixing height, reducing particulate dispersion and thus enhancing local pollutant levels. The daily minimum temperature showed relatively stronger correlations with carbon concentrations and char-EC/soot-

⁵ EC ratio than the daily maximum temperature, which may be attributed to increased coal combustion during cold periods.

Rain and snow were thought to be important factors influencing atmospheric aerosol concentrations due to their scavenging potential (e.g. Armails, 1999; Jaffe et al., 2005; Meng et al., 2007). Generally, high precipitation occurs in summer and lower precipitation in spring and winter in northern China, including Xi'an, due to the influence of

- itation in spring and winter in northern China, including Xi'an, due to the influence of the East Asian monsoon system (Han et al., 2009c). Although the lowest precipitation occurs in spring in Xi'an, the highest concentrations of mass, TC, OC, EC, and char-EC occurred in winter, but not in spring, which may suggest that, for these components, the influence of fuel consumption is more important than wet scavenging. Soot-EC
- ¹⁵ concentrations showed close coupling with the snow and rain precipitation, with the highest in spring (March and April), and the lowest in summer (Figs. 2, 3, and 7). This pattern may suggest that soot, as a regionally-to-globally dispersed particle, is affected mainly by wet precipitation.

The comparison of seasonal variations between rainy and non-rainy period (Table 3) indicates that rain removal is more effective in dry season (winter and spring) than in wet season (summer and fall) for TC, OC, EC, char-EC and soot-EC. This finding is similar to that of Armails (1999), who found that the wet deposition of EC was double during the dry season than during the wet season. Generally, in winter the high pressure associated with the invasion of cold air prevents the air mass diffusion, so that snow or rain precipitation is the main route for EC removal. In summer, the low pres-

25 show or rain precipitation is the main route for EC removal. In summer, the low pressure and higher mixing height facilitates EC diffusion and thus rain precipitation would be a relatively less effective factor influencing pollutant removal. The char-EC/soot-EC ratio variations were also affected by wet precipitation, and showed a great decrease in rainy periods (Table 3). This suggests that char and soot have different removal rates

ACPD 9, 13271-13298, 2009 Different characteristics of char and soot in the atmosphere Y. M. Han et al. **Title Page** Introduction Abstract Conclusions References **Tables Figures**

Close



Full Screen / Esc

Printer-friendly Version

14

Back

by wet scavenging, which may be mainly associated with the difference in char and soot particle sizes. In general, char is composed of large particles $(1-100 \,\mu\text{m})$ produced from incomplete combustion at low temperatures, and would be expected to be easily been removed by wet deposition. Soot, composed of submicron particles, can remain suspended for time scales of the order of a month (Ogren and Charlson, 1983), and thus is more difficult to remove.

5 Conclusions

5

This study demonstrates that char and soot have different characteristics in the atmosphere. Similar to previous reported TC, OC and total EC, char-EC showed high seasonal variations, with the maximum concentrations in winter $(10.49 \,\mu g \,m^{-3})$ being ~4 times of that in summer $(2.57 \,\mu g \,m^{-3})$. This is associated with Xi'an winter heating. However, soot-EC revealed only small variations in different seasons, highest in spring and lowest in summer, and this variation is probably in part due to the wet scavenging. This confirms the regional-to-global dispersion of soot particles, while char is relatively locally to regionally distributed. Strong correlations between EC and char-EC suggest that char-EC concentrations can be calculated from previously reported EC concentrations. However, previously reported total EC in the literature reflected the characteristics of char only, while soot's characteristics were overlooked. Soot-EC can be seen as a background fraction, contributing to 18.4% of Xi'an total EC on average, and had a background value of e^{-1} 15 μ g m⁻³ in PM

- ²⁰ and had a background value of ~1.15 μ g m⁻³ in PM_{2.5}, which may be mainly associated with the motor vehicle emission throughout the year. Previous studies suggested that soot exhibits strong light absorption characteristics with little spectral dependence; while char tends to absorb strongly in the UV spectrum. Thus, efforts to reduce motor vehicle emissions may have a greater impact on the mitigation of the present warming
- trends than the reduction of biomass burning and coal combustion. The primary emissions had the lowest char-EC/soot-EC ratios from motor vehicle exhaust (less than 1.0 in general), while high values were generated by coal combustion and biomass burn-

ACPD

9, 13271-13298, 2009

Different characteristics of char and soot in the atmosphere





ing (up to ~70). This indicates that char-EC/soot-EC ratio is an effective indicator of source identification for carbonaceous aerosols. However, wet scavenging is also an important factor influencing the char-EC/soot-EC ratio because of the difference in removal rate of char and soot. The seasonal char-EC/soot-EC ratios in the rainy period

⁵ are much lower than those in non-rainy period, which is consistent with the physical properties of char and soot, in particular their size distributions. Since a limited amount of data is now available concerning source emissions of OC/EC and char-EC/soot-EC ratios, more studies in different source emissions are needed in the future. In addition, such studies may help to establish the relationship between primary OC/EC and char-EC/soot-EC ratios, providing new insights on methods for SOA calculation.

Acknowledgements. This study has been supported by the National Natural Science Foundation of China (40675081, 40599420), the National Basic Research Program of China (2004CB720203), the Knowledge Innovation Program of the Chinese Academy of Sciences (kzcx2-yw-148), and the State Key Laboratory of Loess & Quaternary Geology (SKLLQG0718 and LQ0701).

References

15

20

25

Armails, S.: Wet deposition of elemental carbon in Lithuania, Sci. Total Environ., 239, 89–93, 1999.

Andreae, M. O. and Gelencsér, A.: Black carbon or brown carbon? The nature of lightabsorbing carbonaceous aerosols, Atmos. Chem. Phys., 6, 3131–3148, 2006,

- http://www.atmos-chem-phys.net/6/3131/2006/.
- Avakian, M. D., Dellinger, B., Fiedler, H., Gullet, B., Koshland, C., Marklund, S., Oberdorster, G., Safe, S., Sarofim, A., Smith, K. R., Schwartz, D., and Suk, W. A.: The origin, fate, and health effects of combustion by-products: a research framework, Environ. Health Persp., 110, 1155–1162, 2002.
- Bond, T. C., Streets, D. G., Yarber, K. F., Nelson, S. M., Woo, J.-H., and Klimont, Z.: A technology based inventory of black and organic carbon emissions from combustion, J Geophys Res., 108(D21), 8823, doi:10.1029/2002JD003117, 2004.

ACPD

9, 13271–13298, 2009

Different characteristics of char and soot in the atmosphere





Cachier, H., Liousse, C., Pertuisol, M. H., Gaudichet, A., Echalar, F., and Lacaux, J. P.: African fine particulate emissions and atmospheric influence, in: Biomass Burning and Global Change, edited by: Levine, E. J. S., MIT Press, London, 428–440, 1996.

Cao, J. J., Lee, S. C., Ho, K. F., Zhang, X. Y., Zou, S. C., Fung, K. K., Chow, J. C., and Watson,

- J. G.: Characteristics of carbonaceous aerosol in Pearl River Delta region, China during 2001 winter period, Atmos. Environ., 37, 1451–1460, 2003.
 - Cao, J. J., Wu, F., Chow, J. C., Lee, S. C., Li, Y., Chen, S. W., An, Z. S., Fung, K. K., Watson, J. G., Zhu, C. S., and Liu, S. X.: Characterization and source apportionment of atmospheric organic and elemental carbon during fall and winter of 2003 in Xi'an, China, Atmos. Chem. Phys., 5, 3127–3137, 2005,

http://www.atmos-chem-phys.net/5/3127/2005/.

10

25

- Cao, J. J., Lee, S. C., Ho, K. F., Fung, K., Chow, J. C., and Watson, J. G.: Characterization of Roadside Fine Particulate Carbon and its Eight Fractions in Hong Kong, Aero. Air Quality Res., 6, 106–122, 2006.
- ¹⁵ Cao, J. J., Lee, S. C., Chow, J. C., Watson, J. G., Ho, K. F., Zhang, R. J., Jin, Z. D., Shen, Z. X., Chen, G. C., Kang, Y. M., Zou, S. C., Zhang, L. Z., Qi, S. H., Dai, M. H., Cheng, Y., and Hu, K.: Spatial and seasonal distributions of carbonaceous aerosols over China, J. Geophys. Res., 112, D22S11, doi:10.1029/2006JD008205, 2007.

Castro, L. M., Pio, C. A., Harrison, R. M., and Smith, D. J. T.: Carbonaceous aerosol in urban

- ²⁰ and rural European atmospheres: estimation of secondary organic carbon concentrations, Atmos. Environ., 33, 2771–2781, 1999.
 - Chen, L.-W. A., Moosmuller, H., Arnott, W. P., Chow, J. C., Watson, J. G., Susott, R. A., Babbitt, R. E., Wold, C. E., Lincoln, E. N., and Hao, W. M.: Emissions from laboratory combustion of wildland fuels: Emission factors and source profiles, Environ. Sci. Technol., 41, 4317–4325, 2007.
 - Chow, J. C., Watson, J. G., Pritchett, L. C., Pierson, W. R., Frazier, C. A., and Purcell, R. G.: The DRI thermal/optical reflectance carbon analysis system: description, evaluation and applications in U.S. air quality studies, Atmos. Environ., 27, 1185–1201, 1993.

Chow, J. C., Watson, J. G., Kuhns, H. D., Etyemezian, V., Lowenthal, D. H., Crow, D. J.,

Kohl, S. D., Engelbrecht, J. P., and Green, M. C.: Source profiles for industrial, mobile, and area sources in the Big Bend Regional Aerosol Visibility and Observational (BRAVO) Study, Chemosphere, 54, 185–208, 2004.

Duan, J., Tan, T., Cheng, D., Bi, X., Deng, W., Sheng, G., Fu, J., and Wong, M. H.: Sources

9, 13271–13298, 2009

Different characteristics of char and soot in the atmosphere



and characteristics of carbonaceous aerosol in two largest cities in Pearl River Delta Region, China, Atmos. Environ., 41, 2895–2903, 2007.

Gelencsér, A.: Major Carbonaceous particle types and their sources in: Carbonaceous Aerosol, Springer, The Netherland, 45–148, 2004.

- ⁵ Hammes, K., Schmidt, M. W. I., Smernik, R. J., Currie, L. A., Ball, W. P., Nguyen, T. H., Louchouarn, P., Houel, S., Gustafsson, Ö., Elmquist, M., Cornelissen, G., Skjemstad, J. O., Masiello, C. A., Song, J., Peng, P., Mitra, S., Dunn, J. C., Hatcher, P. G., Hockaday, W. C., Smith, D. M., Hartkopf-Fröder, C., Böhmer, A., Lüer, B., Huebert, B.J., Amelung, W., Brodowski, S., Huang, L., Zhang, W., Gschwend, P. M., Flores-Cervantes, D. X., Largeau,
- C., Rouzaud, J., Rumpel, C., Guggenberger, G., Kaiser, K., Rodionov, A., Gonzalez-Vila, F. J., Gonzalez-Perez, J. A., de la Rosa, J. M., Manning, D. A. C., López-Capél, E., and Ding, L.: Comparison of quantification methods to measure fire-derived (black/elemental) carbon in soils and sediments using reference materials from soil, water, sediment and the atmosphere, Global Biogeochem. Cy., 21, GB3016, doi:10.1029/2006GB002914, 2007.
- Han, Y. M., Cao, J. J., Chow, J. C., Watson, J. G., Fung, K., Jin, Z. D., Liu, S. X., and An, Z. S.: Evaluation of the thermal/optical reflectance method for discrimination between soot- and char-EC, Chemosphere, 69, 569–574, 2007a.
 - Han, Y. M., Cao, J. J., An, Z. S., Chow, J. C., Waston, J. G., Jin, Z. D., Fung, K., and Liu, S. X.: Evaluation of the thermal/optical reflectance method for quantification of elemental carbon in sediments, Chemosphere, 69, 526–533, 2007b.
 - Han, Y. M., Han, Z. W., Cao, J. J., Chow, J. C., Watson, J. G., An, Z. S., Liu, S. X., and Zhang,
 R. J.: Distribution and origin of carbonaceous aerosol over a rural high-mountain lake area,
 Northern China and its transport significance, Atmos. Environ., 42, 2405–2414, 2008.

20

Han, Y. M., Cao, J. J., Posmentier, E. S., Chow, J. C., Watson, J. G., Fung, K., Jin, Z. D., Liu, S.

- 25 X., and An, Z. S.: The effect of acidification on the determination of elemental carbon, char-, and soot-elemental carbon in soils and sediments, Chemosphere, 75, 92–99, 2009a.
 - Han, Y. M., Cao, J. J., Chow, J. C., Watson, J. G., An, Z. S., and Liu, S. X.: Elemental carbon in urban soils and road dusts in Xi'an, China and its implication for air pollution, Atmos. Environ., 43, 2464–2470, 2009b.
- Han, Y. M., Cao, J. J., Jin, Z. D., and An, Z. S.: Elemental composition of aerosols in Daihai, a rural area in the front boundary of the summer Asian monsoon, Atmos. Res., 92, 229–235, 2009c.

Han, Y. M., Lee, S. C., Cao, J. J., Ho, K. F., and An, Z. S.: Spatial distributions and seasonal

13286

9, 13271–13298, 2009

Different characteristics of char and soot in the atmosphere

| Title | Page |
|---------------|--------------|
| Abstract | Introduction |
| Conclusions | References |
| Tables | Figures |
| I | ►I |
| • | • |
| Back | Close |
| Full Scre | en / Esc |
| Drintor frion | |
| | |
| Interactive | DISCUSSION |

variation of char-EC and soot-EC in the atmosphere over China, Atmos. Environ., submitted, 2009.

- Hansen, J. and Nazarenko, L.: Soot climate forcing via snow and ice albedos, P. Natl. Acad. Sci., 101, 423–428, 2004.
- ⁵ He, K., Yang, F., Ma, Y., Zhang, Q., Yao, X., Chan, C.K., Cadle, S., Chan, T., and Mulawa, P.: The characteristics of PM_{2.5} in Beijing, China, Atmos. Environ., 35, 4959–4970, 2001.
 - Jacobson, M. Z.: Strong radiative heating due to the mixing state of black carbon in atmospheric aerosols, Nature, 409, 695–697, 2001.
 - Jaffe, D., Tamura, S., and Harris, J.: Seasonal cycle and composition of background fine particles along the west coast of the US, Atmos. Environ., 39, 297–306, 2005.
- Kirchstetter, T. W., Novakov, T., and Hobbs, P. V.: Evidence that the spectral dependence of light absorption by aerosols is affected by organic carbon, J. Geophys. Res., 109, D21208, doi:10.1029/2004JD004999, 2004.

10

Koelmans, A. A., Jonker, M. T. O., Cornelissen, G., Bucheli, T. D., van Noort, P. C. M., and

- Gustafsson, O.: Black carbon: The reverse of its dark side, Chemosphere, 63, 365–377, 2006.
 - Liu, Y., Zhang, Y. X., Wei, Y. J., Dou, H., Gu, D. S., Zen, L. M., and Shao, M.: Measurement of emission factors of carbonaceous aerosols from residential coal combustion, ACTA Scientiae Circumstantiae, 27, 1409–1416, 2007 (in Chinese with English abstract).
- Malm, W. C., Schichtel, B. A., Pitchford, M. L., Ashbaugh, L. L., and Eldred, R. A.: Spatial and monthly trends in speciated fine particle concentration in the United States, J. Geophys. Res., 109, D03306, doi:10.1029/2003JD003739, 2004.
 - Masiello, C. A.: New directions in black carbon organic geochemistry, Mar. Chem., 92, 201–213, 2004.
- Meng, Z. Y., Jiang, X. M., Yan, P., Lin, W. L., Zhang, H. D., and Wang, Y.: Characteristics and sources of PM_{2.5} and carbonaceous species during winter in Taiyuan, China, Atmos. Environ., 41, 6901–6908, 2007.
 - Menon, S., Hansen, J., Nazarenko, L., and Luo, Y. F.: Climate effects of black carbon aerosols in China and India, Science, 297, 2250–2253, 2002.
- Nguyen, T. H., Brown, R. A., and Ball, W. P.: An evaluation of thermal resistance as a measure of black carbon content in diesel soot, wood char, and sediment, Org. Geochem., 35, 217– 234, 2004.

Ogren, J. A. and Charlson, R. J.: Elemental carbon in the atmosphere: Cycle and lifetime,

9, 13271–13298, 2009

Different characteristics of char and soot in the atmosphere





Tellus, 35B, 241–154, 1983.

Pöschl, U.: Atmospheric aerosols: Composition, transformation, climate and health effects, Angew. Chem. Int. Edit., 44, 7520-7540, 2005.

Reid, J. S., Eck, T. F., Christopher, S. A., Koppmann, R., Dubovik, O., Eleuterio, D. P., Holben,

- B. N., Reid, E. A., and Zhang, J.: A review of biomass burning emissions part III: intensive 5 optical properties of biomass burning particles, Atmos. Chem. Phys., 5, 827-849, 2005, http://www.atmos-chem-phys.net/5/827/2005/.
 - Schichtel, B. A., Malm, W. C., Bench, G., Fallon, S., McDade, C. E., Chow, J. C., and Watson, J. G.: Fossil and contemporary fine particulate carbon fractions at 12 rural and urban sites
- in the United States, J. Geophys. Res., 113, D02311, doi:10.1029/2007JD008605, 2008. 10 Shindell, D. and Faluvegi, G.: Climate response to regional radiative forcing during the twentieth century, Nature Geosci., 2, 294-300, 2009.

Turpin B. J. and Huntzicker J. J.: Secondary formation of organic aerosol in the Los Angeles Basin: a descriptive analysis of organic and elemental carbon concentrations, Atmos. Environ., 25A, 207-215, 1991.

15

Ye, B., Ji, X., Yang, H., Yao, X., Chan, C. K., Cadle, S. H., Chan, T., and Mulawa, P. A.: Concentration and chemical composition of PM_{2.5} in Shanghai for a 1-year period, Atmos. Environ., 37, 499-510, 2003.

Yu, J. Z., Tung, J. W. T., Wu, A. W. M., Lau, A. K. H., Louie, P. K.-K., and Fung, J. C. H.:

Abundance and seasonal characteristics of elemental and organic carbon in Hong Kong 20 PM₁₀, Atmos. Environ., 38, 1511–1521, 2004.

Yung, Y. L., Shia, C., and Herman, H. L.: Is the biomass burning source of CO decreasing?, Chemosphere, Global Change Science, 1, 83–90, 1999.

Zhang, R. J., Cao, J. J., Lee, S. C., Shen, Z. X., and Ho, K. F.: Carbonaceous aerosols in PM₁₀ and pollution gases in winter in Beijing, J. Environ. Sci., 19, 564-571, 2007. 25

ACPD

9, 13271-13298, 2009

Different characteristics of char and soot in the atmosphere

| Title | Page |
|--------------|--------------|
| Abstract | Introduction |
| Conclusions | References |
| Tables | Figures |
| I | ►I |
| • | • |
| Back | Close |
| Full Scr | een / Esc |
| | |
| Printer-frie | ndly Version |
| Interactive | Discussion |
| | 0 |

ACPD

9, 13271–13298, 2009

Different characteristics of char and soot in the atmosphere

Y. M. Han et al.



Table 1. Seasonal variations of mass, total carbon (TC), organic carbon (OC), elemental carbon (EC), OC/EC, char-EC, soot-EC, and char-EC/soot-EC.

| Seasons | Concentrations | Mass μ g m ⁻³ | TC µgm ^{−3} | OC µgm ⁻³ | EC μgm ⁻³ | OC/ EC | Char-EC µg m ^{−3} | Soot-EC µg m ^{−3} | Char-EC/ soot-EC |
|-----------------|-----------------|------------------------------|-------------------------|-------------------------|-------------------------|-----------|-------------------------------|-------------------------------|---------------------|
| Winter | Min | 59.20 | 14.52 | 10.45 | 3.56 | 2.56 | 2.39 | 0.62 | 1.37 |
| (<i>n</i> =90) | Max | 651.26 | 217.00 | 192.66 | 29.09 | 9.72 | 26.95 | 3.08 | 14.71 |
| | Average | 235.41 | 74.05 | 61.96 | 12.08 | 5.35 | 10.49 | 1.60 | 6.69 |
| | SD ^a | 125.14 | 40.01 | 34.94 | 6.22 | 1.71 | 5.95 | 0.54 | 3.31 |
| Spring | Min | 28.40 | 4.66 | 4.18 | 0.42 | 2.04 | 0.19 | 0.23 | 1.08 |
| (<i>n</i> =87) | Max | 404.48 | 120.35 | 98.87 | 21.48 | 9.89 | 19.58 | 4.61 | 18.92 |
| | Average | 152.14 | 38.04 | 28.67 | 9.37 | 3.27 | 7.52 | 1.85 | 4.14 |
| | SD | 72.76 | 20.48 | 16.10 | 4.86 | 1.11 | 4.44 | 0.72 | 2.61 |
| Summer | Min | 29.23 | 6.26 | 5.67 | 0.51 | 1.92 | 0.20 | 0.28 | 0.63 |
| (<i>n</i> =89) | Max | 217.30 | 56.68 | 46.20 | 11.07 | 11.67 | 9.88 | 2.24 | 8.82 |
| | Average | 105.49 | 19.58 | 15.86 | 3.72 | 5.13 | 2.57 | 1.15 | 2.17 |
| | SD | 38.34 | 8.41 | 6.71 | 2.13 | 2.31 | 1.82 | 0.47 | 1.30 |
| Fall | Min | 28.94 | 5.43 | 4.47 | 0.97 | 2.61 | 0.66 | 0.29 | 0.57 |
| (<i>n</i> =88) | Max | 627.14 | 145.27 | 123.47 | 22.85 | 8.79 | 19.81 | 3.60 | 20.77 |
| | Average | 179.70 | 47.05 | 38.62 | 8.43 | 4.62 | 6.85 | 1.58 | 4.62 |
| | SD | 115.56 | 30.17 | 25.80 | 4.79 | 1.21 | 4.60 | 0.59 | 3.62 |
| Whole year | Average | 178.54 | 44.79 | 36.39 | 8.41 | 4.61 | 6.86 | 1.54 | 4.41 |
| | SD | 113.83 | 33.75 | 28.90 | 5.61 | 1.84 | 5.28 | 0.64 | 3.27 |

^a SD is standard deviation.

| | тс | OC | EC | OC/EC | Char-EC | Soot-EC | char-EC/ soot-EC | Low Temp. | High Temp. |
|-----------------|-------|-------|-------|--------|---------|---------|---------------------|-----------|------------|
| TC | | 0.996 | 0.885 | 0.148 | 0.9 | 0.335 | 0.8 | -0.62 | -0.561 |
| OC | 0.000 | | 0.839 | 0.217 | 0.858 | 0.282 | 0.782 | -0.615 | -0.567 |
| EC | 0.000 | 0.000 | | -0.226 | 0.995 | 0.561 | 0.78 | -0.559 | -0.456 |
| OC/EC | 0.005 | 0.000 | 0.000 | | -0.178 | -0.517 | 0.011 | -0.082 | -0.157 |
| Char-EC | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.001 | | 0.476 | 0.826 | -0.562 | -0.46 |
| Soot-EC | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 | | 0.025 | -0.269 | -0.208 |
| char-EC/soot-EC | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.844 | 0.000 | 0.645 | | -0.544 | -0.454 |
| Low Temp. | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.122 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 | | 0.952 |
| High Temp. | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.003 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 | |

Table 2. Correlations among total carbon (TC), organic carbon (OC), elemental carbon (EC), OC/EC, char-EC, soot-EC, and char-EC/soot-EC, as well as high and low temperatures.

The right upper part is correlation coefficient; the left lower part is significant level.

ACPD

9, 13271–13298, 2009

Different characteristics of char and soot in the atmosphere





Table 3. Comparison of different seasonal $PM_{2.5}$ mass, total carbon (TC), organic carbon (OC), elemental carbon (EC), char-EC, and soot-EC concentrations, as well as OC/EC, char-EC/soot-EC between rainy and non-rainy periods. Any day with rain is classified into rainy period. Snow days are also included into rainy period.

| | | Mass | TC | OC | EC | | Char-EC | Soot-EC | Char-EC/ | |
|---------|--------------------|--------------------|--------------------|--------------------|--------------------|-------|---------------------------------|-------------------------|----------|----|
| Seasons | Condition | $\mu { m gm^{-3}}$ | OC/EC | $\mu \mathrm{g}\mathrm{m}^{-3}$ | μ g m ⁻³ | Soot-EC | Nb |
| Winter | Non-rain | 240.08 | 79.60 | 66.49 | 13.11 | 5.28 | 11.47 | 1.64 | 7.21 | 77 |
| | Rain | 207.73 | 41.16 | 35.15 | 6.01 | 5.77 | 4.67 | 1.34 | 3.59 | 13 |
| | Ratio ^a | 1.16 | 1.93 | 1.89 | 2.18 | 0.92 | 2.46 | 1.23 | 2.01 | |
| Spring | Non-rain | 158.63 | 39.87 | 30.04 | 9.84 | 3.18 | 7.95 | 1.88 | 4.36 | 78 |
| | Rain | 95.88 | 22.11 | 16.83 | 5.28 | 4.08 | 3.73 | 1.55 | 2.29 | 9 |
| | Ratio | 1.65 | 1.80 | 1.78 | 1.86 | 0.78 | 2.13 | 1.22 | 1.90 | |
| Summer | Non-rain | 106.63 | 20.35 | 16.42 | 3.93 | 5.01 | 2.75 | 1.18 | 2.24 | 71 |
| | Rain | 101.00 | 16.52 | 13.65 | 2.87 | 5.58 | 1.83 | 1.03 | 1.90 | 18 |
| | Ratio | 1.06 | 1.23 | 1.20 | 1.37 | 0.90 | 1.50 | 1.14 | 1.18 | |
| Fall | Non-rain | 190.36 | 52.14 | 42.72 | 9.42 | 4.48 | 7.80 | 1.62 | 5.27 | 67 |
| | Rain | 145.69 | 30.82 | 25.55 | 5.28 | 5.08 | 3.83 | 1.45 | 2.54 | 21 |
| | Ratio | 1.31 | 1.69 | 1.67 | 1.78 | 0.88 | 2.04 | 1.12 | 2.08 | |

^a Ratio is that of non-rain to rain data;

^b *N* is sample number.

ACPD

9, 13271–13298, 2009

Different characteristics of char and soot in the atmosphere





ACPD

9, 13271-13298, 2009

Different characteristics of char and soot in the atmosphere

Y. M. Han et al.





Fig. 1. Sampling site at Xi'an, Central China.





ACPD

9, 13271–13298, 2009

Different characteristics of char and soot in the atmosphere





Fig. 3. Monthly variations of mass, total carbon (TC), organic carbon (OC), elemental carbon (EC), char-EC, and soot-EC concentrations, as well as OC/EC and char-EC/soot-EC ratios in Xi'an in 2004.

ACPD

9, 13271-13298, 2009

Different characteristics of char and soot in the atmosphere







Fig. 4. Strong correlation between elemental carbon (EC) and char-EC.

ACPD

9, 13271-13298, 2009

Different characteristics of char and soot in the atmosphere



ACPD

9, 13271–13298, 2009







Fig. 5. Comparison of correlations between char-EC and soot-EC in different seasons in 2004.



Fig. 6. Picture of the primary emissions of OC/EC ratios and char-EC/soot-EC ratios from different sources. Yuan Liu in the Department of Environmental Science and Engineering, Beijing University of China provides some unpublished data, with sampling following Liu et al. (2007). Motor vehicle samples are from Cao et al. (2006) and Chow et al. (2004) using a ground-based source-dominated sampling method; meat cooking samples from Chow et al. (2004) using an exhaust dilution method; coal samples from Chen et al. (2007), Chow et al. (2004) and Liu (2007) using an exhaust dilution method and from Cao et al. (2005) using a ground-based source-dominated sampling method; biomass burning samples from Chow et al. (2004) and Cao et al. (2005) using a ground-based source-dominated sampling method; biomass burning samples from Chow et al. (2004) and Cao et al. (2005) using a ground-based source-dominated sampling method; biomass burning samples from Chow et al. (2007) and Cao et al. (2007) using an exhaust dilution method.







Fig. 7. Temporal variations of OC/EC and char-EC/soot-EC, as well as the relation between char-EC/soot-EC and rain and snow in 2004. Red and green arrows represent rain and snow days, respectively, and the arrow locations correspond to the char-EC/soot-EC ratio. Note that not all the rainy and snow days are present, with some continuous days are showed with one arrow. Rainy days in summer are not presented since there are only small variations of char-EC/soot-EC between rainy and non-rainy days.



nteractive Discussion

