12999

Atmos. Chem. Phys. Discuss., 9, 12999–13037, 2009 www.atmos-chem-phys-discuss.net/9/12999/2009/ © Author(s) 2009. This work is distributed under the Creative Commons Attribution 3.0 License.

This discussion paper is/has been under review for the journal *Atmospheric Chemistry and Physics (ACP)*. Please refer to the corresponding final paper in *ACP* if available.

Impact of nucleation on global CCN

J. Merikanto, D. V. Spracklen, G. W. Mann, S. J. Pickering, and K. S. Carslaw

School of Earth and Environment, University of Leeds, Leeds, UK

Received: 27 April 2009 – Accepted: 25 May 2009 – Published: 9 June 2009

Correspondence to: J. Merikanto (j.merikanto@leeds.ac.uk)

Published by Copernicus Publications on behalf of the European Geosciences Union.





Abstract

Cloud condensation nuclei (CCN) are derived from particles emitted directly into the atmosphere (primary emissions) or from the growth of nanometer-sized particles nucleated in the atmosphere. It is important to separate these two sources because they

- respond in different ways to gas and particle emission control strategies and environ-5 mental changes. Here, we use a global aerosol microphysics model to guantify the contribution of primary and nucleated particles to global CCN. The model considers primary emissions of sea spray, sulfate and carbonaceous particles, and nucleation processes appropriate for the free troposphere and boundary layer. We estimate that
- 45% of global low-level cloud CCN at 0.2% supersaturation are secondary aerosol de-10 rived from nucleation (ranging between 31-49% taking into account uncertainties primary emissions and nucleation rates), the remainder being directly emitted as primary aerosol. The model suggests that 35% of CCN (0.2%) in low-level clouds were created in the free and upper troposphere. In the marine boundary layer 55% of CCN (0.2%)
- are from nucleation, 45% being entrained from the free troposphere. Both in global and 15 marine boundary layer 10% of CCN (0.2%) is nucleated in the boundary layer. Combinations of model runs show that primary and nucleated CCN are non-linearly coupled. In particular, boundary layer nucleated CCN are strongly suppressed by both primary emissions and entrainment of particles nucleated in the free troposphere. Elimination
- of all primary emissions reduces global CCN (0.2%) by only 20% and elimination of 20 upper tropospheric nucleation reduces CCN (0.2%) by only 12% because of increased impact of boundary layer nucleation on CCN.

Introduction 1

25

Atmospheric particles are produced by two distinctly different mechanisms: Particulate emissions produce primary particles spanning a wide range of sizes, and gasto-particle conversion creates nanometer-sized particles by atmospheric nucleation.

ACPD 9, 12999-13037, 2009 Impact of nucleation on CCN J. Merikanto et al. **Title Page** Abstract Introduction Conclusions References **Figures** Back Full Screen / Esc **Printer-friendly Version** Interactive Discussion



These production mechanisms differ greatly in their spatial and temporal variations and the factors that control these variations. For example, particulate emissions occur almost universally close to the ground whereas nucleation occurs in the boundary layer (Kulmala et al., 2004) and in the upper troposphere (Twohy et al., 2002; Benson et al.,

⁵ 2008). While the atmospheric abundance of primary particles is essentially determined by the emission strength, the abundance of nucleated particles responds in complex ways to variations in precursor gases and existing particle concentrations (Gaydos et al., 2005; Spracklen et al., 2006; Jung et al., 2006; Pierce and Adams, 2007; Wang and Penner, 2009) and other environmental factors that are still not completely understood
 10 (Lyubovtseva et al., 2005; Sogacheva et al., 2008).

Quantification of the global budget of CCN is an essential step towards a complete understanding of how anthropogenic emissions alter global clouds and climate. Prediction of long term changes in CCN for climate assessments needs to account for the variations and couplings in different aerosol production mechanisms. To do so requires

an understanding of the budget of primary and nucleated CCN in different regions and the factors that control changes in their abundance. At present, the relative strength of different mechanisms contributing to the budget of total aerosol (condensation nucleus, CN) and CCN concentrations is not known.

Our limited understanding of the global CCN budget is partly due to the limited capa bility of global models to represent detailed particle microphysics. Only recently global models that can simulate changes in particle number concentration and the particle size distribution with particle microphysics have become available (Adams and Seinfeld, 2002; Vignati et al., 2004; Spracklen et al., 2005a; Lohmann et al., 2007; Wang et al., 2009). However, there are also uncertainties in emissions, particularly for carbona ceous particles (Bond et al., 2004), but also for precursor gases such as sulfur dioxide (Smith et al., 2002) and the fraction of the sulfur emitted as particulate sulfate from near-instantaneous sub-grid scale nucleation close to the emission source (Spracklen et al., 2005b; Wang and Penner, 2009). Also, one of the largest current challenges is to understand in more detail how secondary organics contribute to aerosol growth

ACPD 9, 12999-13037, 2009 Impact of nucleation on CCN J. Merikanto et al. **Title Page** Introduction Abstract Conclusions References **Figures** Back Full Screen / Esc **Printer-friendly Version** Interactive Discussion

(Alfarra, 2006) and possibly to their formation (Bonn et al., 2008; Laaksonen et al., 2008).

Recently, substantial advances have been made in our understanding of what controls atmospheric nucleation (Kulmala et al., 2006, 2008). While work is in progress

- to better quantify different aerosol processes, global models including detailed microphysics have contributed greatly to the knowledge of mechanisms controlling particle concentrations in different regions. Pierce and Adams (2006), Kazil et al. (2006) and Spracklen et al. (2007) showed that remote marine boundary layer CCN concentrations can be largely explained by the primary sea salt flux and entrainment of free transport particles are primarily prepared by Desc (1005). In a subsequent study.
- ¹⁰ tropospheric particles, as originally proposed by Raes (1995). In a subsequent study Korhonen et al. (2008) used a global aerosol model to explain the seasonal cycle of CCN at Cape Grim based on emissions of sea spray and nucleation of sulfuric acid aerosol in the free troposphere, and estimated that over 90% of the non-sea spray CCN were generated above the boundary layer by nucleation.
- Several studies have shown that changes in emissions can result in non-linear changes in aerosol number densities. Stier et al. (2006) studied the non-linear responses of aerosol concentrations to all major aerosol sources, and found that the new particle production by binary homogeneous sulfuric acid-water nucleation was saturated so that a decrease in sulfuric acid concentration produced less than a pro-
- ²⁰ portional decrease in nucleation and accumulation mode particles. On the other hand, changes in primary organic emissions showed more than proportional changes in accumulation mode particles. Pierce et al. (2007) found that the total enhancement of all carbonaceous particles to surface level CCN (0.2%) is 65–90% depending on the applied emission inventory. Spracklen et al. (2006) showed that reduction in primary
- emissions can actually increase ground level CN due to enhanced nucleation in the boundary layer. Similarly, Wang and Penner (2009) showed that the enhancement of CCN production by boundary layer nucleation is greater when a smaller proportion of sulfur emissions is emitted directly as primary sulfate.

The uncertainties in nucleation parameterizations have also been studied in several

ACPD

9, 12999–13037, 2009

Impact of nucleation on CCN



global models. Pierce and Adams (2009) found that boundary layer CCN (0.2%) concentrations obtained with different atmospheric nucleation parameterizations varied only by 12%, and concluded that when calculating CCN concentrations the uncertainties in primary emissions are more important than uncertainties in nucleation rates.

- Spracklen et al. (2008) showed that uncertainties in boundary layer nucleation rates alone lead to enhancements between 3–20% in ground level global CCN (0.2%). Also, Makkonen et al. (2008) showed that boundary layer cloud droplet number concentrations (CDNC) are highly sensitive to the selected boundary layer nucleation parameterization.
- Recently, we used a global aerosol microphysics model GLOMAP to fine-tune the model boundary layer nucleation parameterization against observed CN concentrations (Spracklen et al., 2006, 2009), CCN concentrations (Spracklen et al., 2008), and CDNC (Merikanto et al., 2009). Our comparisons of modeled and observed CN, CCN and CDNC show that the model gives a satisfactory representation of the boundary layer aerosol. Here, our aim is to provide a best possible estimate of the relative con-
- tribution of primary particles from particulate emissions and secondary particles both from boundary layer and upper tropospheric nucleation to global and regional CN and CCN. Changes in these contributions due to uncertainties in primary particle emissions and nucleation rates are also taken into account. The simulations are based on
 inventories for year 2000 particulate and precursor gas emissions.

2 Model description

25

GLOMAP is an extension of the offline 3-D chemical transport model TOMCAT (Chipperfield, 2006). GLOMAP treats two 2-moment sectional externally mixed particle distributions. One distribution is partly hydrophyllic including sulfate, sea-salt, black carbon (BC) and organic carbon (OC), and the other is hydrophobic containing freshly emitted primary carbon (OC and BC). Primary OC and BC particles are transferred to the hydrophyllic distribution through coagulation with other hydrophyllic particles or

ACPD 9, 12999-13037, 2009 Impact of nucleation on CCN J. Merikanto et al. **Title Page** Abstract Introduction Conclusions References **Figures** Back Full Screen / Esc **Printer-friendly Version** Interactive Discussion

by condensation of one monolayer of sulfuric acid or secondary organics, making the transfer of OC and BC to the hydrophyllic distribution fairly rapid. Rapid aging of hydrophyllic primary particles is also observed in laboratory measurements (Zhang et al., 2008). Here we use a horizontal resolution of ~2.8° by ~2.8° with 31 vertical levels
between the surface and 10 hPa. The model is forced by analyses from the European Centre for Medium-Range Weather Forecasts for the year 2000. Microphysical processes include nucleation, primary particle emissions, condensation, coagulation, in-cloud and below-cloud aerosol wet deposition, dry deposition, and cloud processing. Full details of the model microphysics scheme are described in Spracklen et al. (2005a).

We model the aerosol size distribution with 20 sections spanning 3 nm to 10 μ m dry diameter. The lower limit of 3 nm is also a typical lower limit in commercial Differential Mobility Particle Sizer (DMPS) devices applied in field measurements. The modeled CN concentration is calculated as the sum of all particles of all sizes in both hydrophyllic and hydrophobic distributions. CCN concentrations are calculated from the hydrophyllic distribution using the dry radius and hygroscopicity κ . The κ values are obtained from Petters and Kreidenweis (2007) for different chemical species and the calculations are carried out using fixed supersaturations of 0.2% and 1.0%.

2.1 Particulate and gaseous emissions

- Particulate emissions are based on AEROCOM prescribed emission datasets for the year 2000 (Dentener et al., 2006). The datasets include sea-salt and particulate carbonaceous emissions (BC and OC), and sulfate emissions from wildfires, biofuels, fossil fuels and volcanoes. Particulate sulfate originates from nucleation that takes place almost instantly close to emission source, and is therefore treated here as a source of primary particles. Here, we emit 2.5% of all SO₂ emissions as particu-
- late sulfate. Primary sulfate are emitted as lognormal modes according to AERO-COM recommendations that are then mapped to the model size bins. For BC/OC emissions we use the size distribution suggested by Stier et al. (2005). However,



uncertainties in primary particle concentrations are studied with additional simulations using AEROCOM-recommended size distribution for BC/OC particles, which increases the number yield of BC/OC particles by a factor of eight compared to Stier et al. (2005) scheme. The sea-salt size distribution is also modeled according to two differ-

ent schemes. The first scheme is based on the AEROCOM recommendation (Gong, 2003). This scheme does not include ultrafine sea-salt particles that have been found to greatly contribute to marine CN and CCN concentrations (Pierce and Adams, 2006; Clarke et al., 2006). Therefore, another scheme by Martensson et al. (2003), which emits sea-salt particles down to sizes as small as 10 nm, is also explored. The differ ent particulate emission schemes are summarised in Table 1.

We use AEROCOM-recommended anthropogenic and volcanic emissions of SO₂ and marine DMS emissions are obtained from prescribed concentrations by Kettle and Adreae (2000) and sea-to-air transfer velocities according to Nightingale at al. (2000). The model gas phase sulfur chemistry mechanism used for atmospheric sulfuric acid production rates is described in Spracklen et al. (2005a). The organic condensable and hydrophilic secondary organic aerosol material is obtained as first-stage oxidation products of monoterpenes with an assumed yield of 13%. Monoterpene emissions are from the GEIA inventory (Benkovitz et al., 1996). The applied oxidation reactions are explained in Spracklen et al. (2006).

20 2.2 Model nucleation schemes

New particle formation is modeled with two mechanisms based on nucleation of secondary sulfate particles. The first mechanism is the binary homogeneous nucleation (BHN) of sulfuric acid and water using rates from the parameterization of Kulmala et al. (1998). Recent theoretical and experimental work suggests that BHN is not producing ²⁵ significant nucleation in the boundary layer (Kulmala et al., 2006; Young et al., 2008). Indeed, in our model runs BHN does not produce significant nucleation in the boundary layer, but takes place actively above this height. Our earlier work also suggests that BHN is capable of producing the measured particle concentrations in the upper



troposphere (Spracklen et al., 2005a). Another BHN parameterization by Vehkamäki et al. (2002) is also applied in the uncertainty analysis and produces somewhat higher nucleation rates in the upper troposphere than Kulmala et al. (1998).

The second nucleation mechanism is based on cluster activation theory by Kulmala et al. (2006). Observations from several ground stations around the world suggest that the nucleation rate of new particles is proportional to the sulfuric acid concentration to the power of 1 or 2 (Weber et al., 1995, 1997; Sihto et al., 2006; Riipinen et al., 2007; Kuang et al., 2008). Activation theory gives the nucleation rate of 1 nm particles by

 $J_1 = A[H_2SO_4],$

where *A* is the nucleation rate coefficient. The effective production rate of 3 nm particles is obtained from (Kerminen and Kulmala, 2002)

$$J_3 = J_1 \exp\left(-0.153 \frac{\text{CS}'}{\text{GR}}\right), \qquad (2)$$

where CS' is the reduced condensation sink and GR (nm h⁻¹) is the cluster growth rate, assumed to be constant between 1 and 3 nm and given by $0.73 \cdot 10^{-7}$ [H₂SO₄]. ¹⁵ Modeled CN and CCN concentrations are in relatively good agreement with worldwide observations when this scheme is included (Spracklen et al., 2006, 2008) with an experimentally derived coefficient $A=2\times10^{-6}$ s⁻¹ (Sihto et al., 2006). Due to uncertainties in *A*, we carry out additional simulations with $A=2\times10^{-7}$ s⁻¹ and $A=2\times10^{-5}$ s⁻¹ to estimate the impact of uncertainties in the nucleation rate. Our test simulations show that ²⁰ activation nucleation produces unrealistically high particle concentrations in the upper troposphere, and that this mechanism needs to be confined to the boundary layer; aircraft campaigns suggest that above the boundary layer particle concentrations have a minimum (Schroder, 2002) which would not be obtained by letting activation nucleation take place throughout the troposphere. Indeed, just above the boundary layer new particle formation appears to be rare. As shown in Fig. 1, the mechanistic restriction

particle formation appears to be rare. As shown in Fig. 1, the mechanistic restriction of activation nucleation produces a minimum in the particle concentrations above the

(1)

boundary layer. While we do not argue that there are two separate nucleation mechanisms taking place in the atmosphere (there can be one or many), our approach leads to a phenomenologically justifiable representation of two different nucleation zones in the atmosphere consistent with observations. In this paper we refer to binary homogeneous nucleation as upper tropospheric nucleation (UTN) and activation nucleation as boundary layer nucleation (BLN). Different modeled nucleation schemes are summarised in Table 1.

2.3 Model experiments

5

25

The starting point of our analysis is the GLOMAP aerosol model with "standard" emissions and nucleation parameterizations described in Table 1. The standard emission scheme is similar to that used in our previous work (Spracklen et al., 2008) but now includes a source of ultrafine sea-salt (Martensson et al., 2003). This model produces a satisfactory agreement with a large set of continental ground-level CN measurements and their annual variation (Spracklen et al., 2006, 2009), and with somewhat
¹⁵ more limited measurements of CCN and CDNC in various environments (Spracklen et al., 2008; Merikanto et al., 2009). We calculate the relative contribution from primary particles and two nucleation mechanisms and study the uncertainties in each of these mechanisms by modifying emissions or processes with respect to their standard representation.

- ²⁰ Combinations of model experiments were carried out to obtain the relative contribution from primary particles (PR), upper tropospheric nucleation (UTN), and boundary layer nucleation (BLN) to particle number concentrations:
 - 1. PR: Runs with only primary particles from particulate emissions with and without ultrafine sea-salt. We can calculate the contribution of ultrafine sea-salt to CN and CCN from these runs.
 - 2. PR+UTN: Runs with particulate emissions (without ultrafine sea-salt) and UTN represented with BHN (Kulmala et al., 1998) nucleation parameterization.



3. PR+UTN+BLN: Runs with particulate emissions (without ultrafine sea-salt), UTN represented with BHN (Kulmala et al., 1998), and BLN represented by the activation nucleation parameterization (Kulmala et al., 2006).

These simulations were carried out by running the model over full year 2000 with 3- $_{\rm 5}$ month spin-ups.

Sensitivity simulations were carried out using shorter 1-month runs for April 2000, including a 3-month model spin-up:

- 4. Uncertainties in UTN rates were tested with PR+UTN runs with BHN according to Vehkamäki et al. (2002) instead of Kulmala et al. (1998).
- Uncertainties in primary particle concentrations were tested in PR+UTN runs with BC/OC primary particle concentrations raised by a factor of 8 (to represent a factor 2 uncertainty in particles sizes for fixed emission mass).
 - 6. Uncertainties in boundary layer nucleation rates were tested in PR+UTN+BLN runs by varying the nucleation rate coefficient *A* in Eq (1).
- The contribution of primary emissions and the two nucleation mechanisms was calculated from the differences between various runs. The competition between mechanisms may make the order of these calculations important. For example, effective 3 nm BLN rates are known to be limited by the condensation sink of the background aerosol (see Eq. 2), so the BLN contribution to CN and CCN needs to be calculated against
 the background of the pre-existing aerosol. From the above runs we calculate the BLN contribution as a particle excess according to

(PR + UTN + BLN) - (PR + UTN) = (BLN).

25

Maximum and minimum ranges of the contribution can also be calculated from the sensitivity runs. On the other hand, nucleation has only a minor effect on primary particles due to small and opposite changes in condensational and coagulational mass



(3)

fluxes. Therefore, the contribution of primary particles can be obtained from the run with particulate emissions only, PR.

Our simulations show that total particle number in the upper troposphere is not very sensitive to BLN (Fig. 1) because there is very little background aerosol from BLN. The excess contribution from UTN is therefore obtained from

(PR + UTN + BLN) - (BLN) - (PR) = (UTN),

5

25

so that summing PR, UTN and BLN gives the total concentrations exactly. This allows us to calculate the relative contributions to CN and CCN from each mechanism.

A third set of simulations was carried out to explore how different mechanisms com-

- ¹⁰ pete. The interactions between particles through coagulation complicates assessment of the relative importance of each mechanism to particle concentrations. Particles lose their origin in coagulation with other particles and "real" atmospheric particles contain components from different production mechanisms. Changes in particulate emissions therefore result in nonlinear changes in nucleation rates, growth rates and particle size distribution because primary particles act as a particulation sink for publicated partic
- distribution because primary particles act as a coagulation sink for nucleated particles and a condensation sink for nucleating and condensing vapors (Spracklen et al., 2006). Two additional experiments were carried out to test how atmospheric concentrations respond to turning off each of the three production mechanisms in a different order to that used in the annual runs:
- ²⁰ 7. PR+BLN: Runs with standard primary emissions (without ultrafine sea-salt) and BLN nucleation using $A=2\times10^{-6}$. This run examines the effect of UT nucleation on boundary layer nucleation and is complementary to runs 2 and 3.
 - 8. UTN+BLN: Runs with UTN represented with Kulmala et al. (1998) parameterization and BLN using $A=2\times10^{-6}$. This run examines the effect of primary emissions on nucleation.

These runs were carried out for April 2000 with 3-month spin-ups.

ACPD 9, 12999–13037, 2009 Impact of nucleation on CCN J. Merikanto et al. **Title Page** Abstract Introduction Conclusions References **Figures** Þ١ Back Full Screen / Esc **Printer-friendly Version** Interactive Discussion

(4)



3 Results

3.1 Global contributions and uncertainty estimates

The simulated global mean vertical profiles of CN and CCN (0.2%) for primary particles are shown in Fig. 1 with the associated uncertainties in emitted size distribution
⁵ (see Table 1) indicated by the black shaded region (runs 1 and 5). The red areas in Fig. 1 show the resulting profile with primary emissions and upper tropospheric nucleation with the range showing the effect of using two different H₂SO₄ – H₂O nucleation formulations (runs 2 and 4). Finally, the green areas show the profile with primary particles, upper tropospheric nucleation, and boundary layer nucleation represented ¹⁰ with varying rates of activation nucleation (runs 3 and 6). Variations in the UTN rate result in only modest variations in boundary layer UTN contributions to CN and CCN (0.2%), and variations in BLN or primary emissions are most visible in the boundary layer concentrations.

Primary particle concentrations drop exponentially from ~300 cm⁻³ at the surface to ~100 cm⁻³ at the top of the boundary layer, and only few primary particles reach the upper troposphere. Primary particles are emitted mostly close to the ground level and their concentration drops with increasing height. However, aircraft measurements show that total particle number concentrations can be very high in the upper troposphere (Twohy et al., 2002). In our model, UTN actively takes place below the tropopause, and total particle concentrations in the run including primary emissions and UTN increase exponentially above the boundary layer to reach 10⁴ cm⁻³. These nucleated particles become mixed through the atmospheric column by convective and large scale processes. Thus, although UTN particles have their source well above the surface the model suggests that their annual global mean total concentration actually exceeds pri-

²⁵ mary particles in the boundary layer. While particles originating from UTN mix downwards they also grow, and the obtained CCN (0.2%) concentrations show an opposing trend to CN, increasing with decreasing altitude. But even with UTN the ground level CN concentrations in the model are often far less than observed (Spracklen et al.,

ACPD 9, 12999–13037, 2009 Impact of nucleation on CCN J. Merikanto et al. **Title Page** Introduction Abstract Conclusions References **Figures I**◄ Þ١ Back Full Screen / Esc **Printer-friendly Version** Interactive Discussion

2006, 2009), and BLN needs to be included to match the observations. BLN increases both total particle number and CCN (0.2%) concentrations in the boundary layer.

Figures 2, 3, and 4 show the contributions of different mechanisms to CN, CCN (0.2%) and CCN (1.0%) as global, continental and marine means. The mean values ⁵ were obtained from runs including ultrafine sea-salt, UTN represented with the sulfuric acid-water nucleation parameterization of Kulmala et al. (1998), and BLN using an activation coefficient $A=2\times10^{-6}$. The ranges, indicated by horizontal bars, show the effect of combined uncertainties in each mechanism (see Table 1).

On a global scale, primary particles account for roughly one-quarter of ground level CN (range 16–63%) with all other particles being derived from nucleation. Globally, a quarter of ground level CN originate from UTN (range 13–39%), and approximately half of the CN originate from BLN (range 15–68%). In contrast, low-level cloud CCN (0.2%) (460-1100m above ground level) is dominated by primary particles (51–69%), while UTN produces roughly one-third (25–39%) and BLN one-tenth (4–13%) of low-level cloud CCN (0.2%)

- ¹⁵ cloud CCN (0.2%). However, because the size spectrum of small particles is dominated by nucleated particles the relative contribution of nucleation to CCN is greater at higher supersaturation since smaller particles can be activated. For CCN (1.0%), onehalf originates from primary particles (33–63%), UTN still contributes one-third (26– 48%), but the BLN contribution increases to one-fifth (8–28%). Primary particle and
- BLN contributions to total particle concentrations fall rapidly with height, and nearly all particles above 5 km originate from UTN. However, the few remaining primary particles above the boundary layer act as CCN more effectively than UTN particles and their contribution to CCN (0.2%) at 6 km is still 25% as a global mean or 40% over continental regions. Also BLN particles that penetrate through boundary layer have become larger, and activate more easily than UTN particles above the boundary layer.

BLN produces fewer particles over oceans than over continents, but its relative contribution to CN and CCN is nearly equal over the global marine and continental boundary layers. The contribution of primary particles to boundary layer CN and CCN is larger over continents than over marine regions, and primaries dominate continental

ACPD 9, 12999-13037, 2009 Impact of nucleation on CCN J. Merikanto et al. **Title Page** Introduction Abstract Conclusions References **Figures** Back Full Screen / Esc **Printer-friendly Version** Interactive Discussion

CCN regardless of supersaturation or uncertainties in the analysis. In marine regions, however, UTN produces the same number of CCN (0.2%) as primary particles and dominates marine boundary layer CCN (1.0%).

3.2 Regional contributions to CN

⁵ Figure 5 shows the ground level CN concentrations in different regions and CN originating from each mechanism (as a mean over year 2000). Highest primary CN occurs over the continents where anthropogenic emissions and forest fires are the major contributors. Over marine regions primary particles originate mostly from oceanic sea-salt.

UTN produces particles that have a long life time. These particles can travel long distances with the general circulation, resulting in a fairly uniform ground level global distribution ranging from ~50 cm⁻³ in the Arctic to >800 cm⁻³ in the sub-tropics. The dominant spatial pattern of ground level CN from UTN is zonal, with peak inputs in the sub-tropics ±30° from the equator (Fig. 5b), where the air descends from the free troposphere in the Hadley cell with limited scavenging. Elevated regions, such as the Himalayas, have higher than average particle concentrations from UTN simply because they are closer to the UT. This simple spatial pattern of CN from UTN shows very little memory of the much more patchy sources of the precursor gas SO₂.

BLN is the most important contributor to the global ground level CN. Highest particle concentrations of several thousand per cubic centimeter from BLN occur over the con-

- tinents, but not always in the regions with highest pollution or sulfur emissions. Some BLN is also observed over the marine regions where SO₂ emissions from shipping or DMS emissions from phytoplankton are high. BLN produces relatively few particles in equatorial regions, except along some shipping tracks and near volcanic sulfur sources.
- The different spatial strengths of particle production mechanisms make their regional contributions very different from the global mean. Figure 6 shows the relative regional contributions from each mechanism to CN. Values over specific regions are listed in Table 2. In marine regions the importance of the flux of nucleated particles from the



upper troposphere is enhanced due to weaker primary emissions compared to the continents. The UTN source is particularly important (>80%) in regions of low wind speed such as the sub-tropics. In equatorial regions primaries dominate the continental CN and UTN dominates the marine CN. In marine regions the relative contribution of primaries appears to be relatively constant, while UTN and BLN show opposite patterns. This suggests that particles from UTN are limiting BLN in several marine regions (see Sect. 3.4).

3.3 Regional contributions to CCN

20

CCN (0.2%) regional low-level cloud concentrations, calculated for clouds at 460–
 1100 m above ground level, are shown in Fig. 7 for all particles and for particles produced by each mechanism. While the continental CN patterns are dominated by BLN particles, continental CCN (0.2%) patterns are dominated by primary particles. Marine CCN (0.2%) concentrations from primary particles are elevated in coastal regions due to particle outflow, and in regions of high wind speed with strong sea-salt emissions as
 in the Southern Ocean.

The CCN concentrations from UTN are rather uniform over different longitudes and vary between <30 cm⁻³ in the Arctic to >300 cm⁻³ in the sub-tropics and over some mid-latitude continental regions. The enhancement in absolute CCN concentrations from UTN over some continental regions is due to biogenic organic vapors and sulfate that grow the entrained particles effectively. For example, over eastern Amazonia

the UTN CN and CCN concentrations are approximately equal, showing that most entrained CN are effective CCN.

The regional distribution of CCN produced by BLN is very different from the corresponding CN distribution shown in Fig. 5d. BLN produces large numbers of particles

²⁵ in Chile from volcanic SO₂ and only a few particles in the Amazon, but produces almost no CCN in Chile. This difference in the distribution of CN and CCN from BLN highlights the importance of horizontal transport of BLN particles and the importance of secondary organics in their growth. Over the Southern Ocean BLN produces large



numbers of CN, but the contribution to CCN is small and requires a large supersaturation.

Figures 8 and 9 show the relative contributions of all nucleated particles (UTN and BLN), primary particles, and BLN and UTN separately to CCN (0.2%) and CCN (1.0%).

⁵ Primary particles dominate CCN in polluted regions and regions with biomass burning: central European, South-East Asia, central Africa, South America, and the Southern Ocean. CCN in the Arctic, northern North America, northern Asia, and over almost all marine sub-tropical regions are dominated by nucleated particles. In particular, in marine sub-tropical regions that are distant from continental primary sources and that have low sea-salt emissions, CCN are mostly entrained from the upper troposphere.

The upper troposphere makes a large contribution to CCN in the Arctic. Although the absolute source strengths are low from all mechanisms in the Arctic, UTN is by far the most dominant CCN source there, contributing over 60%.

In the figures we have marked the marine regions with persistent stratiform clouds. According to our results the majority (>60%) of CCN in these cloudy regions originate from UTN.

The relative importance of boundary layer nucleation as a source of CCN is significantly less than it is for CN, but BLN still enhances CCN (0.2%) significantly in several continental regions (>30%) and over the North Atlantic, and increasingly so at the higher supersaturation of 1% (>50%).

3.4 Interactions between CN and CCN production mechanisms

20

Understanding how different particle production mechanisms contribute to CN and CCN helps us to understand the spatial distribution of atmospheric particles. However, one should bear in mind that the mechanisms interact in a complex way. We tested how the CN and CCN concentrations changed by switching off each of the production mechanism at a time.

The total switch off of primary emissions in April 2000 (UTN+BLN, run 8) actually increases the global mean ground level CN by 31% (compared to run PR+UTN+BLN),

ACPD					
9, 12999–13037, 2009					
Impact of nucleation on CCN					
J. Merikanto et al.					
Title	Title Page				
Abstract	Introduction				
Conclusions	References				
Tables	Figures				
I	►I				
•	•				
Back	Close				
Full Screen / Esc					
Printer-friendly Version					
Interactive Discussion					

while global mean CCN (0.2%) in low-level clouds decreases by 20%. Therefore, primary emissions as a whole suppress total particle concentrations but enhance CCN. In Spracklen et al. (2006) we showed that there is a non-linear relationship between primary emission strength and total particle number. A small reduction in primary emis-

sions decreases global total particle number, but after some point the total particle number starts increasing as nucleation becomes more effective (with reducing condensation/coagulation sink).

The relationship between primary emissions and CCN is different over continental and marine regions. Over the continents primary particles contribute 59% of CCN (0.2%) in low-level clouds in April 2000 and their switch off reduces CCN (0.2%) number by 33%, whereas primary emissions can explain 44% of marine CCN (0.2%) but their switch off reduces CCN (0.2%) by 16%. Therefore, the primary emissions restrict the production of CCN (0.2%) via nucleation over the oceans more than over land.

10

In this atmosphere without primary emissions 50% of the global CCN (0.2%) in lowlevel clouds come from BLN and 50% from UTN. This is quite a different split between BLN and UTN compared to the atmosphere with primary emissions, in which nearly 73% of the nucleated CCN came from UTN. Thus, primary emissions, which are primarily anthropogenic in origin, strongly suppress the importance of BLN as a source of CCN. This sensitivity of nucleation to primary emissions suggests that future increases

²⁰ in marine boundary layer CCN due to higher wind speeds and greater sea-salt emissions would not scale linearly with the changes in emissions. It also suggests that the sources of CCN in pre-human conditions may be quite different to today.

Turning off UTN but leaving on BLN and primary emissions decreases the ground level CN by only 5%, and CCN (0.2%) in low-level clouds by 12%. The relatively small

reduction compared to estimated yearly averaged contribution of UTN to CN of 26% and CCN (0.2%) of 41% in Table 2 is due to the response of BLN to the reduced aerosol input from the UT. In the absence of UTN, BLN starts taking place in regions of the marine boundary layer where it is not occurring when the background aerosol originating from upper troposphere was included. In this run without UTN, the global origination of the marine boundary layer where it is not occurring when the background aerosol originating from upper troposphere was included.



mean contribution of BLN to global mean low-level cloud CCN (0.2%) is 48% (60% marine mean), which is disproportionally larger than the 55/10% split between primary and BLN CCN (0.2%) (45/10% in marine atmosphere) when BLN is added to an atmosphere with UTN. Thus, UT-nucleated particles are an important sink for boundary
 layer-nucleated particles, a response seen in early box model simulations (Raes, 1995; Raes et al., 2000) but confirmed here as a global phenomenon. The sensitive balance between the production of BLN particles and their loss to the background aerosol

means that the total CCN concentration is less than proportionally affected by changes in UT or primary particles.

10 4 Conclusions and discussion

15

20

Table 2 summarises the contributions of UTN, BLN and particulate emissions to global and regional ground level CN, and CCN (0.2%) and CCN (1.0%) in low-level clouds. The estimates are based on the GLOMAP model runs that produce best agreements with observed particle number concentrations and size distributions (Spracklen et al., 2008, 2009).

On a global mean, primary particles contribute 55% of CCN (0.2%) and nucleation 45%, from which 35% can be attributed to the flux of nucleated particles from the free and upper troposphere (UTN) and 10% from boundary layer nucleation (BLN). However, these UTN particles also significantly suppress the CCN yield from BLN particles, whose contribution to CCN (0.2%) would be much larger if the UT source were suppressed.

The contribution of nucleation to CCN (0.2%) in low-level clouds is greater over marine regions (55%) than over land areas (33%). But even over the most polluted continental regions with high primary emissions, such as in South-East Asia, nucleation ²⁵ accounts for 19% of CCN (0.2%). In contrast, some continental regions appear to be rather similar to marine regions in terms of the sources of CCN. For example, in northern Asia and North America nucleation contributes nearly half of all CCN (0.2%).



At higher elevations the contribution of primary particles to CCN decreases and the contribution from UTN particles increases. Also with a larger in-cloud supersaturation the relative contribution of nucleation sources to CCN increases, as smaller particles dominated by nucleation sources become activated. Particularly this is the case for ⁵ boundary layer nucleation. Globally, BLN contributes 10% of CCN (0.2%) but 20% of CCN (1.0%). This is because nucleation in the boundary layer contributes most to the small particle sizes: nearly half of all ground level particles above 3 nm are produced by BLN.

There are significant uncertainties in atmospheric nucleation mechanisms and in global primary particle emissions. The near-linear relationship of continental nucleation rates to sulfuric acid concentrations is nowadays fairly well established in a number of field experiments (Kulmala et al., 2008), but it remains unclear if this mechanisms depends on additional species besides sulfuric acid, such as on secondary organics (Laaksonen et al., 2008). Here, we have represented boundary layer nucleation with

- an activation scheme (Kulmala et al., 2006) using the same rate constants in all environments, but in reality boundary layer nucleation rates are observed to have larger temporal and spatial variation (Riipinen et al., 2007). Other nucleation mechanisms may also contribute to boundary layer nucleation: for example iodine-driven nucleation can be a significant source of new particles in coastal areas (O'Dowd and de Leeuw,
- 20 2007). We have represented nucleation in the upper troposphere with neutral binary homogeneous nucleation of sulfuric acid and water, but it is possible that ion-induced nucleation can be the dominant source in UT and possibly significantly contributes to boundary layer nucleation as well (Lovejoy et al., 2004; Kazil et al., 2006; Yu et al., 2008). Uncertainties are also related to the yield of condensing secondary organic va-
- por, which can modify the obtained aerosol size distributions considerably (Spracklen et al., 2008) and enhance the particle growth rates to CCN sizes. Primary organic emission strengths are still somewhat uncertain (Bond et al., 2004), and the proportion of sulfur emissions that directly produces sulfate anticorrelates strongly with BLN aerosol production capability (Wang and Penner, 2009). While we take some of these

ACPD 9, 12999–13037, 2009 Impact of nucleation on CCN J. Merikanto et al.





uncertainties into account, an exhaustive analysis of all uncertainties is currently not possible.

In the current analysis we have used three different scenarios for primary emissions, three different rate constants for boundary layer nucleation, and two different parame-

- terizations of neutral binary homogeneous nucleation of sulfuric acid and water. The uncertainties were then combined using the minimum and maximum aerosol production yield from each production mechanism. Within this error analysis we can conclude that particles produced in the upper troposphere dominate both total particle number and CCN above the boundary layer and compete as a dominant source od CCN in
 the marine boundary layer with primary particles (mainly sea salt), and that continental hourdary layer and compete and the sea salt).
 - boundary layer CCN is dominated by primary emissions. The global spread of particles nucleated in the free and upper troposphere means that virtually nowhere on the planet escapes the influence of sulfate pollution. While sulfate pollution is strongly concentrated over and near to source regions, the CCN pro-
- ¹⁵ duced in the FT from these sulfur species are spread much more widely; the residence time of free tropospheric sulfate is estimated to be from a few weeks to over a month whereas it is only few days in the boundary layer (Rodhe, 1999; Williams et al., 2002). The general circulation patterns make the longitudinal transport of free tropospheric particles more efficient than latitudinal transport, and they enter the boundary layer
- fairly evenly over different longitudes regardless of continents. We have highlighted the marine stratocumulus decks where this long reach of nucleated CCN is important. The model results suggest that in many regions the CCN budget can be understood only in the context of long range transport. Even in highly polluted regions CCN from long range transport through the free troposphere make a non-negligible contribution.
- These simulations have shown that nucleated particles are transported very large distances and can affect CCN in regions far from where precursor gases were emitted. The transport, transformation and removal processes are therefore important factors in shaping the global CCN distribution. While previous model intercomparisons and assessments have evaluated aerosol lifetimes on a mass basis, an evaluation of size-

ACPD 9, 12999-13037, 2009 Impact of nucleation on CCN J. Merikanto et al. **Title Page** Introduction Abstract Conclusions References **Figures I**◄ Þ١ Back Full Screen / Esc **Printer-friendly Version** Interactive Discussion

resolved transport efficiency and removal would be useful.

Our analysis has also shown how primary and nucleated CCN sources are nonlinearly coupled. In particular, boundary layer nucleation is strongly suppressed both by primary emissions at the surface and by the influx of particles nucleated in the upper

⁵ troposphere. This coupling means that in most regions CCN will respond non-linearly to future changes in natural and anthropogenic primary emissions and condensable gases. For example, apart from 40°-60° S Southern Ocean region, changes in marine CCN due to climate-induced changes in wind speed will not scale with sea spray emissions.

10 References

- Adams, P. J. and Seinfeld, J. H.: Predicting global aerosol size distributions in general circulation models, J. Geophys. Res., 107, 4370, doi:10.1029/2001JD001010, 2002. 13001
- Alfarra, M. R., Paulsen, D., Gysel, M., Garforth, A. A., Dommen, J., Prévôt, A. S. H., Worsnop, D. R., Baltensperger, U., and Coe, H.: A mass spectrometric study of secondary organic
- aerosols formed from the photooxidation of anthropogenic and biogenic precursors in a reaction chamber, Atmos. Chem. Phys., 6, 5279–5293, 2006, http://www.atmos-chem-phys.net/6/5279/2006/. 13002
 - Benkovitz, C. M., Scholtz, M. T., Pacyna, J., Tarrason, L., Dignon, J., Voldner, E. C., Spiro, P. A., Logan, J. A., and Graedel, T. E.: Global gridded inventories of anthropogenic emissions
- of sulfur and nitrogen, J. Geophys. Res.-Atmos., 101(D22), 29239-29253, 1996. 13005 Benson, D. R., Li-Hao Young, Shan-Hu Lee, Campos, T. L., Rogers, D. C., and Jensen, J.: The effects of airmass history on new particle formation in the free troposphere: case studies, Atmos. Chem. Phys., 8, 3015–3024, 2008,

http://www.atmos-chem-phys.net/8/3015/2008/. 13001

²⁵ Bond, T., Streets, D. G., Yarber, K. F., Nelson, S. M., Woo, J.-H., and Klimont, Z.: A technologybased global inventory of black and organic carbon emissions from combustion, J. Geophys. Res.-Atmos., 109, D14203, doi:10.1029/2003JD003697, 2004. 13001, 13017 Bonn, B., Kulmala, M., Riipinen, I., Sihto, S.-L., and Ruuskanen, T. M.: How biogenic terpenes

ACPD 9, 12999-13037, 2009 Impact of nucleation on CCN J. Merikanto et al. **Title Page** Abstract Introduction Conclusions References **Figures** Back Full Screen / Esc **Printer-friendly Version** Interactive Discussion



govern the correlation between sulfuric acid concentrations and new particle formation, J. Geophys. Res., 113, D12209, doi:10.1029/2007JD009327, 2008. 13002

- Chipperfield, M.: New version of the TOMCAT/SLIMCAT off-line chemical transport model: Intercomparison of stratospheric tracer experiments, Q. J. Roy. Meteor. Soc., 132, 1179–
- ⁵ 1203, doi:10.1256/qj.05.51, 2006. 13003

25

30

Clarke, A. D., Owens, S., and Zhou, J.: An ultrafine seasalt flux from breaking waves: Implications for CCN in the remote marine atmosphere, J. Geophys. Res. Atmos., 111, D06202, doi:10.1029/2005JD006565, 2006. 13005

Dentener, F., Kinne, S., Bond, T., Boucher, O., Cofala, J., Generoso, S., Ginoux, P., Gong, S.,

Hoelzemann, J. J., Ito, A., Marelli, L., Penner, J. E., Putaud, J.-P., Textor, C., Schulz, M., van der Werf, G. R., and Wilson, J.: Emissions of primary aerosol and precursor gases in the years 2000 and 1750 prescribed data-sets for AeroCom, Atmos. Chem. Phys., 6, 4321–4344, 2006,

http://www.atmos-chem-phys.net/6/4321/2006/. 13004, 13027

- ¹⁵ Fountoukis, C., Nenes, A., Meskhidze, N., Bahreini, R., Conant, W. C., Jonsson, H. Murphy, S., Sorooshian, A., Varutbangkul, V., Brechtel, F., Flagan, R. C., and Seinfeld J. H.: Aerosolcloud drop concentration closure for clouds sampled during the International Consortium for Atmospheric Research on Transport and Transformation 2004 campaign, J. Geophys. Res., 112, D10S30, doi:10.1029/2006JD007272, 2007.
- Gaydos, T. M., Stanier, C. O., and Pandis, S. N.: Modeling of in situ ultrafine atmospheric particle formation in the eastern United States, J. Geophys. Res., 110, D07S12, doi:10.1029/2004JD004683, 2005. 13001
 - Gong, S. L.: A parameterization of sea-salt aerosol source function for sub- and super-micron particles, Global Biogeochem. Cy., 17(4), 1097, doi:10.1029/2003GB002079, 2003. 13005, 13027
 - Guenther, A., Hewitt, C. N., Erickson D., et al: A global model of natural volatile organic compound emissions, J. Geophys. Res., 100, 8873–8892, 1995.
 - Jung, J, Adams, P. J., and Pandis, S. N.: Simulating the size distribution and chemical composition of ultrafine particles during nucleation events, Atmos. Environ., 40, 2248–2259, 2006. 13001
 - Kazil, J., Lovejoy, E. R., Barth, M. C., and O'Brien, K.: Aerosol nucleation over oceans and the role of galactic cosmic rays, Atmos. Chem. Phys., 6, 4905–4924, 2006, http://www.atmos-chem-phys.net/6/4905/2006/. 13002, 13017

9, 12999–13037, 2009

Impact of nucleation on CCN





Kerminen, V.-M., and Kulmala, M.: Analytical formulae connecting the 'real' and the apparent nucleation rate and the nuclei number concentration for atmospheric nucleation events, J. Aerosol Sci., 33, 609–622, 2002. 13006

Kettle, A., Andreae, M.: Flux of dimethylsulfide from the oceans: A comparison of updated data sets and flux models, J. Geophys. Res., 105, 26793-26808, 2000. 13005

- 5 Korhonen, H., Carslaw, K. S., Spracklen, D. V., Mann, G., W., and Woodhouse, M. T.: Influence of oceanic dimethyl sulfide emissions on cloud condensation nuclei concentrations and seasonality over the remote Southern Hemisphere oceans: A global model study, J. Geophys. Res.-Atmos., 113, D15204, doi:10.1029/2007JD009718, 2008. 13002
- 10 Kuang, C., McMurry, P. H., McCormick, A. V., and Eisele, F. L.: Dependence of nucleation rates on sulfuric acid vapor concentration in diverse atmospheric locations, J. Geophys. Res., 113, D10209. doi:10.1029/2007JD009253. 2008. 13006

Kulmala, M., Laaksonen, A., and Piriola, L.: Parameterizations for sulfuric acid/water nucleation rates, J. Geophys. Res.-Atmos., 103(D7), 8301–8307, 1998. 13005, 13006, 13007, 13008,

13009, 13011, 13027 15

Kulmala, M., Vehkamäki, H., Petäjä, T., Dal Maso, M., Lauri, A., Kerminen, V.-M., Birmili, W., and McMurry, P. H.: Formation and growth of ultrafine atmospheric particles: a review of observations, J. Aerosol Sci., 35, 143-176, 2004a. 13001

Kulmala, M., Lehtinen, K. E. J., and Laaksonen, A.: Cluster activation theory as an explanation

of the linear dependence between formation rate of 3 nm particles and sulphuric acid con-20 centration, Atmos. Chem. Phys., 6, 787-793, 2006,

http://www.atmos-chem-phys.net/6/787/2006/. 13002, 13005, 13006, 13008, 13017 Kulmala, M. and Kerminen, V.-M.: On the formation and growth of atmospheric nanoparticles, Atmos. Res., 90, 132–150, doi:10.1016/j.atmosres.2008.01.005, 2008. 13002, 13017

- Laaksonen, A., Kulmala, M., O'Dowd, C. D., Joutsensaari, J., Vaattovaara, P., Mikkonen, S., Lehtinen, K. E. J., Sogacheva, L., Dal Maso, M., Aalto, P., Petäjä, T., Sogachev, A., Yoon, Y. J., Lihavainen, H., Nilsson, D., Facchini, M. C., Cavalli, F., Fuzzi, S., Hoffmann, T., Arnold, F., Hanke, M., Sellegri, K., Umann, B., Junkermann, W., Coe, H., Allan, J. D., Alfarra, M. R., Worsnop, D. R., Riekkola, M. -L., Hyötyläinen, T., and Viisanen, Y.: The role of VOC oxidation
- products in continental new particle formation, Atmos. Chem. Phys., 8, 2657-2665, 2008, 30 http://www.atmos-chem-phys.net/8/2657/2008/. 13002, 13017
 - Lohmann, U., Stier, P., Hoose, C., Ferrachat, S., Kloster, S., Roeckner, E., and Zhang, J.: Cloud microphysics and aerosol indirect effects in the global climate model ECHAM5-HAM, Atmos.

ACPD				
9, 12999–1	9, 12999–13037, 2009			
Impact of nucleation on CCN J. Merikanto et al.				
Title Page				
Abstract	Introduction			
Conclusions	References			
Tables	Figures			
I4	۶I			
•	•			
Back	Close			
Full Scre	Full Screen / Esc			
Printer-friendly Version				
Interactive Discussion				



Chem. Phys., 7, 3425–3446, 2007,

http://www.atmos-chem-phys.net/7/3425/2007/. 13001

- Lovejoy, E. R., Curtius, J., and Froyd, K. D.: Atmospheric ion-induced nucleation of sulfuric acid and water, J. Geophys. Res., 109, D08204, doi:10.1029/2003JD004460, 2004. 13017
- 5 Lyubovtseva, Y. S., Sogacheva, L., Dal Maso, M., Bonn, B., Keronen, P., and Kulmala, M.: Seasonal variations of trace gases, meteorological parameters, and formation of aerosols in boreal forest, Boreal Environment Research, 10, 493-510, 2005. 13001
 - Makkonen, R., Asmi, A., Korhonen, H., Kokkola, H., Järvenoja, S., Räisänen, P., Lehtinen, K. E. J., Laaksonen, A., Kerminen, V.-M., Järvinen, H., Lohmann, U., Bennartz, R., Feichter,
- J., and Kulmala, M.: Sensitivity of aerosol concentrations and cloud properties to nucleation 10 and secondary organic distribution in ECHAM5-HAM global circulation model, Atmos. Chem. Phys., 9, 1747-1766, 2009.

http://www.atmos-chem-phys.net/9/1747/2009/. 13003

Martensson, E., Nilsson, E., Leeuw, G., Cohen, L., and Hansson, H.: Laboratory simulations

- and parameterization of the primary marine aerosol production, J. Geophys. Res.-Atmos. 15 108(D9), 4297, doi:10.1029/2002JD002263, 2003. 13005, 13007, 13027
 - Merikanto, J., Spracklen, D. V., Pringle, K. J., and Carslaw, K. S.: Effects of boundary layer particle formation on cloud droplet number and changes in cloud albedo from 1850 to 2000, Atmos. Chem. Phys. Discuss., 9, 5263-5287, 2009,

http://www.atmos-chem-phys-discuss.net/9/5263/2009/. 13003, 13007 20

- Nenes, A., and Seinfeld, J. H.: Parameterization of cloud droplet formation in global climate models, J. Geophys. Res.-Atmos., 108(D14), 4415, doi:10.1029/2002JD002911, 2003.
- Nightingale, P., Liss, P., and Schlosser, P.: Measurements of air-sea gas transfer during an open ocean algal bloom, Geophys. Res. Lett., 27(14), 2117-2120, 2000. 13005
- ²⁵ O'Dowd, C. D. and de Leeuw, G.: Marine Aerosol Production: a review of the current knowledge, Phil. Trans. R. Soc. A., 365, 1753–1774, doi:10.1098/rsta.2007.2043, 2007. 13017 Petters, M. D. and Kreidenweis, S. M.: A single parameter representation of hygroscopic growth and cloud condensation nucleus activity, Atmos. Chem. Phys., 7, 1961–1971, 2007, http://www.atmos-chem-phys.net/7/1961/2007/. 13004
- ³⁰ Pierce, J. R. and Adams, P. J.: Global evaluation of CCN formation by direct emission of sea salt and growth of ultrafine sea salt, J. Geophys. Res.-Atmos., 111, D06203, doi:10.1029/2005JD006186.2006.13002.13005

Pierce, J. R. and Adams, P. J.: Efficiency of cloud condensation nuclei formation from ultrafine





particles, Atmos. Chem. Phys., 7, 1367–1379, 2007, http://www.atmos-chem-phys.net/7/1367/2007/. 13001

- Pierce, J. R., Chen, K., and Adams, P. J.: Contribution of primary carbonaceous aerosol to cloud condensation nuclei: processes and uncertainties evaluated with a global aerosol mi-
- ⁵ crophysics model, Atmos. Chem. Phys., 7, 5447-5466, 2007, http://www.atmos-chem-phys.net/7/5447/2007/. 13002

Pierce, J. R. and Adams, P. J.: Uncertainty in global CCN concentrations from uncertain aerosol nucleation and primary emission rates, Atmos. Chem. Phys., 9, 1339–1356, 2009, http://www.atmos-chem-phys.net/9/1339/2009/. 13003

- Raes, F.: Entrainment of free tropospheric aerosols as a regulating mechanism for cloud condensation nuclei in the remote marine boundary layer, J. Geophys. Res., 100, 2893-2903, 1995. 13002, 13016
 - Raes, F., Van Dingenen, R., Vignati, E., Wilson, J., Putaud, J.-P., Seinfeld, J. H., and Adams,
 P.: Formation and cycling of aerosols in the global troposphere, Atmos. Environ., 34, 4215–4240, 2000. 13016
 - Riipinen, I., Sihto, S.-L., Kulmala, M., Arnold, F., Dal Maso, M., Birmili, W., Saarnio, K., Teinilä, K., Kerminen, V.-M., Laaksonen, A., and Lehtinen, K. E. J.: Connections between atmospheric sulphuric acid and new particle formation during QUEST IIIIV campaigns in Heidelberg and Hyytiälä, Atmos. Chem. Phys., 7, 1899–1914, 2007,

²⁰ http://www.atmos-chem-phys.net/7/1899/2007/. 13006, 13017

15

25

Rodhe, H.: Human impact on the atmospheric sulphur balance, Tellus A-B, 51, 110–122, 1999. 13018

Schroder F. P., Karcher, B., Fiebig, M., and Petzold, A.: Aerosol states in the free troposphere at northern midlatitudes, J. Geophys. Res., 107(D21), 8126, doi:10.1029/2000JD000194, 2002. 13006

Sihto, S.-L., Kulmala, M., Kerminen, V.-M., Dal Maso, M., Petäjä, T., Riipinen, I., Korhonen, H., Arnold, F., Janson, R., Boy, M., Laaksonen, A., and Lehtinen, K. E. J.: Atmospheric sulphuric acid and aerosol formation: implications from atmospheric measurements for nucleation and early growth mechanisms, Atmos. Chem. Phys., 6, 4079–4091, 2006,

- ³⁰ http://www.atmos-chem-phys.net/6/4079/2006/. 13006
 - Smith, S. J., Pitcher, H., and Wigley, T. M. L.: Global and regional anthropogenic sulfur dioxide emissions, Global Planet. Change, 29, 99–119, doi:10.1016/S0921-8181(00)00057-6, 2002. 13001

9, 12999–13037, 2009

Impact of nucleation on CCN





13024

 off-line model of size-resolved aerosol microphysics: I. Model development and prediction of aerosol properties, Atmos. Chem. Phys., 5, 2227–2252, 2005, http://www.atmos-chem-phys.net/5/2227/2005/. 13001, 13004, 13005, 13006

Sogacheva, L., Saukkonen, L., Nilsson, E. D., Dal Maso, M., Schultz, D. M., De Leeuw, G., and Kulmala, M.: New aerosol particle formation in different synoptip situations at Hyvtiälä,

Spracklen, D. V., Pringle, K. J., Carslaw, K. S., Chipperfield, M. P., and Mann, G. W.: A global

- Spracklen, D. V., Pringle, K. J., Carslaw, K. S., Chipperfield, M. P., and Mann, G. W.: A global off-line model of size-resolved aerosol microphysics: II. Identification of key uncertainties, Atmos. Cham. Phys. 5, 2222, 2250, 2005.
- Atmos. Chem. Phys., 5, 3233–3250, 2005, http://www.atmos-chem-phys.net/5/3233/2005/. 13001
 Spracklan, D. V. Caralaw, K. S. Kulmala, M. Karminan, V. N.

Southern Finland, Tellus, 60(4), 485-494, 2008. 13001

- Spracklen, D. V., Carslaw, K. S., Kulmala, M., Kerminen, V.-M., Mann, G. W., and Sihto, S.-L.: The contribution of boundary layer nucleation events to total particle concentrations on regional and global scales, Atmos. Chem. Phys., 6, 5631–5648, 2006,
- http://www.atmos-chem-phys.net/6/5631/2006/.
 13001, 13002, 13003, 13005, 13006, 13007, 13009, 13010, 13015
 - Spracklen, D. V., Carslaw, K. S., Kulmala, M., Kerminen, V.-M., Sihto, S.-L., Riipinen, I., Merikanto, J., Mann, G. W., Chipperfield, M. P., Wiedensohler, A., Birmili, W., and Lihavainen, H.: Contribution of particle formation to global condensation nuclei concentrations, Geophys.
- Res. Lett., 35, L06808, doi:10.1029/2007GL033038, 2008. 13003, 13006, 13007, 13016, 13017
 - Spracklen, D. V., Pringle, K. J., Carslaw, K. S., Mann, G. W., Manktelow, P., and Heintzenberg, J.: Evaluation of a global aerosol microphysics model against size-resolved particle statistics in the marine atmosphere, Atmos. Chem. Phys., 7, 2073–2090, 2007,
- ²⁵ http://www.atmos-chem-phys.net/7/2073/2007/. 13002
 - Spracklen, D. V., Merikanto, J., Carslaw, K. S., et al: Explaining global surface aerosol concentrations in terms of primary emissions and nucleation, in preparation, Atmos. Chem. Phys. Discuss., 2009. 13003, 13007, 13011, 13016

Stier, P., Feichter, J., Kinne, S., Kloster, S., Vignati, E., Wilson, J., Ganzeveld, L., Tegen, I.,

 Werner, M., Balkanski, Y., Schulz, M., Boucher, O., Minikin, A., and Petzold, A.: The aerosolclimate model ECHAM5-HAM, Atmos. Chem. Phys., 5, 1125–1156, 2005, http://www.atmos-chem-phys.net/5/1125/2005/. 13004, 13005, 13027
 Stier, P. Evichter, J. Kloster, S. Vignati, E. and Wilson, J.: Emission Induced Neplinearities

Stier, P., Feichter, J., Kloster, S., Vignati, E., and Wilson, J.: Emission-Induced Nonlinearities

ACPD

9, 12999–13037, 2009

Impact of nucleation on CCN





in the Global Aerosol System: Results from the ECHAM5-HAM Aerosol-Climate Model, J. Climate, 19(16), 3845–3862, 2006. 13002

- Twohy, C. H., Clement, C. F., Gandrud, B. W., Weinheimer, A. J., Campos, T. L., Baumgardner, D., Brune, W. H., Faloona, I., Sachse, G. W., Vay, S. A., and Tan, D.: Deep convection as a source of new particles in the midlatitude upper troposphere, J. Geophys. Res., 107, 4560, doi:10.1029/2001JD000323, 2002 13001, 13010
- Vehkamäki, H., Kulmala, M., Napari, I., Lehtinen, K. E. J., Timmreck, C., Noppel, M., and Laaksonen, A.: An improved parameterization for sulphuric acid-water nucleation rates for tropospheric and stratospheric conditions, J. Geophys. Res., 107, D22(4622), doi:10.1029/2002JD002184, 2002. 13006, 13008, 13027
- Vignati, E., Wilson, J., and Stier P.: M7: An efficient size-resolved aerosol microphysics module for large-scale aerosol transport models, J. Geophys. Res., 109, D22202, doi:10.1029/2003JD004485, 2004. 13001

Wang, M. and Penner, J. E.: Aerosol indirect forcing in a global model with particle nucleation, Atmos. Chem. Phys., 9, 239–260, 2009,

http://www.atmos-chem-phys.net/9/239/2009/. 13001, 13002, 13017

5

10

15

25

- Wang, M., Penner, J. E., and Liu, X.: The coupled IMPACT aerosol and NCAR CAM3 climate model: evaluation of predicted aerosol number and size distribution, J. Geophys. Res., doi:10.1029/2008JD010459, in press, 2009. 13001
- Weber, R. J., McMurry, P. H., Eisele, F. L., and Tanner, D. J.: Measurements of expected nucleation precursor species and 3–500nm diameter particles at Mauna Loa observatory, Hawaii, J. Atmos. Sci., 52, 2242–2257, 1995. 13006
 - Weber, R. J., McMurry, P. H., Eisele, F. L., Tanner, D. J., and Jefferson, A.: Measurements of new particle formation and ultrafine particle growth rates at a clean continental site, J. Geophys. Res., 102, 4375–4385, 1997. 13006
 - Williams, J., de Reus, M., Krejci, R., Fischer, H., and Strm, J.: Application of the variability-size relationship to atmospheric aerosol studies: estimating aerosol lifetimes and ages, Atmos. Chem. Phys., 2, 133–145, 2002,

http://www.atmos-chem-phys.net/2/133/2002/. 13018

Young, L. H., Benson, D. R., Kameel, F. R., Pierce, J. R., Junninen, H., Kulmala, M., and Lee, S.-H.: Laboratory studies of H₂SO₄/H₂O binary homogeneous nucleation from the SO₂+OH reaction: evaluation of the experimental setup and preliminary results, Atmos. Chem. Phys., 8, 4997–5016, 2008, **ACPD**

9, 12999–13037, 2009

Impact of nucleation on CCN





http://www.atmos-chem-phys.net/8/4997/2008/. 13005

- Yu, F., Wang, Z., Luo, G., and Turco, R.: Ion-mediated nucleation as an important global source of tropospheric aerosols, Atmos. Chem. Phys., 8, 2537–2554, 2008, http://www.atmos-chem-phys.net/8/2537/2008/. 13017
- ⁵ Zhang, R., Khalizov, A. F., Pagels, J., Zhang, D., Xue, H., and McMurry, P. H.: Variability in morphology, hygroscopicity, and optical properties of soot aerosols during atmospheric processing, PNAS, 105(30), 10291-10296, doi:10.1073/pnas.0804860105, 2008. 13004



9, 12999–13037, 2009

Impact of nucleation on CCN





ACPD

9, 12999–13037, 2009

Impact of nucleation on CCN

J. Merikanto et al.

Title Page				
Abstract	Introduction			
Conclusions	References			
Tables	Figures			
14	►I.			
•	•			
Back	Close			
Full Screen / Esc				
Printer-friendly Version				
Interactive Discussion				

Table 1. Description of modelled source strengths for different particle production mechanisms. The primary emitted particles mass fluxes are taken from AEROCOM emissions datasets (Dentener et al., 2006). Table shows the applied size distributions schemes. Nucleation schemes refer to applied parameterization or nucleation rate coefficient.

Source 7	Туре	Minimum scheme	Standard scheme	Maximum scheme
UTN H	OC Sulfate Sea-salt H ₂ SO ₄ – H ₂ O	Kulmala et al. (1998)	Stier et al. (2005) Stier et al. (2005) AEROCOM Martensson et al. (2003) Kulmala et al. (1998) $A=2x10^{-6}$	AEROCOM AEROCOM AEROCOM Martensson et al. (2003) Vehkamäki et al. (2002) $A=2x10^{-5}$

Table 2. Summary of contributions of primary particles (PR), boundary layer nucleation (BLN) and upper tropospheric nucleation (UTN) to concentrations of all particles (CN) at ground level and cloud condensation nuclei (CCN) at 460-1100m above ground level at 0.2% and 1.0% supersaturations. The values correspond to results obtained with the standard scheme in Table 1. The total average concentrations are also shown. The marine regions refer to west of North America (NAM), west of South America (SAM), west of North Africa (NAF), west of South Africa (SAF), and East of North-East Asia (NEA) (see Figs. 8 and 9).

Region		CN CCN(1.		CCN (1.0%)	N(1.0%) CCN(0.2%)	
	All [cm ⁻³]	PR-UTN-BLN [%]	All [cm ⁻³]	PR-UTN-BLN [%]	All [cm ⁻³]	PR-UTN-BLN [%]
Total Global	1063	27-26-48	430	39-41-20	263	55-35-10
Total Marine	778	19-33-49	308	32-51-17	187	45-45-10
NAM	599	20-63-18	380	22-68-9	251	30-60-10
SAM	567	14-41-45	231	26-63-12	145	35-57-8
NAF	1007	12-31-57	397	22-59-20	261	30-53-17
SAF	616	23-41-36	343	37-52-11	260	46-46-7
NEA	1402	35-35-30	745	44-43-13	507	58-33-9
Total Continental	1813	36-18-46	754	46-30-23	461	67-24-9
Europe	2640	47-11-42	1201	49-21-30	680	69-19-12
Africa	1170	50-20-29	714	57-29-14	548	70-24-6
N. America	2496	20-12-69	894	27-29-44	398	53-27-20
S. America	1595	36-15-49	632	54-32-13	469	67-26-6
N. Asia	1149	22-26-53	531	28-41-30	251	51-36-13
S.E. Asia	3954	46-14-40	1395	59-23-18	862	81-14-5
Oceania	1303	21-20-59	573	29-41-30	321	48-36-16
Antarctica	755	2-76-22	91	14-71-16	5	31-65-4

ACPD

9, 12999–13037, 2009

Impact of nucleation on CCN







Fig. 1. Vertical STP volume averaged global aerosol concentrations of primary particles (black), primary particles and upper tropospheric nucleation (red), and primary particles, upper tropospheric nucleation and boundary layer nucleation (green). Shaded black, red, and green areas represent uncertainties in the obtained profiles due to uncertainties in primary emission size distribution, upper tropospheric nucleation rate, and boundary layer nucleation rate, respectively. **A**: Concentrations of all particles larger than 3 nm in diameter. **B**: CCN concentrations with 0.2% supersaturation.





Fig. 2. Vertical contribution of boundary layer nucleation (green), upper tropospheric nucleation (red), and primary OC, BC, sulphate and sea salt particles (black) to **A**: global; **B**: continental; **C**: marine total volume averaged particle concentrations (all particles larger than 3 nm). Horizontal bars represent combined uncertainties in each mechanism.

ACPD

9, 12999–13037, 2009

Impact of nucleation on CCN





Fig. 3. Vertical contribution of boundary layer nucleation (green), upper tropospheric nucleation (red), and primary particles (black) to **A**: global; **B**: continental; **C**: marine cloud condensation nuclei volume averaged concentrations at 0.2% supersaturation with associated uncertainties.

9, 12999–13037, 2009

Impact of nucleation on CCN













Interactive Discussion





B: CN from Primaries

Tables Figures .∎. ►I. Close Back Full Screen / Esc **Printer-friendly Version** Interactive Discussion

Fig. 5. Ground level total particle (above 3 nm in diameter) average concentrations of A: all particles (sum of panels B, C and D); B: primary particles; C: nucleated particles entrained from upper troposphere; D: particles nucleated in the boundary layer.

9, 12999-13037, 2009

ACPD

Impact of nucleation on CCN

J. Merikanto et al.

Title Page

Abstract

Conclusions

Introduction

References



A: CN contribution from nucleation



B: CN contribution from Primaries

ACPD 9, 12999–13037, 2009

Impact of nucleation on CCN

J. Merikanto et al.





Fig. 6. Relative average contributions to ground total particle concentrations (above 3 nm in diameter) of **A**: all nucleation particles (sum of panels **C** and **D**); **B**: primary particles; C: upper tropospheric nucleated particles; D: boundary layer nucleated particles.



ACPD 9, 12999–13037, 2009

Impact of nucleation on CCN

J. Merikanto et al.





Fig. 7. Average cloud condensation nuclei concentrations with 0.2% supersaturation at 460–1100 m above ground level of **A**: all particles (sum of panels **B**, **C** and **D**); B: primary particles; C: nucleated particles entrained from upper troposphere; D: particles nucleated in the boundary layer.

A: CCN(0.2%) contribution from nucleation





C: CCN(0.2 %) contribution from UTN



B: CCN(0.2 %) contribution from Primaries





D: CCN(0.2 %) contribution from BLN



Fig. 8. Relative average contributions to cloud condensation nuclei concentrations at 460–1100 m above ground level with 0.2% supersaturation of **A**: all nucleation particles (sum of panels **C** and **D**). Regions with persistent stratocumulus decks are highlighted with blue lines; **B**: primary particles; C: upper tropospheric nucleated particles; D: boundary layer nucleated particles.

ACPD

9, 12999–13037, 2009

Impact of nucleation on CCN





A: CCN(1.0%) contribution from nucleation





C: CCN (1.0%) contribution from UTN



B: CCN (1.0%) contribution from Primaries





D: CCN (1.0%) contribution from BLN



ACPD

9, 12999–13037, 2009

Impact of nucleation on CCN

J. Merikanto et al.





Fig. 9. Relative average contributions to cloud condensation nuclei concentrations at 460–1100 m above ground level with 1.0% supersaturation of **A**: all nucleation particles (sum of panels **C** and **D**). Regions with persistent stratocumulus decks are highlighted with blue lines; **B**: primary particles; **C**: upper tropospheric nucleated particles; **D**: boundary layer nucleated particles.