1273

Atmos. Chem. Phys. Discuss., 9, 1273–1300, 2009 www.atmos-chem-phys-discuss.net/9/1273/2009/ © Author(s) 2009. This work is distributed under the Creative Commons Attribution 3.0 License.

This discussion paper is/has been under review for the journal *Atmospheric Chemistry and Physics (ACP)*. Please refer to the corresponding final paper in *ACP* if available.

Kinetic modeling of nucleation experiments involving SO₂ and OH: new insights into the underlying nucleation mechanisms

H. Du and F. Yu

Atmospheric Sciences Research Center, State University of New York at Albany, Albany, NY, 12203, USA

Received: 7 October 2008 - Accepted: 31 October 2008 - Published: 15 January 2009

Correspondence to: H. Du (huadu@asrc.cestm.albany.edu)

Published by Copernicus Publications on behalf of the European Geosciences Union.





Abstract

Nucleation is an important source of atmospheric aerosols which have significant climatic and health implications. Despite intensive theoretical and field studies during past decades, the dominant nucleation mechanism in the lower troposphere remains to be mysterious. Several recent laboratory studies on atmospheric nucleation may shed light on this important problem. However, the most interesting finding from those studies was based on the H₂SO₄ concentration whose accuracy has not yet been evaluated by any other methods. Moreover, the threshold H₂SO₄ concentration needed to reach the same degree of nucleation reported by two separate nucleation studies varies by about one order of magnitude. In this study, we apply a recently updated kinetic nucleation model to study the nucleation phenomena observed in those recent experiments. We show that the H₂SO₄ concentration can be estimated with a higher level of accuracy with the kinetic model by constraining the simulated particle size distributions with observed ones. We find that the H₂SO₄ concentration was un-

- derestimated in those studies by a factor of ~2 to 4. More importantly, by comparing the derived thermodynamic properties associated with the nucleation process, we conclude that different unknown species may participate in the two separate nucleation experimental studies, which may explain the large difference in the reported threshold H₂SO₄ concentration. Although the unknown species involved has yet to be identified,
 the derived values of thermodynamic properties can serve as a valuable guideline for
- the search of their chemical identities using advanced quantum-chemical approaches.

1 Introduction

Atmospheric aerosols have been extensively investigated due to their climatic and health impacts (NRC, 2005; Alessandrini et al., 2006; Hoffmann et al., 2006; McConnell et al., 2006; IPCC, 2007; Rundell et al., 2007). Nucleation has been known as an im-

et al., 2006; IPCC, 2007; Rundell et al., 2007). Nucleation has been known as an important source of secondary aerosols in the troposphere. There exist three relatively

ACPD 9, 1273-1300, 2009 Kinetic modeling of nucleation experiments H. Du and F. Yu **Title Page** Introduction Abstract Conclusions References **Figures** ►T. Back Full Screen / Esc **Printer-friendly Version** Interactive Discussion



well-developed theories: binary H₂SO₄-H₂O homogeneous nucleation (BHN) theory (Noppel et al., 2002; Vehkamaki et al., 2002; Yu, 2005, 2007), ternary NH₃-H₂SO₄-H₂O nucleation (THN) theory (Coffman and Hegg, 1995; Korhonen et al., 1999; Anttila et al., 2005; Yu, 2006b) and ion-mediated H₂SO₄-H₂O nucleation theory (Yu and Turco, 2000; Lovejoy et al., 2004; Yu, 2006c; Yu et al., 2007). In addition to BHN, THN is now also considered to be unimportant in the lower ambient troposphere (Merikanto et al., 2007; Yu and Turco, 2008). In contrast, ion-mediated H₂SO₄-H₂O nucleation has been shown to be able to contribute substantially to new particle formation in the lower troposphere (Yu et al., 2007). Besides BHN, THN, and IMN, other (yet to be identified)
nucleation processes may also contribute to new particle formation in the atmosphere in some regions or under certain conditions.

Recent laboratory studies (Berndt et al., 2005, 2006, 2007, 2008; Svensmark et al., 2007; Benson et al., 2008; Young et al., 2008) reported new particle formation in the nucleation reactor/chamber under the conditions similar to those in the lower ambient

- ¹⁵ troposphere. The H₂SO₄ vapors in those experiments were produced in the same way as that in the real atmosphere (i.e., via the oxidation of SO₂ by OH), which sets them apart from earlier studies (Wyslouzil et al., 1991; Viisanen et al., 1997; Ball et al., 1999; Zhang et al., 2004) in which H₂SO₄ vapors were obtained from the direct vaporization of the liquid H₂SO₄ reservoir. The most interesting finding in Berndt et al. (2005) is
- that only ~10⁷ molecule cm⁻³ of H₂SO₄ was needed to initiate the nucleation if H₂SO₄ vapors were produced in-situ via the oxidation of SO₂ while ~10¹⁰ molecule cm⁻³ of H₂SO₄ was need if H₂SO₄ vapors were derived from the liquid H₂SO₄ reservoir. It has been suggested that the nucleation, starting via the oxidation of SO₂ which eventually leads to H₂SO₄ vapors, may be different from that starting directly from H₂SO₄ vapors.
- ²⁵ Similar laboratory experiments have been reported in more recent papers of Berndt et al. (2006, 2007, 2008) and in the work of Benson et al. (2007) and Young et al. (2008), although both Benson et al. (2007) and Young et al. (2008) found that the threshold H_2SO_4 vapor concentrations required to achieve nucleation rates of ~1 cm⁻³ s⁻¹ are at least one order of magnitude higher than those derived in Berndt et al. (2006, 2007).

ACPD 9, 1273-1300, 2009 Kinetic modeling of nucleation experiments H. Du and F. Yu **Title Page** Introduction Abstract Conclusions References **Tables Figures** Back Full Screen / Esc **Printer-friendly Version** Interactive Discussion

Given the importance of H_2SO_4 vapor in observed atmospheric nucleation events, lower required threshold concentration of H₂SO₄ vapor in-situ produced via OH and SO₂ may indicate that a third species facilitating the binary H₂SO₄-H₂O homogeneous nucleation may exist in those laboratory environments. Therefore, it is plausible to speculate that the ternary "unknown species"-H₂SO₄-H₂O nucleation may occur 5 in those experiments. Berndt et al. (2007) suggested that the unknown species be produced during the conversion process of SO₂ into H₂SO₄. Since the temperature and concentrations of initial gases in those studies resemble those in the lower troposphere, the underlying "unknown species"-H₂SO₄-H₂O nucleation mechanism occurring in those experiments, if confirmed in the real atmosphere, may contribute to 10 global new particle formation. In this regard, it is important to delineate the underlying process of nucleation observed in the above-mentioned chamber studies. One critical question to be addressed is: is the large difference in the threshold H₂SO₄ concentration ($[H_2SO_4]$) between Berndt et al.'s study and Benson et al./Young et al.'s experiments simply due to the errors/uncertainties in [H₂SO₄] estimations or because 15 of different nucleation mechanisms involved? Since [H₂SO₄] in the nucleation zone has never been directly measured and $[H_2SO_4]$ changes as air mass passed through the nucleation reactor/chamber, another related question is how [H2SO4] variations (and uncertainties) may affect the interpretation of measurements obtained at the end of the

20 reactor/chamber.

In this study, we attempt to address the above questions by kinetically simulating the time-dependent formation process of nanoparticles and their subsequent growth inside the nucleation reactors. We employed a size-resolved aerosol microphysical model with the most up-to-date kinetic quasi-unary nucleation (QUN) module (Yu,

25 2007). A number of sensitivity studies have been carried out to analyze the uncertainties and provide insights into the possible nucleation processes in those recently reported chamber studies.





2 Methods

A size-resolved aerosol microphysical model with the most up-to-date kinetic quasiunary nucleation (QUN) module (Yu, 2007) has been employed and modified to study the nucleation processes in recently reported chamber studies. Since the $[H_2SO_4]$ is

- ⁵ changing along the axis of the reactor due to the competition between its production and loss, the kinetic aerosol model is better suited to study the aerosol formation and evolution in the concentration-changing environment. Yu (2007) substantially reduced the uncertainty in the H_2SO_4 - H_2O binary homogeneous nucleation rate calculations by using two independent measurements to constrain monomer hydration in the H_2SO_4 -
- $_{10}$ H₂O system and incorporating recently determined energetics of small neutral H₂SO₄-H₂O clusters. In the past, we have applied this model to investigate the nanoparticle formation and evolution in the continuously diluting exhaust of diesel vehicles and it yields very good agreement with the measured particle size distributions (Du and Yu, 2006, 2008).
- ¹⁵ In this study, we modified the kinetic QUN model to derive [H₂SO₄] needed to explain particle size distributions observed in laboratory studies, and to estimate quantitatively the level of stabilization of small sulfuric acid clusters by yet-to-be-identified specie(s) required to explain the observed nucleation rates. Since H₂SO₄ vapors control the particle growth, the measured particle size distributions can be used to constrain [H₂SO₄]
- ²⁰ inside the nucleation reactor. Because $[H_2SO_4]$ is an important parameter in any of the current nucleation theories, knowing correct $[H_2SO_4]$ in those recent laboratory measurements would give us more valuable insights into those studies. Another advantage of this aerosol microphysical model is that it can yield the values of stepwise Gibbs free energy changes associated with each of initial nucleation steps. This may give
- us a hint on the chemical identities of the unknown species involved in the nucleation, which may serves as a useful guideline for the species search using quantum-chemical calculations.

ACPD 9, 1273-1300, 2009 Kinetic modeling of nucleation experiments H. Du and F. Yu **Title Page** Introduction Abstract Conclusions References **Figures** Back Full Screen / Esc **Printer-friendly Version**

Interactive Discussion



1278

2.1 Modified kinetic H_2SO_4 - H_2O quasi-unary nucleation model to treat ternary "unknown species"- H_2SO_4 - H_2O nucleation

In essence, nucleation is a kinetic process determined by the cluster growth and decay (Yu, 2007), as illustrated below,

 ${}_{5} A + A_{i-1} \stackrel{\beta_{i-1}/\gamma_{i}}{\rightleftharpoons} A_{i}$

10

 β_i and γ_i is the forward rate and evaporation rate of the cluster A_i (i.e., cluster containing *i* number of *A* ligand), respectively. Similar to the role of NH₃ in the binary H₂SO₄-H₂O nucleation (Yu, 2006a), the unknown specie(s) may facilitate the binary homogeneous nucleation by reducing γ_i of binary clusters. Therefore, we incorporate the third "unknown" specie into the QUN model by modifying γ_i of binary clusters in a similar way as in Yu (2006a). This approach allows us to simulate the ternary "unknown species"-H₂SO₄-H₂O nucleation process without the need to know the chemical identity of the species. γ_i can be calculated by the stepwise Gibbs free energy change ($\Delta G_{i-1,i}$) associated with the above reaction by the following formula (Yu, 2007),

$$5 \quad \frac{\beta_{i-1}}{\gamma_i} = \exp\left(-\frac{\Delta G_{i-1,i}}{kT}\right)$$

where *k* is the Boltzman constant and *T* is the temperature. In the QUN model, γ_i of a binary H₂SO₄-H₂O cluster is calculated with Eq. (1) after obtaining values of β_{i-1} and $\Delta G_{i-1,i}$ of binary clusters ($\Delta G_{i-1,i}^B$) (Yu, 2007). We assume that the difference in $\Delta G_{i-1,i}$ between ternary clusters and binary clusters is large for small clusters, and gradually approaches to zero as the size of cluster becomes bigger. In this study, the γ_i of ternary clusters ("unknown species"-H₂SO₄-H₂O clusters) is obtained using Eq. (1) with the $\Delta G_{i-1,i}$ of ternary cluster ($\Delta G_{i-1,i}^T$) calculated by the following equations,

$$\Delta G_{i-1,i}^{T} = \Delta G_{i-1,i}^{B} - dG(i)$$

$$dG(i) = a + \frac{b}{i^{c}}$$
(2)
(3)

(1)

1279

dG(i) is the term to account for the decrease in $\Delta G_{i-1,i}$ due to the presence of the unknown species, and is assumed to be a function of cluster size.

With the modified QUN module incorporated into the size-resolved aerosol microphysical model, we then apply it to simulate the nucleation process and the subsequent ⁵ evolution of aerosol size distribution in the nucleation reactor. A discrete-sectional bin structure is used in the model to represent the size spectra of molecular clus-

- ters/particles ranging from sub-nanometers (the molecular size) to several micrometers and a set of differential equations are solved to simulate kinetically the formation and evolution of clusters/nanoparticles (Yu, 2006a).
- β_i and γ_i are the two key parameters determining the evolution of the particle size distribution and thus nucleation rates (Yu, 2007). There exists one free parameter in each of them: $[H_2SO_4]$ in calculating β_i and $\Delta G_{i-1,i}$ in obtaining γ_i . As we will show in Sect. 3, the mean size of nucleated particles at the end of nucleation reactor is controlled by $[H_2SO_4]$ while $\Delta G_{i-1,i}$ determines the peak concentrations and hence nucleation rates. Therefore, the measured mean sizes of particle size distributions can be used to constrain H_2SO_4 concentrations. Once the H_2SO_4 concentration is fixed, the observed peak number concentration can then be used to constrain or derive $\Delta G_{i-1,i}$.
 - 2.2 Calculation of $[H_2SO_4]$ profiles in nucleation reactors
- Due to different methods used in producing H₂SO₄ vapors, [H₂SO₄] profiles are derived differently for Berndt et al. (2008) and Young et al. (2008). In calculating [H₂SO₄] profiles in Berndt et al. (2008), a chemical kinetic model was developed based on the following reactions,

$$OH + SO_2 \rightarrow \ldots \rightarrow H_2SO_4$$

$$_{25}$$
 $H_2SO_4 \rightarrow wall$ (5)

The OH concentration profile was taken from Berndt et al. (2005). One can obtain different $[H_2SO_4]$ profiles by changing the OH concentration profile. With the same



(4)

concentrations of initial gases and wall lost rate, we reproduced $[H_2SO_4]$ profile given in Berndt et al. (2008). Different from Berndt et al. (2008) in which H_2SO_4 vapors were produced continuously in the nucleation chamber, H_2SO_4 vapors in Young et al. (2008) were produced before entering the fast flow nucleation reactor and subject mainly to the wall loss inside the reactor. The loss of H_2SO_4 vapors to nucleation and condensation processes is generally negligible compared to the wall loss due to the significant difference (several orders of magnitude) in the H_2SO_4 mass between the gas phase and the particle phase. $[H_2SO_4]$ decreases exponentially inside the reactor in Young et al.'s experiments due to the wall loss $[H_2SO_4]=[H_2SO_4]_0 \exp(-Lt)$ (*L* is the first order wall loss rate constant), while it first increases and then decreases in Berndt et al.'s experiments.

3 Results

25

3.1 Kinetic study of nucleation experiments reported in Young et al. (2008)

We first looked into one case from Young et al. (2008) with 24 s of nucleation time and 4.9 ppm of initial SO₂ concentration at *T* =288 K and RH=23%. The [H₂SO₄] profiles inside the nucleation reactor with three different initial values used in our simulations are presented in Fig. 1a. The [H₂SO₄] inside the reactor decreases exponentially with time due to the wall loss. The [H₂SO₄] at the end of the 24 s of nucleation time was constrained by the residual [H₂SO₄] measured at the end of the nucleation reactor which was assumed to be 2.4×10^9 molecule cm⁻³ in this case (see Fig. 7 in Young et al., 2008).

Due to the possible involvement of "unknown species" in the binary nucleation process, the evaporation rate profile of binary clusters/nanoparticles has to be modified to take into account the third species. Figure 1b shows the evaporation rate and forward rate as a function of cluster/nanoparticle diameter at T=288 K and RH=23%. The solid curve represents the evaporate rate profile for binary H₂SO₄-H₂O clus-

ACPD 9, 1273-1300, 2009 Kinetic modeling of nucleation experiments H. Du and F. Yu **Title Page** Introduction Abstract Conclusions References **Figures** ►T. Back Close Full Screen / Esc **Printer-friendly Version** Interactive Discussion



ters/nanoparticles predicted by QUN. The other two curves show the modified evaporate rate profiles for ternary "unknown species"- H_2SO_4 - H_2O clusters/nanoparticles used in this case study. The cluster forward rate profile is calculated assuming 1.2×10^{10} molecule cm⁻³ of the initial [H_2SO_4].

- With the [H₂SO₄] profile and cluster evaporation rates as illustrated in Fig. 1a and b, the particle size distribution can be obtained by solving a set of differential equations (Du and Yu, 2008). Figure 1c shows the predicted particle size distributions with three different initial [H₂SO₄] and the fixed evaporation rate profile (ER2). As one can see, ~1.2×10¹⁰ molecule cm⁻³ of initial [H₂SO₄] is needed in order to explain the measured mean size of particle size distribution. Lower or higher initial [H₂SO₄] in this case would result in either smaller or larger mean size of predicted particle size distribution. This shows that the initial value of [H₂SO₄] can be inferred by matching the mean size of predicted particle size distribution with that of the measured one.
- Once the $[H_2SO_4]$ profile inside the nucleation reactor is determined, the evaporation rate profile of ternary clusters/nanoparticles can be obtained by constraining the peak concentration of predicted particle size distribution with that of measured one. Figure 1d shows that predicted particle size distributions with three different cluster evaporation rate profiles and the fixed $[H_2SO_4]$ profile determined in Fig. 1c (i.e., $[H_2SO_4]_0=1.2\times10^{10}$ molecule cm⁻³). As one can see, the binary H_2SO_4 - H_2O homogeneous nucleation rate cannot explain the experiments of Young et al. (2008) (see green
- 20 neous nucleation rate cannot explain the experiments of roung et al. (2008) (see green curve). The predicted peak concentration of the nucleation mode is lower than the measured one by 3 orders of magnitude. In order to explain the observed nucleation, the third species, which would lower the cluster evaporation rates, has to participate in the nucleation. The cluster evaporation rate profiles ER2 and ER3, taking into account
- the third unknown stabilizing species, are assumed and shown in Fig. 1b. With these assumed lower cluster evaporation rates, the nucleation can be significantly enhanced with the ER2 profile yielding the best agreement to the observation. As can been seen in Fig. 1d, although having different peak concentrations due to different evaporation rates, all three cases with the same $[H_2SO_4]$ profile have the same mean size. This

ACPD 9, 1273-1300, 2009 Kinetic modeling of nucleation experiments H. Du and F. Yu **Title Page** Introduction Abstract Conclusions References **Figures** Back Full Screen / Esc **Printer-friendly Version** Interactive Discussion



further confirms our approach of estimating the $[H_2SO_4]$ by matching the mean size of the predicted particle size distribution with the measured one.

Figure 1 illustrated the approaches we used in this study as to obtain the $[H_2SO_4]$ profile and cluster evaporation rate profile based on measured particle size distributions. We showed that $[H_2SO_4]$ profile can be obtained with a high degree of accuracy 5 with this approach; however, the accuracy of cluster evaporation rate profile (i.e. ER2) needs further examination. Therefore, we carried out two other case simulations with different nucleation time (t=37 s and 54 s) and initial SO₂ concentrations (4.6 ppm and 4.4 ppm) at the same ambient conditions. Since the T and RH in these two cases are the same as those of the first case study, the ER2 obtained is fixed in these two case 10 studies with only one variable $[H_2SO_4]$ in the simulation. Theoretically, the $[H_2SO_4]$ not only determines the mean size of nucleation mode as mentioned above, but also controls the nucleation rate which can be reflected by the peak concentration of nucleation mode. Thus, the assumed $[H_2SO_4]$ profile in the simulation has to yield a particle size distribution that matches not only the mean size but also the peak concentration 15

of measured particle size distributions. Failure in matching both criteria may be a sign of poor evaporation rate profile (i.e. ER2 in this case) assumed.

The initial value $[H_2SO_4]$ is selected to be 1.3×10^{10} and 1.4×10^{10} molecule cm⁻³ for the *t*=37 s and 54 s case, respectively. The $[H_2SO_4]$ at the end of residence time uses also taken from the respectively [H_2O_1] (forms at al. 2000) which

- time was also taken from the reported residual $[H_2SO_4]$ (Young et al., 2008) which was 1.4×10^9 molecule cm⁻³ (*t*=37 s) and 1.5×10^8 molecule cm⁻³ (*t*=54 s), respectively. With the assumed ER2 and $[H_2SO_4]$ profile, the evolution of particle size distributions inside the nucleation reactor are simulated kinetically, as shown in Fig. 2. In both cases presented here, nucleation process inside the reactor starts as early
- as 0.1 s and continues as the peak concentration of nucleation mode increases until ~10 s. Since then, the H_2SO_4 condensational growth dominates the evolution of particle size distribution. The reason that the growth process outweighs the nucleation one in the evolution of particle size distributions after ~10 s is due to the rapid decrease in $[H_2SO_4]$ resulting from the wall loss and the high sensitivity of nucleation rate





to $[H_2SO_4]$. This also shows that nucleation happens only during a fraction of total residence time in the nucleation reactor.

As one can see, the predicted particle size distributions at the end of residence times in both cases are in an excellent agreement with the measurements. Good matches of

- ⁵ predicted mean sizes of nucleation mode with measured ones indicate that the selected initial values and time profiles of [H₂SO₄] are proper and probably have a high level of accuracy. This also suggests that the selected ER2 is able to represent the cluster evaporation rates of nucleation occurred, given the good agreements of predicted peak concentrations of nucleation mode with measured ones at all simulated scenarios.
- Since the intersection of the cluster forward rate and evaporation rate locates the size of critical cluster (Yu, 2005), the predicted minimum number of H_2SO_4 molecules in the critical cluster is shown to be ~4 based on our simulation. This value in Young et al. (2008) was reported to be ~3, which was calculated from the slopes of nucleation rate vs. $[H_2SO_4]$ plots. Considering the nature of the comparison and uncertainties associated with both modeling and experiments, the agreement in the number of H_2SO_4
 - molecules in the critical cluster is reasonable.

In addition, an important parameter regarding the experiment, the wall loss factor (WLF), can be derived by dividing the obtained initial $[H_2SO_4]$ by that measured at the exit of the reactor. The WLF based on the $[H_2SO_4]$ profile in our study is calculated to

- ²⁰ be 5, 9 and 90 for the case with the nucleation time of 24 s, 37 s, and 54 s, respectively. The first two derived WLFs for cases with nucleation time of 24 s and 37 s are about a factor of 2 higher than those estimated in Young et al. (2008). However, for the case with nucleation time of 54 s, the difference in WLF estimation is as large as a factor of 7.6. Because the difference in the mean size of measured particle size distribution
- ²⁵ between 37 s case and 54 s one is very small (i.e. ~0.25 nm, see Fig. 9 in Young et al., 2008), the $[H_2SO_4]$ in these two cases should be very close since H_2SO_4 vapors dominate the particle growth. This suggests that the value of initial $[H_2SO_4]$ used for the case with the nucleation time of 54 s is reasonably good. Thus, the significantly high WLF estimation for the 54 s case should be reasonable since the $[H_2SO_4]$ at the

ACPD 9, 1273-1300, 2009 Kinetic modeling of nucleation experiments H. Du and F. Yu **Title Page** Introduction Abstract Conclusions References **Figures** ►T. Back Close Full Screen / Esc **Printer-friendly Version** Interactive Discussion



end of the reactor is set to be the residual H_2SO_4 concentration reported in Young et al. (2008).

3.2 The kinetic study of nucleation experiments reported in Berndt et al. (2008)

We also carried out similar kinetic analysis of the work of Berndt et al. (2008). Both Young et al. (2008) and Berndt et al. (2008) focused on experimental investigations of 5 nucleation starting via SO₂ and OH oxidation, although they had different experimental setups (especially on the method to produce OH). Here we adopted the same methodology used in the above case study to study the experiment of Berndt et al. (2008).

The $[H_2SO_4]$ profile in the nucleation reactor first needs to be calculated by numerically solving the Eqs. (4) and (5) with an assumed OH concentration, as shown in 10 Fig. 3a. The profile calculated by Berndt et al. (2008) was also included. Berndt et al. (2008) studied the effect of added background H_2SO_4 on nucleation (injected at t=0 s, and subject to wall loss). Figure 3a also gives three profiles of the background $[H_2SO_4]$ with different initial values. The cluster evaporation rate profiles for both binary H₂SO₄-H₂O clusters and ternary "unknown species"-H₂SO₄-H₂O clusters are presented in 15 Fig. 3b.

With the assumed $[H_2SO_4]$ profile and the ternary cluster evaporation rate profile, the formation of nanoparticles and their evolution can be simulated kinetically and are presented in Fig. 4. No background [H₂SO₄] is assumed in this simulation. As one can see, the $[H_2SO_4]$ profile with a maximum value of $\sim 8.5 \times 10^8$ cm⁻³ was needed in order

- 20 to yield a good agreement with the measured particle size distribution. Since the measured particle number concentration by CPC is 10 times higher than that integrated from the measured particle size distribution (Berndt et al., 2008), the peak concentration of simulated particle size distribution is higher than that of the measured one.
- Based on their chemical kinetic model, Berndt et al. (2008) predicted the maximum value for H₂SO₄ vapors to be $\sim 2.3 \times 10^8$ molecule cm⁻³; however, this value clearly would yield a much smaller mean size of the particle size distribution (i.e. 1.2 nm in difference, see orange solid curve in Fig. 4). The underestimation of $[H_2SO_4]$ in the

ACPD 9, 1273-1300, 2009 Kinetic modeling of nucleation experiments H. Du and F. Yu **Title Page** Introduction Abstract Conclusions References **Figures** ►T. Back Close Full Screen / Esc **Printer-friendly Version** Interactive Discussion

study of Berndt et al. (2006) was also pointed out in another work (Sorokin and Arnold, 2007), which is consistent with this study.

Figure 4 also shows that the nucleation inside the nucleation reactor started to become significant at $t=\sim30$ s due to the rapid increase of the $[H_2SO_4]$ via OH and SO_2 reaction and continues until $t=\sim100$ s. Since then, H_2SO_4 condensational growth began to dominate the change of particle size distribution due to the absence of H_2SO_4 production (no UV illumination in the third section of the nucleation reactor) and the wall loss. In addition, for the case studied here, the minimum number of H_2SO_4 molecules in the critical cluster (corresponding to the time period with maximum nucleation rate) is predicted to be ~5 based on Fig. 4b and may increase as $[H_2SO_4]$ decreases. This

¹⁰ Is predicted to be ~5 based on Fig. 4b and may increase as $[H_2SO_4]$ decreases. This value was reported to be ~4 for the nucleation observed in Berndt et al. (2005). Again the agreement is reasonable.

The most surprising result in the work of Berndt et al. (2008) is that the measured particle size distributions showed no sensitivity to the background [H₂SO₄] (see Fig. 3 ¹⁵ in Berndt et al., 2008). They suggested that the H₂SO₄ vapor from the liquid reservoir does not significantly contribute to the particle growth. Their conclusion on the role of H₂SO₄ in particle growth is somewhat surprising because the gaseous H₂SO₄ is a very good candidate inside the nucleation reactor that has the potential to contribute to the particle growth. The H₂SO₄-like substance HOSO₄ was suggested in Berndt et al.'s study to explain the particle growth; however, its concentration is much lower than that of H₂SO₄. In addition, the produced nanoparticles, which have the affinity to HOSO₄, should also have the similar affinity to H₂SO₄ since H₂SO₄ has similarities to HOSO₄

chemically and structurally. Although sulfur-containing species are very likely to be the particle growth contributor based on the chemical reactions taking place inside the nucleation reactor, the possibility of some species other than H₂SO₄ and HOSO₄

contributing to particle growth cannot be excluded. However, to our best knowledge, it is still unknown and therefore needs further experimental investigations.

With the question in mind, we carried out a simulation to study the sensitivity of particle size distribution to the background $[H_2SO_4]$ with the kinetic model. Figure 5

ACPD 9, 1273-1300, 2009 Kinetic modeling of nucleation experiments H. Du and F. Yu **Title Page** Introduction Abstract Conclusions References **Figures** Back Close Full Screen / Esc **Printer-friendly Version** Interactive Discussion

shows the particle size distributions at the end of the nucleation reactor (t=126 s) under several different initial background values of [H₂SO₄]. The background [H₂SO₄] profiles with different initial values are shown in Fig. 3a. It is assumed that wall loss is the major factor to change the background [H₂SO₄] profile inside the reactor. The wall loss coefficient is set to be 0.017 (s⁻¹) which is taken from Berndt et al. (2008). In the simulation, we assume that the background H₂SO₄ vapor only contributes to the particle growth and does not participate in the nucleation. This assumption allows us to focus on the role of background H₂SO₄ on particle growth alone.

We found through our simulation that, as expected, the effect of background $[H_2SO_4]$ on particle growth depends on the relative abundance of background versus in-situ produced H_2SO_4 . Compared to the measurements, the particle diameter can increase by as much as 1 nm if the initial value of background $[H_2SO_4]$ is set to be 2.0×10^9 molecule cm⁻³ which was the same value as used in simulations in Berndt et al. (2008). If the initial value decreases to 1.0×10^9 molecule cm⁻³, which is on the lower end of the reported initial $[H_2SO_4]$, the increase in particle diameter decreases to ~0.5 nm. However, the difference between particle size distributions is still large enough. If the background H_2SO_4 is involved in particle growth, the only explanation for the relatively unchanged particle size distributions with and without background H_2SO_4 vapors would be an initial background $[H_2SO_4]$ much smaller than reported ones. Here based on our simulation we found this value to be $\leq 5.0 \times 10^8$ molecule cm⁻³ in order for the particle size distribution to stay relatively unchanged which is a factor of x/2-4

- for the particle size distribution to stay relatively unchanged, which is a factor of \sim 2–4 smaller than those given in Berndt et al. (2008).
 - 3.3 Thermochemistry: implications for the underlying nucleation mechanisms

The above simulations of nucleation and evolution processes inside the nucleation reactor/chamber aimed at studying the nucleation experiments from a kinetic point of view; however, the underlying nucleation mechanisms remain mysterious. Identification of the "unknown species" requires the knowledge of thermochemistry associated

ACPD 9, 1273-1300, 2009 Kinetic modeling of nucleation experiments H. Du and F. Yu **Title Page** Introduction Abstract Conclusions References **Tables Figures** ÞI Back Full Screen / Esc **Printer-friendly Version** Interactive Discussion

with the nucleation process. One advantage of the kinetic QUN model is the output of $\Delta G_{i-1,i}$ associated with the simulated nucleation process, which may give us insights into the underlying nucleation mechanisms.

- Figure 6 shows the $\Delta G_{i-1,i}$ of the binary H₂SO₄-H₂O homogeneous nucleation cal-⁵ culated based on the QUN and those of the ternary "unknown species"-H₂SO₄-H₂O nucleation derived from both case studies. The $\Delta G_{i-1,i}$ of binary H₂SO₄-H₂O clusters were calculated using Eq. (1). Also included were $\Delta G_{i-1,i}$ of the hydrated H₂SO₄ dimer and trimer at the two temperatures derived based on the experimentally measured thermochemistries (Kazil et al., 2007). In theory, nucleation rate is determined ¹⁰ by clusters smaller than the critical one. Therefore, we only need to focus on $\Delta G_{i-1,i}$ with *i* < 5 because the number of H₂SO₄ molecules in the critical cluster for both stud-
- with $i \le 5$ because the number of H₂SO₄ molecules in the critical cluster for both studies was reported to be less than 6. At T = 288 K and RH=23%, the $\Delta G_{i-1,i}$ of the hydrated H₂SO₄ dimer and trimer based on QUN is -7.1 and -9.3 kcal/mol, respectively. These Gibbs free energies are not low enough to explain the observed nucleation in
- ¹⁵ Young et al. (2008). As one can see, with the presence of the third unknown species, the $\Delta G_{i-1,i}$ of ternary clusters for the dimer and trimer needs to be reduced to -7.8and -9.5 kcal/mol in order to explain the observed nucleation. In the case of Berndt et al. (2008), the formation energies of ternary clusters need to be even lower. The $\Delta G_{i-1,i}$ of the dimer and trimer ternary clusters is ~ -11 and -12.5 kcal/mol, respec-
- ²⁰ tively. Although the differences in $\Delta G_{i-1,i}$ between binary and ternary clusters are significant for smaller ones, they gradually approach to zero as the cluster size grows.

As mentioned earlier, both Young et al. (2008) and Berndt et al. (2008) initiated their nucleation experiments via SO_2 and OH oxidation. Nearly all the initial gases in their experiments are the same except that organics or CO were used in Berndt et

al. (2008) for the OH titration. Organics have been shown not to affect nucleation by being replaced with CO (Berndt et al., 2006). Thus it is reasonable to speculate that the underlying nucleation mechanism occurred in both studies should be the same. However, by comparing $\Delta G_{i-1,i}$ of the binary clusters with that of the ternary clusters for each case study and inter-comparing $\Delta G_{i-1,i}$ of ternary clusters derived from both





case studies, we found out that the proposed "unknown species" may be different for the two independent studies. It implies that the underlying nucleation mechanisms happened in the two separate studies (after taking into account the difference in T, RH, and [H₂SO₄] profiles) may be different.

- There exist several reasons leading us to this conclusion. Firstly, the ΔG_{i-1,i} profiles of ternary clusters derived from both studies behave in a different way. The ΔG_{i-1,i} profile of the ternary clusters in the case of Young et al. (2008) quickly approaches to that of binary clusters at *i*=6 while the difference in ΔG_{i-1,i} between the binary cluster and ternary cluster in the case of Berndt et al. (2008) is as large as -1.5 kcal/mol even at *i*=10. Secondly, the largest difference in ΔG_{i-1,i} between binary and ternary clusters is ~-1 kcal/mol at *i*=2 for the case of Young et al. (2008); however, it is as large as ~-4 kcal/mol in the case of Berndt et al. (2008). Our calculation shows that the
- temperature variation cannot explain this large difference (~3 kcal/mole) in $\Delta G_{i-1,i}$ between 293 K and 288 K. Thirdly, the derived pairs of ΔH and ΔS were abnormal. Based
- ¹⁵ on the definition of Gibbs free energy change ($\Delta G = \Delta H T \Delta S$), we also calculated ΔH and ΔS since ΔG of the ternary clusters at two different temperature are available. For example, at *i*=2, $\Delta G_{i-1,i}$ of ternary clusters is -7.8 kcal/mol and -11 kcal/mol for *T*=288 K and 293 K, respectively. The calculated ΔH is unrealistically as high as 176.53 kcal/mol and it also suggested that the dimerization process is endothermic.
- ²⁰ All these evidences lead us to conclude that the two $\Delta G_{i-1,i}$ profiles of ternary clusters derived from two independent studies may represent two different "unknown species" involved in each experiment. Although both of experiments started via OH and SO₂ oxidation, two different species may participate in and enhance the binary H₂SO₄-H₂O homogeneous nucleation. The likely reasons for the different nucleation mechanisms in similar experiments, in which H₂SO₄ vapors were produced in-situ by SO₂ and OH
- oxidation and majority of the initial gas species are the same, need further investigations.

The possible candidates for the "unknown species" may be $HOSO_2$, $HOSO_4$ or even impurities. Currently, the chemical identity of the "unknown species" has not yet been

ACPD 9, 1273-1300, 2009 Kinetic modeling of nucleation experiments H. Du and F. Yu **Title Page** Introduction Abstract Conclusions References Tables **Figures** ►I. Back Close Full Screen / Esc **Printer-friendly Version** Interactive Discussion



resolved; however, the derived $\Delta G_{i-1,i}$ of the ternary clusters can serve as a useful guideline for the search of the "unknown species" using quantum-chemical methods. Berndt et al. (2008), on the basis of the lack of the changes in measured particle size distribution with and without background H_2SO_4 , suggested that the mechanism for their observed nucleation phenomenon be the free radical nucleation and no role of 5 H_2SO_4 in nucleation and growth. This is surprising because H_2SO_4 is known to be a key nucleation and condensation precursor in the atmosphere. It should be pointed out that the previous study of Berndt et al. (2007) clearly shows the increase of total particle number concentration as the number concentration of the "in-situ" produced H₂SO₄ increases. This is a clear indication that H₂SO₄ molecules were involved in the 10 observed nucleation. The sensitivity of particle size distribution to the H₂SO₄ concentration was also observed in Benson et al. (2007) and Young et al. (2008). It remains to be understood why adding background H₂SO₄ into nucleation chamber doesn't influence the measured particle size distributions in the study of Berndt et al. (2008) (also

15 see Fig. 5).

4 Summary and discussion

Recent laboratory studies initiating nucleation via SO₂ and OH oxidation presented important information for the investigation of possible nucleation mechanisms in the lower troposphere. The purpose of this work is to study those experiments from a modeling
perspective. We applied a size-resolved aerosol microphysical model with the most upto-date kinetic quasi-unary nucleation module to simulate the nucleation and the subsequent growth of newly-formed particles inside the nucleation reactor. Since H₂SO₄ vapors dominate the particle growth, by matching the mean size of predicted nucleation mode with the measured one, the [H₂SO₄] inside the nucleation reactor can be free energy changes associated with initial steps of nucleation can also be obtained, which can provide valuable insights into the "unknown species".





Our simulations show that the values of $[H_2SO_4]$ in both experiments were underestimated. In the case study of Young et al. (2008), more than ~10¹⁰ molecule cm⁻³ of H_2SO_4 was needed at the inlet of the nucleation reactor in order for newly formed particles to grow to observed sizes under the given nucleation time. The WLFs calculated based on the derived initial $[H_2SO_4]$ is at least a factor of 2 higher than those reported in the experiment. In the simulation of Berndt et al. (2008), the $[H_2SO_4]$ profile with a maximum value of ~8.5×10⁸ molecule cm⁻³ was needed in order to give the best agreement with the measured particle size distribution. This is larger than the reported value by a factor of ~4. We showed that the particle size distribution would be sensitive

- ¹⁰ to the presence of background H_2SO_4 if its initial concentration ranges from 1×10^9 to 2×10^9 molecule cm⁻³ as reported in the study of Berndt et al. (2008). Our simulation showed that the background [H_2SO_4] has to be smaller than $\sim 5.0 \times 10^8$ molecule cm⁻³ in order to achieve the relatively unchanged particle size distribution with and without background H_2SO_4 vapors. It remains to be investigated why adding background
- ¹⁵ H₂SO₄ into nucleation chamber doesn't influence the measured particle size distributions (Berndt et al., 2008).

As for the number of H_2SO_4 molecules in the critical cluster, the value predicted in our study is a little higher but close to those calculated based on slope of nucleation rate vs. $[H_2SO_4]$ plots. We also studied the kinetics of particle evolution inside the nucleation reactor. We found that nucleation dominates only within a fraction of total residence time in the reactor and the H_2SO_4 condensational growth then takes over as the $[H_2SO_4]$ begins to decrease.

20

More importantly, although both Berndt et al. and Young et al.'s experiments initiated nucleation via SO_2 and OH oxidation, comparisons of the derived values of stepwise Gibbs free energy changes related to initial steps of nucleation show that two different

²⁵ Gibbs free energy changes related to initial steps of nucleation show that two different "unknown species" may participate in the nucleation observed in the two studied experiments. It implies that nucleation mechanisms occurred in the two separate studies may be different. Although the chemical identity of the "unknown species" has not yet been resolved, the derived values of $\Delta G_{i-1,i}$ of the ternary clusters can provide an im-





portant direction for the future search of the "unknown species" with quantum-chemical methods.

Acknowledgement. The research was supported by the NSF under grant 0618124 and NOAA/DOC under grant NA05OAR4310103.

5 References

10

20

30

Alessandrini, F., Schulz, H., Takenaka, S., Lentner, B., Karg, E., Behrendt, H., and Jakob, T.: Effects of ultrafine carbon particle inhalation on allergic inflammation of the lung, J. Allergy Clin. Immun., 117, 824–830, 2006.

Anttila, T., Vehkamaki, H., Napari, I., and Kulmala, M.: Effect of ammonium bisulphate formation

- on atmospheric water-sulphuric acid-ammonia nucleation, Boreal Environ. Res., 10, 511-523, 2005.
- Ball, S. M., Hanson, D. R., Eisele, F. L., and McMurry, P. H.: Laboratory studies of particle nucleation: Initial results for H₂SO₄, H₂O, and NH₃ vapors, J. Geophys. Res., 104, 23709– 23718, 1999.
- Benson, D. R., Young, L. H., Kameel, F. R., and Lee, S. H.: Laboratory-measured nucleation rates of sulfuric acid and water binary homogeneous nucleation from SO₂+OH reaction, Geophys. Res. Lett., 35, L11801, doi:10.1029/2008GL033387, 2008.

Berndt, T., Boge, O., and Stratmann, F.: Formation of atmospheric H₂SO₄-H₂O particles in the absence of organics: A laboratory study, Geophys. Res. Lett., 33, L15817, doi:10.1029/2006GL026660, 2006.

- Berndt, T., Boge, O., and Stratmann, F.: Atmospheric H₂SO₄/H₂O particle formation: Mechanistic investigations. Nucleation and Atmospheric Aerosols, Galway, Ireland, Springer, 2007.
 Berndt, T., Boge, O., Stratmann, F., Heintzenberg, J., and Kulmala, M.: Rapid formation of sulfuric acid particles at near-atmospheric conditions, Science, 307, 698–700, 2005.
- Berndt, T., Stratmann, F., Bräsel, S., Heintzenberg, J., Laaksonen, A., and Kulmala, M.: SO₂ oxidation products other than H₂SO₄ as a trigger of new particle formation. Part 1: Laboratory investigations, Atmos. Chem. Phys., 8, 6365–6374, 2008, http://www.atmos-chem-phys.net/8/6365/2008/.

Coffman, A. D. and Hegg, D. A.: A preliminary study of the effect of ammonia on particle nucleation in the marine boundary layer, J. Geophys. Res., 100, 7147–7160, 1995.

ACPD 9, 1273-1300, 2009 Kinetic modeling of nucleation experiments H. Du and F. Yu Title Page Introduction Abstract Conclusions References **Tables Figures** ►T. Back Close Full Screen / Esc **Printer-friendly Version** Interactive Discussion



- Du, H. and Yu, F.: Role of the binary H₂SO₄-H₂O homogeneous nucleation in the formation of volatile nanoparticles in the vehicular exhaust, Atmos. Environ., 40, 7579–7588, 2006.
- Du, H. and Yu, F.: Nanoparticle formation in the exhaust of vehicles running on ultra-low sulfur fuel, Atmos. Chem. Phys., 8, 4729–4739, 2008,
- 5 http://www.atmos-chem-phys.net/8/4729/2008/.

25

- Hoffmann, B., Moebus, S., Stang, A., Beck, E. M., Dragano, N., Mohlenkamp, S., Schmermund, A., Memmesheimer, M., Mann, K., Erbel, R., and Jockel, K. H.: Residence close to high traffic and prevalence of coronary heart disease, Eur. Heart J., 27, 2696–2702, 2006.
- IPCC: Climate Change 2007. The Physical Scientific Basis, edited by: Solomon, S., Qin, D.,
 Manning, M., Chen, Z., Marquis, M., Averyt, K. B., Tignor, M., and Miller, H. L., Cambridge Univ. Press, New York, 2007.
 - Korhonen, P., Kulmala, M., Laaksonen, A., Viisanen, Y., McGraw, R., and Seinfeld, J. H.: Ternary nucleation of H₂SO₄, NH₃, and H₂O in the atmosphere, J. Geophys. Res., 104, 26349–26353, 1999.
- Kazil, J., Lovejoy, E. R., Jensen, E. J., and Hanson, D. R.: Is aerosol formation in cirrus clouds possible?, Atmos. Chem. Phys., 7, 1407–1413, 2007, http://www.atmos-chem-phys.net/7/1407/2007/.
 - Lovejoy, E. R., Curtius, J., and Froyd, K. D.: Atmospheric ion-induced nucleation of sulfuric acid and water, J. Geophys. Res., 109, D08204, doi:10.1029/2003JD004460, 2004.
- McConnell, R., Berhane, K., Yao, L., Jerrett, M., Lurmann, F., Gilliland, F., Kunzli, N., Gauderman, J., Avol, E., Thomas, D., and Peters, J.: Traffic, susceptibility, and childhood asthma, Environ. Health Persp., 114, 766–772, 2006.
 - Merikanto, J., Napari, I., Vehkamaki, H., Anttila, T., and Kulmala, M.: New parameterization of sulfuric acid-ammonia-water ternary nucleation rates at tropospheric conditions, J. Geophys. Res., 112, D15207, doi:10.1029/2006JD007977, 2007.
 - Noppel, M., Vehkamaki, H., and Kulmala, M.: An improved model for hydrate formation in sulfuric acid–water nucleation, J. Chem. Phys., 116, 218–228, 2002.
 - NRC: Radiative forcing of climate change: Expanding the concept and addressing uncertainties, National Academies Press, 2005.
- ³⁰ Rundell, K. W., Hoffman, J. R., Caviston, R., Bulbulian, R., and Hollenbach, A. M.: Inhalation of ultrafine and fine particulate matter disrupts systemic vascular function, Inhal. Toxicol., 19, 133–140, 2007.

Sorokin, A. and Arnold, F.: Laboratory study of cluster ions formation in H₂SO₄-H₂O system:

9, 1273–1300, 2009

Kinetic modeling of nucleation experiments

| Title Page | |
|--------------------------|--------------|
| Abstract | Introduction |
| Conclusions | References |
| Tables | Figures |
| 14 | ►I |
| | |
| • | |
| Back | Close |
| Full Screen / Esc | |
| Printer-friendly Version | |
| Thine-menuly version | |
| Interactive Discussion | |
| | |



Implications for threshold concentration of gaseous H_2SO_4 and ion-induced nucleation kinetics, Atmos. Environ., 41, 3740–3747, 2007.

- Svensmark, H., Pedersen, J. O. P., Marsh, N. D., Enghoff, M. B., and Uggerhøj, U. I.: Experimental evidence for the role of ions in particle nucleation under atmospheric conditions, P.
- Roy. Soc. A-Math. Phy., 463, 385–396, 2007. Vehkamaki, H., Kulmala, M., Napari, I., Lehtinen, K. E. J., Timmreck, C., Noppel, M., and Laaksonen, A.: An improved parameterization for sulfuric acid-water nucleation rates for tropospheric and stratospheric conditions, J. Geophys. Res., 107, L4622, doi:10.1029/2002JD002184, 2002.
- ¹⁰ Viisanen, Y., Kulmala, M., and Laaksonen, A.: Experiments on gas-liquid nucleation of sulfuric acid and water, J. Chem. Phys., 107, 920–926, 1997.
 - Wyslouzil, B. E., Seinfeld, J. H., Flagan, R. C., and Okuyama, K.: Binary nucleation in acidwater systems. II. Sulfuric acid-water and a comparion with methanesulfonic acid-water, J. Chem. Phys., 94, 6842–6850, 1991.
- Young, L. H., Benson, D. R., Kameel, F. R., Pierce, J. R., Junninen, H., Kulmala, M., and Lee, S.-H.: Laboratory studies of H₂SO₄/H₂O binary homogeneous nucleation from the SO₂+OH reaction: evaluation of the experimental setup and preliminary results, Atmos. Chem. Phys., 8, 4997–5016, 2008, http://www.atmos-chem-phys.net/8/4997/2008/.

20

Yu, F.: Quasi-unary homogeneous nucleation of H₂SO₄-H₂O, J. Chem. Phys., 122, 074501, 2005.

Yu, F.: Binary H₂SO₄-H₂O homogeneous nucleation rates based on a kinetic quasi-unary model: Look-up tables, J. Geophys. Res., 111, D04201, doi:10.1029/2005JD006358, 2006a.

Yu, F.: Effect of ammonia on new particle formation: A kinetic H₂SO₄-H₂O-NH₃ nucle-

- ation model constrained by laboratory measurements, J. Geophy. Res., 111, D01204, doi:10.1029/2005JD005968, 2006b.
 - Yu, F.: From molecular clusters to nanoparticles: second-generation ion-mediated nucleation model, Atmos. Chem. Phys., 6, 5193–5211, 2006c, http://www.atmos-chem-phys.net/6/5193/2006/.
- ³⁰ Yu, F.: Improved quasi-unary nucleation model for binary H₂SO₄-H₂O homogeneous nucleation, J. Chem. Phys., 127, 504301, 2007.
 - Yu, F. and Turco, R. P.: Ultrafine aerosol formation via ion-mediated nucleation, Geophys. Res. Lett., 27, 883–886, 2000.

ACPD

9, 1273-1300, 2009

Kinetic modeling of nucleation experiments





- Yu, F. and Turco, R.: Case studies of particle formation events observed in boreal forests: implications for nucleation mechanisms, Atmos. Chem. Phys., 8, 6085–6102, 2008, http://www.atmos-chem-phys.net/8/6085/2008/.
- Yu, F., Wang, Z., Luo, G., and Turco, R.: Ion-mediated nucleation as an important global source of tropospheric aerosols, Atmos. Chem. Phys., 8, 2537–2554, 2008,
- http://www.atmos-chem-phys.net/8/2537/2008/.

5

Zhang, R., Suh, I., Zhao, J., Zhang, D., Fortner, E. C., Tie, X., Molina, L. T., and Molina, M. J.: Atmospheric new particle formation enhanced by organic acids, Science, 304, 1487–1490, 2004.

ACPD

9, 1273–1300, 2009

Kinetic modeling of nucleation experiments







Fig. 1. (a) Evolution profiles of the H_2SO_4 concentration as function of time used in the simulations at three different initial $[H_2SO_4]$ in the case of 24 s of nucleation time; **(b)** the assumed evaporation rates and forward rate used in the simulation of Young et al. (2008) at T = 288 K and RH=23%; **(c)** Effects of $[H_2SO_4]$ on mean size of predicted particle size distribution with fixed cluster evaporation rate (ER2); and **(d)** Effects of different cluster evaporation rates on the peak concentration of predicted particle size distribution with 1.2×10¹⁰ cm⁻³ of H₂SO₄. The symbols in Fig. 3c and d are data from Young et al. (2008).







Fig. 2. Evolution of particle size distribution calculated based on the ER2 profile and given H_2SO_4 concentration profiles as shown in Fig. 1 at **(a)** t=37 and **(b)** t=54. The symbols are measurements (taken at t=37 s and t=54 s, respectively) from Young et al. (2008).

ACPD

9, 1273–1300, 2009

Kinetic modeling of nucleation experiments







Fig. 3. (a) Simulated evolution profiles of in-situ produced H_2SO_4 concentration at two different maximum concentrations and background H_2SO_4 concentration at several initial concentrations, and **(b)** the comparison of the evaporation rate profile obtained based on the QUN model with that assumed in the present case study and the forward rate. *T*=288 K and RH=23%.

ACPD

9, 1273–1300, 2009

Kinetic modeling of nucleation experiments







Fig. 4. Simulated evolution of the particle size distribution in the nucleation reactor with total residence time of 126 s at T = 293 K and RH=23%. The measurement of particle size distribution with error bars by Berndt et al. (2008) was also included.





Fig. 5. Effects of background H_2SO_4 concentration on the particle size distribution at the end of nucleation chamber (t=126 s).







