Atmos. Chem. Phys. Discuss., 8, S6629–S6630, 2008 www.atmos-chem-phys-discuss.net/8/S6629/2008/© Author(s) 2008. This work is distributed under the Creative Commons Attribute 3.0 License.



## **ACPD**

8, S6629-S6630, 2008

Interactive Comment

# Interactive comment on "Modeled and observed ozone sensitivity to mobile-source emissions in Mexico City" by M. Zavala et al.

# **Anonymous Referee #2**

Received and published: 2 September 2008

This paper analyzes the trend of air pollutants in Mexico City between 1990 and 2005, and discusses the impact of surface emissions on the trend of ozone. The paper is well written and organized. The paper discusses an important scientific issue regarding air pollution control strategy in large polluted cities. As a result, this paper has scientific merits to be published in ACP. I suggest publishing this paper after some revisions.

#### Comments

(1) In section 2.3, it said that the NOx emission factors do not show any strong trend. However, the measurement of NOx emission factors is only available between 2000 and 2005. During this period, the trend of CO emission factors is also small. The only strong trend of CO emission factors occurs between 1990 and 2000. This statement

Full Screen / Esc

Printer-friendly Version

Interactive Discussion

**Discussion Paper** 



is somewhat misleading, and should be revised. (2) From Table 1, it shows that the automobile emission factors for CO and NOx are large (99.3% and 83.8). It needs more clearly discussion why CO is dramatically decreased between 1990 and 2005, while NOx only has a minor change during this period. This can be a very important point of the paper for the application in air pollutant control strategy. (3) The authors should add some focus on what are the main factors which lead to the long-term ozone trend between 1990 and 2005. They should use the measured CO and NOx trends to show how these trends affect the ozone trend. In addition, they also should make some assumptions based on the CO measurement for the VOC trend. There are also lacks of discussions on what is the role of CO trend on the ozone trend in this paper. (4) Some editorial errors need to be corrected. For example, in the caption of Figure 1, c) and d) need to be bolded.

Interactive comment on Atmos. Chem. Phys. Discuss., 8, 14991, 2008.

## **ACPD**

8, S6629-S6630, 2008

Interactive Comment

Full Screen / Esc

Printer-friendly Version

Interactive Discussion

Discussion Paper

