

Interactive comment on “Methane plume over South Asia during the monsoon season: satellite observation and model simulation” by X. Xiong et al.

Anonymous Referee #1

Received and published: 21 August 2008

General comments: The authors report that AIRS CH₄ retrievals from the upper-troposphere over the Tibetan Plateau show a seasonal maximum in late-summer and that this result is consistent with model runs using TM3. A separate model run with a 50% increase in CH₄ emissions from rice agriculture show that the seasonal peak in CH₄ emissions from rice coincides with the Asian summer monsoon to produce large CH₄ values in the mid-troposphere. The paper also argues that, because the model mid-tropospheric CH₄ values are greater than those observed by AIRS, estimates of emissions of CH₄ from rice agriculture are too large. The results of the paper are reasonable and almost predictable. Because of uncertainties in the retrieved CH₄ values and model transport, and that CH₄ in the lower troposphere can not be accurately

retrieved from AIRS, I don't think the authors are justified in concluding that current inventories of CH₄ emissions from rice are too large. The strength of the analysis is the impact of meteorology on Asian emissions, lofting CH₄ into the upper troposphere. The paper is poorly written; one of the native English-speaking co-authors should edit it for grammar and punctuation. Some figures need to be redrawn. The SI unit for such "observations" is mole fraction.

Specific comments: P13454,L2: "observations" is a bit misleading; retrievals is more accurate. P13454,L20: CH₄ GWP in IPCC FAR is 25. P13456,L22: remove "valuable AIRS products"; let the reader decide to what extent they are valuable. P13457,L11-22: why are results discussed in the introduction? P13457-8: A brief description of the retrieval method and its limitations should be described in "data and method". P13458,L27-28: fragment starting with "we tightened the quality control..." is unclear. P13459,L14-15: IPCC gives a range of estimates of emissions from rice agriculture; this is not the uncertainty. Recent studies converge on the lower end of this range. Section 3.1: are retrievals from other years consistent with the results shown for 2004. P13464,L18-20: As mentioned in the general comments, the I don't believe the larger CH₄ values in the model simulations compared with AIRS is a strong indicator that the rice agriculture inventory of CH₄ emissions is wrong. To do this properly, I suspect accurate total column measurements would be necessary. P13463, discussion of Figure 3: does the model give such a strong positive gradient in CH₄ mixing ratio above box 2 in August to September? P13464, discussion of figure 4: green symbol described as blue in caption. Figures 5 and 6: both need to be redone with bolder lines and larger fonts to be more readable.

Interactive comment on Atmos. Chem. Phys. Discuss., 8, 13453, 2008.

[Full Screen / Esc](#)[Printer-friendly Version](#)[Interactive Discussion](#)[Discussion Paper](#)