

Interactive
Comment

***Interactive comment on “Aircraft and
ground-based measurements of hydroperoxides
during the 2006 MILAGRO field campaign” by
L. J. Nunnermacker et al.***

S. Madronich (Referee)

sasha@ucar.edu

Received and published: 14 July 2008

This paper describes measurements of peroxides in and near Mexico City during the MILAGRO field campaign. Such measurements are an important contribution to the integrated data set, and also provide insight on the VOC- or NO_x- sensitivity of ozone production in and downwind of the city. A central result is that net peroxide production is small (perhaps even negative) in the city and increases only slightly in the immediate surroundings (near the T1 and T2 sites). On this basis, it is concluded that oxidant formation in Mexico City is VOC-limited. The manuscript is suitable for publication in ACP, with consideration of some suggestions.

Full Screen / Esc

Printer-friendly Version

Interactive Discussion

Discussion Paper



Some value could be added to the paper by enhancing the modeling part. First, a bit more detailed description is needed for the Constrained Steady State (CSS) model, especially the inputs (concentrations, environmental parameters) used to constrain it. Second, it may be useful to compare with some earlier models. For example, a box model study (Madronich, 2006) predicted first-day production of several hundred ppb O₃ and ca. 5 ppb H₂O₂, which is far more than observed during MILAGRO. That model was initialized with 80 ppb of NO, while urban monitoring stations show a long-term average of 140 ppb NO_x during the morning rush hour (e.g. Fig. 1 of Stephens et al., 2008). The higher NO_x levels would be expected to decrease both H₂O₂ and O₃ (by NO titration and NO_x termination of radicals). It may also be useful to compare the peroxide measurements to three-dimensional model results (e.g. Lei et al., 2007; Tie et al., 2007); while peroxide concentrations are not explicitly reported in those publications, the results should be available from the authors. Third, it may be interesting to do some sensitivity calculations with the CSS model. Of special interest may be variations in the VOC/NO_x ratio (and possibly the relative humidity), to assess the robustness of the conclusion that the chemical regime is VOC-limited.

Minor comments: 8952/19-21: Delete "facing many urban areas" since only talking about megacities. 8955/6: Comma after "flights". 8955/21: Should specify in the text that legs L1 and L2 are in the vicinity of ground sites T1 and T2. 8958/19: A mean CO concentration of 284 ppb seems high for background air. 8959/1-2: Second half of sentence is missing a verb. 8961/15-16: Losses of H₂O₂ by photolysis and OH have a time scale of several days, so why should they cause low H₂O₂ in Tula plumes? 8968/5: ppb/hr not ppb/min. 8968/20: Use hyphen for median-constrained. 8968/22,23: Should mention use of hydrocarbons earlier (e.g. 8967/26) as they are mentioned in relation to Fig. 16. Table 1: For 18 March, should say "no a.m. flight". Table 6: % O₃ or fraction O₃? Also, define n. Fig. 16: Would be useful to mark T₀, T₁, T₂. Also needs units.

References

Full Screen / Esc

Printer-friendly Version

Interactive Discussion

Discussion Paper



Lei, W., de Foy, B., Zavala, M., Volkamer, R., and Molina, L. T., Characterizing ozone production in the México City Metropolitan Area: a case study using a chemical transport model, *Atmos. Chem. Phys.*, 7, 1347-1366, 2007.

Madronich, S., Chemical evolution of gaseous air pollutants down-wind of tropical megacities: México City case study, *Atmos. Environ.*, 40, 6012-6018, 2006.

Stephens, S. Madronich, S., Wu, F., Olson, J., Ramos, R., Retama, A. and Muñoz, R., Weekly patterns of México City's surface concentrations of CO, NO_x, PM₁₀ and O₃ during 1986-2007, *Atmos. Chem. Phys. Discuss.*, 8, 8357-8384, 2008.

Tie, X., Madronich, S., Li, G., Ying, Z., Zhang, R., Garcia, A., Lee-Taylor, J. and Liu, Y., Characterization of chemical oxidants in México City: A regional chemical dynamical model (WRF-Chem) study, *Atmos. Environ.*, 41, 1989-2008, 2007.

Interactive comment on *Atmos. Chem. Phys. Discuss.*, 8, 8951, 2008.

Full Screen / Esc

Printer-friendly Version

Interactive Discussion

Discussion Paper