Atmos. Chem. Phys. Discuss., 8, S4703–S4704, 2008 www.atmos-chem-phys-discuss.net/8/S4703/2008/ © Author(s) 2008. This work is distributed under the Creative Commons Attribute 3.0 License.



ACPD

8, S4703–S4704, 2008

Interactive Comment

Interactive comment on "Photolysis frequency measurement techniques: results of a comparison within the ACCENT project" by B. Bohn et al.

Anonymous Referee #1

Received and published: 11 July 2008

This manuscript describes intercomparison measurements of photolysis frequencies between two different types of spectroradiometers and two different filter radiometer types. It is a very thorough intercomparison and emphasizes both good and bad aspects of the instruments involved. I recommend publication of this manuscript after a few minor comments have been addressed.

Specific comments and questions:

Lines 161-163 / figure 1: I don't understand the meaning of the second panel. A little explanation would be good for the general atmospheric science audience.

Figure 1 (again): when using two receivers to measure total actinic flux from both zenith and nadir, e.g. on a tower or airplane, the combined response curves Zp would lead



Full Screen / Esc

Printer-friendly Version

Interactive Discussion

to an overestimation of the radiation from around 90° . For example, the sum of the Zh values for a polar angle of 90° amounts to around 1.8. I would like to see a discussion of this and how it can be accounted for.

Lines 170-171: Is this 2% deviation still true for aircraft operation, where the polar angle of the sun can be quite different than the solar angle?

Lines 359-360: the authors should make clear that, for scanning spectroradiometers, wavelength is proportional to time.

Lines 361-367: Could this be tested by averaging over longer time periods compared to the measurement times?

Lines 391-392: This statement is not correct for the IUP-SR for j(NO2), where the slope is 1.02 and the ratio is 0.986.

Figure 12: this seems to be the wrong graph, it is identical to fig. 13 and does not show any correlation plots.

Interactive comment on Atmos. Chem. Phys. Discuss., 8, 10301, 2008.

ACPD

8, S4703-S4704, 2008

Interactive Comment

Full Screen / Esc

Printer-friendly Version

Interactive Discussion

Discussion Paper

