

Interactive
Comment

Interactive comment on “Reactive nitrogen in Mexico City and its relation to ozone-precursor sensitivity: results from photochemical models” by S. Sillman and J. J. West

Anonymous Referee #2

Received and published: 20 January 2009

Review of “Reactive nitrogen in Mexico City and its relation to ozone-precursor sensitivity: results from photochemical models” by Sillman and West for ACPD

This is an ambitious article that discusses unique features of the Mexico City nitrogen budget and its impact on the sensitivity of O₃ to NO_x and VOCs. The analysis is very well thought out. No hand waving here. There is a direct connection between high formation rates of PAN and the indicator ratios found in Mexico City. There are many items that are treated in a sentence or two which could have major implications for others doing sensitivity analysis.

The main conclusion is that in 1997, O₃ production in Mexico City was VOC sensitive

S10547

Full Screen / Esc

Printer-friendly Version

Interactive Discussion

Discussion Paper



in the morning and NO_x sensitive in the afternoon. There have been measurement campaigns in 2003 and 2006. CTM calculations as well as other analysis methods show a more VOC limited atmosphere in the later years which could, as the authors suggest, be due to changes in NO_x to VOC emission ratios. This article does not need to be expanded to treat 2006 observation, but my hope is that such an effort is in progress. Calculations for 2006 would greatly benefit from high quality data on NO_z components.

The general comments listed below do not require any modifications to the text, though the authors can certainly make use of whatever is useful.

I recommend publication with minor revisions.

General Comments

NO_x budget. NO_x budgets have been constructed from C-130 data collected in 2006. Possibly also from ground site observations. Not much of this has been published. From material presented at meetings, which should be publicly available, the 2006 observations show that PAN is high and HNO₃ low, in agreement with the current model results. I would be very interested to see if the predicted large contribution of organic nitrates is supported by observations.

Changes in O₃ sensitivity between the hours 12-13 and 15-16. Part of the reason is given on Page 20521, lines 19-21. I agree that model results are consistent with instantaneous production rates for O₃ shifting from being primarily VOC sensitive in the morning to NO_x sensitive after noon. I suggest that this is primarily due to very rapid growth of boundary layer height starting around noon plus dilution due to advection as the urban plume spreads out. NO_y is conservative over time periods of hours but there is a dramatic decrease in peak concentrations of NO_y from 60 ppb (12-13) to 25 ppb (15-16) (Fig. 1) which can only be due to dilution. NO_x reacts away, but the steep decrease in peak NO_x with time is probably due primarily to dilution. O₃ formed under VOC limited conditions will likewise be diluted. Peak O₃ concentrations

S10548

ACPD

8, S10547–S10550, 2009

Interactive
Comment

Full Screen / Esc

Printer-friendly Version

Interactive Discussion

Discussion Paper



at 15-16 are comparable or larger than peak values at 12-13. This implies that ozone production rates in the 13 – 16 time frame, occurring under under increasingly NOx limited conditions, are rapid enough to keep up with dilution. Dilution controlled ozone sensitivity has precedent in Sillmans Lake Michigan study.

Transition values for NOx and VOC sensitivity Many numbers are presented in the text. Collecting them in a Table might make for easier reference.

Specific Comments

Abstract, lines 25-26 The model with mixed sensitivity predicts much lower.. Ambiguous. Is the reference here to another model, different locations, different years, etc.?

Page 20515, lines 1-3 Low values of H2O2 along with NOx sensitive chemistry. An excellent point that this is due to low values of HNO3 which in turn are due to HNO3 being a smaller fraction of NOz than in other locations. However, at these low levels I have concerns that H2O2 concentrations will depend on model background conditions.

Page 20516, line 15 steady state equations (R1) should be steady state equation (1)

Page 20516, line 26. Low PAN because of low rates of O3 production in aged Nashville plume. This is based on (1) which is a steady state solution. Is it expected that the steady state condition applies in the aged plume? Also, one might expect the Nashville plume to transition from anthropogenic to biogenic VOC precursors as it is advected away from the city. This would change the relation between O3 production rate and [OH][CH3CHO].

Page 20516-20517 line 2. Regarding high PAN formation rates in Mexico City and the statement that it is less likely that the cause is a different VOC speciation. This appears in several places starting with the abstract. Very high propane concentrations have been measured in Mexico City. I assume the authors have done the math showing that propane does not contribute enough CH3CHO to make Mexico City PAN formation distinctive.

Full Screen / Esc

Printer-friendly Version

Interactive Discussion

Discussion Paper



Page 20518, lines 9-11. Neglect of PAN in constrained steady state calculations may pose a problem in Mexico City where PAN is a large fraction of NO_x oxidation products. An excellent point.

Page 20520, lines 12-13 the formation of peroxides exceeds formation of HNO₃ should be 2 times formation of peroxides

Interactive comment on Atmos. Chem. Phys. Discuss., 8, 20501, 2008.

ACPD

8, S10547–S10550, 2009

Interactive
Comment

Full Screen / Esc

Printer-friendly Version

Interactive Discussion

Discussion Paper

