

***Interactive comment on “Measurements of OH and HO<sub>2</sub> concentrations during the MCMA-2006 field campaign – Part 1: Deployment of the Indiana University laser-induced fluorescence instrument” by S. Dusanter et al.***

**S. Dusanter et al.**

Received and published: 16 January 2009

We are grateful to Dr. Ezra Wood for his short comment which helped us to improve the manuscript. For clarity, the comment is reproduced below with a bold font, followed by our reply.

**The definition of P(O<sub>3</sub>) (Eq. 9) in this manuscript contains an erroneous term; the subtraction of the HNO<sub>3</sub> formation rate from the rate at which NO is oxidized to NO<sub>2</sub> by HO<sub>2</sub> and RO<sub>2</sub>:**

$$P(O_3) = k[HO_2][NO] + k[RO_2][NO] - k[OH][NO_2].$$

Full Screen / Esc

Printer-friendly Version

Interactive Discussion

Discussion Paper



This term appeared earlier in Shirley et al (2006) and Sheehy et al (2008). (The  $\text{RO}_2 + \text{NO}$  term is not always considered as explained by the authors since they are calculating the portion of  $\text{P}(\text{O}_3)$  that is from  $\text{HO}_2 + \text{NO}$  only (since there are  $\text{HO}_2$  measurements but not  $\text{RO}_2$  measurements)). The idea behind the subtracted term is to account for the  $\text{NO}_2$  (formed from  $\text{HO}_2 + \text{NO}$ ) that does not actually photolyze (producing  $\text{O}_3$ ) and instead reacts with  $\text{OH}$  to form  $\text{HNO}_3$ . The problem with the subtraction is that it subtracts the rate at which all  $\text{NO}_2$  react with  $\text{OH}$ , and not just the portion that was recently formed by  $\text{HO}_2$  oxidation of  $\text{NO}$ . If one wishes to account for the fraction of  $\text{NO}_2$  (formed from  $\text{R}/\text{HO}_2 + \text{NO}$ ) that react with  $\text{OH}$  instead of photolyze, then the  $k[\text{HO}_2][\text{NO}]$  term should be \*multiplied\* by the fraction of  $\text{NO}_2$  that undergo photolysis:

$$\text{P}(\text{O}_3) = k[\text{HO}_2][\text{NO}] * j_{\text{NO}_2}[\text{NO}_2]/(j_{\text{NO}_2}[\text{NO}_2] + k[\text{OH}][\text{NO}_2])$$

where  $j_{\text{NO}_2}$  is the photolysis rate constant and the  $\text{RO}_2$  term has been ignored. This adjustment factor is equal to 0.995 for  $j_{\text{NO}_2} = 9.6\text{E-}3 \text{ s}^{-1}$ ,  $[\text{OH}] = 5\text{E}6 \text{ molec/cm}^3$ , and  $[\text{NO}_2] = 40 \text{ ppb}$  (noon-time values). The value of the  $k[\text{OH}][\text{NO}_2]$  term itself is  $\sim 7 \text{ ppb/hr}$ . Thus if  $k[\text{HO}_2][\text{NO}]$  is  $37 \text{ ppb/hr}$ , subtracting  $k[\text{OH}][\text{NO}_2]$  yields a value for  $\text{P}(\text{O}_3)$  from  $\text{HO}_2 + \text{NO}$  of  $\sim 30 \text{ ppb/hr}$ ; over a 20% difference.

Even better would be to just consider the gross production rate of  $\text{O}_x$  ( $\text{O}_3 + \text{NO}_2$ ) instead of  $\text{O}_3$ . Viewed in this context,  $\text{OH} + \text{NO}_2$  is an  $\text{O}_x$  loss, just as  $\text{O}_3$  dry deposition,  $\text{O}(^1\text{D}) + \text{H}_2\text{O}$ , and  $\text{HO}_2 + \text{O}_3$  are  $\text{O}_x$  losses.

$$\text{P}(\text{O}_x) = k[\text{HO}_2][\text{NO}] + k[\text{RO}_2][\text{NO}]$$

which is equivalent to the definition of  $\text{P}(\text{O}_3)$  most commonly used. Formation/decomposition of PAN compounds has been ignored here but could be included as well.

The formalism adopted in this paper for the calculation of  $\text{P}(\text{O}_3)$  is similar to that developed in Shirley et al. (Shirley et al., 2006). This was done in an attempt to compare

[Full Screen / Esc](#)
[Printer-friendly Version](#)
[Interactive Discussion](#)
[Discussion Paper](#)


net instantaneous rates of  $O_3$  production from  $HO_2$  only observed during the MCMA-2003 and 2006 field campaigns. We agree with Dr. Wood that subtracting the rate of  $HNO_3$  formation from the chemical reaction rate of  $HO_2+NO$  leads to an underestimation of  $P(O_3)$  that can be attributed to  $HO_2$ . As suggested by Dr. Wood, we revised the manuscript to include  $P(O_x)$  calculations from the following equation:

$$P(O_x) = k_{HO_2+NO}[HO_2][NO] + \sum k_{RO_2+NO} \Phi_{RO+NO_2}[RO_2][NO]$$

where  $\Phi_{RO+NO_2}$  represents the production yield of  $RO+NO_2$  from  $RO_2+NO$ .  $HO_2$  and  $NO$  measurements were used to calculate the gross production of oxidants ( $O_3 + NO_2$ ) formed from the  $NO$ -to- $NO_2$  conversion due to  $HO_2$ . For the sake of the comparison with MCMA-2003, we still present  $P(O_3)$  calculations as defined in Shirley et al. (2006):

$$P(O_3) = k_{HO_2+NO}[HO_2][NO] + \sum k_{RO_2+NO} \Phi_{RO+NO_2}[RO_2][NO] - k_{OH+NO_2}[OH][NO_2]$$

The difference between  $P(O_x)$  and  $P(O_3)$  represents the rate of  $HNO_3$  formation from  $OH + NO_2$ .

## References

Sheehy, P., Volkamer, R., Molina, L. T., and Molina, M. J.: Oxidative capacity of the Mexico City atmosphere - Part 2: A  $RO_x$  radical cycling perspective, *Atmos. Chem. Phys. Discuss.*, 8, 5359-5412, 2008.

Shirley, T. R., Brune, W. H., Ren, X., Mao, J., Leshner, R., Cardenas, B., Volkamer, R., Molina, L. T., Molina, L. T., Lamb, B., Velasco, E., Jobson, T., and Alexander, M.: Atmospheric oxidation in the Mexico City Metropolitan Area (MCMA) during April 2003, *Atmos. Chem. Phys.*, 6, 2753-2765, 2006.

---

Interactive comment on *Atmos. Chem. Phys. Discuss.*, 8, 13689, 2008.

[Full Screen / Esc](#)[Printer-friendly Version](#)[Interactive Discussion](#)[Discussion Paper](#)