

## ***Interactive comment on “Seasonal variation of aerosol size distribution at Puy de Dôme (1465 m a.s.l., central France)” by H. Venzac et al.***

**H. Venzac et al.**

Received and published: 26 December 2008

Referee Number 2 page 15795, line 6: "..., and second, because mountain site are often influenced by long-range transport rather than local sources, ..." should be "..., and second, because mountain sites are often influenced by long-range transport rather than local sources Done

Section 3.1 and further: It is not specified whether concentrations are given for ambient or standard conditions of temperature and pressure. The authors should at least state the volume reference used or, preferably, use standard conditions of temperature and pressure throughout the article to allow for easy comparison with other measurements and models. Concentrations are given for ambient pressure and temperature. This is now specified in the text, average pressure and temperature are also now given in the paper for each season. Page 15799, line 6-7 and further: The terminology used here is

not precise enough. A log-normally distributed particle size distribution is characterised by its modal median diameter, its geometric standard deviation, and its integral number concentration. The authors should use these more specific terms here and throughout the rest of the article. Done

Page 15799, lines 8-16, Table1: The statement that a variation between 1.4 and 1.7 in the modal geometric standard deviation is not significant is certainly misleading. Even small variations in this parameter influence the shape of a log-normally distributed particle size distribution significantly. In the following lines, the authors discuss seasonal and diurnal variations of the nucleation mode median particle diameter. It would be helpful if this information was included for the other particle modes as well and assessable by the reader, e.g. by including the diurnal variation of the modal parameters in Table 1. The information is included in the text for the other modes. It is also now available to the reader in Table 1.

Page 15799, line 23: "The mode of Aitken particles is constant at 54-55 nm, at all time scales." This sentence is phrased rather sloppy. It should be rephrased using more precise terms like "Aitken mode median diameter"

Page 15799, line 26: "At last, the accumulation particles are found with a mode between 135nm and 145nm and ..." Also this sentence should be rephrased more precisely. The terminology has been changed through the whole paper. These sentences are now: "The Aitken mode particles median diameter is varying between 43 and 52 nm during the night (respectively for winter and summer), and between 41 and 51 nm during the day. The accumulation mode median diameter is also larger during summer than during winter";

Page 15801, line 5: The authors did certainly not use the whole ECMWF institution for their study, but a certain product provided by the ECMWF. This product and its use should be specified, and the abbreviation ECMWF used more precisely throughout the section. Boundary layer heights are derived from meteorological fields of the

[Full Screen / Esc](#)[Printer-friendly Version](#)[Interactive Discussion](#)[Discussion Paper](#)

ECMWF IFS model (6-hourly forecast, based on 4D-VAR analyses) (ECMWF, 2002).  
Ref. ECMWF, IFS documentation, <http://www.ecmwf.int/research/ifsdocs/> , 2002.

Page 15801, line 25: "Brünt-Vaisala" should be "Brunt-Väisälä" Done

Page 15803, line 7ff: "Three-days back trajectories endpoints number density calculated using ..." should be "Three-day back trajectory endpoint number densities calculated using ..." This has been corrected

Page 15803, line 20: "The comparison of air mass trajectories density for different seasons shows ..." should be "The comparison of air mass trajectory densities for different seasons shows ..." This has been corrected

Page 15805, line 3: "... the surface during summer as respect to winter can ..." should be "... the surface during summer as compared to winter can ..." This has been corrected

Page 15805, line 10: "As a result, we can, from this work, provide typical aerosol size distribution in the free ..." should be "As a result, we can, from this work, provide typical aerosol size distributions in the free ..." This has been corrected

Page 15808, line 6 f.: "... about half the concentration of continental aerosols are in the ..." should be "...about half the concentration of continental aerosols is in the ..." This has been corrected

Page 15809, line 11: "Five years data of total ..." should be "Five years of data of total ..." This has been corrected

Figure 4: It is stated in the article that the size range of the used SMPS instrument extends from 10 nm to 500 nm particle diameter. In the left panel of Fig. 4 however, the winter particle size distribution extends beyond these bounds. Is this a boundary condition of the SMPS inversion algorithm used? The authors should give a reason for this extended size range, and also use section 2 to provide some more specifications about the inversion technique. Yes, the extended bonds are the results of the fitting

S10122

Full Screen / Esc

Printer-friendly Version

Interactive Discussion

Discussion Paper



procedure. The inversion technique has been better explained.

---

Interactive comment on Atmos. Chem. Phys. Discuss., 8, 15791, 2008.

**ACPD**

8, S10120–S10123, 2008

---

Interactive  
Comment

Full Screen / Esc

Printer-friendly Version

Interactive Discussion

Discussion Paper

S10123

