Atmos. Chem. Phys. Discuss., 8, 2771–2793, 2008 www.atmos-chem-phys-discuss.net/8/2771/2008/ © Author(s) 2008. This work is distributed under the Creative Commons Attribution 3.0 License.



ACPD

8, 2771–2793, 2008

Sea surface wind speed estimation from space-based lidar

Y. Hu et al.



Sea surface wind speed estimation from space-based lidar measurements

Y. Hu¹, K. Stamnes², M. Vaughan¹, J. Pelon³, C. Weimer⁴, D. Wu⁵, M. Cisewski¹, W. Sun¹, P. Yang⁶, B. Lin¹, A. Omar¹, D. Flittner¹, C. Hostetler¹, C. Trepte¹, D. Winker¹, G. Gibson¹, and M. Santa-Maria¹

¹Climate Science Branch, NASA Langley Research Center, Hampton, VA, USA
 ²Dept. of Physics and Enginerring, Stevens Institute of Tech., Hoboken, NJ, USA
 ³Université Pierre et Marie Curie, Service d'Aeronomie/IPSL, Paris, France
 ⁴Ball Aerospace & Technologies Corp., Boulder, CO, USA
 ⁵The Key Laboratory of Ocean Remote Sensing, Ocean University of China, Qingdao, China
 ⁶Dept. of Atmospheric Sciences, Texas A.& M. University, College Station Commerce Street,TX, USA

Received: 3 January 2008 - Accepted: 7 January 2008 - Published: 12 February 2008

Correspondence to: Y. Hu (yongxiang.hu-1@nasa.gov)

Published by Copernicus Publications on behalf of the European Geosciences Union.

Abstract

Global satellite observations of lidar backscatter measurements acquired by the Cloud-Aerosol Lidar and Infrared Pathfinder Satellite Observation (CALIPSO) mission and collocated sea surface wind speed data from the Advanced Microwave Scanning Ra-

- diometer for the Earth Observing System (AMSR-E), are used to investigate the relation between wind driven wave slope variance and sea surface wind speed. The new slope variance wind speed relation established from this study is similar to the linear relation from Cox-Munk (1954) and the log-linear relation from Wu (1972, 1990) for wind speed larger than 7 m/s and 13.3 m/s, respectively. For wind speed less than
- 7 m/s, the slope variance is proportional to the square root of the wind speed, assuming a two dimensional isotropic Gaussian wave slope distribution. This slope variance – wind speed relation becomes linear if a one dimensional Gaussian wave slope distribution is assumed. Contributions from whitecaps and subsurface backscattering are effectively removed by using 532 nm lidar depolarization measurements. This
- new slope variance wind speed relation is used to derive sea surface wind speed from CALIPSO single shot lidar measurements (70 m spot size), after correcting for atmospheric attenuation. The CALIPSO wind speed result agrees with the collocated AMSR-E wind speed, with 1.2 m/s rms error.

1 Introduction

- ²⁰ It has been over half a century since Cox and Munk (1954) introduced the Gaussian distribution relation between sea surface wind and the slopes of wind driven waves. A Gaussian distribution has maximum information entropy $[\sum P(s_i)\log P(s_i)$, where $P(s_i)$ is the probability of slope s_i] and thus is the most probable state if we can consider the wind driven slopes (s_i) as many independent and identically-distributed random variables [central limit theorem]. In the same study, Cox and Munk (1954) also sug-
- $_{25}$ variables [central limit theorem]. In the same study, Cox and Munk (1954) also suggested a linear relationship between wind speed (U) at 10 m above sea surface and





the variance (σ^2) of the slope distribution [$\sigma^2(s)=aU+b$, with *a* and *b* constants] based on measurements of the bi-directional sea surface reflectance pattern of reflected sunlight. Using laboratory measurements, Wu (1972, 1990) revised the relation between wind speed and slope variance to two log-linear relations. When the wind speed is less

- than 7 m/s, capillary waves resulting from a balance between atmospheric wind friction and water surface tension, is the predominant component of wind driven waves. For wind speed exceeding 7 m/s, the surface becomes rougher and the predominant wavelengths grow to centimeter scale while surface tension weakens and gravity becomes more important in terms of restoring surface smoothness (i.e., gravity-capillary waves).
- ¹⁰ The wind speed *U*-wave slope variance σ^2 relation also varies with sea surface state and meteorological conditions (Shaw and Churnside, 1997).

Using collocated TRMM sea surface radar cross sections and wind speeds from microwave radiometer, Freilich and Vanhoff (2003) analyzed the $U-\sigma^2$ -relations on a global scale and demonstrated that at lower wind speed (U<10 m/s), a log-linear rela-

tion agrees well with the observations, while at larger wind speed (5 m/s<U<19 m/s), both the linear Cox-Munk relation and log-linear Wu relation are within the uncertainty of observation.

The wave slope variances derived from microwave data are slightly different from those derived from visible and infrared measurements since they cover different wave-

- ²⁰ number ranges of wind-generated waves (Liu et al., 2000). A global analysis of $U-\sigma^2$ relations for waves that fall within the lidar backscatter sensitivity range can be performed by comparing sea surface backscatter of the CALIPSO lidar with the collocated wind speed measurements of AMSR-E on the Aqua spacecraft. As the space-based lidar onboard the CALIPSO satellite only measures sea surface backscatter at a 0.3°
- ²⁵ off-nadir angle, directional properties, such as skewness and peakedness, can be ignored. The independent absolute calibration of CALIPSO lidar measurements enables an accurate assessment of the $U - \sigma^2$ -relations by comparing the AMSR-E wind speeds with the variance information derived from lidar backscatter.

The dependence of the lidar/radar backscatter cross section on the angle of inci-

ACPD 8, 2771-2793, 2008 Sea surface wind speed estimation from space-based lidar Y. Hu et al. **Title Page** Abstract Introduction Conclusions References Tables **Figures** Close Back Full Screen / Esc **Printer-friendly Version** Interactive Discussion



dence was proposed in theory by Barrick (1968), and verified qualitatively by measurements from airborne lidar (Bufton et al., 1983) and space-based lidar (Menzies, et al., 1998). For a one dimensional Gaussian statistics, the slope distribution is $\sqrt{1/(2\pi\sigma_X^2)} \exp(-\frac{\tan^2\theta}{2\sigma_X^2})$, where $\tan\theta_x$ is the wave slope along x direction and σ_x is the variance of the wave slope distribution. For isotropic surface, a two dimensional wave slope distribution has a variance of $\sigma^y = \sigma_x^2 + \sigma_y^2$. The fraction *F* of a unit sea surface area covered by waves with wave slope $\tan\theta_g (\tan^2\theta_x + \tan^2\theta_y)^{0.5}$ within an infinitely small incident solid angle $\delta \cos\theta_g \delta \varphi$ is (Genneken et al., 1998),

$$F(\theta, \varphi)d\cos\theta d\varphi = \frac{\tan\theta}{2\pi\sigma^2}\exp(-\frac{\tan^2\theta}{2\sigma^2})d\tan\theta d\varphi$$
$$= \frac{1}{2\pi\sigma^2\cos^3\theta}\exp(-\frac{\tan^2\theta}{2\sigma^2})d\cos\theta d\varphi. \tag{1}$$

10

For a lidar/ radar system pointing at off-nadir angle θ , the incident area is the unit area divided by $\cos\theta$. Thus the cross section A(θ) of lidar/radar backscatter at off-nadir incident θ is,

$$A(\theta)d\cos\theta d\varphi = \frac{\rho}{2\pi\sigma^2\cos^4\theta}\exp(-\frac{\tan^2\theta}{2\sigma^2})d\cos\theta d\varphi,$$
(2)

- ¹⁵ where ρ is the Fresnel reflectance. For backscatter of linearly polarized incident light, such as the laser beam transmitted by CALIPSO, $\rho(\theta) = [(n-1)/(n+1)]^2$ at visible and near infrared wavelengths. The light reflected from the surface is co-linearly polarized. The lidar and radar backscatter cross section, $\frac{\rho}{2\pi\sigma^2\cos^4\theta} \exp(-\frac{\tan^2\theta}{2\sigma^2})$ has a form similar to Barrick's (1968).
- ²⁰ The lidar sea surface backscatter cross section defined above is the specular reflection falling into a unit solid angle in the backscatter direction of the incident laser beam while normalizing to 2π solid angle of the reflecting sea surface. The lidar backscattering coefficient in the CALIPSO data product is instead normalized to 4π solid angle in



order to account for scattering in the atmosphere. By definition then, the sea surface lidar integrated backscatter coefficient γ for a lidar (in the unit of sr⁻¹) is half of the total backscatter cross section of opaque objects such as dense clouds and surfaces (Menzies, et al., 1998; Platt, 1973; Tratt et al., 2002). Thus, the CALIPSO lidar sea surface backscatter coefficient γ is,

$$\gamma = \frac{\rho}{4\pi\sigma^2\cos^4\theta} \exp(-\frac{\tan^2\theta}{2\sigma^2})$$

Here $\rho \approx 0.02$ for sea water. For near-normal incidence, exp[-tan $\theta^2/(2\sigma^2)$] ≈ 1 , and $\cos\theta \approx 1$. Thus,

$$\sigma^2 \approx \frac{0.02}{4\pi\gamma} \tag{4}$$

¹⁰ The two commonly referenced relations between wave slope variance and sea surface wind speed in the literature are,

- Cox and Munk: $\sigma^2 \approx 0.003 + 0.00512 U$;
- − Wu: a) for U < 7 m/s, $\sigma^2 \approx 0.0276 \log_{10} U + 0.009$;
- − Wu: b) for $U \ge 7 \text{ m/s}$, $\sigma^2 \approx 0.138 \log_{10} U 0.084$.
- In this study we introduce the following relation between wave slope variance and wind speed, based on comparison between CALIPSO lidar sea surface backscatter (γ) and collocated AMSR-E wind speed measurements:

CALIPSO:

$$\sigma^{2} = 0.0146\sqrt{(U)} \qquad (U < 7 \text{ m/s})$$

$$\sigma^{2} = 0.003 + 0.00512U \qquad (13.3 \text{ m/s} > U \ge 7 \text{ m/s})$$

$$\sigma^{2} = 0.138 \log_{10} U - 0.084 \qquad (U \ge 13.3 \text{ m/s})$$

$$2775$$

ACPD 8, 2771-2793, 2008 Sea surface wind speed estimation from space-based lidar Y. Hu et al. **Title Page** Introduction Abstract Conclusions References **Tables Figures** Close Back Full Screen / Esc **Printer-friendly Version** Interactive Discussion

(3)

(5)

This wind speed – lidar backscatter coefficient relation is shown as the red curve in Fig. 1, together with the Cox-Munk (blue curve) and Wu (green curve) relation. The following section describes the data used for the fitting and implications for global sea surface wind measurements with space based lidar.

⁵ 2 Wind speed (*U*)– wave variance (σ^2) relation using AMSR-E and CALIPSO data

The relation between wind speed and wave slope variance can be assessed on a global scale using the collocated wind speed measurements from AMSR-E and the variance of the wave slope distribution estimated from CALIPSO lidar sea surface integrated backscatter coefficient using Eq. (4).

- ¹⁰ AMSR-E wind speed product is derived from the multi-wavelength Advanced Microwave Scanning Radiometer measurements using an empirical relationship between wind speed and microwave brightness temperatures, based on a physically based regression that is trained by a set of 42 195 radiosonde soundings launched from weather ships and small islands around the globe (Wentz and Meissner, 2000). The version-5
- ¹⁵ AMSR-E wind speed product, which has a spatial resolution of 20 km, agrees well with other satellite wind measurements (Wentz et al., 2003; Ebuchi, 2006).

The sea surface backscatter is derived from the second release of the CALIPSO level 1 CALIOP data product. CALIOP is a two-wavelength lidar (532 nm and 1064 nm). Its 532 nm receiver is polarization sensitive; detecting the laser backscatter polarized both

- ²⁰ parallel and perpendicular to that of the laser. The lidar can detect range-resolved backscatter from atmospheric molecules, aerosols, and clouds, as well as the ocean surface used in this study. The 1064 nm channel uses an avalanche photodiode detector while the 532 nm channel uses photomultiplier tubes. These detectors have slightly different transient responses (Hu et al., 2007a; Hu et al., 2007b; McGill et al.,
- 25 2007) and sensitivities. The higher detector sensitivity of the 532 nm receiver, combined with its shorter wavelength, allows it to be sensitive to molecular scattering from above 30 km in the stratosphere where there is very little aerosol and cloud. Com-



parison of this measurement of the molecular backscatter with independent analysis of the upper atmospheric molecular density profile, allows the CALIOP 532 nm data to be calibrated. The accuracy of the CALIOP wind measurement is increased by the ability of the instrument to measure atmospheric attenuation and to remove the effects of white-caps and sub-surface contributions. The latter is done through the use of the

532 nm perpendicular channel.

The AMSR-E instrument is onboard the Aqua satellite. Both Aqua and CALIPSO fly in formation as part of the A-Train satellites. The instruments on the satellites are pointed such that they make measurements in the atmosphere and on the earth along the same math. Arms fligs 75 a closed of CALIPSO.

- the same path. Aqua flies 75 s ahead of CALIPSO. Thus, the collocated CALIPSO lidar backscatter and AMSR-E wind measurements are made close to the same time. While this time is relatively short, the wind speed could change between the two measurements contributing to differences between the two measurements. We expect this time difference to decrease the correlation but not introduce any bias. Another difference between the two measurements are the two measurements.
- between the two instruments is their cross-track footprint width. AMSR-E's wind speed measurement is made along a cross-track footprint of 20 km while CALIPSO has a 70 m footprint that is sampled along-track every 330 m.

Accurate estimation of CALIPSO lidar sea surface backscatter coefficient, and therefore the wind slope variance, can be made using the sea surface lidar backscatter data with the least amount of atmospheric aerosol loading. The CALIPSO 532 nm channel

- 20 with the least amount of atmospheric aerosol loading. The CALIPSO 532 nm channel is accurately calibrated by comparing the measured molecular backscatter signals with theoretical molecular backscatter estimates derived from meteorological data provided by the Global Modeling and Assimilation Office. The lidar sea surface backscatter coefficient is proportional to the lidar sea surface signal multiplied by the two-way at-
- ²⁵ mospheric transmittance. For the ocean surface lidar backscatter measurements with the lowest atmospheric backscatter, the two-way atmospheric transmittance can be relatively accurately estimated, using the lidar atmospheric backscatter profiles. Thus, sea surface lidar backscatter data from the CALIPSO with the lowest aerosol loading provides the most appropriate data for evaluating the wave slope variance and wind





speed relation.

Figure 2 shows the relation between the AMSR-E wind speeds and the CALIPSO integrated lidar backscatter of ocean surface for the top 4% cleanest air for January of 2007. The colors in the figure is log₁₀ [number of observations]. The selection of the cleanest air regions is based on a 5 km running mean of the integrated atmospheric lidar backscatter. The ocean surface lidar backscatter is the sum of 532 nm surface parallel backscatter signal, with two-way atmospheric transmittance estimated from the lidar atmospheric backscatter profile. There is good correlation between the lidar backscatter and wind speed for wind speeds lower than 12 m/s. The ocean surface li-

- dar backscatter and wind speed become less correlated for stronger wind (upper panel of Fig. 2), when the lidar signal is contaminated by the backscatter from whitecaps. Whitecaps can be considered as multiple scattering by spherical particles with sizes comparable to or greater than the light wavelength (Massel, 2007). Multiple scattering of spherical particles can be characterized by the lidar depolarization ratio (Hu et al.,
- ¹⁵ 2006; Hu et al., 2007c). A correction for whitecaps and ocean sub-surface backscatter was done by assuming a 15% lidar depolarization of whitecaps and sub-surface lidar backscatter. For wind speed larger than 12 m/s, the correlation between AMSR-E wind speed and CALIPSO lidar backscatter increased significantly after this whitecap correction (lower panel).
- ²⁰ The wave slope variance σ^2 wind speed *U* relations from Cox-Munk, Wu and the best fit from the CALIPSO/AMSR-E data are also plotted in the lower panel of Fig. 2 as the yellow, green and black curves, with the y-axis as wind speed and x-axis as the inverse of lidar backscatter, 1/ γ , after whitecap correction. 1/ γ gs proportional to $\sigma^2(U)$ as in Eq. (4).
- The best fit $\sigma^2 U$ relation from the CALIPSO/AMSR-E data, as illustrated in the lower panel of Fig. 2, is summarized in Eq. (5).

For wind speeds between 7 m/s and 13.3 m/s, Cox-Munk model agree well with the CALIPSO/AMSR-E data, as demonstrated in the lower panel of Fig. 2. For wind speed higher than 13.3 m/s, the Wu model is adopted in the new CALIPSO wind – wave slope

ACPD 8, 2771-2793, 2008 Sea surface wind speed estimation from space-based lidar Y. Hu et al. **Title Page** Introduction Abstract Conclusions References **Tables Figures** Close Back Full Screen / Esc **Printer-friendly Version** Interactive Discussion



relation, since it fits the data slightly better.

For wind speed less than 7 m/s, both the Cox-Munk model and the Wu model are biased. The Wu model over-estimates the wind speed by 1-2 m/s for wind speed between 3-9 m/s. And the Cox-Munk models over-estimate the wind speed by 1-2 m/s

⁵ for wind speeds less than 5 m/s. For wind speeds less than 7 m/s, the square root of the wind speed is the unbiased fit of wave slope variance, σ^2 . This implies that the wave slope distribution is a one dimensional Gaussian distribution for wind speeds lower than 7 m/s. However, this implication can only be confirmed by collocated wind speed measurements together with multi-angle lidar measurements.

3 Improving calibration with ocean surface lidar backscatter

From Eq. (4), $\frac{\Delta \gamma}{\gamma} \approx -\frac{\Delta \sigma^2}{\sigma^2}$, and from Eq. (5), $\frac{\Delta \sigma^2}{\sigma^2} \approx \frac{\Delta U}{U}$ for wind speeds between 7 m/s and 13.3 m/s,

so that the inverse of the lidar backscatter coefficient is seen to change linearly with wind speed.

¹⁵ Within this wind speed regime, 10% uncertainty in lidar backscatter is thus equivalent to a 10% uncertainty in wave variance, as well as in wind speed. For wind speeds less than 7 m/s $\frac{\Delta \gamma}{\gamma} \approx -\frac{\Delta U}{2U}$,

thus a 20% uncertainty in wind speed is equivalent to about 10% uncertainty in the wave variance and in the lidar backscatter.

- The two largest sources of uncertainty in studying the wind speed wave slope variance using sea surface lidar backscatter data are the estimation of two-way atmospheric transmittance and the uncertainty in the lidar calibration. Because the well-established molecular normalization technique can be employed, the calibration of CALIPSO's 532 nm channel can be highly accurate. Signal-to-noise (SNR) considera-
- tions make this method unsuitable for use at 1064 nm. However, because the scattering efficiency of aerosols in the Earth's atmosphere is significantly less at 1064 nm than at





532 nm, the aerosol optical corrections required for the 1064 nm signals are much less uncertain than the corresponding corrections applied to the 532 nm channel.

The best combination of the 532 nm and 1064 nm measurements to make the most accurate sea surface wind measurements is to retrieve wind speed using 1064 nm sea

⁵ surface backscatter after calibration improvement. In this study, sea surface backscatter of CALIPSO lidar profiles with the smallest atmospheric backscatter is used as a target for improving lidar calibration,

10

- the 532 nm calibration is adjusted so that the latitudinal dependence of sea surface lidar backscatter agrees with the theoretical lidar backscatter derived from AMSR-E wind speed.
- the 1064 nm calibration is adjusted so that the ocean surface backscatter at both 532 nm and 1064 nm channels agrees.

Accurate CALIPSO lidar backscatter can help improve the understanding of the relation between wind speed and sea surface lidar backscatter. On the other hand, sea
¹⁵ surface backscatter can be used as a target for the assessment of the lidar calibrations. As the molecular backscatter signal at 1064 nm is very weak, the CALIPSO 1064 nm lidar backscatter cannot be calibrated to the same degree of accuracy as the backscatter at 532 nm. The accuracy of the CALIPSO 1064 nm calibration can be assessed by comparing the sea surface lidar backscatter at the two wavelengths. The sea surface lidar backscatter measurement at 1064 nm should essentially be the same as the backscatter at 532 nm, since the refractive indices at 532 nm and 1064 nm are very

- close (~1.325). The relative difference in atmospheric attenuation for the two channels can be well quantified for those cases when there are no clouds and very little aerosols above the sea surface (as determined by the range-resolved lidar returns).
- The upper panel of Fig. 3 shows that the nighttime CALIPSO 1064 nm sea surface backscatter for January 2007 agrees well (to within 10%) with 532 nm sea surface backscatter at the middle and high latitudes of the southern hemisphere, and is a few percent weaker than 532 nm backscatter in the higher latitudes of the northern





hemisphere. The attenuation caused by molecular scattering and absorptions at both wavelengths, as well as the detector transient response differences between the two wavelengths, are all accounted for when computing the 532 nm/1064 nm lidar sea surface backscatter ratios. The slight latitudinal dependence evidenced in the figure is

- ⁵ likely a result of thermal changes that occur at the orbit terminator, and effect the alignment between the lidar's transmitter and receiver in a slightly different manner for each of the two channels. This is part of the overall instrument calibration and future planned CALIPSO algorithm development should reduce this effect. Only night-time data are presented in this study. Application of a latitudinal correction for 1064 nm calibration
- 10 (C_{G2R}, which is a linear fit of the 532 nm/1064 nm lidar sea surface backscatter ratios) can assure the consistency of 532 nm and 1064 nm lidar sea surface backscatter.

Sea surface lidar backscatter can also be used for the assessment of CALIPSO 532 nm lidar calibration. From Eq. (5), sea surface lidar backscatter can be computed from AMSR-E wind speed and should agree well with the lidar CALIPSO 532 nm sea

- ¹⁵ surface lidar backscatter measurements. The lower panel of Fig. 3 shows that, except for the tropics and the Arctic, the measured lidar backscatter values are approximately 5% higher than the estimates obtained from the AMSR-E wind data. This small bias is consistent with the magnitude of transient response anomalies known to exist in the CALIPSO photomultiplier tubes (PMT) (Hu et al., 2007a; Hu et al., 2007b; McGill et al.,
- 20 2007). The sea surface 532 nm lidar backscatter measurements at the tropics are a few percent lower. This is likely a result of the lack of stratospheric aerosol treatment in CALIPSO calibration process. The downward spike at 40N is likely due to the uncertainties in AMSR-E wind speed. The deviation at the tropics almost certainly results from the lack of a stratospheric aerosol correction in CALIPSO calibration procedure.
- ²⁵ After applying the derived latitudinal dependence correction (red curves in both the upper and lower panels of Fig. 3), the wave slope variance wind speed relation can be further assessed by comparing CALIPSO 1064 nm sea surface lidar backscatter with AMSR-E wind. Atmospheric attenuation from aerosols is much weaker at 1064 nm wavelength than at 532 nm, reducing this source of error. The sea surface

ACPD 8, 2771-2793, 2008 Sea surface wind speed estimation from space-based lidar Y. Hu et al. **Title Page** Introduction Abstract Conclusions References Tables **Figures** Close Back Full Screen / Esc **Printer-friendly Version** Interactive Discussion



lidar backscatter at 1064 nm has less uncertainty associated with the estimation of two-way atmospheric transmittance.

Figure 4, which includes 2% of most cloud-and-aerosol-free ocean measurements for January 2007, shows that the wave slope variance – wind speed relation described

- in Eq. (5) is indeed a good fit of the corrected 1064 nm (lower panel) CALIPSO sea surface lidar backscatter and AMSR-E wind speed data. However, there is larger uncertainty of aerosol two-way transmittance at 532 nm. In general, the relation between 532 nm lidar backscatter and AMSR-E wind speed (lower panel of Fig. 4) still agrees with Eq. (5). There are more 532 nm lidar data points below the curves of Eq. (5), indicating a possible bias. These data points are found to be occurring mostly in areas
- ¹⁰ Indicating a possible bias. These data points are found to be occurring mostly in areas where there are absorbing aerosols, where aerosol extinction optical depth is slightly under-estimated from atmospheric lidar backscatter signal.

4 Applying the $U-\sigma^2$ -relation to derive wind speed from CALIPSO 1064 nm data

- The wind speed wave slope variance relation derived from the CALIPSO clear sky sea surface lidar backscatter and AMSR-E wind speed data, as described in Eq. (5), can be applied for measuring ocean surface wind speed using space-based lidar measurements, wherever/whenever the attenuation of the atmosphere can be assessed with sufficient confidence.
- For clear sky with relatively low aerosol loading, the atmospheric attenuation for 1064 nm CALIPSO lidar measurements can be estimated from the range-resolved profile of attenuated backscatter coefficients, and thus wind speed can be well estimated. The impact of whitecaps on 1064 nm sea surface backscatter is effectively removed by assuming a 15% depolarization introduced by the white caps, and the whitecap depolarization ratios at 532 nm and 1064 nm are identical.

The lower panel of Fig. 5 shows the monthly maps of the CALIPSO wind speed measurements from 1064 nm lidar ocean surface backscatter, while the upper panel





shows the collocated wind speeds reported by AMSR-E. The monthly mean CALIPSO wind speed agrees well with the AMSR wind speed, except in those regions affected by dense dust and smokes (e.g., off of Africa), where the atmospheric attenuation may have been under-estimated in the lidar data processing. The upper panel of Fig. 6 is the difference between the two maps.

The lower panel of Fig. 6 shows that the rms difference between AMSR-E wind speed and wind speed derived from the single CALIPSO lidar shot is 1.2 m/s. The rms difference reduces to 0.86 m/s when we average the CALIPSO lidar data along track to 10 km (30 lidar profile). Considered on a global scale, there is no systematic bias between the CALIPSO wind speeds and AMSR-E wind speeds. However, as shown in the upper panel of Fig. 6, the CALIPSO wind speed estimates at higher latitudes are ~0.5 m/s lower than those reported by AMSR-E, which is probably a combination of uncertainties in CALIPSO calibration and whitecap correction, as well as AMSR-E wind speed bias.

15 5 Summary and discussion

5

10

Using the collocated CALIPSO sea surface lidar backscatter measurements and the wind speeds reported in the AMSR-E data products, we have studied the relationship between wave slope variance and surface wind speed on a global scale. For wind speeds between 7 m/s and 13.3 m/s, the σ^2 –*U*-relation derived from the CALIPSO sea surface lidar backscatter and AMSR-E wind data agrees well with the linear relation established by Cox and Munk. For wind speeds higher than 13.3 m/s, the σ^2 –*U*-relation derived from the CALIPSO lidar backscatter and AMSR-E wind data agrees with the log-linear relation derived by Wu. For wind speeds lower than 7 m/s, the assumption of an isotropic, two dimensional Gaussian wave slope distribution results in a linear relationship between wave slope variance and square root of wind speed. If the wave slopes obey a one-dimensional Gaussian distribution for wind speed below 7 m/s, the





slope variance is again seen to be a simple linear relation.

Applying this σ^2 –*U*-relation, global sea surface wind speed can be derived from both the CALIPSO 1064 nm and 532 nm sea surface backscatter, which are defined as the integrated lidar surface signal divided by two-way atmospheric transmittance. The two-way atmospheric transmittance can be estimated directly from the CALIPSO ⁵ atmospheric lidar backscatter profile. The effects of whitecaps and the contributions from subsurface ocean signals are effectively removed by using the CALIPSO 532 nm depolarization measurements. The global monthly mean wind speed distribution derived from CALIPSO agrees well with the AMSR-E wind product. The rms difference is 1.2 m/s between the single lidar shot CALIPSO wind speed with the AMSR-E wind 10 (20 km resolution). The rms difference drops to 0.86 m/s when the wind speed is de-

rived from CALIPSO lidar backscatter averaged to 10 km along track.

This study demonstrates that sea surface wind speed can be accurately measured from space-based lidar measurements. The outcome of this study can help the calibration of space-based lidars, since the sea surface lidar backscatter signal is relatively

- strong and thus reduces requirements on sensitivity and dynamic range for the lidar. Apart from lidar calibration concerns, atmospheric attenuation by aerosols is the largest source of uncertainty in retrieving wind speed. The wind speed retrieval uncertainty associated with the atmospheric attenuation would be reduced significantly by using lidar measurements operating at mid-infrared wavelengths where the aerosol contribution
- ²⁰ to the backscatter is significantly less. The wind speed retrieval can also improve when aerosol optical depth derived from other sensors such as MODIS are considered.

The microwave-based measurement of sea surface winds can be carried out over a wider range of weather conditions than the lidar due to the greater ability to penetrate through clouds. However, as demonstrated here, lidars can make sea surface wind measurements that could be calibrated, not requiring any empirical fitting of the data. The lidar can also make accurate measurements over a small (for example, 70 m for single shot) footprint, which would allow measurements closer to coastlines, and potentially in lakes, from space. The smaller footprint would allow the divergence and

ACPD 8, 2771-2793, 2008 Sea surface wind speed estimation from space-based lidar Y. Hu et al. **Title Page** Introduction Abstract References Conclusions Tables **Figures** 14 Close Back Full Screen / Esc **Printer-friendly Version**



Interactive Discussion

curl related to ocean stress to be estimated over smaller areas (Chelton et al., 2000).

A space-based lidar has an advantage over standard visible imagery for measuring ocean winds because it can also measure the atmospheric attenuation and estimate sea state, reducing errors. The lidar can also make both day and night measurements. As the sea surface lidar backscatter is highly sensitive to atmospheric attenuation, this study indicates that the sea surface backscatter could potentially be used to derive accurate values of atmospheric column extinction (absorption + scattering) optical depth using collocated CALIPSO backscatter and AMSR-E wind speed. This topic will be explored further in a subsequent, companion paper.

Acknowledgements. This study is sponsored by NASA CALIPSO/CloudSat A-train and MI DAS project of NASA Radiation Science Program and Ocean Biogeochemistry Program under
 H. Maring, P. Bontempi and D. Anderson.

References

- Barrick, D. E.: Rough surface scattering based on the specular point theory, IEEE Trans. Antennas Propag., AP-16, 449–454, 1968.
- ¹⁵ Bufton, J., Hoge, F., and Swift, R.: Airborne measurements of laser backscatter from the ocean surface, Appl. Optics, 22, 2603–2618, 1983.
 - Chelton, D. B., Freilich, M. H., and Esbensen, S. K: Satellite observations of the wind jets off the Pacific coast of Central America, Part I: Case studies and statistical characteristics, Mon. Weather Rev., 128, 1993–2018, 2000.
- 20 Cox, C. and Munk, W.: Measurement of the Roughness of the Sea Surface from Photographs of the Sun's Glitter, J. Opt. Soc. Am., 14, 838–850, 1954.
 - Ebuchi, N.: Evaluation of marine surface winds observed by SeaWinds and AMSR on ADEOS-II, J. Oceanography, 62, 293–301, 2006.
 - Freilich, M. and Vanhoff, B., The Relationship between Winds, Surface Roughness, and Radar
- Backscatter at Low Incidence Angles from TRMM Precipitation Radar Measurements, J. Atmos. Ocean. Tech., 20, 549–562, 2003.
 - Ginneken, B., Stavridi, M., and Koenderink, J.: Diffuse and Specular Reflectance from Rough Surface, Appl. Optics, 37, 130–139 , 1998.





Hu, Y., Liu, Z., Winker, D., Vaughan, M., Noel, V., Bissonnette, L., Roy, G., and McGill, M.: A simple relation between lidar multiple scattering and depolarization for water clouds, Opt. Lett., 31, 1809–1811, 2006.

Hu, Y., Vaughan, M., Liu, Z., Lin, B., Yang, P., Flittner, D., Hunt, B., Kuehn, R., Huang, J.,

⁵ Wu, D., Rodier, S., Powell, K., Trepte, C., and Winker, D.: The depolarization – attenuated backscatter relation: CALIPSO lidar measurements vs. theory. Opt. Express, 15, 5327–5332, 2007a.

Hu, Y., Powell, K., Vaughan, M., Trepte, C., Weimer, C., Beherenfeld, M., Young, S., Winker, D., Hostetler, C., Hunt, W., Kuehn, R., Flittner, D., Cisewski, M., Gibson, G., Lin, B., and Mac-

Donald, D.: Elevation-In-Tail (EIT) technique for laser altimetry, Opt. Express, 15, 14504– 14515, 2007b.

Hu, Y.: Depolarization Ratio – Effective Lidar Ratio Relation: Theoretical Basis for Space Lidar Cloud Phase Discrimination, Geophys. Res. Lett., 34, L11812, doi:10.1029/2007GL029584, 2007c.

Liu, Y., Su, M., Yan, X., Liu, W. T.: the Mean Square Slope of Ocean Surface Waves and Its Effects on Radar Backscatter, J. Atmos. Ocean. Tech., 17, 1092–1105, 2000.

Massel, S.: Ocean Waves Breaking and Marine Aerosol Fluxes, Springer, doi:10.1007/978-0-387-69092-6, 2007.

McGill M. J., Vaughan, M. A., Trepte, C. R., Hart, W. D., Hlavka, D. L., Winker, D. M., and Kuehn,

- R.: Airborne Validation of Spatial Properties Measured by the CALIPSO Lidar, J. Geophys. Res., 112, D20201, doi:10.1029/2007JD008768, 2007.
 - Menzies, R., Tratt, D., and Hunt, W.: Lidar In-space Technology Experiment Measurements of Sea Surface Directional Reflectance and the Link to Surface Wind Speed, Appl. Optics, 37, 5550–5559, 1998.
- Platt, C.: Lidar and radiometric observations of cirrus clouds, J. Atmos. Sci., 30, 1191–1203, 1973.

Shaw J. and Churnside, J.: Scanning-laser glint measurements of sea-surface slope statistics, Appl. Optics, 36, 4202–4213, 1997.

Tratt, D., et al.: Airborne Doppler Lidar Investigation of the Wind-Modulated Sea-Surface Angular Retroreflectance Signature, Appl. Optics, 33, 6941–6950, 2002.

Wentz, F. and Meissner, T.,: AMSR Ocean Algorithm Theoretical Basis Document, Version 2. Remote Sensing Systems, Santa Rosa, CA, 2000.

30

Wentz, F., Gentemann, C., and Ashcroft, P.: On-orbit calibration of AMSR-E and the retrieval of

ACPD	
8, 2771–2793, 2008	
Sea surface wind speed estimation from space-based lidar Y. Hu et al.	
Title Page	
Abstract	Introduction
Conclusions	References
Tables	Figures
I	۶I
•	•
Back	Close
Full Screen / Esc	
Printer-friendly Version	
Interactive Discussion	



ocean products, 83rd AMS Annual Meeting, American Meteorological Society, Long Beach, CA, 2003.

- Wu, J.: Sea-Surface Slope and Equilibrium Wind Wave Spectra, Phys. Fluids, 15, 741–747, 1972.
- ⁵ Wu, J.: Mean square slopes of the wind-disturbed water surface, their magnitude, directionality, and composition, Radio Sci., 25, 37–48, 1990.





Fig. 1. Relations between wind speed and variance of wave slope distribution. Blue dotted line: Cox-Munk ; Green dashed line: Wu; Red solid line (CALIPSO): the relation derived from global observations of collocated CALIPSO lidar backscatter coefficient and AMSR-E wind speed.

8, 2771-2793, 2008 Sea surface wind speed estimation from space-based lidar Y. Hu et al. **Title Page** Abstract Introduction Conclusions References **Tables Figures** ► Close Back Full Screen / Esc **Printer-friendly Version** Interactive Discussion

ACPD





Fig. 2. Upper panel: 3-D histogram of CALIPSO sea surface lidar backscatter and collocated AMSR-E wind speed. Lower panel: 3-D histogram of CALIPSO sea surface lidar backscatter with whitecap correction and collocated AMSR-E wind speed. The colors are log₁₀ [number of occurrence]. 2789







Fig. 3. Upper Panel: Mean sea surface backscatter ratio of 532 nm and 1064 nm channels for the cleanest (4%, 2%, 1%) atmosphere. The 1064 nm channel recalibration is performed so that the sea surface lidar backscatter of the 532 nm and the 1064 nm channels agree with theory, while two-way atmospheric transmittance of the cleanest air can be accurately estimated.





Fig. 4. 3-D histogram of single shot CALIPSO 532 nm (upper panel) and 1064 nm (lower panel) sea surface backscatter vs. AMSR-E wind speed for lowest aerosol loadings (cleanest atmosphere, with smallest 2% γ_{atmos}). The color represents the frequency of occurrence, with the unit of [sr m/s]⁻¹. The light blue, green and yellow curves are 1/ γ as a function of wind speed *U*, derived from Cox-Munk, Wu and CALIPSO-AMSR-E σ^2 –*U*-relations.

















