Atmos. Chem. Phys. Discuss., 8, 20565–20606, 2008 www.atmos-chem-phys-discuss.net/8/20565/2008/ © Author(s) 2008. This work is distributed under the Creative Commons Attribution 3.0 License.



This discussion paper is/has been under review for the journal *Atmospheric Chemistry and Physics (ACP)*. Please refer to the corresponding final paper in *ACP* if available.

What would have happened to the ozone layer if chlorofluorocarbons (CFCs) had not been regulated?

P. A. Newman¹, L. D. Oman², A. R. Douglass¹, E. L. Fleming³, S. M. Frith³, M. M. Hurwitz⁴, S. R. Kawa¹, C. H. Jackman¹, N. A. Krotkov⁵, E. R. Nash³, J. E. Nielsen³, S. Pawson¹, R. S. Stolarski¹, and G. J. M. Velders⁶

¹NASA Goddard Space Flight Center, Greenbelt, MD, USA

²Johns Hopkins University, Baltimore, MD, USA

³Science Systems and Applications, Inc., Lanham, MD, USA

⁴NASA Postdoctoral Program, NASA Goddard Space Flight Center, Greenbelt, MD, USA

⁵Goddard Earth Sciences and Technology Center, University of Maryland, Baltimore County, Baltimore, MD, USA

⁶Netherlands Environmental Assessment Agency, Bilthoven, The Netherlands

Received: 22 September 2008 - Accepted: 15 October 2008 - Published: 10 December 2008

Correspondence to: P. A. Newman (paul.a.newman@nasa.gov)

Published by Copernicus Publications on behalf of the European Geosciences Union.





Abstract

Ozone depletion by chlorofluorocarbons (CFCs) was first proposed by Molina and Rowland in their 1974 Nature paper. Since that time, the scientific connection between ozone losses and CFCs and other ozone depleting substances (ODSs) has been firmly
established with laboratory measurements, atmospheric observations, and modeling research. This science research led to the implementation of international agreements that largely stopped the production of ODSs. In this study we use a fully-coupled radiation-chemical-dynamical model to simulate a future world where ODSs were never regulated and ODS production grew at an annual rate of 3%. In this "world avoided" simulation, 17% of the globally-average column ozone is destroyed by 2020, and 67% is destroyed by 2065 in comparison to 1980. Large ozone depletions in the polar region become year-round rather than just seasonal as is currently observed in the Antarctic ozone hole. Very large temperature decreases are observed in response to circulation changes and decreased shortwave radiation absorption by ozone. Ozone levels in the

¹⁵ tropical lower stratosphere remain constant until about 2053 and then collapse to near zero by 2058 as a result of heterogeneous chemical processes (as currently observed in the Antarctic ozone hole). The tropical cooling that triggers the ozone collapse is caused by an increase of the tropical upwelling. In response to ozone changes, ultraviolet radiation increases, more than doubling the erythemal radiation in the northern summer midlatitudes by 2060.

1 Introduction

25

Molina and Rowland (1974) were the first to propose that ozone could be depleted by the release of chlorofluorocarbons (CFCs) to the atmosphere. The chemical breakdown of CFCs and other ozone depleting substances (ODSs) in the stratosphere releases chlorine (CI) and bromine (Br) atoms that destroy ozone molecules in catalytic cycles. Ozone depletion would result in an increase of biologically harmful solar ultravi-

ACPD

8, 20565–20606, 2008

What would have unregulated CFCs done to the ozone layer?





olet (UV) radiation. Following this discovery, public reactions to potential ozone depletion led to a ban on the use of CFCs as aerosol propellants by the late 1970s, slowing CFC growth rates. However, by 1980 CFC production had again begun to rise as their use as blowing agents and refrigerants grew. Continued scientific research led to the

- ⁵ writing of a series of reports that culminated in the first World Meteorological Organization (WMO)/United Nations Environment Program scientific assessment of ozone depletion (WMO, 1985). This assessment provided the scientific consensus that CFCs and halons posed a serious threat to the ozone layer. In response, the landmark Montreal Protocol agreement was negotiated in 1987 (Sarma and Bankobeza, 2000). This
- agreement regulated the production of chlorofluorocarbons and other ODSs. Since 1987, 193 nations have signed the Montreal Protocol. Five amendments to the Montreal Protocol have now led to the cessation of the major portions of ODS production around the world. The cumulative levels of chlorine and bromine from the ODSs are now decreasing in both the troposphere (Montzka et al., 1996) and the stratosphere
- (Anderson et al., 2000; Froidevaux et al., 2006). The work of Molina and Rowland (1974) led to a major reorganization and redirection of chemical production to substitute compounds, to changes in technologies that traditionally used CFCs, and to the first international agreement on regulation of chemical pollutants.

The regulation of ODSs was based upon the ozone assessments that presented the consensus of the science community. The regulation presupposed that a lack of action would lead to severe ozone depletion with consequent severe increases of solar UV radiation levels at the Earth's surface. Because of the successful regulation of ODSs, ozone science has now entered into the accountability phase. There are two relevant questions in this phase. First, are ODS levels decreasing and ozone increasing as expected because of the Montreal Protocol regulations? Second, what would have happened to the atmosphere if no actions had been taken? That is to say, what kind of world was avoided? It is this latter question that is the focus of the present study.

Two studies have modeled the impact of high levels of CFCs on ozone. First, Prather et al. (1996) modeled the ozone response to continued growth of the ODSs without

ACPD

8, 20565-20606, 2008

What would have unregulated CFCs done to the ozone layer?





the Montreal Protocol and its amendments. They hypothesized that ODSs continued to grow at "business-as-usual" growth rates from 1974 into the early 21st century. Such ODS growth could have resulted from a lack of understanding of ozone depletion, a failure to regulate ODS production, and further development of technologies

- that used CFCs. In their study, ODSs were allowed to grow by approximately 5–7% per year, resulting in a total stratospheric chlorine (Cl_y) level of about 9 ppbv by 2002. They used a two-dimensional (2-D, or latitude-altitude) model with projected CFC and halon levels to calculate a globally-averaged total ozone depletion of 10% by the year 1999. Second, Morgenstern et al. (2008) used a three-dimensional (3-D, or longitude-
- ¹⁰ latitude-altitude) coupled chemistry-climate model to simulate the impact of 9 ppbv on the stratosphere in a timeslice or fixed time mode (equivalent to levels of Cl_y that they estimated would have been reached in about 2030). In their simulation they found peak ozone depletions (> 35%) in the upper stratosphere, with additional ozone depletion in both polar regions (> 20%).
- In addition to the ozone studies, Velders et al. (2007) have recently investigated the impact of unrestrained growth of CFCs on climate. They pointed out that because CFCs and other ODSs are also greenhouse gases, the ODSs would have added an additional 0.8–1.6 W m⁻² of radiative forcing by 2010 if the ODSs had continued to grow at 3–7% per year after 1974. Hence, the implementation of the Montreal Protocol has
 limited both ozone depletion and climate change.

Prather et al. (1996) was limited by using a fixed transport 2-D model (Jackman et al., 1996) to produce their estimates of ozone loss. Since this version of the 2-D model did not include the feedback among radiative, dynamical, and chemical processes, the model simulations were terminated when total ozone depletion reached

10%. Such significant computed total ozone depletion was assumed to cause large stratospheric changes, both radiatively and dynamically. Models of the stratosphere have greatly improved over the last decade. Using 3-D instead of 2-D models better account for longitudinal variations and more realistically represent the polar latitude dynamics. State-of-the-art models now have complex feedback among the chemical,

ACPD 8, 20565-20606, 2008 What would have unregulated CFCs done to the ozone layer? P. A. Newman et al. **Title Page** Abstract Introduction Conclusions References Tables **Figures** Close Back Full Screen / Esc **Printer-friendly Version** Interactive Discussion



radiative, and dynamical processes.

Here we employ the Goddard Earth Observing System (GEOS) chemistry-climate model (CCM) to explore the ozone distributions that might have been without ODS regulations (a "world avoided"), updating and extending the results of Prather et al.

(1996) up to 2065. Because ozone is a radiatively active gas in both the ultraviolet and the infrared, the destruction of ozone alters the temperature and the wind distributions, which then affects the transport of ozone and other gases. Further, as temperatures change, ozone loss rates change, producing a feedback that further alters the ozone distribution. Hence, a proper simulation of the "world avoided" requires a CCM with
 interactive radiation, chemistry, and dynamics.

This "world avoided" estimate of ozone depletion is performed for a few basic reasons. First, scientists predicted massive ozone losses, actions were taken, and these losses have not occurred. Hence, do the state-of-the-art models actually predict the large losses that were hypothesized in the 1980s? Second, such model estimates give

- an indication of the unforeseen impacts of large ozone losses on the chemistry and climate of the stratosphere. Such extreme simulations are useful for evaluating theoretical expectations of dynamics and chemistry (e.g., how does the tropopause change if the ozone layer is destroyed?). Third, a transient simulation provides an ensemble of total chlorine and bromine values and their associated ozone losses, from small chlorine
- values in the 1960s to high chlorine values in the 2060s. Because we cannot actually predict how large CFC and other ODS concentrations might have become, this simulation provides a large range of CFC levels from which to choose. Fourth, this simulation provides a diagnostic test of the model, pushing it into an extreme chemical-radiative-dynamical state that could uncover subtle non-physical model problems. Finally, and
- ²⁵ most importantly, this type of estimate provides a quantitative baseline for assessing the impact of international agreements on ozone, UV radiation impacts, and climate change. The acknowledged success of the Montreal Protocol in the present must be measured against what might have been without that agreement.

The scope of this study is limited to describing the very large ozone losses and the

ACPD

8, 20565–20606, 2008

What would have unregulated CFCs done to the ozone layer?





subsequent rise of ultraviolet (UV) levels. We only include highlights of the radiative, chemical, and dynamical effects in the stratosphere. There are eight sections including the introduction. Section 2 describes the experiment with subsections on the model and the details of the simulations. Section 3 describes the evolution of halogens in

the model experiments. Section 4 describes the evolution and distributions of ozone. Sections 5 and 6 discuss some important aspects of the chemistry and dynamics, respectively. Section 7 provides estimates of surface ultraviolet levels. The final section provides a summary and discussion of some important aspects of this "world avoided" scenario.

10 2 Experiment

The model simulations use the GEOSCCM, which is described in detail by Pawson et al. (2008).

2.1 Model description

Briefly, the model has a horizontal resolution of 2° latitude by 2.5° longitude with 55 vertical levels up to 0.01 hPa (80 km). The dynamical time step is 7.5 min. The model uses a flux-form semi-Lagrangian dynamical core (Lin, 2004) and a mountain-forced gravity-wave drag scheme with a wave forcing for non-zero phase speeds (Garcia and Boville, 1994; Kiehl et al., 1998). The sub-grid moisture physics and radiation are adapted from Kiehl et al. (1998), as described by Bosilovich et al. (2005). The photochemistry code is based on the family approach, as described by Douglass and Kawa (1999) and uses the chemical kinetics from Jet Propulsion Laboratory Evaluation 14 (Sander et al., 2002). Tropospheric ozone is relaxed to a climatology (Logan, 1999) with a 5-day time scale. Processes involving polar stratospheric clouds (PSCs) use the parameterization described by Considine et al. (2000). A total of 35 trace gases are transported in the model. Gases with surface sources are specified as mixing ratios in the lowest

ACPD 8, 20565-20606, 2008 What would have unregulated CFCs done to the ozone layer? P. A. Newman et al. **Title Page** Introduction Abstract Conclusions References **Tables Figures** Close Back Full Screen / Esc **Printer-friendly Version** Interactive Discussion



model layer (e.g., "greenhouse gases" such as CO₂ and "ozone-depleting gases" such as CFC-11). The coupling between the chemical and physical state of the atmosphere occurs directly through the GCM's radiation code. The model-simulated H₂O, CO₂, O₃, CH₄, N₂O, CFC-11, CFC-12, and HCFC-22 are used in the radiation computations. The same A1b Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change (IPCC) greenhouse gas scenario is used for CO₂, CH₄, and N₂O in all of our simulations (Nakicenovic and Swart, 2000).

GEOSCCM has been compared to observations and other models and does very well in reproducing past climate and key transport processes (Eyring et al., 2006; Stolarski et al., 2006; Oman et al., 2008; Pawson et al., 2008). Pawson et al. (2008) showed good agreement between the ozone simulations and observations, but noted a slightly high total ozone bias and a too cold and long-lived Antarctic vortex. Predictions from the GEOSCCM have been compared to other model predictions (Eyring et al., 2007), showing good general agreement with other CCMs.

15 2.2 Model simulations

There are four simulations used in this study: a *"reference past"*, a *"reference future"*, a *"fixed chlorine"* (with chlorine levels fixed at the 1960 level), and the *"WORLD AVOIDED"*. Table 1 summarizes the four simulations. Figures in this study that compare the simulations use color to distinguish between them, using blue for the *reference past*, red for the *reference future*, green for the *fixed chlorine*, and black for the *WORLD AVOIDED*. The first three simulations have been described in Oman et al. (2008) and Pawson et al. (2008). The *reference past* simulations have also been shown by Eyring et al. (2006), while the *reference future* also appears in Eyring et al. (2007).

The *reference past* simulation is an attempt to simulate the past observations of the ²⁵ atmosphere. Hence this simulation is forced by the observed ODSs at the surface (Montzka et al., 2003), and uses the observed sea surface temperatures (SSTs) and ice distribution (Rayner et al., 2003). The *reference future* is an attempt to simulate the future using our best guesses for future ODSs (Montzka et al., 2003) and SSTs. There 8, 20565-20606, 2008

What would have unregulated CFCs done to the ozone layer?



are two *reference future* simulations shown herein. All of the *reference future* plots versus time use the same simulation shown by Eyring et al. (2007) that was forced with the HadGEM1 SSTs. All of the zonal mean difference plots use a reference future simulation that is forced with the National Center for Atmospheric Research (NCAR)

- ⁵ Community Climate System Model version 3 (CCSM3) SSTs (Collins et al., 2006). This second reference future simulation (B in Table 1) is used because the SSTs are consistent with the WORLD AVOIDED simulation in the period from 2050–2065. The differences between these two *reference future* simulations are quite small in the stratosphere.
- ¹⁰ The WORLD AVOIDED simulation extends from 1974 through 2065. This simulation is driven by one of the mixing ratio scenarios established in Velders et al. (2007), where ODS estimates are based upon a scenario (their MR74) wherein production of CFCs and halons grows annually at 3% beginning in 1974. In this scenario, there was no early warning of the danger of CFCs as actually occurred because of Molina and Rowland
- (1974). The 3% per year production growth is lower than the observed growth of 12–17% in CFC production in the period up to 1974. The surface total chlorine reaches a level of 9 ppbv in 2012. In contrast, the CFC growth used in Prather et al. (1996) was approximately 7% and Cl_y reached a level of 9 ppbv in about 2002. Morgenstern et al. (2008) compared two fixed Cl_y levels of 3.5 ppbv and 9 ppbv in their timeslice simulation. In our *WORLD AVOIDED* simulation, the upper stratospheric Cl_y reaches 9 ppbv in about 2019.

Reliable estimates of surface temperature changes can only be made using coupled atmosphere-ocean general circulation models (AOGCMs) (Houghton et al., 1990). In our CCM we attempt to overcome this problem by using SSTs that are consistent with

increasing greenhouse gases. The SSTs and sea ice used in the WORLD AVOIDED run are derived from two integrations of the NCAR Community Climate System Model, from the version 2 (CCSM2) for 1974–2049 and from the CCSM3 for 2050–2065. The latter is from an IPCC AR4 integration, but both are consistent with the IPCC A1b scenario growth of greenhouse gases. A limitation of this prescribed SST approach is

ACPD 8, 20565-20606, 2008 What would have unregulated CFCs done to the ozone layer? P. A. Newman et al. **Title Page** Introduction Abstract Conclusions References Tables **Figures** Close Back Full Screen / Esc **Printer-friendly Version** Interactive Discussion



that it is inconsistent with the growth of the ODSs in our WORLD AVOIDED simulation. Since ODSs are also greenhouse gases, they introduce a direct radiative forcing in the atmosphere (less so in the stratosphere since CFCs are destroyed there). The prescribed SST used herein acts as a thermal sink, constraining the troposphere to ⁵ evolve in parallel with A1b greenhouse gas growth rather than with the additional radiative forcing from the higher levels of CFCs. Assuming a climate sensitivity parameter of $0.5 \text{ K} (\text{W} \text{m}^{-2})^{-1}$ (Ramanathan et al., 1985), the additional CFCs would contribute a surface warming of very roughly 0.25 K by 2010 following the Velders et al. (2007) estimates of the CFC induced radiative forcing. Hence, the WORLD AVOIDED troposphere and oceans are too cold compared with what would develop for the same 10 scenario using an AOGCM. Furthermore, the extreme UV levels simulated here would also impact tropospheric chemistry, tropospheric ozone radiative forcing, and biogeochemical processes. The GEOSCCM model is a stratosphere-only chemistry model that uses a climatological distribution of ozone in the troposphere with a 5-day relax-

ation time scale. While tropospheric reductions of ozone are evident in the model's 15 ozone field, these reductions are solely a result of the advection of low ozone from the stratosphere.

We also simulated the WORLD AVOIDED using a 2-D model with the Velders et al. (2007) MR74 scenario. This 2-D model (Rosenfield et al., 2002) is a newer version of the 2-D model used by Prather et al. (1996). This newer model has coupled chemistry,

20 radiation, and dynamics. The dynamics of the newer 2-D model has a parameterization of eddy effects that interact with the mean circulation. While the dynamics, chemistry, and physics of the GEOSCCM and the 2-D model are very distinct, the newer 2-D model results are in good quantitative agreement with the results herein from the GEOSCCM WORLD AVOIDED simulation.







3 Atmospheric halogens

In this *WORLD AVOIDED* simulation, stratospheric ODS levels increase rapidly after 2000. Figure 1 shows the equivalent effective chlorine (EECI) from Velders et al. (2007) (grey line) that is used as the surface mixing ratio boundary condition in the *WORLD* 5 *AVOIDED* simulation. This EECI is estimated by totaling all of the inorganic chlorine and bromine separately and then adding them with the inorganic bromine multiplied by a factor of 60 to account for the greater efficiency of bromine for ozone destruction.

The effective equivalent stratospheric chlorine (EESC) is calculated in Fig. 1 from the model output by summing the globally-averaged Cl_y and Br_y at 4.5 hPa with a Br_y scaling factor of 60 (thick black line). We also theoretically estimate EESC following Newman et al. (2007), using the surface mixing ratio estimates and fractional release rates for each species, and an age spectrum with a 6-year mean age. This theoretical EESC (not shown here) overlaps the model EESC, giving us good confidence in these model estimates. In addition, Froidevaux et al. (2006) estimated total chlorine

- from Microwave Limb Sounder HCl observations in the upper stratosphere as approximately 3.6 ppbv in 2006 with a slow decrease of about 0.8% per year. The *reference future* simulation has a peak in total chlorine of 3.4 ppbv with a decrease that matches the observed 0.8% per year, again giving us good confidence in our ability to simulate chlorine levels. The EESC theoretical estimates (again following Newman et al.,
- 20 2007) are shown for the Montreal Protocol, the London Amendments, the Copenhagen Amendments, observations, and scenario Ab (Montzka et al., 2003). The current A1 scenario (Daniel et al., 2007) is not shown here, but nearly overlaps the Ab scenario in Fig. 1.

The natural level of EESC is estimated to be approximately 1.2 ppbv (noted at the left in Fig. 1. In the Ab scenario, the EESC reached a peak level of about 4.3 ppbv in approximately 2002. The *WORLD AVOIDED* scenario has EESC increasing to 11.5 ppbv by 2020 and 29.6 ppbv by 2050. In contrast, scenario Ab has EESC falling to 3.8 ppbv in 2020 and 2.8 ppbv by 2050.

ACPD

8, 20565-20606, 2008

What would have unregulated CFCs done to the ozone layer?





4 Ozone

20

Global annually-averaged total ozone falls precipitously from about 315 DU in 1974 to about 110 DU in 2065 in the *WORLD AVOIDED* simulation. Approximately 27 DU of this annually-averaged total ozone is in the troposphere over the entire period. Figure 2

displays the total ozone levels from the four simulations. A value of less than 220 DU is nominally used to estimate the location and areal extent of the Antarctic ozone hole. The global annual average passes 220 DU shortly before 2040.

In addition to the GEOSCCM *WORLD AVOIDED* simulation, we have also performed a *WORLD AVOIDED* simulation using the same ODS scenario with the coupled chem-

- ¹⁰ istry 2-D model (Rosenfield et al., 2002). The coupled 2-D model shows less ozone prior to 1980 and more global ozone in the 21st century with an offset of approximately 20 DU in 2040. Nevertheless, in spite of the large differences in these model implementations (e.g., 2-D vs. 3-D, chemical schemes, dynamical parameterizations, etc.), the two models show good agreement over the simulation period and provide confidence in the magnitudes of the ozone losses.
- ¹⁵ in the magnitudes of the ozone losses.

The sensitivity of total ozone to chlorine is roughly linear over the time period simulated. The grey-shaded inset to Fig. 2 shows the total ozone plotted against the model EESC. The ozone level is linearly anti-correlated with the EESC level. While the temporal tendency in Fig. 2 shows an accelerating decline (i.e., the change between 2040 and 2060 is greater than the change between 2020 and 2040), this is a result of the

accelerating increase of ODSs, rather than a greater sensitivity to ODSs.

Substantial reduction of ozone occurs at all latitudes in the *WORLD AVOIDED* simulation. Figure 3 shows annually-averaged total ozone for a series of years from all four simulations. The total ozone in 1970 is represented by the *fixed chlorine* and

25 reference past simulations (both have comparable EESC levels in 1970, see Fig. 1). These simulations are in reasonable agreement with observations (see Pawson et al., 2008) with a global total ozone average of about 310 DU. The reference future and WORLD AVOIDED simulations show substantial ozone losses by 2000 as ODSs in8, 20565–20606, 2008

What would have unregulated CFCs done to the ozone layer?





crease. This loss trend continues as ODSs increase, such that global total ozone has fallen to a value of about 120 DU by 2060 in the *WORLD AVOIDED* simulation. The midlatitude maximum in both hemispheres has virtually disappeared by 2065, and annually-averaged polar values have dropped below 100 DU. In addition, this midlatitude maximum slowly shifts equatorward in both hemispheres. A substantial portion

itude maximum slowly shifts equatorward in both hemispheres. A substantial portion of the remaining total column is found in the troposphere (approximately 20 DU in the Antarctic, 24 DU in the Arctic, and 20 DU in the tropics, with a globally-averaged tropospheric column of 27 DU).

In the *WORLD AVOIDED* simulations polar total ozone also shows severe losses. Over the Arctic (Fig. 4a), ozone decreases from values near 500 DU in the 1960–1980 period to less than 100 DU by 2065 (an 80% depletion over approximately an 80-year

- time span). Approximately 24 DU of this remaining Arctic column is in the troposphere. The inset images show the April monthly averages for 1980, 2020, and 2040. In 1980, the large column amounts cover the Arctic region (values > 500 DU). By 2020, a distinct
- "ozone hole" minimum has developed over the Arctic with a low value near the pole that is less than 200 DU. By 2060 values of less than 100 DU are found over the Arctic. The positive gradient of ozone between the midlatitudes and the pole seen in 1980 has disappeared by 2060.

Over Antarctica (Fig. 4b), the October average drops below 100 DU in approximately
 2025 and continues to slowly decrease to about 50 DU by 2060. Of this 50 DU, approximately 20 DU is found in the troposphere. The inset images show the October averages for 1980, 2020, and 2060. In 1980, a distinct ozone low is seen over Antarctica. By 2040, this low has considerably deepened, and by 2060 extremely low values are observed across Antarctica and into midlatitudes. These October images also show the
 very large depletions of ozone in the midlatitude collar region.

Antarctic ozone, at the profile peak (≈ 50 hPa), reaches 100% loss by the year 2000 (saturation), as observed in ozonesondes (Solomon et al., 2005). This saturation occurs because of the colder Antarctic temperatures and thereby greater coverage of PSCs and cold sulfate aerosols. The heterogeneous reactions on the surfaces of these

ACPD

8, 20565-20606, 2008

What would have unregulated CFCs done to the ozone layer?





PSCs and aerosols fully activate chlorine, leading to massive ozone loss. Hence, in Fig. 4b we see a rapid ozone decline before 2000 with a slower decline after 2000 as ozone losses expand into the regions above and below 50 hPa. In contrast, Arctic total ozone decreases linearly because saturation effects do not occur (e.g., Tripathi et al., 5 2007).

In addition to radical changes in the spring polar column amount in the *WORLD AVOIDED* simulation, the annual cycle of total ozone over the polar regions is also radically altered. Figure 5 displays the column values versus the day of the year over the Arctic (Fig. 5a) and the Antarctic (Fig. 5b) at 10-year increments from 1980 to 2065.

- The Arctic (Fig. 5a) peak levels in the early years (1980–1990) occur in the springtime. Large depletions in the Arctic spring become apparent by the late-1990s, and gradually worsen in later years. As seen in Fig. 4a, the April ozone reaches a 220-DU level in about 2030. The observed autumn through winter increase of ozone is clearly seen in 1980 (Bowman and Krueger, 1985), but by 2020 this has been inverted to a decrease.
- ¹⁵ A comparison of the 1980 values with 2040 reveals a complete phase shift of the annual cycle.

The Antarctic annual cycle (Fig. 5b) shows a worsening spring situation. The development of the ozone hole is clearly seen in the spring by comparison of 1980 to the 1990 and 2000 curves. The ozone hole continues to worsen into the 21st century
with lower values and earlier onsets of the minimum value. Also note that the spring-to-summer increase of ozone has weakened by 2030, thereby creating a year-round ozone hole. This weak summer increase results from the decreased advection into the Antarctic region as ozone is depleted in both the upper stratosphere and midlatitude middle and lower stratosphere. By 2050 the annual cycle of ozone over Antarctica is
relatively small because of the very strong in situ depletion and the lack of advective

resupply of ozone to the Antarctic region.

The WORLD AVOIDED simulation has its largest losses in two distinct vertical layers: the lower stratosphere (200–30 hPa), and in the upper stratosphere (2 hPa). Since most of the ozone is found in the lower stratosphere, the lower stratospheric losses 8, 20565-20606, 2008

What would have unregulated CFCs done to the ozone layer?





dominate the column losses seen in Fig. 2 through Fig. 5. Figure 6 displays the 2060 difference in annually-averaged ozone losses as a function of altitude and latitude between the *WORLD AVOIDED* and the *reference future* simulations. The annually-averaged losses in the 200–30 hPa region of Antarctica exceed 90% in the region
⁵ extending out to the edge of the polar vortex (the peak of the zonal mean winds is colocated with the polar vortex edge). Arctic lower stratospheric annually averaged losses also exceed 90% by 2060. In addition to the polar loss, a large tropical lower stratospheric loss (> 70%) is also observed in the 70–30 hPa layer. Morgenstern et al. (2008) showed a very similar pattern of upper stratospheric losses and polar losses in comparison to our *WORLD AVOIDED* 2020 to 2000 differences.

Upper stratospheric losses exceed 60% over a large latitude width in the 5–0.5 hPa region, with peak losses above 70% in the midlatitudes. Middle stratosphere losses are somewhat less (40–60%) in the 5–3 hPa layer. In addition to the stratospheric losses, ozone losses extend into the troposphere (the tropopause is indicated in magenta on

Fig. 6). The GEOSCCM model relaxes to a fixed ozone climatology in the troposphere with a 5-day time scale, hence, the decrease of ozone in the troposphere is caused by advection of ozone-depleted air into the troposphere. The small increase of ozone just below the tropical tropopause is probably related to the increased vertical lifting.

The ozone loss in the tropical lower stratosphere occurs extremely rapidly in the

- WORLD AVOIDED simulation in the six-year period from 2052 through 2058. Figure 7 shows the annual average of ozone in the tropics (10° S–10° N) at 50 hPa from the WORLD AVOIDED simulation. Ozone shows a slow decline from the 1960s to the 2050 period as the residual circulation accelerates (Oman et al., 2008). The inset to Fig. 7 shows the February ozone values plotted against the corresponding tempera-
- ture. The ozone decrease quickly accelerates as temperatures drop below about 200 K in the 2052–2058 period. This is a result of ozone loss cycles that are accelerated by heterogeneous processes in this period (to be discussed in the next section).

ACPD 8, 20565–20606, 2008 What would have unregulated CFCs done to the ozone layer? P. A. Newman et al.





5 Chemistry

Stratospheric ozone levels in the WORLD AVOIDED simulation are severely depleted by the chlorine catalytic loss cycles. Furthermore, the relative fractions of chlorine, bromine, nitrogen, and hydrogen compounds are also drastically changed.
The CIO + CIO and CIO + BrO catalytic cycles dominate ozone loss in the Arctic and Antarctic (Molina and Molina, 1974), while in the upper stratosphere the loss is dominated by the CI + O₃ and Br + O₃ catalytic loss cycles. Figure 8 displays the Cl_y partitioning versus year in the Arctic (80°–90° N average, 52 hPa—the model level nearest 50 hPa) for 1 March of each year. The Cl_y does not grow smoothly (as shown in Fig. 1)
because of year-to-year transport variability and because the Cl_y is only shown for 1 March. The individual species are indicated by the color bar (dominant species are HCl, CIONO₂, and Cl₂O₂). Up to about 2025, the reactive chlorine provides a major fraction of the Cl_y, but by 2035 the reactive species are only a minor component with HCl dominating Cl_y. This region is still largely in polar night (solar zenith angle > 87.7°),

- ¹⁵ hence, the reactive Cl_y is mainly found in Cl₂O₂. The ozone levels are indicated in Fig. 8 by the superimposed black line. Polar lower stratospheric ozone falls below 1 ppmv in the 2020s and remains low through 2065 with some minor variations that are the result of transport and temperature variations (less loss in warmer winters and more loss in colder winters). The low levels of ozone in these later years are accompanied by the
 ²⁰ preponderance of HCl in the Cl_y reservoir. This HCl dominance results from the re-
- action of the CI with CH_4 and the disappearance of CIO_x species when ozone levels approach zero (Douglass et al., 1995).

A notable result of the *WORLD AVOIDED* simulation is the extremely rapid tropical lower stratospheric depletion in the 2052–2058 period (see Fig. 7). The inset of Fig. 7

 $_{25}$ shows the strong relationship of temperature to lower stratospheric ozone, suggesting that the rapid ozone loss results from a nonlinear interaction of the cooling of the tropical lower stratosphere and heterogeneous chemistry. Figure 9 displays the tropical lower stratospheric Cl_v versus year partitioning at 52 hPa and 10° S–10° N for 1 Febru-

ACPD

8, 20565-20606, 2008

What would have unregulated CFCs done to the ozone layer?





ary of each year. HCl (80–85%) and ClONO₂ (10–15%) dominate Cl_y in the 1975–2050 period. During the 2052–2058 period, the levels of reactive chlorine (Cl₂O₂ and ClO) suddenly increase from a few percent to about 20% of the total, while ClONO₂ drops from 20% to only 1–2% of the total. Concurrent with the rapid increase of the reactive chlorine, the ozone drops to near zero (black lines in Fig. 7 and Fig. 9). This rapid repartitioning of the chlorine budget results from the increased reactivity of HCl and ClONO₂ on sulfate aerosol particles as the lower stratosphere cools. Such increased reactivity has been observed over Antarctica (Kawa et al., 1997). "Ozone hole" chemistry appears in the tropical lower stratosphere in about 2052, leading to complete lower stratospheric ozone loss by 2058.

6 Dynamics and transport

15

The large ozone depletions in the *WORLD AVOIDED* simulation lead to remarkable changes in dynamics and transport, including large temperature decreases by the middle of the 21st century. Figure 10 displays the temperature change between the *WORLD AVOIDED* and *reference future* simulations during the 2055–2065 decade. Cooling is observed everywhere in this simulation except in the troposphere and at 36 km over Antarctica. The cooling at 1 hPa exceeds 20 K. Shortwave heating at 1 hPa is directly proportional to the ozone concentration. As ozone decreases the shortwave heating decreases and the upper stratospheric temperature decreases.

- ²⁰ The WORLD AVOIDED cooling in the tropical lower stratosphere results from the combined effects of an increase of the vertical lifting and decreased shortwave heating in the tropical lower stratosphere. The shortwave heating remains roughly constant up to 2045 because of the balancing effect of the UV penetration and ozone changes. As ozone decreases in the upper stratosphere greater UV flux penetrates to 50 hPa, but as
- 50-hPa ozone decreases the UV flux absorption decreases, resulting in only very small changes to the shortwave heating. As the increasing tropical lower stratosphere lifting continues to cool the lower stratosphere, tropical lower stratospheric temperatures cool

ACPD 8, 20565-20606, 2008 What would have unregulated CFCs done to the ozone layer? P. A. Newman et al. **Title Page** Abstract Introduction Conclusions References Tables **Figures** Back Close Full Screen / Esc **Printer-friendly Version** Interactive Discussion



below the threshold for forming stratospheric clouds, and heterogeneous chemical processes lead to more ozone loss. The larger ozone losses then lead to less shortwave heating which further cools the lower stratosphere, producing a positive feedback that accelerates the ozone loss. This positive feedback leads to the complete collapse of lower stratospheric ozone between 2052 and 2058 (Fig. 7). This same ozone collapse is also simulated in the coupled 2-D model *WORLD AVOIDED*.

5

Changes of ozone and temperature result in zonal-mean zonal wind changes. Figure 11 displays the change during January between the *reference future* and *WORLD AVOIDED* simulations averaged over the 2055–2065 period. In the Southern Hemi-

- ¹⁰ sphere (SH) the mid-summer easterlies in the *reference future* simulation are replaced with westerlies extending to 1 hPa in the *WORLD AVOIDED* simulation. At 10 hPa and 65° S the change is approximately 45 m s^{-1} , while the *WORLD AVOIDED* mean winds are slightly higher than 40 m s^{-1} . The complete reversal of the usual summer easterlies indicates that the SH stratosphere has slipped into a permanent winter across the
- ¹⁵ seasons by 2065. The Northern Hemisphere (NH) winter polar vortex is slightly weaker in mid-winter in the *WORLD AVOIDED*, but this difference is not statistically significant. In addition to these polar vortex results, the upper side of the subtropical jet (30° N, 70 hPa) is about 5 m s⁻¹ stronger in January.

The large ozone losses also induce changes in the transport of trace species in the stratosphere. Figure 12 shows the difference in mean age-of-air between the *WORLD AVOIDED* and *reference future* simulations during the 2055–2065 period. The mean age is calculated in the model by advecting a tracer that increases linearly with time, and then computing the time difference at various points of the atmosphere with this age tracer at the tropical tropopause (100 hPa, 20° S–20° N). Oman et al. (2008) have recently shown that there is near linear decrease of mean age over the late 20th and 21st centuries in the *reference past* and *reference future* simulations. In the *WORLD AVOIDED* simulation, the large ozone depletion leads to additional decreases of the mean age-of-air by more than one year in the extratropical stratosphere. Much of this

ACPD 8, 20565-20606, 2008 What would have unregulated CFCs done to the ozone layer? P. A. Newman et al. **Title Page** Abstract Introduction Conclusions References **Tables Figures**

Back



Full Screen / Esc

Printer-friendly Version

Interactive Discussion

Close

age decrease arises from the increase of the vertical lifting. The residual circulation

is shown in Fig. 10 and Fig. 12 as the transparent white arrowed lines. Vertical lifting in the tropics (50 hPa, 10° S– 10° N) has changed from 15 m d^{-1} in the reference future to 25 m d^{-1} in the *WORLD AVOIDED* simulation (a 65% increase in upwelling). In addition, there is decreased rising motion above 50 hPa (point A in Fig. 12). This fresh young air from the troposphere then spills poleward decreasing the mean age in the extratropics (point B).

Circulation changes are also apparent in the middle-to-upper stratosphere. The downward circulation (point C in Fig. 12) is caused by the changes in the mean zonal winds. As noted by (Stolarski et al., 2006), the ozone losses caused by the Antarctic ozone hole help the polar vortex persist into the summer period. The presence of westerly winds in the late spring and summer allows planetary waves to propagate vertically and deposit easterly momentum in the upper stratosphere, inducing a poleward and downward circulation that would not normally be present. This increased poleward and downward circulation is exacerbated in the *WORLD AVOIDED* simulation by the additional ozone losses. The opposite problem is found in the NH (point D). The

the additional ozone losses. The opposite problem is found in the NH (point D). The planetary wave deposition of easterly momentum is reduced in the upper stratosphere, reducing the poleward and downward circulation. The small horizontal and vertical gradients of mean age-of-air in the mid-to-upper stratosphere lead to only small changes of age in these regions.

20 7 UV changes

5

As a result of the large ozone depletions, the surface UV reaches extreme levels. To illustrate these changes we have calculated the surface UV flux in the northern midlatitudes at the height of summer (2 July at local noon) for the *WORLD AVOIDED* simulation. UV calculations were done for a cloud-free atmosphere using Atmospheric

Laboratory for Applications and Science 3 (ATLAS-3) extraterrestrial (ET) solar flux and the Total Ozone Mapping Spectrometer radiative transfer (RT) code (TOMRAD). In the TOMRAD code, the atmosphere is assumed to be plane-stratified, with Rayleigh

ACPD 8, 20565-20606, 2008 What would have unregulated CFCs done to the ozone layer? P. A. Newman et al. **Title Page** Abstract Introduction Conclusions References **Tables Figures** Back Close Full Screen / Esc **Printer-friendly Version** Interactive Discussion



scattering (Bates, 1984), *WORLD AVOIDED* ozone and temperature profiles, and prescribed surface albedo. The solution method is based on the successive iteration of the auxiliary equation of the RT (Dave, 1964, 1965; Dave and Furukawa, 1966). The calculations of atmospheric transmission were done at the original sampling wavelengths

- of the ozone cross-sections measured by Bass and Paur (1985); Paur et al. (1985), with steps ≈ 0.05 nm. The transmittance values were linearly interpolated to the vacuum wavelengths of the high resolution ET solar flux data (ATLAS-3 Solar Ultraviolet Spectral Irradiance Monitor ET) and multiplied by the ET spectrum. The TOMRAD RT model compared well with spectral irradiance measurements and the output from other
- ¹⁰ RT models run during the International Photolysis Frequency Measurement and Model Intercomparison campaign (Bais et al., 2003).

Figure 13 displays the flux as a function of wavelength for 1980, 2040, and 2065. The vast majority of the UV increase occurs at wavelengths less than 310 nm. The inset to Fig. 13 shows the ratio of the 2020, 2040, and 2065 UV flux to the 1980 UV flux. At wavelengths below 308 nm the UV flux has more than doubled by 2065, and

- ¹⁵ flux. At wavelengths below 308 nm the UV flux has more than doubled by 2065, and the flux has increased by a factor of 10⁴ for wavelengths less than 288 nm. At 280 nm in 2065, the *WORLD AVOIDED* UV flux is 10⁹ times stronger than the 1980 flux level. We have calculated the UV index for midlatitude NH conditions in mid-summer (a relatively densely populated zone on the globe with a number of large cities (e.g., Wash-
- ington, DC, USA). Figure 14 shows this UV index for the WORLD AVOIDED, reference future, and fixed chlorine simulations. For mid-summer clear-sky conditions, the UV index is normally very high at local noon; with a typical time to produce a perceptible sun burn (type II skin) of about 10–20 min. The mid-summer period has the highest UV index over the course of the year. The differences between the reference future
- and fixed chlorine simulations show increases on the order of 5–10% by the year 2000. The WORLD AVOIDED simulation has the UV index nearing 15 by 2040 and exceeding a value of 30 by 2065. This extreme value of the UV index would reduce the perceptible sunburn time from approximately 15 min to about 5 min. The largest UV index values are observed in the tropics where ozone columns are small, and the sun is directly

ACPD 8, 20565-20606, 2008 What would have unregulated CFCs done to the ozone layer? P. A. Newman et al. **Title Page** Abstract Introduction Conclusions References Tables **Figures** Close Back Full Screen / Esc **Printer-friendly Version** Interactive Discussion



overhead.

5

These extreme UV increases would also lead to large increases of skin cancer (Slaper et al., 1996). In the same manner as estimating the UV index, we apply a DNA damage action spectrum to our UV spectrum calculations (Setlow, 1974). The DNA damaging UV increases by approximately 650% between 1980 and 2065.

8 Summary

In this study we have simulated the effects on the stratosphere of a steady growth of ozone depleting substances and compared those results to the normal expectations of the evolution of the stratosphere in the 21st century. As was suggested by the original

Molina and Rowland (1974) study on CFCs in the stratosphere, large concentrations of ODSs in the atmosphere would have virtually destroyed the majority of the ozone layer by 2065 (global annual average losses > 60%).

Very large ozone losses are computed at all latitudes in the stratosphere of the *WORLD AVOIDED* simulation. The largest losses in both % and DU are in the polar

¹⁵ latitudes. However, surprisingly large losses also occur in tropical latitudes as a result of heterogeneous chemical processes that occur in the 2052–2058 period. This "polar chemistry" in the tropics begin to appear in the lower stratosphere as a consequence of cooling resulting from increased vertical lifting.

Most of the ozone depletion occurs in the lower stratosphere (12–24 km) and the upper stratosphere (≈ 42 km). Losses inside the polar vortex in both hemispheres exceed 90% by 2065. At 20 km, annual average tropical levels are reduced by 80%. Ozone losses in the upper stratosphere exceed 60%. Middle stratosphere ozone losses are smaller, but still greater than 40%.

As in current observations, the largest losses occur in the spring polar regions. Over Antarctica, the ozone hole develops quickly in the 1980–2000 period, with somewhat slower trends after 2000 as a result of the complete ozone destruction in the lower stratosphere. October column values drop from 400 DU (somewhat higher than his-

ACPD

8, 20565–20606, 2008

What would have unregulated CFCs done to the ozone layer?





toric observations) to values of about 60 DU by 2065 (85% loss). By 2040, the SH stratosphere ozone values are so low that interannual variability of the dynamics and transport has virtually no impact on total ozone, such that the total ozone internannual variability is near zero. In the Arctic, ozone declines are more linear with April total ozone dropping from values near 500 DU to about 100 DU in 2065 (an 80% decline). The annual ozone mixing ratios in the Antarctica and Arctic lower stratosphere (15–20 km) are nearly zero.

5

The severe ozone depletions lead to interesting dynamical changes. The SH westerly circulation persists virtually year round by 2035, although temperatures still exceed 195 K in the late spring. This westerly circulation allows the vertical propagation of planetary scale waves (westerly winds are necessary for the vertical propagation of large scale Rossby waves) (Charney and Drazin, 1961). The deposition of easterly momentum from these waves then drives a poleward and downward circulation. In the NH stratosphere, the mid-winter dynamical changes are surprisingly small. The stratoopharia palar night ist remains with allow the same By 2055, the vertical size large in the

spheric polar night jet remains virtually the same. By 2055, the vertical circulation in the tropics (50 hPa, 10° S–10° N) has increased by about 65%. This increased upwelling cools the lower stratosphere leading to the onset of heterogeneous chemistry and the collapse of ozone in the lower stratosphere in the 2052–2058 period.

Our *WORLD AVOIDED* simulation is limited in a few respects. First, the simulation was performed with specified SSTs that were simulated by the NCAR CCM3 using the IPCC A1b scenario. Hence, our tropospheric temperatures do not correctly respond to the increased CFC radiative forcing or stratospheric changes. Second, the model does not include tropospheric chemistry (i.e., the tropospheric ozone values are relaxed to the Logan (1999) climatology) and thus tropospheric ozone changes are not

discussed in this study. Because tropospheric ozone is not explicitly modeled, the radiative forcing on the troposphere of tropospheric ozone is not correct. Since the tropospheric changes are not correctly simulated, the complete tropospheric effect on the stratosphere is not correctly simulated. Third, since the tropospheric chemistry is not modeled, the simulated surface UV changes are limited to those only caused by the

ACPD

8, 20565–20606, 2008

What would have unregulated CFCs done to the ozone layer?





stratosphere. Fourth, the GEOSCCM is forced by scenario estimates of surface mixing ratios of both greenhouse gases and CFCs. The increased stratospheric circulation will shorten the lifetimes of CFCs. The increased UV that penetrates to the troposphere might increase OH, increasing the oxidizing capacity of the troposphere and thereby shortening the lifetimes of gases such as CH_4 and HCFCs. Hence, feedback of the UV and circulation would affect the evolution of both climate and ozone depleting gases.

Acknowledgements. This work was supported under the NASA Atmospheric Chemistry Modeling and Analysis Program and the Modeling, Analysis, and Prediction Program. We are also grateful for the helpful comments provided by David Fahey.

10 **References**

5

25

Anderson, J., Russell III, J. M., Solomon, S., and Deaver, L. E.: Halogen Occultation Experiment confirmation of stratospheric chlorine decreases in accordance with the Montreal Protocol, J. Geophys. Res., 105, 4483–4490, doi:10.1029/1999JD901075, 2000. 20567
Bais, A. F., Madronich, S., Crawford, J., Hall, S. R., Mayer, B., van Weele, M., Lenoble, J.,

- ¹⁵ Calvert, J. G., Cantrell, C. A., Shetter, R. E., Hofzumahaus, A., Koepke, P., Monks, P. S., Frost, G., McKenzie, R., Krotkov, N., Kylling, A., Swartz, W. H., Lloyd, S., Pfister, G., Martin, T. J., Roeth, E.-P., Griffioen, E., Ruggaber, A., Krol, M., Kraus, A., Edwards, G. D., Mueller, M., Lefer, B. L., Johnston, P., Schwander, H., Flittner, D., Gardiner, B. G., Barrick, J., and Schmitt, R.: International photolysis frequency measurement and model intercompari-
- son (IPMMI): Spectral actinic solar flux measurements and modeling, J. Geophys. Res., 108, 8543, doi:10.1029/2002JD002891, 2003. 20583
 - Bass, A. M. and Paur, R. J.: The ultraviolet cross-sections of ozone: I. The measurements, Atmospheric Ozone: Proceedings of the Quadrennial Ozone Symposium held in Halkidiki, Greece, 3–7 September 1984, edited by: Zerefos, C. S. and Ghazi, A., Reidel, Dordrecht, Holland. 606–610, 1985, 20583
 - Bates, D. R.: Rayleigh scattering by air, Planet. Space Sci., 32, 785–790, doi:10.1016/0032-0633(84)90102-8, 1984. 20583
 - Bosilovich, M. G., Schubert, S. D., and Walker, G. K.: Global changes of the water cycle intensity, J. Climate, 18, 1591–1608, doi:10.1175/JCLI3357.1, 2005. 20570

8, 20565–20606, 2008

What would have unregulated CFCs done to the ozone layer?





18739-18754. 1999. 20570 30

10

Evring V., Butchart, N., Waugh, D. W., Akiyoshi, H., Austin, J., Bekki, S., Bodeker, G. E., Boville, B. A., Brühl, C., Chipperfield, M. P., Cordero, E., Dameris, M., Deushi, D., Fioletev, V. E., Frith, S. M., Garcia, R. R., Gettelman, A., Giorgetta, M. A., Grewe, V., Jourdain, L., Kinnison,

Douglass, A. R. and Kawa, S. R.: Contrast between 1992 and 1997 high-latitude spring Halo-

gen Occultation Experiment observations of lower stratospheric HCI, J. Geophys. Res., 104,

- 15
- D. A., Isaksen, I. S. A., Kuijpers, L. J. M., McCulloch, A., and Wallington, T. J.: Halocarbon scenarios, ozone depletion potentials, and global warming potentials, Chapter 8, Scientific assessment of ozone depletion: 2006, Global Ozone Research and Monitoring Project, Report No. 50, Geneva, 2007. 20574
 - Dave, J. V.: Meaning of successive iteration of the auxiliary equation in the theory of radiative
 - transfer, Astrophys. J., 140, 1292–1303, 1964. 20583
 - Dave, J. V.: Multiple scattering in a non-homogeneous, Rayleigh atmosphere, J. Atmos. Sci., 20 22, 273-279, doi:10.1175/1520-0469(1965)022<0273:MSIANH>2.0.CO;2, 1965. 20583 Dave, J. V. and Furukawa, P. M.: Scattered radiation in the ozone absorption bands at selected levels of a terrestrial Rayleigh atmosphere, Meteorological Monographs, 7, 353 pp., American Meteorological Society, Boston, MA., 1966. 20583

²⁵ Douglass, A. R., Schoeberl, M. R., Stolarski, R. S., Waters, J. W., Russell, III, J. M., Roche, A. E., and Massie, S. T: Interhemispheric differences in springtime production of HCI and

CIONO₂ in the polar vortices, J. Geophys. Res., 100, 13967–13978, 1995. 20579

- Considine, D. B., Douglass, A. R., Connell, P. S., Kinnison, D. E., and Rotman, D. A.: A polar stratospheric cloud parameterization for the global modeling initiative three-dimensional model and its response to stratospheric aircraft, J. Geophys. Res., 105, 3955-3974, doi:10.1029/1999JD900932.2000.20570 Daniel, J. S., Velders, G. J. M. (lead authors). Douglass, A. R., Forster, P. M. D., Hauglustaine.
- 5 Collins, W. D., Bitz, C. M., Blackmon, M. L., Bonan, G. B., Bretherton, C. S., Carton, J. A., Chang, P., Doney, S. C., Hack, J. J., Henderson, T. B., Kiehl, J. T., Large, W. G., McKenna, D. S., Santer, B. D., and Smith, R. D.: The Community Climate System Model Version 3 (CCSM3), J. Climate, 19, 2122-2143, doi:10.11175/JCLI3761.1, 2006. 20572

Charney, J. G. and Drazin, P. G.: Propagation of planetary-scale disturbances from the lower into the upper atmosphere, J. Geophys. Res., 66, 83-109, 1961. 20585

Bowman, K. P. and Krueger, A. J.: A global climatology of total ozone from the Nimbus 7 Total Ozone Mapping Spectrometer, J. Geophys. Res., 90, 7967–7976, 1985. 20577

8, 20565-20606, 2008

ACPD

What would have unregulated CFCs done to the ozone layer?





D. E., Mancini, E., Manzini, E., Marchand, M., Marsh, D. R., Nagashima, T., Newman, P. A, Nielsen, J., E., Pawson, S., Pitari, G., Plummer, D. A., Rozanov, E., Schraner, M., Shepherd, T. G., Shibata, K., Stolarski, R. S., Struthers, H., Tian, W., and Yoshiki, M.: Assessment of temperature, trace species, and ozone in chemistry-climate model simulations of the recent

- past, J. Geophys. Res., 111, D22308, doi:10.1029/2006JD007327, 2006. 20571 Eyring V., Waugh, D. W., Bodeker, G. E., Cordero, E., Akiyoshi, H., Austin, J., Beagley, S. R., Boville, B. A., Braesicke, P., Brühl, C., Butchart, N., Chipperfield, M. P., Dameris, M., Deckert, R., Deushi, D., Frith, S. M., Garcia, R. R., Gettelman, A., Giorgetta, M. A., Kinnison, Mancini, E., Manzini, E., Marsh, D. R., Matthes, S., Nagashima, T., Newman, P. A., Nielsen,
- J. E., Pawson, S., Pitari, G., Plummer, D. A., Rozanov, E., Schraner, M., Scinocca, J. F., Semeniuk, K., Shepherd, T. G., Shibata, K., Steil, B., Stolarski, R. S., Tian, W., and Yoshiki, M.: Multimodel projections of stratospheric ozone in the 21st century, J. Geophys. Res., 112, D16303, doi:10.1029/2006JD008332, 2007. 20571, 20572

Froidevaux, L., Livesey, N. J., Read, W. G., Salawitch, R. J., Waters, J. W., Drouin, B., MacKen-

zie, I. A., Pumphrey, H. C., Bernath, P., Boone, C., Nassar, R., Montzka, S., Elkins, J.,
 Cunnold, D., and Waugh, D.: Temporal decrease in upper atmospheric chlorine, Geophys.
 Res. Lett., 33, L23812, doi:10.1029/2006GL027600, 2006. 20567, 20574

Garcia, R. R. and Boville, B. A.: "Downward Control" of the mean meridional circulation and temperature distribution of the polar winter stratosphere, J. Atmos. Sci., 51, 2238–2245, 1994. 20570

Houghton, J. T., Jenkins, G. J., and Ephraums, J. J. (Eds.): Climate Change: The IPCC Scientific Assessment, Cambridge Univ. Press, Cambridge, UK, 365 pp., 1990. 20572

20

25

Jackman, C. H., Fleming, E. L., Chandra, S., Considine, D. B., and Rosenfield, J. E.: Past, present, and future modeled ozone trends with comparisons to observed trends, J. Geophys. Res., 101, 28753–28767, 1996. 20568

- Johns, T. C., Durman, C. F., Banks, H. T., Roberts, M. J., McLaren, A. J., Ridley, J. K., Senior, C. A., Williams, K. D., Jones, A., Rickard, G. J., Cusack, S., Ingram, W. J., Crucifix, M., Sexton, D. M. H., Joshi, M. M., Dong, B.-W., Spencer, H., Hill, R. S. R., Gregory, J. M., Keen, A. B., Pardaens, A. K., Lowe, J. A., Bodas-Salcedo, A., Stark, S., and Searl, Y.: The new
- ³⁰ Hadley Centre climate model (HadGEM1): Evaluation of coupled simulations, J. Climate, 19, 1327–1353, doi:10.1175/JCLI3712.1, 2006. 20592
 - Kawa, S. R., Newman, P. A., Lait, L. R., Schoeberl, M. R., Stimpfle, R. M., Kohn, D. W., Webster, C. R., May, R. D., Baumgardner, D., Dye, J. E., Wilson, J. C., Chan, K. R., and Loewenstein,

ACPD

8, 20565–20606, 2008

What would have unregulated CFCs done to the ozone layer?



M.: Activation of chlorine in sulfate aerosol as inferred from aircraft observations, J. Geophys. Res., 102, 3921–3933, 1997. 20580

- Kiehl, J. T., Hack, J. J., Bonan, G. B., Boville, B. A., Williamson, D. L., and Rasch, P. J.: The National Center for Atmospheric Research Community Climate Model: CCM3, J. Climate,
- 11, 1131–1149, doi:10.1175/1520-0442(1998)011<1131:TNCFAR>2.0.CO;2, 1998. 20570 5 "vertically Lagrangian" finite-volume Lin. S.-J.: Α dynamical core for alobal models. Mon. Weather Rev., 132. 2293-2307. doi:10.1175/1520-0493(2004)132<2293:AVLFDC>2.0.CO;2, 2004. 20570
 - Logan, J. A.: An analysis of ozonesonde data for the troposphere: Recommendations for
- testing 3-D models and development of a gridded climatology for tropospheric ozone, J. Geophys. Res., 104, 16115–16150, 1999. 20570, 20585
 - Molina, M. J. and Rowland, F. S.: Stratospheric sink for chlorofluoromethanes: Chlorine atom catalyzed destruction of ozone, Nature, 249, 810–812, doi:10.1038/249810a0, 1974. 20566, 20567, 20572, 20584
- ¹⁵ Molina, L. T. and Molina, M. J.: Production of chlorine oxide (Cl₂O₂) from the self-reaction of the chlorine oxide (ClO) radical, J. Phys. Chem., 91, 433–436, doi:10.1021/j100286a035, 1987. 20579
 - Montzka, S. A., Butler, J. H., Myers, R. C., Thompson, T. M., Swanson, T. H., Clarke, A. D., Lock, L. T., and Elkins, J. W.: Decline in the tropospheric abundance of halogen from
- halocarbons: Implications for stratospheric ozone depletion, Science, 272, 1318–1322, doi:10.1126/science.272.5266.1318, 1996. 20567
 - Montzka, S. A., Fraser, P. J., (lead authors), Butler, J. H., Connell, P. S., Cunnold, D. M., Daniel, J. S., Derwent, R. G., Lal, S., McCulloch, A., Oram, D. E., Reeves, C. E., Sanhueza, E., Steele, L. P., Velders, G. J., M., Weiss, R. F., Zander, R. J.: Controlled substances and other
- source gases, Chapter 1, Scientific assessment of ozone depletion: 2002, Global Ozone Research and Monitoring Project, Report No. 47, Geneva, 2003. 20571, 20574, 20592, 20593
 - Morgenstern, O., Braesicke, P., Hurwitz, M. M., O'Connor, F. M., Bushell, A. C., Johnson, C. E., and Pyle, J. A.: The world avoided by the Montreal Protocol, Geophys. Res. Lett., 35,
- L16811, doi:10.1029/2008GL034590, 2008. 20568, 20572, 20578
 Nakicenovic, N. and Swart, S. (Eds.): Special Report on Emissions Scenarios, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, UK, 599 pp., 2000. 20571

Newman, P. A., Daniel, J. S., Waugh, D. W., and Nash, E. R.: A new formulation of equivalent

8, 20565–20606, 2008

What would have unregulated CFCs done to the ozone layer?

Title Page		
Abstract	Introduction	
Conclusions	References	
Tables	Figures	
	►I	
•	•	
Back	Close	
Full Scre	een / Esc	
Printer-friendly Version		
Interactive	Discussion	

effective stratospheric chlorine (EESC), Atmos. Chem. Phys., 7, 4537–4552, 2007, http://www.atmos-chem-phys.net/7/4537/2007/. 20574

- Oman, L., Waugh, D. W., Pawson, S., Stolarski, R. S., and Newman, P. A.: On the influence of anthropogenic forcings on changes in the stratospheric mean age, J. Geophys. Res., in press, 2008. 20571, 20578, 20581
- ⁵ press, 2008. 20571, 20578, 20581 Paur, R. J. and Bass, A. M.: The ultraviolet cross-sections of ozone: II. Results and temperature dependence, in Atmospheric Ozone, Proceedings of the Quadrennial Ozone Symposium held in Halkidiki, Greece, 3–7 September 1984, edited by: Zerefos, C. S. and Ghazi, A., Reidel, Dordrecht, Holland, 606–610, 1985. 20583
- Pawson S., Stolarski, R. S., Douglass, A. R., Newman, P. A., Nielsen, J. E., Frith, S. M., and Gupta, M. L.: Goddard Earth Observing System chemistry-climate model simulations of stratospheric ozone-temperature coupling between 1950 and 2005, J. Geophys. Res., 113, D12103, doi:10.1029/2007JD009511, 2008. 20570, 20571, 20575

Prather, M., Midgley, P., Rowland, F. S., and Stolarski, R.: The ozone layer: The road not taken,

Nature, 381, 551–554, doi:10.1038/381551a0, 1996. 20567, 20568, 20569, 20572, 20573
 Ramanathan, V., Cicerone, R. J., Singh, H. B., and Kiehl, J. T.: Trace gas trends and their potential role in climate change, J. Geophys. Res., 90, 5547–5566, 1985. 20573

Rayner, N. A., Parker, D. E., Horton, E. B., Folland, C. K. Alexander, L. V., Rowell, D. P., Kent, E. C., and Kaplan, A.: Global analyses of sea surface temperature, sea ice, and

- night marine air temperature since the late nineteenth century, J. Geophys. Res., 108, 4407, doi:10.1029/2002JD002670, 2003. 20571, 20592
 - Rosenfield, J. E., Douglass, A. R., and Considine, D. B.: The impact of increasing carbon dioxide on ozone recovery, J. Geophys. Res., 107, 4049, doi:10.1029/2001JD000824, 2002. 20573, 20575
- ²⁵ Sander, S. P., Finlayson-Pitts, B. J., Friedl, R. R., Golden, D. M. Huie, R. E., Kolb, C. E., Kurylo, M. J., Molina, M. J., Moortgat, G. K., Orkin, V. L., and Ravishankara, A. R.: Chemical kinetics and photochemical data for use in atmospheric studies, JPL Pub. 02-25, Jet Propulsion Laboratory, Pasadena, CA, 2002. 20570

Sarma, K. M. and Bankobeza, G. M. (Eds.): The Montreal protocol on substances that deplete

- the ozone layer, United Nations Environment Programme, Nairobi, Kenya, 2000. 20567
 - Setlow, R. B.: The wavelengths in sunlight effective in producing skin cancer: A theoretical analysis, P. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA, 71, 3363–3366, 1974. 20584

Slaper, H., Velders, G. J. M., Daniel, J. S., de Gruijl, F. R., and van der Leun, J. C.: Estimates of

ACPD

8, 20565–20606, 2008

What would have unregulated CFCs done to the ozone layer?

Title Page		
Abstract	Introduction	
Conclusions	References	
Tables	Figures	
14	►I	
Back	Close	
Eull Sore		
Printer-friendly Version		
Interactive Discussion		



ozone depletion and skin cancer incidence to examine the Vienna Convention achievements, Nature, 384, 256–258, doi:10.1038/384256a0, 1996. 20584

- Solomon S., Portmann, R. W., Sasaki, T., Hofmann, D. J., and Thompson, D. W. J.: Four decades of ozonesonde measurements over Antarctica, J. Geophys. Res., 110, D21311,
- ⁵ doi:10.1029/2005JD005917, 2005. 20576
- Stolarski, R. S., Douglass, A. R., Gupta, M., Newman, P. A., Pawson, S., Schoeberl, M. R., and Nielsen, J. E.: An ozone increase in the Antarctic summer stratosphere: A dynamical response to the ozone hole, Geophys. Res. Lett., 33, L21805, doi:10.1029/2006GL026820, 2006. 20571, 20582
- Tripathi, O. P., Godin-Beekmann, S., Lefèvre, F., Pazmiño, A., Hauchecorne, A., Chipperfield, M., Feng, W., Millard, G., Rex, M., Streibel, M., and von der Gathen, P.: Comparison of polar ozone loss rates simulated by one-dimensional and three-dimensional models with Match observations in recent Antarctic and Arctic winters, J. Geophys. Res., 112, D12307, doi:10.1029/2006JD008370, 2007. 20577
- ¹⁵ Velders G. J. M., Andersen, S. O., Daniel, J. S., Fahey, D. W., and McFarland, M.: The importance of the Montreal Protocol in protecting climate, P. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA, 104, 4814–4819, doi:10.1073/pnas.0610328104, 2007. 20568, 20572, 20573, 20574
 - World Meteorological Organization (WMO): Atmospheric Ozone 1985, Global Ozone Research and Monitoring Project, Report No. 16, Geneva, Switzerland, 1985. 20567

ACPD

8, 20565-20606, 2008

What would have unregulated CFCs done to the ozone layer?

Title Page		
Abstract	Introduction	
Conclusions	References	
Tables	Figures	
[∢	►I	
•	•	
Back	Close	
Full Screen / Esc		
Printer-frier	ndly Version	
Interactive	Discussion	

ACPD

8, 20565–20606, 2008

What would have unregulated CFCs done to the ozone layer?

P. A. Newman et al.

Title Page Abstract Introduction Conclusions References Tables Figures .∎. ► ◄ Back Close Full Screen / Esc Printer-friendly Version Interactive Discussion

Table 1. GEOSCCM simulations description

Simulation	Year range	ODS scenario	Fixed SSTs
Reference past	1950–2004	Ab ^a	Observations: HadISST1 ^b
Reference future	1996–2099 2000–2099	Ab ^a	A: HadGEM1 ^c B: NCAR CCSM3 SRESA1B
Fixed chlorine	1960–2100	Ab ^a , fixed to 1960	1960–2000: Observations: HadISST1 ^b 2001–2100: NCAR CCSM3 PCMDI
WORLD AVOIDED	1974–2065	+3% per year	1974–2049: NCAR CCSM2 SRESA1B 2050–2065: NCAR CCSM3 SRESA1B

^a Montzka et al. (2003)

^b Rayner et al. (2003)

^c Johns et al. (2006)



Fig. 1. EESC versus year for the world avoided as globally-averaged from the model's inorganic chlorine and bromine at 4.5 hPa (thick black line). The magenta lines show scenarios from the Montreal Protocol, the London Amendments, and the Copenhagen Amendments. The red line indicates the estimate of ODS evolution in the Ab scenario and the blue line indicates observationally based EESC (Montzka et al., 2003). The green line shows the fixed level of 1960 chlorine (1.2 ppbv). EECI for the *WORLD AVOIDED* is shown as the grey line. The time lag between the model EESC and the EECI is accounted for by the transit time from the Earth's surface to the upper stratosphere (\approx 4–6 years).





Fig. 2. Annually-averaged global ozone for the *WORLD AVOIDED* (solid black), *reference future* (red), *fixed chlorine* (green), and *reference past* (blue) simulations. The curves are smoothed with a Gaussian filter with a half-amplitude response of 20 years, except for the *WORLD AVOIDED*, which is unsmoothed. The dashed line shows the 2-D coupled model simulation of the "world avoided". The grey-shaded inset shows the *WORLD AVOIDED* total ozone plotted against global annually-averaged EESC at 4.5 hPa from Fig. 1.











Printer-friendly Version

Interactive Discussion





Fig. 4. Total ozone for the (a) Arctic in April and (b) Antarctic in October for the WORLD AVOIDED (black), reference future (red), fixed chlorine (green), and reference past (blue) simulations. The curves are smoothed with a Gaussian filter with a half-amplitude response of 20 years, except for the WORLD AVOIDED, which is unsmoothed. The inset false-color images show (a) April Arctic and (b) October Antarctic averages for 1980, 2020, and 2060 with 20-DU color increments (see inset scale).



ACPD



20596





ACPD

Fig. 5. Total column ozone from the *WORLD AVOIDED* simulation over **(a)** the Arctic $(70^{\circ}-90^{\circ}$ N average) and **(b)** the Antarctic $(70^{\circ}-90^{\circ}$ S average) versus day of the year for a selected set of years from 1980 to 2065. The years are alternated black and grey for illustrative purposes. The Arctic values are shifted by 6 months (note the break between 31 December and 1 January) for comparison to the Antarctic.



Fig. 6. Percentage total ozone difference between the *WORLD AVOIDED* and the *reference future* simulations for a decadal average (2055 to 2065). The dashed contours are in 20% increments while colors are in 10% increments. The magenta line shows the *WORLD AVOIDED* tropopause. The white lines show the zonal-mean zonal winds (easterlies are dashed) for the *WORLD AVOIDED* simulation.



Printer-friendly Version Interactive Discussion

Full Screen / Esc

Back

►

Close





Fig. 7. Annually-averaged ozone (50 hPa, 10° S-10° N) for the WORLD AVOIDED (black), reference future (red), fixed chlorine (green), and reference past (blue) simulations. The curves are smoothed with a Gaussian filter with a half-amplitude response of 20 years, except for the WORLD AVOIDED, which is unsmoothed. The inset figure shows the February WORLD AVOIDED ozone versus temperature (also at 50 hPa, 10° S-10° N). The years in 5-year increments are highlighted in magenta.

What would have unregulated CFCs done to the ozone layer? P. A. Newman et al. Title Page Introduction References **Figures** ► Back Close Full Screen / Esc **Printer-friendly Version** Interactive Discussion

ACPD





Fig. 8. Arctic lower stratosphere sand chart of Cl_y versus year from the *WORLD AVOIDED* simulation for 1 March, 52 hPa, and 80°–90° N. The individual contributions of various species to Cl_y are shown in color (see color bar). Also shown is ozone averaged over the same region (black line).

ACPD 8, 20565-20606, 2008 What would have unregulated CFCs done to the ozone layer? P. A. Newman et al. **Title Page** Abstract Introduction Conclusions References **Tables Figures** ÞI ► Close Back Full Screen / Esc **Printer-friendly Version**

Interactive Discussion

February, 52 hPa, 10°S–10°N 8 1.4 1.2 6 1.0 Ozone (ppmv) Cl₂ CIO Cly (ppbv) 5 CI,O, 0.8 BrCl 0010 0.6 носі CIONO, 0.4 HCI 2 0.2 0 0.0 1980 2000 2020 2040 2060

Fig. 9. As in Fig. 8, except for 1 February and 10° S-10° N.

ACPD

8, 20565–20606, 2008

What would have unregulated CFCs done to the ozone layer?





8, 20565–20606, 2008

What would have unregulated CFCs done to the ozone layer? P. A. Newman et al. **Title Page** Abstract Introduction Conclusions References Tables Figures .∎∢ ► Back Close Full Screen / Esc **Printer-friendly Version** Interactive Discussion



Fig. 10. Annually-averaged temperature difference (K) between the *WORLD AVOIDED* and the *reference future* simulations for the 2055–2065 period. Contour increments are 2 K, while color increments are 1 K. The thick black (red) line shows the *WORLD AVOIDED* (*reference future*) tropopause. The white lines show streamlines for the residual circulation differences between the *WORLD AVOIDED* and the *reference future* simulations over the period.



ACPD

8, 20565–20606, 2008

What would have unregulated CFCs done to the ozone layer?

P. A. Newman et al.



Fig. 11. Average January zonal-mean zonal wind differences $(m s^{-1})$ between the *WORLD AVOIDED* and the *reference future* simulations for the 2055–2065 period. Contour increments are 10 m s⁻¹, while color increments are 5 m s⁻¹. The thick black (red) line shows the *WORLD AVOIDED* (*reference future*) tropopause. The white lines show average January zonal-mean wind in the *WORLD AVOIDED* simulation over the period.



Fig. 12. As in Fig. 10 except for mean age-of-air differences in units of years. Contour increments are 0.2 years, while color increments are 0.1 years. The superimposed letters at locations A–D are discussed in the text.

ACPD

8, 20565–20606, 2008

What would have unregulated CFCs done to the ozone layer?





Fig. 13. UV flux (W m⁻² nm⁻¹) is shown for the *WORLD AVOIDED* simulation in the years 1980, 2040, and 2065 (thick black lines). The UV flux is calculated using the July 30°–50° N zonalmean ozone and temperature profiles, and assuming a time of local noon on 2 July. The thick grey line shows the erythemal (sunburn) action spectrum (right hand axis). The thin darker grey line shows UV flux at the top of the atmosphere. The inset figure shows the ratio of the UV flux for the years 2000, 2020, 2040, and 2065 to its value in 1980. The horizontal grey line in this inset indicates a doubling of the flux from 1980 conditions.

ACPD

8, 20565–20606, 2008

What would have unregulated CFCs done to the ozone layer?







Fig. 14. UV index versus year for the WORLD AVOIDED (black), reference future (red), and fixed chlorine (green) simulations. As with Fig. 13, the UV index is calculated using the July 30°-50° N zonal-mean ozone, and assuming a time of local noon on 2 July. The standard UV index "risk" scale is also superimposed on the bottom left. The horizontal grey line shows the 1975–1985 average of the UV index from the *fixed chlorine* simulation.

Introduction References Back Close Full Screen / Esc **Printer-friendly Version** Interactive Discussion

ACPD

