1 Evolution of Asian Aerosols during Transpacific Transport in INTEX-B

- 2 Edward J. Dunlea¹, Peter F. DeCarlo^{1,2,*}, Allison C. Aiken^{1,3}, Joel R. Kimmel¹, Richard
- 3 E. Peltier^{4,**}, Rodney J. Weber⁴, Jason Tomlison⁵, Don R. Collins⁵, Yohei Shinozuka⁶,
- 4 Cameron S. McNaughton⁶, Steven G. Howell⁶, Anthony D. Clarke⁶, Louisa K. Emmons⁷,
- 5 Eric C. Apel⁷, Gabriele G. Pfister⁷, Aaron van Donkelaar⁸, Randall V. Martin^{8,9}, Dylan B.
- 6 Millet¹⁰, Colette L. Heald^{11,***} and Jose L. Jimenez^{1,3}
- 7
- 8 (Supporting Information)
- 9

10 <u>Section S.1 – C-130 Aerosol Instrument Intercomparisons with other aircraft</u>

11	Two intercomparisons of the C-130 and DC-8 were performed, one on $4/17/2006$ and one
12	on $5/15/2006$. The two planes flew side-by-side in a linear flight pattern for a total
13	between the two flights of more than 2 hours of flight time covering the altitude range
14	between 1,000 and 20,000 ft. The DC-8 had two measurements of aerosol composition
15	on board: a mist chamber (Cofer et al., 1985) with a size cutoff ~ 1 μ m and bulk aerosol
16	filters with a size cutoff ~ 4.5 μ m. Time series plots of the C-130 aerosol measurements
17	during these intercomparison periods reveal relatively good agreement amongst all
18	instruments for the inorganic aerosol mass measurements. Again, all data have been
19	converted to STP as above. Supplemental Table S1 lists the average sulfate
20	concentrations by all instruments for each of the three altitudes. Supplemental Figure S1
21	shows an example comparison for sulfate on $5/15/2006$, which shows the typical level of
22	agreement for these intercomparisons under these low ambient concentration conditions.
23	Note a plume of sulfate near 7:05 PM which is apparent in the nephelometer data but is
24	only captured by the AMS due to its higher time resolution. The subsequent plume in the
25	nephelometer data is not reflected in any of the other instruments; there was no indication
26	of dust during this time. We note that NASA frequently performs blind measurement
27	intercomparisons throughout field experiments to assess data quality. During these
28	measurement periods investigators submit data in the field to an independent reviewer
29	without investigator access to other data. During this study the PILS and DC-8
30	instruments submitted data to these intercomparisons. The AMS was not able to
31	participate in these field intercomparisons as it was a new instrument, and its calibration

- 32 and data analysis software were still under development during and after the field
- 33 campaign. For the intercomparisons reported here the analysis was performed after all
- 34 data had been submitted.
- 35

- 35 Table S1 Average sulfate concentrations measured during C-130 and DC-8
- 36 intercomparison flight on 5/12/2006 divided up for the three level flight legs of the
- 37 intercomparison time period. Uncertainties for are the combination of 1 sigma standard

38 deviation of the average during the time period and instrument uncertaint							
38 deviation of the average during the time period and instrument uncertaint	20	1	- f (1	1	A ¹	1	
-200 = -0.020 for 0.011 0.011 0.010 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000	1X	$-\alpha eviation c$	of the average	during the	time period	i and instrume	nt uncertainty
	50	uc viation (or the average	uuring uic	time period	a and moutine.	in uncontainty.

Measurement	Alt 1 (18 kft)	Alt 2 (5.5 kft)	Alt 3 (1 kft)
AMS SO4	0.28 ± 0.08	0.49 ± 0.14	0.68 ± 0.22
Filter SO4	0.12 ± 0.09	0.35 ± 0.10	1.11 ± 0.22
MC Fine SO4	0.17 ± 0.08	0.26 ± 0.08	0.86 ± 0.23
PILS SO4	0.30 ± 0.10	0.35 ± 0.12	1.02 ± 0.31

39





Figure S1 – Example time series plot from one of the two intercomparison flights on
5/15/2006. Measurements of sulfate from various instruments on board the C-130 and
DC-8 aircrafts are shown (see text for description of instruments) along with the altitude
of the C-130; the DC-8 altitude closely matched that of the C-130. The dashed vertical
lines denote the start and end times of the intercomparison. The time is in UTC. In
general, the agreement of the various sulfate measurements is relatively good.



49

50 Figure S2 – Time series of additional measured species during the 5/1/2006 research

51 flight, which are not displayed in Figure 9. Again, two intercepts of the Younger Asian

52 Layer (YAL), several intercepts of the Older Asian Layer (OAL) and the one Marine

53 Layer (ML) that are discussed in the text are labeled. LS is an abbreviation for

54 submicron light scattering from the nephelometer instrument; CN is condensation nuclei

55 and UCN is ultrafine condensation nuclei; time is in UTC.





57 Figure S3 – Time series of biomass burning markers and organic aerosol during research 58 flight 07 (5/3/2006), where the time period defined as the Central Valley is designated by 59 the dashed green box. Both gas phase HCN and aerosol phase organic aerosol signal at m/z 60 are indicative of biomass burning. Excess m/z 60 is defined as (m/z 60 – 0.3% * 60 61 total organics) in order to isolate the portion due to biomass burning (DeCarlo et al., 62 2007). The influence of biomass burning during the Central Valley time period (Section 63 3.2) is apparent in only two very short duration plumes and is minimal overall for the 64 Central Valley air mass. 65





Figure S4 – Comparison of measured organic aerosol mass from the AMS on board the C-130 with the measured O_x , defined as the sum of $O_3 + NO_2$. Unclassified points are in gray. Dashed pink lines represent ratios of OA/O_x from (Herndon et al., 2008) of (104-180) µg sm⁻³ ppmv⁻¹ and the dashed cyan line represents the ratio from (Zhang et al., 2005) 38 µg m⁻³ ppmv⁻¹ (adjusted by 10% to account for STP).



73 Legend: Central Valley Seattle Free Troposphere Asian Pollution

Figure S5 – Scatter plots of modeled aerosol sulfate levels converted to equivalent gas

phase ppbv versus the total sulfur from the modeled aerosol sulfate plus the gas phase

76 SO₂ from GEOS-Chem (left panel) and MOZART (right panel). The dashed lines

77 indicate the 1:1 line where all sulfur is aerosol sulfate. Unclassified points are in gray.

78



83 **References**

- 84 Cofer, W. R., Collins, V. G. and Talbot, R. W.: Improved aqueous scrubber for collection 85 of soluble atmospheric trace gases, Environ. Sci. Technol., 19, 557-560, 1985. DeCarlo, P. F., Dunlea, E. J., Kimmel, J. R., Aiken, A. C., Sueper, D., Crounse, J., 86 87 Wennberg, P. O., Emmons, L., Shinozuka, Y., Clarke, A., Zhou, J., Tomlinson, J., 88 Collins, D., Knapp, D., Weinheimer, A., Campos, T. and Jimenez, J. L.: Fast 89 Airborne Aerosol Size and Chemistry Measurements with the High Resolution 90 Aerosol Mass Spectrometer During the MILAGRO Campaign, Atmos. Chem. 91 Phys. Discuss., 7, 18269-18317, 2007. 92 Herndon, S. C., Onasch, T. B., Wood, E. C., Kroll, J. H., Canagaratna, M. R., Jayne, J. 93 T., Zavala, M. A., Knighton, W. B., Mazzoleni, C., Dubey, M. K., Ulbrich, I. M., 94 Jimenez, J. L., Seila, R., Gouw, J. A. d., Foy, B. d., Fast, J., Molina, L. T., Kolb, 95 C. E. and Worsnop, D. R.: The Correlation of Secondary Organic Aerosol with 96 Odd Oxygen in a Megacity Outflow, Geophys. Res. Lett., 97 doi:10.1029/2008GL034058, 2008. 98 Zhang, Q., Canagaratna, M. R., Jayne, J. T., Worsnop, D. R. and Jimenez, J. L.: Time-99 and size-resolved chemical composition of submicron particles in Pittsburgh: 100 Implications for aerosol sources and processes, Journal of Geophysical Research-101 Atmospheres, 110, D7, 19, D07S09 2005.
- 102
- 103