

## ***Interactive comment on “N<sub>2</sub>O release from agro-biofuel production negates global warming reduction by replacing fossil fuels” by P. J. Crutzen et al.***

**P. J. Crutzen et al.**

Received and published: 21 September 2007

The comment by Rauh and Berenz (2007) provides an opportunity to again emphasize some of the key issues of the manuscript by Crutzen et al. (2007). This will make it possible to clarify the misunderstandings raised and explain apparent discrepancies.

\*) The transfer losses between feedstock and biofuels (cv) are described in terms of mass of carbon, not in terms of energy. Using data in the reference quoted by Rauh and Berentz (Schindler and Weindorf, 2006), a yield of 8t wheat (at 16% water content) per ha, and 2.3t ethanol produced from that, together with our calculations as outlined in Appendix A will provide a cv of 0.4, very close to the 0.37 which we use.

\*) In our paper the factor we called "e", with a value of 0.4, is exactly the same as the

Full Screen / Esc

Printer-friendly Version

Interactive Discussion

Discussion Paper

"RE<sub>N</sub>" of Balasubramanian et al. (2004). These authors, in an authoritative review, have values of RE<sub>N</sub> for different arable crops under *current farming practice*, ranging from <20% to 50% (<0.2 to 0.5, in our terms), with the value for irrigated maize given as 37% (or 0.37). Our use of 0.4 is thus well towards the upper end of the range, deliberately to make our estimates of N<sub>2</sub>O more conservative. In the same SCOPE volume, Krupnik et al (2004) estimate RE<sub>N</sub> to be 0.35-0.38 for all crops and regions. The value of RE<sub>N</sub> ("e") does not include the N in the crop derived from the mineralisation of soil organic matter, which typically is of the order of half the N actually taken up from the soil - hence the difference between the factor of 0.7 cited by Rauh and Berenz and our value. A large proportion of the added fertiliser N goes into the soil organic matter, thus replacing that which has been mineralised and which has contributed to the crop uptake. This process maintains the OM level, without which one would be "mining" the soil of nutrient and steadily reducing the soil fertility - which is precisely what happened when virgin lands were first ploughed and cropped in, e.g., the American Prairies.

\*) We do not argue at all that the measurements of emissions directly from agricultural fields are incorrect. We do, however, argue that those emissions are only part (one third to one fifth) of the total N<sub>2</sub>O emitted to the atmosphere annually due to new input of reactive nitrogen into global terrestrial systems. As we state in the manuscript, much of the N input into biofuel crop production, as well as other crops, is released to the global atmosphere, and to aquatic and terrestrial systems distinct from agricultural fields, where immobilization/mineralization/nitrification/denitrification occurs to produce N<sub>2</sub>O. This N<sub>2</sub>O is virtually unquantifiable, except through a global mass balance approach, such as that we present in this paper.

As only part of the N<sub>2</sub>O emissions over the lifetime of Nr is accounted for in the 1% N<sub>2</sub>O yield on the plot scale, it is regrettable that this number is still being referred to in life cycle assessments.

#### References:

Balasubramanian, V., Alves, B., Aulakh, M., et al.: Crop, environmental, and manage-

ment factors affecting nitrogen use efficiency. In: Agriculture and the Nitrogen Cycle (eds. Mosier, A.R., Syers, K. and Freney, J.R.), SCOPE 65, Island Press, Washington D.C., 2004, pp. 19-33.

Crutzen, P.J., Mosier, A.R., Smith, K.A., Winiwarter, W.: N<sub>2</sub>O release from agro-biofuel production negates global warming reduction by replacing fossil fuels. Atmos. Chem. Phys. Discuss., 7, 11191-11205, 2007.

Krupnik, T.J., Six, J., Ladha, J.K., Paine, M.J. and van Kessel, C.: An assessment of fertilizer nitrogen recovery efficiency by grain crops. In: Agriculture and the Nitrogen Cycle (eds. Mosier, A.R., Syers, K. and Freney, J.R.), SCOPE 65, Island Press, Washington D.C., 2004, pp. 193-207.

Rauh, S. and Berenz, S.: Comment on "N<sub>2</sub>O release... ", Atmos. Chem. Phys. Discuss., 7, S4616-S4619, 2007.

Schindler, J., Weindorf, W.: Einordnung und Vergleich biogener Kraftstoffe - "Well-to-Wheel" - Betrachtungen. Technikfolgenabschätzung Theorie und Praxis 1 S. 50-60, 2006.

---

Interactive comment on Atmos. Chem. Phys. Discuss., 7, 11191, 2007.

Full Screen / Esc

Printer-friendly Version

Interactive Discussion

Discussion Paper