

Interactive comment on “Effect of NO_x level on secondary organic aerosol (SOA) formation from the photooxidation of terpenes” by N. L. Ng et al.

Anonymous Referee #2

Received and published: 6 September 2007

General Comments:

This manuscript presents a series of experiments to investigate secondary organic aerosol (SOA) formation from photooxidation of three selected terpenes (α -pinene, longifolene and aromadendrene) under different NO_x levels. Recently, results from several research groups show that increasing NO_x levels can cause the decrease of SOA production from different compounds, such as isoprene, α -pinene (ozonolysis), benzene and m-xylene. This paper shows that, similar to previous studies, SOA formation from the photooxidation of α -pinene decreases with increasing NO_x levels. However, the two sesquiterpenes follow an opposing trend: their SOA formation increases with increasing NO_x levels. The latter observation could be explained by the formation of low volatile organic nitrate species or the formation of large hydroxycarbonyls and

Full Screen / Esc

Printer-friendly Version

Interactive Discussion

Discussion Paper

multifunctional products through an isomerization pathway in the presence of high NO_x level. This subject will be of significant interest to readers of Atmospheric Chemistry and Physics. The experimental techniques appear to be state-of-the-art, the methodology is adequately described and the results significant and are presented in a clear and logical fashion. This paper should be accepted by Atmospheric Chemistry and Physics. I have only a few significant suggestions.

Specific Comments:

1.Experimental Section. Wall-loss coefficient is very crucial for smog chamber experiments, and it could vary from experiment to experiment. In this study, the author apparently utilizes a set of size-dependent wall-loss coefficients obtained from different experiments using inorganic particles. Are these coefficients appropriate for this study in which particles are organics or covered by organics? Although I understand that this study is to compare the trend of aerosol formation under varying conditions instead of obtain accurate aerosol yield, the accuracy of the wall-loss coefficient may not be important. 2.How is the concentration of H₂O₂ calculated? If the H₂O₂ is calculated based on the chamber volume and the liquid volume injected, the result may not be accurate. Because H₂O₂ could be lost to the wall during the injection, it is likely that the actual concentration of H₂O₂ will be much lower. 3.In section 5.2.2, the author states that in the intermediate NO_x experiments, NO will be consumed quickly and then the aerosol will be a mixture of the products formed under both high and low-NO_x conditions. However, at the time the NO concentration approaches zero, only a very small amount of α -pinene should be left for the low-NO_x reaction. In addition, O₃ level is negligible under both high and low-NO_x conditions, while there is considerable amount of O₃ formed during the intermediate NO_x experiments. NO₃ radical levels should also be much higher during the intermediate NO_x experiment. The gas-phase reactive oxidation products may further react with O₃ and NO₃ radical to form compounds with low volatility that can condense on aerosols. In Figure 1, only the growth curve of intermediate NO_x experiment shows a clear “hook”, which could be a good

Full Screen / Esc

Printer-friendly Version

Interactive Discussion

Discussion Paper

evidence to support the further reactions between reactive oxidation products and O₃ and NO₃ radical. Thus, the compounds of SOA from intermediate NO_x experiments may be quite different from those formed from low and high-NO_x experiments.

Technical comments:

1. Page 10140, line 11, α -pinene should be longifolene. 2. Figure 1, 2 and 3, captions, why are these curves called time-dependent growth curve? I don't see any time presented in these figures.

Interactive comment on Atmos. Chem. Phys. Discuss., 7, 10131, 2007.

ACPD

7, S4698–S4700, 2007

Interactive
Comment

Full Screen / Esc

Printer-friendly Version

Interactive Discussion

Discussion Paper