

## ***Interactive comment on* “Evaluation of ECMWF ERA-40 temperature and wind in the lower tropical stratosphere since 1988 from past long-duration balloon measurements” by T. Christensen et al.**

**T. Christensen et al.**

Received and published: 31 May 2007

This response include responses to all three referees.

### **Reply to Anonymous referee 1**

Anonymous referee 1 requests one clarification regarding the quoted standard deviations.

*Reply: The quoted standard deviations are population std. dev.*

### **Reply to Anonymous referee 2**

Anonymous referee 2 has 6 numbered questions/comments:

1. The data were extracted at 1.5 degree resolution, but 1.125 is available. Would this affect the results?

*Reply: Based on the investigation of the effect of increased resolution carried out by Knudsen et al. (ACP, 6, 5391–5397, 2006), we would expect only small changes.*

2. P2, left column, para. starting "similarly" What levels were discussed?

*Reply: the paragraph has been revised to include levels.*

3. P2 right column. Change "A large number" to the actual number.

*Reply: Will be amended in the revised paper.*

4. P4 bottom left. Maybe don't plot  $\Delta T_{obs}=0$ .

*Reply: We will do this if time allows, but we do not consider it crucial.*

5.P5. bottom right. It could also be due to changes in the number and type of data assimilated.

*Reply: Agreed. We believe this is covered already in the sentence ending with "... but likely the data assimilated."*

6. P7 Figure 6. This should be at the same scale as Figure 4.

*Reply: We believe the zoomed scale in Fig. 6 is important in order to see the details.*

### **Reply to Anonymous referee 3**

Referee comment: I think the data in figures 4 and 5 justify a stronger statement about a major shortfall in the variability of meridional wind justify in ERA-40 at these levels and latitudes.

*Reply: Thanks for your suggestion to put a stronger emphasis on the significance of the inability of ERA-40 to capture the variability in  $v$ . We believe the same can be argued for the zonal wind. We will elaborate more on this in Section 4, and mention it*

[Full Screen / Esc](#)[Printer-friendly Version](#)[Interactive Discussion](#)[Discussion Paper](#)

*in abstract and conclusions.*

---

Interactive comment on Atmos. Chem. Phys. Discuss., 7, 3423, 2007.

ACPD

7, S2202–S2204, 2007

---

Interactive  
Comment

Full Screen / Esc

Printer-friendly Version

Interactive Discussion

Discussion Paper

S2204

EGU