Atmos. Chem. Phys. Discuss., 7, 9419–9464, 2007 www.atmos-chem-phys-discuss.net/7/9419/2007/ © Author(s) 2007. This work is licensed under a Creative Commons License.



ACPD

7, 9419–9464, 2007

The impact of emissions from ocean-going ships

A. Lauer et al.

Title Page Introduction Abstract Conclusions References **Tables Figures** ►I. Back Close Full Screen / Esc **Printer-friendly Version** Interactive Discussion EGU

Global model simulations of the impact of ocean-going ships on aerosols, clouds, and the radiation budget

A. Lauer¹, V. Eyring¹, J. Hendricks¹, P. Jöckel², and U. Lohmann³

¹DLR-Institut für Physik der Atmosphäre, Oberpfaffenhofen, Germany ²Max Planck Institute for Chemistry, Mainz, Germany ³Institute of Atmospheric and Climate Science, Zurich, Switzerland

Received: 11 June 2007 – Accepted: 20 June 2007 – Published: 2 July 2007

Correspondence to: A. Lauer (axel.lauer@dlr.de)

Abstract

International shipping contributes significantly to the fuel consumption of all transport related activities. Specific emissions of pollutants such as sulfur dioxide (SO₂) per kg of fuel emitted are higher than for road transport or aviation. Besides gaseous pollutants, ships also emit various types of particulate matter. The aerosol impacts the Earth's radiation budget directly by scattering and absorbing incoming solar radiation and indirectly by changing cloud properties. Here we use ECHAM5/MESSy1-MADE, a global climate model with detailed aerosol and cloud microphysics, to show that emissions from ships significantly increase the cloud droplet number concentration of low maritime water clouds. Whereas the cloud liquid water content remains nearly unchanged in these simulations, effective radii of cloud droplets decrease, leading to cloud optical thickness increase up to 5–10%. The sensitivity of the results is estimated by using

- three different emission inventories for present day conditions. The sensitivity analysis reveals that shipping contributes with 2.3% to 3.6% to the total sulfate burden and 0.4% to 1.4% to the total black carbon burden in the year 2000. In addition to changes in aerosol chemical composition, shipping increases the aerosol number concentration, e.g. up to 25% in the size range of the accumulation mode (typically >0.1 μ m) over the
- Atlantic. The total aerosol optical thickness over the Indian Ocean, the Gulf of Mexico and the Northeastern Pacific increases up to 8–10% depending on the emission inventory. Changes in aerosol optical thickness caused by the shipping induced modification
- ²⁰ tory. Changes in aerosol optical thickness caused by the shipping induced modification of aerosol particle number concentration and chemical composition lead to a change of the net top of the atmosphere (ToA) clear sky radiation of about -0.013 W/m^2 to -0.036 W/m^2 on global annual average. The estimated all-sky direct aerosol effect calculated from these changes ranges between -0.009 W/m^2 and -0.014 W/m^2 . The
- ²⁵ indirect aerosol effect of ships on climate is found to be far larger than previously estimated. An indirect radiative effect of -0.19 W/m^2 to -0.6 W/m^2 (change of the top of the atmosphere shortwave radiative flux) is calculated here, contributing 17% to 39% to the total indirect effect of anthropogenic aerosols. This contribution is high because

ACPD

7, 9419-9464, 2007

The impact of emissions from ocean-going ships

A. Lauer et al.



ship emissions are released in regions with frequent low marine clouds in an otherwise clean environment. In addition, the potential impact of particulate matter on the radiation budget is larger over the dark ocean surface than over polluted regions over land.

5 1 Introduction

Besides gaseous pollutants such as nitrogen oxides (NO_x=NO+NO₂), carbon monoxide (CO) or sulfur dioxide (SO₂), ships also emit various types of particulate matter (Eyring et al., 2005a). Due to low restrictive regulations for international shipping and the use of low quality fuel by most ocean-going ships, shipping contributes for example
to around 8% to the present total anthropogenic SO₂ emissions (Olivier et al., 2005). The aerosol impacts the Earth's radiation budget directly by scattering and absorbing incoming solar radiation and indirectly by changing cloud properties. Aerosols emitted by ships can be an additional source of cloud condensation nuclei (CCN) and thus possibly result in a higher cloud droplet concentration (Twomey et al., 1968). The increase

¹⁵ In cloud droplet number concentration can lead to an increased cloud reflectivity, known as indirect aerosol effect. Measurements in the Monterey Ship Track Experiment confirmed this hypothesis (Durkee et al., 2000; Hobbs et al., 2000). This mechanism can also cause anomalous cloud lines, so-called ship tracks, which have often been observed in satellite data (e.g. Schreier et al., 2006, 2007¹). In addition, aerosols from shipping might also change cloud cover and precipitation formation efficiency as well as the average cloud lifetime.

Although a rapid growth of the world sea trade and hence increased emissions from international shipping are expected in the future (Eyring et al., 2005b), the potential global influence of aerosols from shipping on atmosphere and climate has received lit-

ACPD 7,9419-9464,2007 The impact of emissions from ocean-going ships A. Lauer et al. **Title Page** Introduction Abstract Conclusions References **Figures** Back Full Screen / Esc **Printer-friendly Version** Interactive Discussion EGU

¹Schreier, M., Mannstein, H., Eyring, V., and Bovensmann, H.: Global ship track distribution and radiative forcing from 1-year of AATSR-data, Geophys. Res. Lett., submitted, 2007.

tle attention so far. Available studies on the global impact of ship emissions on climate concentrate on greenhouse gases such as carbon dioxide (CO_2) , methane (CH_4) or ozone (O_3) as well as the direct effect of sulfate particles (e.g. Lawrence and Crutzen, 1999; Endresen et al., 2003; Eyring et al., 2007). Concerning the indirect aerosol effect,

only rough estimates for sulfate plus organic material particles from global model simulations without detailed aerosol and cloud physics (Capaldo et al., 1999) are currently available. The overall indirect effect due to international shipping taking into account aerosol nitrate, black carbon, particulate organic matter and aerosol liquid water in addition to sulfate as well as detailed aerosol physics and aerosol-cloud interaction has
 not been assessed in a fully consistent manner yet.

The emissions of gaseous and particulate pollutants scale with the fuel consumption of the fleet. Ideally, the fuel consumption of the world-merchant ships calculated from energy statistics (Endresen et al., 2003; Dentener et al., 2006) and based on fleet activity (Corbett and Köhler, 2003; Eyring et al., 2005a) should be the same, but there are

- ¹⁵ large differences between the two approaches and there is an ongoing discussion on its correct present-day value (Corbett and Köhler, 2004; Endresen et al., 2004; Eyring et al., 2005a). In addition, various vessel traffic densities have been published over the last years. In order to address these uncertainties, we apply three different emission inventories for shipping (Eyring et al., 2005a; Dentener et al., 2006; Wang et al.,
- 20 2007²). We use the global aerosol climate model ECHAM5/MESSy1-MADE, hereafter referred to as E5/M1-MADE, that includes detailed aerosol and cloud microphysics to study the direct and indirect aerosol effect caused by international shipping. The model and model simulations are described in Sect. 2. To evaluate the performance of E5/M1-MADE we have repeated the extensive intercomparison of the previous model version
- ECHAM4/MADE with observations (Lauer et al., 2005). The comparison to observations shown here focuses on marine regions and is summarized in Sect. 3. Section 4 presents the model results and Sect. 5 closes with a summary and conclusions.



Interactive Discussion

²Wang, C., Corbett, J. J., and Firestone, J.: Improving Spatial Representation of Global Ship Emissions Inventories, Environ. Sci. Technol., under review, 2007.

2 Model and model simulations

5

10

15

20

25

2.1 ECHAM5/MESSy1-MADE (E5/M1-MADE)

We used the ECHAM5 (Roeckner et al., 2006) general circulation model (GCM) coupled to the aerosol microphysics module MADE (Ackermann et al., 1998) within the framework of the Modular Earth Submodel System MESSy (Jöckel et al., 2005) to study the impact of particulate matter from ship emissions on aerosols, clouds, and the radiation budget. E5/M1-MADE is a further development of ECHAM4/MADE (Lauer et al., 2005; Lauer and Hendricks, 2006) on the basis of ECHAM5/MESSy1 version 1.1 (Jöckel et al., 2006). Aerosols are described by three log-normally distributed modes, the Aitken (typically smaller than $0.1 \,\mu$ m), the accumulation (typically 0.1 to 1 μ m) and the coarse mode (typically larger than 1 μ m). Aerosol components considered are sulfate (SO₄), nitrate (NO₃), ammonium (NH₄), aerosol liquid water, mineral dust, sea salt, black carbon (BC) and particulate organic matter (POM). The simulations of the aerosol population take into account microphysical processes such as coagulation, condensation of sulfuric acid vapor and condensable organic compounds, particle formation by nucleation, size-dependent wet (Tost et al., 2006) and dry deposition including gravitational settling (Kerkweg et al., 2006a), uptake of water and gas/-particle partitioning of trace constituents (Metzger et al., 2002) as well as liquid phase chemistry calculated by the module SCAV (Tost et al., 2007). Basic tropospheric background chemistry (NO_x-HO_x-CH₄-CO-O₃) and the sulfur cycle are considered as calculated by the module MECCA (Sander et al., 2005). Aerosol optical properties are calculated from the simulated aerosol size-distribution and chemical composition for the solar and thermal spectral bands considered by the GCM. These are used to drive the radiation module of the climate model. Aerosol activation is calculated following Abdul-Razzak and Ghan (2000). Activated particles are used as input for a microphysical cloud scheme (Lohmann et al., 1999; Lohmann, 2002) replacing the original cloud module of the GCM. The fractional cloud cover is diagnosed from the

ACPD 7,9419-9464,2007 The impact of emissions from ocean-going ships A. Lauer et al. **Title Page** Introduction Abstract Conclusions References Tables **Figures** ►T. Back Close Full Screen / Esc **Printer-friendly Version** Interactive Discussion EGU

and aqueous phase chemical mechanisms (including reaction rate coefficients and references) as well as the namelist settings of the individual modules can be found in the electronic supplement (http://www.atmos-chem-phys-discuss.net/7/9419/2007/ acpd-7-9419-2007-supplement.zip).

5 2.2 Model simulations

The impact of shipping is estimated by calculating the differences between model experiments with and without taking shipping into account. In order to obtain significant differences with a reasonable number of model years, model dynamics have been nudged using operational analysis data from the European Centre for Medium-Range Weather Forecasts (ECMWF) from 1999 to 2004. The results have been averaged over all six years to reduce the effects of inter-annual variability. The signal from shipping is considered to be significant if the t-test applied to the annual mean values for this period provides significance at a confidence level of 99%. All simulations discussed here were conducted in T42 horizontal resolution (about 2.8°×2.8° longitude by lati-15 tude of the corresponding quadratic Gaussian grid) with 19 vertical, non-equidistant layers from the surface up to 10 hPa (~30 km).

To estimate uncertainties in present-day emission inventories (see Sect. 1), we performed three present-day model experiments under year 2000 conditions using the ship emissions from Eyring et al. (2005a) (hereafter "inventory A"), Dentener et al. (2006) (hereafter "inventory B") and Wang et al. (2007)² (hereafter "inventory C"). In addition, a reference simulation was carried out neglecting ship emissions. The emissions of all other trace gases except for SO₂ and dimethyl sulfide (DMS) are taken from the Emission Database for Global Atmospheric Research EDGAR 3.2 FT2000 (Olivier et al., 2005), primary aerosols and SO₂ from Dentener et al. (2006).

²⁵ In inventory A emissions are estimated from the fleet activity (Eyring et al., 2005a), resulting into SO₂ emissions of 11.7 Tg for the world fleet in 2000. This estimate is based on statistical information of the total fleet above 100 gross tons (GT) from Lloyd's (2002), including cargo ships (tanker, container ships, bulk and combined carriers,



and general cargo vessels), non-cargo ships (passenger and fishing ships, tugboats, others) as well auxiliary engines and the larger military vessels (above 300 GT). The emissions are distributed over the globe according to reported ship positions from the Automated Mutual-assistance Vessel Rescue system (AMVER) data set (Endresen et

- ⁵ al., 2003). In inventory B emission estimates are based on fuel consumption statistics (Dentener et al., 2006) with emission totals of 7.8 Tg per year, and the geographic distribution considers the main shipping routes only. Inventory C takes into account emissions from cargo and passenger vessels only, totaling 9.4 Tg per year (Corbett and Köhler, 2003) and the geographical distribution follows the International Comprehen-
- sive Ocean-Atmosphere Data Set (ICOADS) (Wang et al., 2007²). Inventories A and B provide annual average emissions whereas inventory C provides monthly averages. While the geographic distribution in inventory B considers the main shipping routes only, the geographic distribution in inventory A (AMVER) and inventory C (ICOADS) are based on shipping traffic intensity proxies. These inventories therefore better represent
- actual shipping movements, and are to date considered the two "best" global ship traffic intensity proxies to be used for a top-down approach (Wang et al., 2007²). However, a comparison by Wang et al. (2007)² also shows that both ICOADS and AMVER have statistical biases and neither of the two data sets perfectly represents the world fleet and its activity. Therefore we use both of them to estimate the uncertainties stemming
 from the ship emission inventory used.

The primary particles (BC, POM, and SO_4) from shipping are assumed to be in the size-range of the Aitken mode, which is typically being observed for fossil fuel combustion processes. Emissions of DMS and sea salt are calculated from the simulated 10 m wind speed (Kerkweg et al., 2006b). Table 1 summarizes the annual emission totals for particulate matter (PM) and trace gases emitted by shipping as considered in this study.

25

As an example, annual emissions of SO_2 from international shipping in the three different emission inventories are displayed in Fig. 1. A major amount of SO_2 from shipping is emitted within a band in the northern hemisphere covering the highly fre-



quented shipping routes between the eastern United States and Europe as well as between Southeast Asia and the west coast of the USA. In general emissions are low in the southern hemisphere. Differences between the emission data sets are found particularly in the Gulf of Mexico, in the Baltic, and in the northern Pacific. The Eyring et al. (2005) inventory gives the highest emissions of all three inventories in the Gulf of Mexico. The Dentener et al. (2006) inventory shows higher SO₂ ship emissions in the Baltic compared to inventories A and C. Wang et al. (2007)² suggest higher emissions in the northern Pacific compared to the two other inventories.

3 Comparison to observations

- The extensive intercomparison of the previous model version ECHAM4/MADE with observations (Lauer et al., 2005) has been repeated with E5/M1-MADE. This intercomparison demonstrated that the main conclusions on the model quality (Lauer et al., 2005) hold for the new model system. In particular, the main features of the observed geographical patterns, seasonal cycle and vertical distribution of the basic aerosol parameters are captured. In addition to the comparison shown in Lauer et al. (2005), the cloud forcing and aerosol optical thickness of the E5/M1-MADE simulation have been compared to ERBE (Earth Radiation Budget Experiment) satellite data (Bark-
- strom, 1984) and Aeronet (Holben et al., 1998) measurements showing reasonable good agreement in most parts of the world, including the marine areas where the
 largest effects of shipping are simulated. In the following subsections, we show an intercomparison of model results from E5/M1-MADE using ship emission inventory A
- with observations focusing on marine regions. The differences between the model results using inventory A and inventory B and C are rather small. It should be noted that with this evaluation we mainly evaluate the performance of the model to simulate
- the background atmosphere, rather than the large-scale effects (i.e. scales comparable to the size of the GCM's grid boxes) of shipping. The shipping signal cannot be easily evaluated by single-point measurements (see also Eyring et al., 2007). Processes such



as long-range transport of pollutants from continental areas or natural processes are often predominant, in particular in areas close to coast. Nevertheless, this intercomparison unveils strengths and weaknesses of the model to reproduce basic observed features relevant when assessing the impact of shipping, in particular over the oceans.

5 3.1 Particle number concentration

Clarke and Kapustin (2002) compiled vertical profiles of mean particle number concentration of particles greater than 3 nm from several measurement campaigns focusing on regions above the Pacific Ocean. The data include measurements performed during ACE-1, GLOBE-2, and PEM-Tropics A and B. The data have been divided into the 3 latitude bands 70° S–20° S, 20° S–20° N, and 20° N–70° N covering longitudes between about 130° E and 70° W. Most of the measurements are taken over the ocean far away from the major source regions of aerosols above the continents. The variability of the particle number concentrations is given by the standard deviation. Figure 2 shows the comparison of these data to the simulated particle number concentration profiles ex¹⁵ tracted for the months covered by the measurements. The model data were averaged over all grid cells within the individual latitude bands.

The observed particle number concentration increases from the surface to the upper troposphere indicative of new particle formation in the upper troposphere. This is most pronounced at tropical latitudes due to strong nucleation taking place in the upper

- tropical troposphere. These basic features of the vertical profile of the particle number concentration are reproduced by the model. In the lower troposphere of the Southern Pacific (Fig. 2, left panel), E5/M1-MADE underestimates the mean particle number concentration, which could be related to the omission of sea salt particles in the size range of the Aitken mode in the model. In the tropics (Fig. 2, middle panel) and the
- ²⁵ northern Pacific (Fig. 2, right panel), the model results are mostly within the variability of the measurements, given by the standard deviations.

ACPD

7, 9419-9464, 2007

The impact of emissions from ocean-going ships

A. Lauer et al.



3.2 Aerosol optical thickness (AOT)

Figure 3 shows the multi-year average seasonal cycle of the aerosol optical thickness (AOT) at 550 nm calculated by E5/M1-MADE and measured by ground based Aeronet stations (1999–2004 where data available) (Holben et al., 1997) for various small is-

- ⁵ lands located in the Pacific (Tahiti, Coconut Island, Midway Island, Lanai), the Atlantic (Azores, Capo Verde), and the Indian Ocean (Amsterdam Island, Kaashidoo, Male). These locations are considered to be basically of marine character. For comparison, also satellite data from MODIS (2000–2003) (Kaufman et al., 1997; Tanre et al., 1997), MISR (2000–2005) (Kahn et al., 1998; Martonchik et al., 1998) and a composite of
 ¹⁰ MODIS, AVHRR and TOMS data (Kinne et al., 2006) are shown, as well as the median of several global aerosol models (Kinne et al., 2006) which provided AOT for the AeroCom Aerosol Model Intercomparison Initiative (Textor et al., 2006). The Aeronet
- data used are monthly means of level 2.0 AOT, version 2. The AOT data at 550 nm have been linearly interpolated from the nearest wavelengths with measurement data available.
 - For the Pacific measurement sites Coconut Island, Midway Island, and Lanai as well as for the Indian Ocean site Amsterdam Island and the Atlantic Ocean site Azores, the simulated AOT are mostly within the inter-annual variability of the Aeronet measurements, given by the standard deviation.
- According to the geographical distribution of the ship traffic density (Fig. 3), the measurement sites Coconut Island, Lanai, Kaashidoo, Male, Azores, and Capo Verde can be expected to be influenced by ship emissions. In contrast, ship traffic and thus emissions from shipping are low for all other measurement sites shown in Fig. 3 (Tahiti, Midway Island, Amsterdam Island).
- E5/M1-MADE underestimates AOT compared to Aeronet observations for the sites Tahiti, Kaashidoo, Male, and Capo Verde. The sites Kaashidoo and Male in the Indian Ocean are located near the Indian subcontinent. Thus, we expect the AOT measured at these sites to be influenced by continental outflow of polluted air from India, which

ACPD 7,9419-9464,2007 The impact of emissions from ocean-going ships A. Lauer et al. **Title Page** Introduction Abstract Conclusions References **Figures** ►T. Back Close Full Screen / Esc **Printer-friendly Version** Interactive Discussion

does not seem to be reproduced by the model properly. In addition, the 2000 emission data used in the model study might be too low due to the fast economic growth in these regions and thus resulting in an underestimation by the model. The measurement site Capo Verde in the Atlantic Ocean is located off the west coast of Africa in

- a latitude region characterized by easterly trade winds transporting mineral dust from the deserts out onto the Atlantic Ocean. Comparisons of AOT with measurements from other regions with a high contribution of mineral dust to the total AOT indicate that E5/M1-MADE generally underestimates AOT from this aerosol component. As mineral dust is not emitted by international shipping, for the purpose of this study the detected
 differences between model and measurements is acceptable. However, this clearly
- ¹⁰ differences between model and measurements is acceptable. However, this clearly points to the need for future improvements of the representation of mineral dust in the model.

3.3 Total cloud cover

The multi-year zonal averages of total cloud cover calculated by E5/M1-MADE and obtained from ISCCP (International Satellite Cloud Climatology Project) satellite observations (Rossow et al., 1996) from 1983 to 2004 are shown in Fig. 4. The total cloud cover in the latitude range 60° S to 60° N where most ship traffic takes place is well reproduced by the model. The difference between model and satellite data is below 5% for most latitudes. In the polar regions, E5/M1-MADE overestimates the total cloud cover up to 20–25% near 90° S and up to about 15% near 90° N. The inter-annual variability of the zonally averaged total cloud cover is only small, with the 1- σ standard deviation mostly below 1%. On global annual average, the simulated total cloud cover of 68% differs insignificantly from the observed total cloud cover from ISCCP of 66%.

3.4 Cloud droplet number concentration (CDNC) and effective cloud droplet radii

²⁵ In a recent study, satellite observations from MODIS and AMSR-E have been used to derive cloud droplet number concentrations from cloud effective radii and optical



thickness for marine boundary layer clouds (Bennartz, 2007). The MODIS data cover the period July 2002 to December 2004. The oceanic regions we analyze here include the Pacific west of North America (155° W–105° W, 18° N–39° N), the Pacific west of South America (100° W–60° W, 37° S–8° S), the Atlantic west of North Africa (45° W–
⁵ 10° W, 15° N–45° N), the Atlantic west of Southern Africa (20° W–20° E, 34° S–0°), and the Pacific east of Northeast Asia (110° E–170° E, 16° N–35° N).

The cloud droplet number concentrations and effective cloud droplet radii simulated by the model are calculated from the annual mean of all grid cells in the regions specified above, that are defined as ocean according to the T42 land-/sea-mask of E5/M1-MADE. The altitude range of the model date severe 0.6.1.1 km. Table 2 above the

- MADE. The altitude range of the model data covers 0.6–1.1 km. Table 2 shows the model results using ship emission inventories A, B and C, as well as the model simulation without ship emissions and the satellite data from MODIS and AMSR-E for average cloud droplet number concentration *N* and cloud droplet effective radii *r*. Error estimates for the cloud droplet number concentrations from the satellite data depend particularly on cloud fraction and liquid water path. For cloud fractions above 0.8 the
- relative retrieval error in cloud droplet number concentration is smaller than 80%, for small cloud fractions (<0.1), the errors in *N* can be up to 260% (Bennartz, 2007).

Basically, the model and satellite data show good agreement in cloud droplet number concentration. The model data lie mostly within the observed range spanned by the

- standard deviation and the results obtained from the satellite data applying an alternative parameterization to retrieve the cloud droplet number concentration from measured effective radii and cloud optical thickness (Table 2). Bennartz (2007) concluded that marine boundary layer clouds even over the remote oceans have higher cloud droplet number concentrations in the northern hemisphere than in the southern hemisphere.
- This basic feature is reproduced by the model showing higher cloud droplet number concentrations over the Pacific west of North America (118–127 cm⁻³) than over the Pacific west of South America (98–100 cm⁻³) as well as over the Atlantic west of North Africa (118–133 cm⁻³) than over the Atlantic west of Southern Africa (114–121 cm⁻³). Whereas the simulated cloud droplet number concentrations are in the upper range

ACPD 7,9419-9464,2007 The impact of emissions from ocean-going ships A. Lauer et al. **Title Page** Introduction Abstract Conclusions References **Figures** ►T. Back Close Full Screen / Esc **Printer-friendly Version** Interactive Discussion

of the numbers given by the satellite data for all these oceanic regions, the simulated cloud droplet number concentrations are lower than observed over the Pacific east of Northeast Asia. This might indicate an underestimation of Asian aerosol and precursor emissions in the model, which is consistent with the findings in Sect. 3.2 for the Aeronet measurement sites Kaashidoo and Male in the Indian Ocean.

The effective cloud droplet radii derived from the satellite data lie between 11 μ m to 13 μ m. Here the model gives slightly smaller values ranging from 10 μ m to 11 μ m for the regions North America, North Africa, South America, and Southern Africa. For the region Northeast Asia, the average effective radii calculated by the model range from 8 μ m to 9 μ m, whereas the satellite data suggest 11 to 12 μ m. Smaller cloud droplet radii and smaller cloud droplet number concentrations indicate an underestimation of the liquid water content of low maritime clouds by the model in this region.

3.5 Cloud forcing

5

10

The cloud forcing is calculated as the difference between all-sky and clear-sky outgoing
radiation at the top of the atmosphere (ToA) in the solar spectral range (shortwave cloud forcing) and in the thermal spectral range (longwave cloud forcing). The cloud forcing quantifies the impact of clouds on the radiation budget (negative or positive cloud forcings correspond to an energy loss and a cooling effect or an energy gain and warming effect, respectively). Figure 5 shows the zonally averaged annual mean short- and
longwave cloud forcing calculated by E5/M1-MADE and obtained from ERBE (Earth Radiation Budget Experiment) satellite observations (Barkstrom, 1984) for the period 1985–1989. E5/M1-MADE is able to reproduce the observed shortwave cloud forcing reasonably well, i.e. the model results lie mostly within the uncertainty range of the ERBE measurements which is estimated to be about 5 W/m². However, the model

local maxima of the shortwave cloud forcing at about 20° N and 20° S. Here, deviations between model and satellite data reach up to 10 W/m². This overestimation could be caused by the too small radii in marine stratocumuli as discussed above. It also af-



fects the global annual averages. The model calculates a shortwave cloud forcing of -52.9 W/m^2 , the ERBE satellite data suggest a value of -47.4 W/m^2 .

The longwave cloud forcing calculated by E5/M1-MADE is in fairly good agreement with the ERBE observations, too. Differences between model and satellite data are

⁵ below 5 W/m² at most latitudes. The global annual averages of the longwave cloud forcing are +28.0 W/m² (E5/M1-MADE) and +29.3 W/m² (ERBE). However, the maximum shown in the satellite data near the equator is not reproduced to its full extent by the model indicative of either insufficient high clouds or an underestimation of their altitude. Maximum deviations between model and satellite data up to 12 W/m² are found in this region.

4 Results of the impact of shipping on aerosols and clouds

4.1 Contribution of shipping to the global aerosol

The dominant aerosol component resulting from ship emissions is sulfate, which is formed by the oxidation of SO₂ by the hydroxyl radical (OH) in the gas phase or by O_3 and hydrogen peroxide (H_2O_2) in the aqueous phase of cloud droplets. Depending on 15 the ship emission inventory used, 2.3% (B,C) to 3.6% (A) of the total annual sulfate burden stems from shipping. On average, 30-40% of the simulated sulfate mass concentration related to small particles (<1 μ m) near the surface above the main shipping routes originates from shipping (Fig. 6). In contrast, contributions are smaller for black carbon emissions from shipping (0.4% in A to 1.4% in B) and particulate organic mat-20 ter (0.1% in A to 1.1% in C), because the ship emission totals of both compounds are small compared to the contributions of fossil fuel combustion over the continents or to biomass burning. Despite high NO_x emissions from shipping, the global aerosol nitrate burden is only slightly increased by 0.1-0.2% using inventory A and B, but increased by 2.3% using inventory C. Due to the lower average SO₂ emissions in inventory C 25 compared to inventory A, less ammonium is bound by SO₄ and thus more ammonium-

ACPD 7,9419-9464,2007 The impact of emissions from ocean-going ships A. Lauer et al. **Title Page** Introduction Abstract Conclusions References **Figures** Back Close Full Screen / Esc **Printer-friendly Version** Interactive Discussion

nitrate forms. This results in higher aerosol nitrate concentrations. Using inventory B, aerosol nitrate is lower than using inventory C despite low SO_2 emissions. This is caused by the low NO_x emissions in inventory B compared to inventory C (Table 1). The increase in the water soluble compounds sulfate, nitrate and associated ammo-

- ⁵ nium causes an increase in the global burden of aerosol liquid water contained in the optically most active particles in the sub-micrometer size-range. This liquid water increase amounts to 4.3% (A), 2.2% (B), and 3.5% (C). Table 3 summarizes the total burdens and the relative contribution of shipping for the aerosol compounds considered in E5/M1-MADE for all three ship emission inventories.
- ¹⁰ The model calculates a ship induced increase in the particle number concentration of the Aitken mode particles (typically smaller than 0.1 μ m) of about 40% near the surface above the main shipping region in the Atlantic Ocean. Furthermore, the average geometric mean diameter of these particles decreases from 0.05 μ m to 0.04 μ m as the freshly emitted particles from shipping are smaller than the aged Aitken particles
- ¹⁵ typically found above the oceans far away from any continental source. Subsequent processes such as condensation of sulfuric acid vapor enable some particles to grow into the next larger size-range, the accumulation mode (0.1 to 1 μ m), increasing the number concentration in this mode. Accumulation mode particles act as efficient condensation nuclei for cloud formation. The model results indicate that the accumulation
- ²⁰ mode particle number concentration in the lowermost boundary layer above the main shipping routes in the Atlantic Ocean is increased by about 15%. In contrast to the Aitken mode, the average modal mean diameter of the simulated accumulation mode is not affected by ship emissions and remains almost constant.

The changes in particle number concentration, particle composition and sizedistribution result in an increase in aerosol optical thickness above the oceans of typically 2–3% (Fig. 7, upper row). Depending on the inventory used, different amounts of emissions are assigned to specific regions. This leads to differences in the results. Individual regions such as the Gulf of Mexico show increases up to 8–10% (A), the Northeastern Pacific up to 6% (C), and the highly frequented shipping route through

ACPD 7,9419-9464,2007 The impact of emissions from ocean-going ships A. Lauer et al. **Title Page** Introduction Abstract Conclusions References Tables **Figures** ►T. Back Close Full Screen / Esc **Printer-friendly Version** Interactive Discussion

the Red Sea (Suez Canal) to the tip of India in the Indian Ocean up to 10–14% (A, B, C). This effect is mainly related to enhanced scattering of solar radiation by sulfate, nitrate, ammonium, and associated aerosol liquid water. The calculated changes in the global annual average clear-sky top of the atmosphere (ToA) solar radiative flux
are -0.038 W/m² (A), -0.012 W/m² (B), and -0.030 W/m² (C). Local changes up to -0.25 W/m² are simulated for the Gulf of Mexico (A), the Northeastern Pacific (C), or the highly frequented regions of the Indian Ocean (A, B, C). These regions can also be identified in the zonal averages (Fig. 7, lower row). The contribution to changes in the clear-sky ToA thermal flux due to shipping is negligible and not statistically significant compared to its statistical fluctuations.

The changes in the simulated clear-sky fluxes do not represent the global average direct aerosol effect because clouds can have a strong impact on the radiation field. The simulations carried out in this study include both, the direct and the indirect aerosol effect. Thus, the all-sky direct aerosol effect cannot be separated from changes in the

- radiation fluxes due to the indirect aerosol effect. To estimate the changes in the allsky radiation fluxes due to the direct aerosol effect, an additional assumption has to be made. Here we assume that the direct aerosol effect is relevant in the cloud free areas of each grid cell only and negligible in the cloudy areas. According to our simulations, the signal from shipping on aerosols decays rapidly with altitude. Consequently, a
- ²⁰ major fraction of the aerosols from shipping is below the clouds (or inside the clouds in case of very low clouds) damping the impact of these aerosol particles on the ToA radiation fluxes in cloudy areas. We then can scale the change in the clear-sky fluxes in each grid cell by (1 – total cloud cover) to estimate the all-sky direct aerosol effect:

 $\Delta RF_{solar}(all-sky) = (1 - total cloud cover) \cdot \Delta RF_{solar}(clear-sky)$

²⁵ Using this simple approximation, we estimate the direct aerosol effect from shipping to amount -0.014 W/m² (A), -0.010 W/m² (B), and -0.009 W/m² (C). However, it should be kept in mind that this approximation gives an estimate for the direct aerosol effect only, because the presence of clouds can modify the radiation field dramatically and thus change the radiative forcing of aerosols in the cloudy fraction of the grid box.

ACPD 7,9419-9464,2007 The impact of emissions from ocean-going ships A. Lauer et al. **Title Page** Introduction Abstract Conclusions References Tables **Figures** ►T. Back Close Full Screen / Esc **Printer-friendly Version** Interactive Discussion EGU

(1)

9435

Consequently, the true all-sky direct aerosol RF can only be approximated by the cloud cover area-weighted clear-sky RF given by Eq. (1).

4.2 Modification of cloud microphysical properties

The second important effect of the aerosol changes due to ship emissions is a modifi cation of cloud microphysical properties. The model simulations reveal that this effect is mainly confined to the lower troposphere from the surface up to about 1.5 km. This implies that regions with a frequent high amount of low clouds above the oceans are most susceptible for modifications due to ship emissions. Such regions are coinciding with dense ship traffic over the Pacific Ocean west of North America, the Atlantic
 Ocean west of Southern Africa and the Northeastern Atlantic Ocean (Fig. 8). These regions are consistent with the locations showing the maximum response in the indirect

aerosol effect due to shipping calculated by E5/M1-MADE.

Whereas the vertically integrated cloud liquid water content is only slightly (1–2%) affected by ship emissions and the ice crystal number concentration shows no significant
¹⁵ change, simulated cloud droplet number concentrations are significantly increased. Maximum changes of the cloud droplet number are computed above the main shipping routes in the Atlantic and Pacific Ocean at an altitude of about 500 m. These changes in cloud droplet number concentration amount to 30–50 cm⁻³ (20–30%) in the Atlantic (A, B, C) and about 20–40 cm⁻³ (15–30%, A, C) and 5–15 cm⁻³ (5–10%, B) in the Pa²⁰ cific. The corresponding changes in cloud liquid water content at this altitude calculated

- by the model show an increase in the order of a few percent, but are statistically not significant. The increase in cloud droplet number causes a decrease in the effective radius of the cloud droplets. In the Atlantic Ocean, for instance, the average decrease in the cloud droplet effective radius is $0.42 \,\mu$ m (A), $0.17 \,\mu$ m (B), and $0.25 \,\mu$ m (C) at
- ²⁵ an altitude of 0.4 km. This effect results in an enhanced reflectivity of these low marine clouds. Figure 9 depicts the annual mean changes in zonal average cloud droplet number concentrations, cloud droplet effective radii, and cloud optical thickness in the spectral range 0.28–0.69 μ m due to shipping for the three emission inventories. The



increase in cloud droplet number concentration and the decrease in cloud droplet effective radii result in an increase in cloud optical thickness of typically 0.1 to 0.3 on zonal annual average. Whereas the changes in cloud optical thickness are limited to the latitude range 0° to 70° N for inventory B, statistically significant changes are calculated between 60° S to 60° N for inventory A with maximum changes in cloud optical

⁵ culated between 60° S to 60° N for inventory A with maximum changes in cloud optical thickness up to about 0.5 in the latitude range around 20° N to 30° N.

The simulated shipping-changes in the annual mean total cloud cover, the geographical precipitation patterns or the total precipitation are statistically not significant compared to the inter-annual variability.

- ¹⁰ The increased reflectivity of the low marine clouds results in an increased shortwave cloud forcing, calculated as the difference between the whole sky value and the clear-sky value of the net shortwave radiation at the ToA. The shortwave cloud forcing quantifies the impact of clouds on the Earth's radiation budget in the solar spectral range. Figure 10 shows the geographical distribution of the 6-year annual average
- ¹⁵ changes in ToA shortwave cloud forcing and the corresponding zonal means for the three ship emission inventories A, B, and C. Statistically significant changes in the shortwave cloud forcing are found in particular above the Pacific off the west coast of North America (A, C), the Northeastern Atlantic (A, B, C) and above the Atlantic off the west coast of Southern Africa (A, C). Local changes in the Pacific and Atlantic can
- reach -3 to -5 W/m² (A, C) and -2 to -3 W/m² (B). In contrast, changes above the Indian Ocean are smaller despite the high ship traffic density. This is due to the low cloud amount susceptible to ship emissions being rather low in this region (Fig. 8). Simulated changes in the longwave cloud forcing (thermal spectral range) are small and statistically not significant because of the comparably low temperature differences be-
- tween the sea surface temperature and the cloud top height of the low marine clouds. Changes in the zonally averaged annual mean cloud forcing for the solar spectrum due to ship emissions are mostly confined to the latitude range 40° S to 50° N (A), 10° N to 50° N (B), and 30° S to 50° N (C). Table 4 summarizes the annual average changes in shortwave cloud forcing for all three emission inventories and different regions. The

ACPD			
7, 9419–9	7, 9419–9464, 2007		
The impact of emissions from ocean-going ships			
A. Lau	er et al.		
Title	Page		
Abstract	Introduction		
Conclusions	References		
Tables	Figures		
14	۶I		
•	F		
Back	Close		
Full Screen / Esc			
Printer-frier	Printer-friendly Version		
Interactive Discussion			

global annual mean changes in the shortwave cloud forcing amount to -0.6 W/m^2 (A), -0.19 W/m^2 (B), and -0.44 W/m^2 (C).

A comparison of the results with E5/M1-MADE simulations using pre-industrial emissions for trace gases (van Aardenne et al., 2001) and particles (Dentener et al., 2006) results in a total anthropogenic indirect aerosol effect (including ships) of -1.1 W/m² (B) to -1.5 W/m² (A). These values are within the range of previous model estimates (-0.9 to -2.9 W/m²) (Lohmann and Feichter, 2005) of the total anthropogenic indirect effect. According to the results of our model studies, shipping contributes to about 17% (B) to 39% (A) to the total anthropogenic indirect effect. This contribution is larger than the contribution of shipping to aerosol emissions, because of larger albedo changes by clouds over dark oceans than over land. In addition, this effect is comparatively large since ship emissions are released in regions with frequent occurrence of low clouds.

which are highly susceptible to the enhanced aerosol number concentration in an otherwise clean marine environment. For both reasons, the susceptibility of the radiation
 ¹⁵ budget to ship emissions is much higher than for continental anthropogenic aerosol sources of the same source strength. Simple scaling of the total anthropogenic indirect aerosol effect to the contribution of an individual source to the total atmospheric burden is therefore questionable for shipping.

4.3 Radiative forcing due to international shipping

- The indirect aerosol effect of shipping on climate discussed in Sect. 4.2 results in a negative radiative forcing (RF) which is, in absolute numbers, much higher than the negative RF caused by the scattering and absorption of solar radiation by aerosol particles (direct aerosol effect) or the positive RF due to greenhouse gases, mainly carbon dioxide and ozone. NO_x and other ozone precursor emissions from shipping not only perturb the atmosphere by the formation of O₃, but also lead to enhanced levels of OH,
- ²⁵ perturb the atmosphere by the formation of O₃, but also lead to enhanced levels of OH, increasing removal rates of CH₄, thus generating a negative radiative forcing. These previously estimated forcings are all in the range of ± 15 to 50 mW/m² (Endresen et



al., 2003; Eyring et al., 2007). RF due to direct CH_{4} from shipping (0.52 Tg CH_{4} from fuel and tanker loading; Eyring et al., 2005a) has not been estimated so far. However, because of the small contribution (<0.2%) to total anthropogenic CH₄ emissions (Olivier et al., 2005), the resulting forcings are expected to be negligible compared $_{5}$ to the other components. Figure 11 shows the RF due to shipping from CO₂, O₃, CH₄, and the direct effect of SO₄ particles from Endresen et al. (2003) and Eyring et al. (2007) as well as the radiative forcing due to ship tracks (Schreier et al., 2007¹) in comparison to the estimated direct aerosol effect (Sect. 4.1) and indirect aerosol effect (Sect. 4.2) obtained in this study for the three ship emission inventories A, B, and C. Schreier et al. (2006) showed that ship tracks can change the radiation budget on a 10 local scale, but are short lived and cover a very small fraction of the globe so that their radiative effect on the global scale is negligible $(-0.4 \text{ to } -0.6 \text{ mW/m}^2 \pm 40\%)$; Schreier et al., 2007¹). The contribution of water vapor emissions from shipping is also negligible. Also shown is a previous estimate by Capaldo et al. (1999), who used a global model without detailed aerosol microphysics and aerosol-cloud interaction and assessed the 15 first indirect effect of SO₄ plus organic material particles (-0.11 W/m²). In contrast to Capaldo et al. (1999), Endresen et al. (2003) and Eyring et al. (2007), the model study presented here considers not only sulfate, but changes in the radiation budget due to the sum of all relevant aerosol components (SO₄, NO₃, NH₄, BC, POM, and aerosol

20 liquid water).

The model results discussed in Sects. 4.1 and 4.2 and shown in Fig. 11 also indicate that the geographical distribution of emissions over the globe plays a key role determining the global impact of shipping. The large differences in the model results obtained with three different ship emission inventories (Eyring et al., 2005; Dentener et al., 2006; Wang et al., 2007²) imply a high uncertainty, but on the other hand the main conclusions of this study hold for all three inventories. For all inventories used, the present-day net RF from ocean-going ships is strongly negative, in contrast to, for instance, estimates of RF from aircraft (Sausen et al., 2005). In addition, the direct aerosol effect due to scattering and absorption of solar light by particles from shipping

Printer-friendly Version

Interactive Discussion

is only of minor importance compared to the indirect aerosol effect. Additional sensitivity simulations with sulfur free fuel with E5/M1-MADE revealed that about 75% of the direct and indirect aerosol effect from shipping is related to the fuel sulfur content, which is currently about 2.4% (EPA, 2002). Thus, a simple upscaling of the results from 5 Capaldo et al. (1999) to the total indirect effect considering all relevant aerosol compounds from shipping results in about -0.15 W/m². This value is comparable to the

indirect aerosol effect calculated in this study using inventory B (-0.19 W/m^2). Inventory B has similar emission totals for SO₂ (7.8 Tg yr⁻¹) as the ship emission inventory used by Capaldo et al. (1999) totaling 8.4 Tg yr⁻¹.

10 5 Summary and conclusions

In this study we used the general circulation model ECHAM5/MESSy1 coupled to the aerosol module MADE (E5/M1-MADE) to study the impact of shipping on aerosols, clouds and the Earth's radiation budget. The aerosols calculated by E5/M1-MADE are used to drive the radiation and cloud scheme of the GCM allowing to assess both, the direct and indirect aerosol effect of emissions from shipping. The evaluation of the model showed that the main features of the observed geographical patterns, seasonal cycle and vertical distribution of the basic aerosol parameters are captured. However, the comparison also unveiled still existing weaknesses of the model such as representing the optical properties of mineral dust or capturing Asian emissions of particulate matter and aerosol precursors. For the purpose of this study, these model deficiencies are accepted when accepting the impact of chipping an accepted and clouds by call

are acceptable when assessing the impact of shipping on aerosols and clouds by calculating differences between model simulations with and without taking into account ship emissions.

To assess uncertainties in estimates of present-day emission totals and spatial ship traffic proxies, we used three different present-day (year 2000 conditions) ship emission inventories, Eyring et al. (2005) (inventory "A"), Dentener et al. (2006) (inventory "B"), and Wang et al. (2007)² (inventory "C") and one simulation without ship emissions. The



impact of emissions from international shipping on the chemical composition, particle number concentration, and size distribution of atmospheric aerosol has been assessed by analyzing the differences between model simulations with and without shipping. In order to obtain significant differences with a reasonable number of model years, model

dynamics have been nudged using operational analysis data from the European Centre for Medium-Range Weather Forecasts (ECMWF) from 1999 to 2004. The changes in aerosol properties affect the optical properties such as aerosol optical thickness of the particles (direct aerosol effect) as well as their ability to act as cloud condensation nuclei (indirect aerosol effect). Both mechanisms that impact the Earth's radiation budget are taken into account in the E5/M1-MADE simulations.

The model results reveal that the most important aerosol component from shipping is SO_4 , formed by the oxidation of SO_2 emitted by ships, contributing 2.3% to 3.6% to the total atmospheric sulfate burden in the simulations with different emission scenarios performed here. The contribution of BC and POM from shipping is only 0.4–1.4%

- and 0.1–1.1%, respectively. Aerosol nitrate from shipping shows the highest sensitivity to the emission inventory and contributes between 0.1% and 2.3% to the total aerosol nitrate burden. The signal from shipping decays rapidly with altitude, and is mostly limited to the lowermost 1.5 km in the troposphere. The model results show an increase in the Aitken mode particle number concentration of about 40% in the near surface layer
- ²⁰ above the main shipping routes in the Atlantic Ocean and a decrease in the modal mean diameter of the Aitken mode from $0.05 \,\mu$ m to $0.04 \,\mu$ m in this region. Due to subsequent growth processes such as condensation of sulfuric acid vapor and coagulation, some particles grow into the next larger size-range of the accumulation mode, which can act as additional cloud condensation nuclei. These changes in chemical
- ²⁵ composition, particle number concentration, and size-distribution cause an increase in aerosol optical thickness above the oceans, which is related particularly to enhanced scattering of incoming solar radiation by sulfate, nitrate, ammonium, and associated aerosol liquid water. Local changes up to -0.25 W/m² are simulated in individual regions such as the Gulf of Mexico, the Northeastern Pacific or the highly frequented

ACPD 7,9419-9464,2007 The impact of emissions from ocean-going ships A. Lauer et al. **Title Page** Introduction Abstract Conclusions References **Figures** ►T. Back Full Screen / Esc **Printer-friendly Version** Interactive Discussion EGU

regions in the Indian Ocean, depending on the emission inventory used. The calculated global annual average changes in the clear-sky top of the atmosphere radiative fluxes in the solar spectrum range from -0.012 W/m^2 to -0.038 W/m^2 , the estimated (Eq. 1) corresponding direct aerosol effects range from -0.009 W/m^2 to -0.014 W/m^2 . The by far most important impact of ship emissions on the radiation budget is related 5 to changes in the microphysical properties of low marine clouds. The simulations revealed that emissions from international shipping impact the Earth's radiation budget significantly and more than previously estimated from model studies without detailed aerosol microphysics and aerosol-cloud interaction using older ship emission inventories with lower emission totals (-0.11 W/m²) (Capaldo et al., 1999). The changes in 10 the radiation budget caused by modified cloud properties from the three different ship emission inventories range from -0.19 W/m^2 to -0.6 W/m^2 . The regions affected are in particular the Northeastern Pacific off the west coast of North America, the Northeastern Atlantic, and the Atlantic off the west coast of Southern Africa. These regions are characterized by frequent occurrence of low marine clouds and coinciding high ship 15 traffic density. The model results show that the impact of shipping is mostly confined

to liquid water clouds. Ice clouds are hardly influenced. This is related to the fact, that liquid water clouds are the dominant cloud type in the regions and in the altitude range (<1.5 km) predominantly affected by shipping. The additional cloud condensation nuclei from shipping increase the cloud droplet number concentration of the marine

- tion nuclei from shipping increase the cloud droplet number concentration of the marine clouds, whereas the simulated liquid water content is only slightly changed. This results in a decrease of the cloud droplet effective radii increasing the reflectivity of the marine clouds and thus enhancing the shortwave cloud forcing. Sensitivity studies using pre-industrial emissions suggest that shipping contributes between 17% and 39%
- to the total anthropogenic indirect aerosol effect. This large contribution is related to the larger albedo changes by clouds over dark oceans than over land and to the fact that ship emissions are released in regions with frequent occurrence of low clouds, which are highly susceptible to the enhanced aerosol number concentration in an otherwise clean marine environment. This results in a much higher response for shipping than

ACPD 7,9419-9464,2007 The impact of emissions from ocean-going ships A. Lauer et al. **Title Page** Introduction Abstract Conclusions References **Figures** Back Full Screen / Esc **Printer-friendly Version** Interactive Discussion

for continental anthropogenic aerosol sources of the same source strength.

The net RF from shipping, calculated from previous estimates of the RF from CO₂, O₃, and CH₄ from shipping as well as from the direct and indirect aerosol effect estimated here, is negative for all three emissions inventories ranging between -0.16 W/m² and -0.58 W/m². Further sensitivity studies with sulfur free fuel show that about 75% of both, the direct and indirect aerosol effect from shipping, are related to the fuel sulfur content. Carbon dioxide's atmospheric lifetime (>100 years) is much longer than global atmospheric mixing timescales, so ship CO₂ emissions generate a radiative forcing in just the same way as any other CO₂ source. In the future, the positive RF from shipping CO₂ is expected to increase, because of the expected CO₂ emission growth from the ocean-going fleet (Eyring et al., 2005b). All other RF con-

tributions strongly depend on the technology applied. Future reductions in SO_2 from ships are to be expected because of air quality issues in the vicinity of major harbors and because of the acidification of the oceans due to sulfate and sulfur emissions. The

- first sulfur emission control area (SECA, with only 1.5% sulfur content) in the Baltic Sea was established in May 2006. The next SECA is planned for parts of the English Channel and the North Sea and will enter into force in 2007. Furthermore, the European Union has disbanded the Directive 2005/33/EC, to limit the sulfur content to 0.1% in marine fuels for harbor regions in 2010. If the sulfur content of the fuel is reduced,
- the positive contributions to the overall RF from CO₂ will remain, whereas the negative RF due to the effect on aerosols and clouds will strongly decrease. However, because of air quality issues and acidification as a consequence of ship sulfur emissions, enhanced shipping with sulfur-rich fuel should not be considered as a geo-engineering strategy to decelerate global warming.
- ²⁵ This study also showed that the geographical distribution of ship emissions over the globe plays a key role determining the global impact of shipping. The large differences in the model results obtained with three different ship emission inventories (Eyring et al., 2005; Dentener et al., 2006; Wang et al., 2007²) imply a high uncertainty. Nevertheless, the main conclusions of this study hold for all three inventories. We therefore



conclude that the impact of ship exhaust on atmosphere and climate has received too little attention so far and should be subject to further investigations. In particular, it remains a challenge to reduce uncertainties in present-day emission inventories, both in the emission total estimates as well as in the spatial ship traffic proxies. In addition, modeling of the indirect aerosol effect introduces still many uncertainties. Critical model parameters and processes are in particular the aerosol size-distribution and particle number concentration (Penner et al., 2006) as well as the parameterization of

aerosol activation (Lohmann and Feichter, 2005).

Centre for Medium-Range Weather Forecasts (ECMWF).

Acknowledgements. This work was supported by the German Helmholtz-Gemeinschaft
 Deutscher Forschungszentren (HGF) and the Deutsches Zentrum für Luft- und Raumfahrt (DLR) within the Young Investigators Group SeaKLIM and the project Particles and Cirrus Clouds (PAZI-2). We wish to thank the whole MESSy team of the Max Planck Institute for Chemistry in Mainz, Germany for their support and help and the Max Planck Institute for Meteorology in Hamburg, Germany for the development and provision of ECHAM5. We also kindly
 acknowledge the provision of MADE by the University of Cologne, Germany (RIU/EURAD-project) and thank U. Schumann and R. Sausen for very helpful discussions. All model simulations were performed on the High Performance Computing Facility (HPCF) at the European

References

5

- Abdul-Razzak, H. and Ghan, S. J.: A parameterization of aerosol activation, 2. Multiple aerosol types, J. Geophys. Res., 105(D5), 6837–6844, 2000.
 - Ackermann, I. J., Hass, H., Memmesheimer, M., Ziegenbein, C., and Ebel, A.: Modal Aerosol Dynamics for Europe: Development and first applications, Atmos. Environ., 32, 2981–2999, 1998.
- Barkstrom, B. R.: The Earth Radiation Budget Experiment (ERBE), Bull. Amer. Meteor. Soc., 65, 1170–1185, 1984.
 - Bennartz, R.: Global assessment of marine boundary layer cloud droplet number concentration from satellite, J. Geophys. Res., 112, D02201, doi:10.1029/2006JD007547, 2007.

ACPD 7,9419-9464,2007 The impact of emissions from ocean-going ships A. Lauer et al. **Title Page** Introduction Abstract Conclusions References **Tables Figures** ►T. Back Close Full Screen / Esc **Printer-friendly Version** Interactive Discussion EGU

Capaldo, K., Corbett, J. J., Kasibhatla, P., Fischbeck, P. S., and Pandis, S. N.: Effects of ship emissions on sulfur cycling and radiative climate forcing over the ocean, Nature, 400, 743–746, 1999.

Clarke, A. D. and Kapustin, V. N.: A Pacific Aerosol Survey. Part I: A Decade of Data on Particle

⁵ Production, Transport, Evolution, and Mixing in the Troposphere, J. Atmos. Sci., 59, 363–382, 2002.

Corbett, J. J. and Koehler, H. W.: Updated emissions from ocean shipping, J. Geophys. Res., 108(D20), 4650, doi:10.1029/2003JD003751, 2003.

Dentener, F., Kinne, S., Bond, T., Boucher, O., Cofala, J., Generoso, S., Ginoux, P., Gong, S.,

Hoelzemann, J. J., Ito, A., Marelli, L., Penner, J. E., Putaud, J.-P., Textor, C., Schulz, M., van der Werf, G. R., and Wilson, J.: Emissions of primary aerosol and precursor gases in the years 2000 and 1750, prescribed data-sets for AeroCom, Atmos. Chem. Phys., 6, 4321–4344, 2006,

http://www.atmos-chem-phys.net/6/4321/2006/.

- ¹⁵ Durkee, P. A., Chartier, R. E., Brown, A., Trehubenko, E. J., Rogerson, S. D., Skupniewicz, C., Nielsen, K. E., Platnick, S., and King, M. D.: Composite Ship-Track Characteristics, J. Atmos. Sci., 57, 2542–2553, 2000.
 - Endresen, Ø., Sørgård, E., Sundet, J. K., Dalsøren, S. B., Isaksen, I. S. A., Berglen, T. F., and Gravir, G.: Emission from international sea transportation and environmental impact, J. Geophys. Res., 108(D17), 4560, doi:10.1029/2002JD002898, 2003.
- Geophys. Res., 108(D17), 4560, doi:10.1029/2002JD002898, 2003.
 EPA (Environmental Protection Agency, USA.): SPECIATE 3.2, profiles of total organic compounds and particulate matter, http://www.epa.gov/ttn/chief/software/speciate/index.html, 2002.

Eyring, V., Köhler, H. W., van Aardenne, J., and Lauer, A.: Emissions from international ship-

- ping, Part 1: The last 50 years, J. Geophys. Res., 110(D17305), doi:10.1029/2004JD005619, 2005a.
 - Eyring, V., Köhler, H. W., Lauer, A., and Lemper, B.: Emissions From International Shipping:
 2. Impact of Future Technologies on Scenarios Until 2050, J. Geophys. Res., 110(D17306), doi:10.1029/2004JD005620, 2005b.
- ³⁰ Eyring, V., Stevenson, D. S., Lauer, A., Dentener, F. J., Butler, T., Collins, W. J., Ellingsen, K., Gauss, M., Hauglustaine, D. A., Isaksen, I. S. A., Lawrence, M. G., Richter, A., Rodriguez, J. M., Sanderson, M., Strahan, S. E., Sudo, K., Szopa, S., van Noije, T. P. C., and Wild, O.: Multi-model simulations of the impact of international shipping on atmospheric chemistry

7, 9419–9464, 2007

The impact of emissions from ocean-going ships

A. Lauer et al.

Title Page				
Abstract	Introduction			
Conclusions	References			
Tables	Figures			
14	N I			
•	•			
Back	Close			
Full Screen / Esc				
Printer-friendly Version				
Interactive Discussion				

and climate in 2000 and 2030, Atmos. Chem. Phys., 7, 757–780, 2007, http://www.atmos-chem-phys.net/7/757/2007/.

- Hobbs, P. V., Garrett, T. J., Ferek, R. J., Strader, S. R., Hegg, D. A., Frick, G. M., Hoppel, W. A., Gasparovic, R. F., Russel, L. M., Johnson, D. W., O'Dowd, C., Durkee, P. A., Nielsen,
- 5 K. E., and Innis, G.: Emissions from ships with their respect to clouds, J. Atmos. Sci., 57, 2570–2590, 2000.
 - Holben, B., Eck, T., Slutsker, I., Tanre, D., Buis, J., Vermote, E., Reagan, J., Kaufman, Y., Nakajima, T., Lavevau, F., Jankowiak, I., and Smirnov, A.: AERONET – A federated instrument network and data archive for aerosol characterization, Rem. Sens. Environ., 66, 1–16, 1998.
- Jöckel, P., Sander, R., Kerkweg, A., Tost, H., and Lelieveld, J.: Technical Note: The Modular Earth Submodel System (MESSy) – a new approach towards Earth System Modeling, Atmos. Chem. Phys., 5, 433–444, 2005,

http://www.atmos-chem-phys.net/5/433/2005/.

Jöckel, P., Tost, H., Pozzer, A., Brühl, C., Buchholz, J., Ganzeveld, L., Hoor, P., Kerk-

¹⁵ weg, A., Lawrence, M. G., Sander, R., Steil, B., Stiller, G., Tanarhte, M., Taraborrelli, D., van Aardenne, J., and Lelieveld, J.: The atmospheric chemistry general circulation model ECHAM5/MESSy1: consistent simulation of ozone from the surface to the mesosphere, Atmos. Chem. Phys., 6, 5067–5104, 2006, http://www.action.com/page/2002010

http://www.atmos-chem-phys.net/6/5067/2006/.

25

Kahn, R., Banerjee, P., McDonald, D., and Diner, D. J.: Sensitivity of multi-angle imaging to aerosol optical depth and to pure particle size distribution and composition over ocean, J. Geophys. Res., 103, 32 195–32 213, 1998.

Kaufman, Y., Tanre, D., Remer, L., Vermote, E., Chu, D., and Holben, B.: Operational remote sensing of tropospheric aerosol over the land from EOS-MODIS, J. Geophys. Res., 102, 17 051–17 061, 1997.

- Kerkweg, A., Buchholz, J., Ganzeveld, L., Pozzer, A., Tost, H., and Jöckel, P.: Technical Note: An implementation of the dry removal processes DRY DEPosition and SEDImentation in the Modular Earth Submodel System (MESSy), Atmos. Chem. Phys., 6, 4617–4632, 2006a.
 Kerkweg, A., Sander, R., Tost, H., and Jöckel, P.: Technical note: Implementation of prescribed
- (OFFLEM), calculated (ONLEM), and pseudo-emissions (TNUDGE) of chemical species in the Modular Earth Submodel System (MESSy), Atmos. Chem. Phys., 6, 3603–3609, 2006b.
 Kinne, S., Schulz, M., Textor, C., Guibert, S., Balkanski, Y., Bauer, S. E., Berntsen, T., Berglen, T. F., Boucher, O., Chin, M., Collins, W., Dentener, F., Diehl, T., Easter, R., Feichter, J.,

7, 9419–9464, 2007

The impact of emissions from ocean-going ships

A. Lauer et al.



Fillmore, D., Ghan, S., Ginoux, P., Gong, S., Grini, A., Hendricks, J., Herzog, M., Horowitz, L., Isaksen, I., Iversen, T., Kirkevåg, A., Kloster, S., Koch, D., Kristjansson, J. E., Krohl, M., Lauer, A., Lamarque, J. F., Lesins, G., Liu, X., Lohmann, U., Montanaro, V., Myhre, G., Penner, J. E., Pitari, G., Reddy, S., Seland, O., Stier, P., Takemura, T., and Tie, X.: An

- AeroCom initial assessment optical properties in aerosol component modules of global models, Atmos. Chem. Phys., 6, 1815–1834, 2006, http://www.atmos-chem-phys.net/6/1815/2006/.
 - Lauer, A., Hendricks, J., Ackermann, I., Schell, B., Hass, H., and Metzger, S.: Simulating aerosol microphysics with the ECHAM/MADE GCM Part I: Model description and compar-
- ¹⁰ ison with observations, Atmos. Chem. Phys., 5, 3251–3276, 2005, http://www.atmos-chem-phys.net/5/3251/2005/.
 - Lauer, A. and Hendricks, J.: Simulating aerosol microphysics with the ECHAM4/MADE GCM Part II: Results from a first multiannual simulation of the submicrometer aerosol, Atmos. Chem. Phys., 6, 5495–5513, 2006,
- 15 http://www.atmos-chem-phys.net/6/5495/2006/.
 - Lawrence, M. G. and Crutzen, P. J.: Influence of NO_x emissions from ships on tropospheric photochemistry and climate, Nature, 402, 167–170, 1999.
 - Lloyd's Maritime Information System (LMIS): The Lloyd's Maritime Database [CD-ROM], Lloyd's Register Fairplay Ltd., London, 2002.
- Lohmann, U. and Feichter, J.: Global indirect aerosol effects: A review, Atmos. Chem. Phys., 5, 715–737, 2005,

http://www.atmos-chem-phys.net/5/715/2005/.

- Lohmann, U., Feichter, J., Chuang, C. C., and Penner, J. E.: Prediction of the number of cloud droplets in the ECHAM GCM, J. Geophys. Res., 104, 9169–9198, 1999.
- Lohmann, U.: Possible aerosol effects on ice clouds via contact nucleation, J. Atmos. Sci., 59, 647–656, 2002.
 - Martonchik, J. V., Diner, D. J., Kahn, R. A., Ackerman, T. P., Verstraete, M. E., Pinty, B., and Gordon, H. R.: Techniques for the retrieval of aerosol properties over land and ocean using multi-angle imaging, IEEE Trans. Geosci. Rem. Sensing, 36, 1212–1227, 1998.
- ³⁰ Metzger, S., M., Dentener, F. J., Lelieveld, J., and Pandis, S. N.: Gas/aerosol partitioning I: A computationally efficient model, J. Geophys. Res., 107(D16), 4312, doi:10.1029/2001JD001102, 2002.

Olivier, J. G. J., van Aardenne, J. A., Dentener, F., Ganzeveld, L., and Peters, J. A. H. W.:

7, 9419–9464, 2007

The impact of emissions from ocean-going ships

A. Lauer et al.

Title Page			
Abstract	Introduction		
Conclusions	References		
Tables	Figures		
14	۶I		
	_		
Back	Close		
Full Screen / Esc			
Printer-friendly Version			
Interactive Discussion			

Recent trends in global greenhouse gas emissions: regional trends and spatial distribution of key sources, in: Non-CO₂ Greenhouse Gases (NCGG-4), van Amstel, A. (coord.), 325–330, Millpress, Rotterdam, ISBN 90 5966 043 9, 2005.

Penner, J. E., Quaas, J., Storelvmo, T., Takemura, T., Boucher, O., Guo, H., Kirkevåg, A.,

Kristjánsson, J. E., and Seland, Ø.: Model intercomparison of indirect aerosol effects, Atmos. Chem. Phys., 6, 3391–3405, 2006,

http://www.atmos-chem-phys.net/6/3391/2006/.

- Roeckner, E., Brokopf, R., Esch, M., Giorgetta, M., Hagemann, S., Kornblueh, L., Manzini, E., Schlese, U., and Schulzweida, U.: Sensitivity of Simulated Climate to Horizontal and Vertical
 Resolution in the ECHAM5 Atmosphere model, J. Clim., 19, 3771–3791, 2006.
- Rossow, W. B., Walker, A. W., Beuschel, D. E., and Roiter, M. D.: International Satellite Cloud Climatology Project (ISCCP) Documentation of New Cloud Datasets, WMO/TD-No. 737, World Meteorological Organization, 115 pp, 1996.

Sander, R., Kerkweg, A., Jöckel, P., and Lelieveld, J.: Technical Note: The new comprehensive atmospheric chemistry module MECCA, Atmos. Chem. Phys., 5, 445–450, 2005,

http://www.atmos-chem-phys.net/5/445/2005/.

15

30

- Sausen, R., Isaksen, I. S. A., Grewe, V., Hauglustaine, D. A., Lee, D. S., Myhre, G., Köhler, M. O., Pitari, G., Schumann, U., Stordal, F., and Zerefos, C.: Aviation radiative forcing in 2000: An update on IPCC (1999), Meteorol. Z., 14, 555–561, 2005.
- Schreier, M., Kokhanovsky, A. A., Eyring, V., Bugliaro, L., Mannstein, H., Mayer, B., Bovensmann, H., and Burrows, J. P.: Impact of ship emissions on the microphysical, optical and radiative properties of marine stratus: a case study, Atmos. Chem. Phys., 6, 4925–4942, 2006,

http://www.atmos-chem-phys.net/6/4925/2006/.

²⁵ Sundqvist, H., Berge, E., and Kristiansson, J. E.: Condensation and Cloud Parameterization Studies with a Mesoscale Numerical Weather Prediction Model, Mon. Wea. Rev., 117, 1641– 1657, 1989.

Tanre, D., Kaufman, Y. J., Herman, M., and Mattoo, S.: Remote Sensing of aerosol properties over ocean using the MODIS/EOS spectral radiances, J. Geophys. Res., 102, 16971– 16988, 1997.

Textor, C., Schulz, M., Guibert, S., Kinne, S., Balkanski, Y., Bauer, S., Berntsen, T., Berglen, T., Boucher, O., Chin, M., Dentener, F., Diehl, T., Easter, R., Feichter, H., Fillmore, D., Ghan, S., Ginoux, P., Gong, S., Grini, A., Hendricks, J., Horowitz, L., Huang, P., Isaksen, I., Iversen, 7, 9419–9464, 2007

The impact of emissions from ocean-going ships

A. Lauer et al.



T., Kloster, S., Koch, D., Kirkevåg, A., Kristjansson, J. E., Krol, M., Lauer, A., Lamarque, J. F., Liu, X., Montanaro, V., Myhre, G., Penner, J., Pitari, G., Reddy, S., Seland, Ø., Stier, P., Takemura, T., and Tie, X.: Analysis and quantification of the diversities of aerosol life cycles within AeroCom, Atmos. Chem. Phys., 6, 1777–1813, 2006,

5 http://www.atmos-chem-phys.net/6/1777/2006/.

Tost, H., Jöckel, P., Kerkweg, A., Sander, R., and Lelieveld, J.: Technical note: A new comprehensive SCAVenging submodel for global atmospheric chemistry modelling, Atmos. Chem. Phys., 6, 565–574, 2006,

http://www.atmos-chem-phys.net/6/565/2006/.

Tost, H., Jöckel, P., Kerkweg, A., Pozzer, A., Sander, R., and Lelieveld, J.: Global cloud and precipitation chemistry and wet deposition: tropospheric model simulations with ECHAM5/MESSy1, Atmos. Chem. Phys., 7, 2733–2757, 2007, http://www.atmos-chem-phys.net/7/2733/2007/.

Twomey, S., Howell, H. B., and Wojciechowski, T. A.: Comments on anomalous cloud lines, J. Atmos. Sci., 25, 333–334, 1968.

Atmos. Sci., 25, 333–334, 1968.

Van Aardenne, J. A., Dentener, F. J., Olivier, J. G. J., Klein Goldewijk, C. G. M., and Lelieveld, J.: A 1×1 degree resolution dataset of historical anthropogenic trace gas emissions for the period 1890–1990, Global Biogeochem. Cy., 15(4), 909–928, 2001. **ACPD**

7, 9419-9464, 2007

The impact of emissions from ocean-going ships

A. Lauer et al.

Title Page			
Abstract	Introduction		
Conclusions	References		
Tables	Figures		
14	N I		
•	•		
Back	Close		
Full Screen / Esc			
Printer-friendly Version			
Interactive Discussion			

Table 1. Annual emission totals of particulate matter and trace gases from shipping in Tg yr⁻¹ for the year 2000. Values are given for emission inventory A (Eyring et al., 2005), B (Dentener et al., 2006), and C (Wang et al., 2007²) as considered in this study.

Compound	Inventory A	Inventory B	Inventory C
SO ₂	11.7	7.6 ^a	9.2 ^a
NO _x (as NO ₂)	21.3	9.6 ^b	16.4
CO	1.28	0.10 ^b	1.08
primary SO ₄	0.77	0.29 ^a	0.35 ^a
BC	0.05	0.13	0.07
POM	0.13	0.06	0.71 ^c

 $^{\rm a}$ 2.5% of $\rm SO_2$ mass emitted is assumed to be released as primary $\rm SO_4$

^b Olivier et al. (2005)

^c 60% of total PM mass emitted is assumed to consist of POM

ACPD

7, 9419–9464, 2007

The impact of emissions from ocean-going ships

A. Lauer et al.

Title Page			
Abstract	Introduction		
Conclusions	References		
Tables	Figures		
	•1		
•	•		
Back	Close		
Full Screen / Esc			
Printer-friendly Version			

Table 2. Annual average values for cloud droplet number concentration *N* in cm⁻³ and effective cloud droplet radii *r* in μ m calculated by ECHAM5/MESSy1-MADE for low marine clouds (0.6–1.1 km) and derived from satellite data (Bennartz, 2007). Mean values with standard deviation are presented. The values in parentheses correspond to estimates derived from the satellite data using an alternative parameterization.

Region	Inventory A	Inventory B	Inventory C	No ship emissions	Satellite data
North America (155° W–105° W, 18° N–39° N)	N=125 r=10.61	N=119 r=10.68	N=127 r=10.57	N=118 r=10.73	N=96 (104)±26 r=11.99 (12.07)
North Africa (45° W–10° W, 15° N–45° N)	N=133 r=10.20	N=129 r=10.24	N=130 r=10.22	N=118 r=10.39	N=95 (103)±23 r=11.42 (12.05)
South America (100° W–60° W, 37° S–8° S)	N=100 r=11.71	N=98 r=11.70	N=98 r=11.71	N=98 r=11.70	N=77 (84)±36 r=12.97 (12.77)
South Africa (20° W–20° E, 34° S–0°)	N=121 r=11.76	N=116 r=11.81	N=116 r=11.79	N=114 r=11.82	N=95 (103)±19 r=11.82 (12.80)
Northeast Asia (110° E–170° E, 16° N–35° N)	N=118 r=8.56	N=115 r=8.58	N=118 r=8.56	N=114 r=8.59	N=129 (135)±23 r=11.25 (11.8)

ACPD

7, 9419-9464, 2007

The impact of emissions from ocean-going ships

A. Lauer et al.



Table 3. Global burden of aerosol compounds considered in ECHAM5/MESSy1-MADE and the contribution from international shipping in the model simulations using the three emission inventories A (Eyring et al., 2005), B (Dentener et al., 2006), and C (Wang et al., 2007^{2}).

	Invent	ory A	Inventory B		Inventory C	
Compound	Atmospheric Burden (Tg)	Contribution of Shipping (%)	Atmospheric Burden (Tg)	Contribution of Shipping (%)	Atmospheric Burden (Tg)	Contribution of Shipping (%)
SO ₄	1.531	3.6	1.511	2.3	1.511	2.3
NH_4	0.366	1.4	0.365	0.9	0.365	0.9
NO_3	0.146	0.2	0.146	0.1	0.150	2.3
H ₂ O	17.881	1.0	17.784	0.4	17.841	0.6
BC	0.119	0.4	0.122	1.4	0.119	0.8
POM	1.040	0.1	1.047	0.1	1.050	1.1
Sea Salt	3.588	_	3.582	-	3.589	_
Mineral Dust	9.042	-	9.045	_	9.044	_

ACPD

7, 9419–9464, 2007

The impact of emissions from ocean-going ships

A. Lauer et al.

Title Page			
Abstract	Introduction		
Conclusions	References		
Tables	Figures		
	_		
14	►I		
•	Þ		
Back	Close		
Full Screen / Esc			
Printer-friendly Version			
Interactive Discussion			

7, 9419–9464, 2007

The impact of emissions from ocean-going ships

A. Lauer et al.

Title Page			
Abstract	Introduction		
Conclusions	References		
Tables	Figures		
14	ÞI		
•	•		
Back	Close		
Full Screen / Esc			
Printer-friendly Version			
Interactive Discussion			

EGU

Table 4. Annual average changes in the top of the atmosphere (ToA) shortwave cloud forcing (solar spectral range) due to ship emissions in W m^{-2} .

Ship emission inventory	Pacific Ocean (120° E–80° W, 40° S–60° N)	Atlantic Ocean (75° W–15° E, 40° S–60° N)	Global mean
A	-1.22	-1.46	-0.6
В	-0.46	-0.6	-0.19
С	-0.77	-0.93	-0.44

7, 9419–9464, 2007

The impact of emissions from ocean-going ships







Fig. 1. Annual emissions of SO₂ from international shipping in tons per $1^{\circ} \times 1^{\circ}$ box. Left: Inventory A (Eyring et al., 2005a), totaling 11.7 Tg yr⁻¹, middle inventory B (Dentener et al., 2006), totaling 7.8 Tg yr⁻¹, left inventory C (Wang et al., 2007²), totaling 9.4 Tg yr⁻¹.

7, 9419–9464, 2007



Fig. 2. Vertical profiles of mean aerosol number concentrations in cm^{-3} (STP conditions: 273 K, 1013 hPa) obtained from various measurement campaigns over the Pacific Ocean (dashed) (Clarke and Kapustin, 2002) and ECHAM5/MESSy1-MADE (solid) for the three latitude bands 70° S–20° S, 20° S–20° N, and 20° N–70° N. The bars depict the standard deviation (positive part shown only).



Indian Ocean

Atlantic Ocean

Azores (lon=-28.63, lat=38.53)

Pacific Ocean





month









0.32

0.28

0.24











100

50

10

1







ACPD

The impact of emissions from ocean-going ships

A. Lauer et al.



Fig. 3.

7, 9419–9464, 2007

The impact of emissions from ocean-going ships

A. Lauer et al.

Title Page Introduction Abstract Conclusions References **Tables Figures** .∎. ►T. Back Close Full Screen / Esc **Printer-friendly Version** Interactive Discussion EGU

Fig. 3. Multi-year average seasonal cycle of the aerosol optical thickness at 550 nm calculated by ECHAM5/MESSy1-MADE (blue), measured by ground based Aeronet stations (red) (Holben et al., 1998), MODIS (light green) (Kaufman et al., 1997; Tanre et al., 1997) and MISR (yellow) (Kahn et al., 1998; Martonchik et al., 1998) satellite data. The AeroCom model ensemble median (black) and a satellite composite of MODIS, AVHRR and TOMS data (dark green) as discussed by Kinne et al. (2006) are also shown. The map depicts the geographical location of the Aeronet stations (red circles) and the number of ships larger than 1000 gross tons per $1^{\circ} \times 1^{\circ}$ box per year reported by AMVER for the year 2001 (Endresen et al., 2003). For details see text.



Fig. 4. Multi-year zonal average of the total cloud cover calculated by ECHAM5/MESSy1-MADE (solid) and obtained from ISCCP (International Satellite Cloud Climatology Project) satellite data for the period 1983–2004 (dashed) (Rossow et al., 1996) in %.

ACPD

7, 9419-9464, 2007

The impact of emissions from ocean-going ships

A. Lauer et al.





7, 9419-9464, 2007

The impact of emissions from ocean-going ships

A. Lauer et al.



Fig. 5. Multi-year zonal average of the top of the atmosphere (ToA) cloud forcing calculated by ECHAM5/MESSy1-MADE (solid) and obtained from ERBE (Earth Radiation Budget Experiment) satellite observations for the period 1985–1989 (dashed) (Barkstrom, 1984). The left panel shows the cloud forcing in the solar spectral (shortwave cloud forcing) range, the right panel in the thermal spectral range (longwave cloud forcing) in W m⁻².

7, 9419–9464, 2007

The impact of emissions from ocean-going ships

A. Lauer et al.



Fig. 6. Simulated relative changes (annual mean) in % of near surface sulfate mass concentration in fine particles (<1 μ m) due to shipping. Left: ships emissions from inventory A (Eyring et al., 2005), middle: inventory B (Dentener et al., 2006), right: inventory C (Wang et al., 2007²).



7, 9419–9464, 2007



Fig. 7. Climatological annual mean (1999–2004) of changes in total aerosol optical thickness at 550 nm due to shipping (upper row) and corresponding changes in the zonal mean shortwave clearsky radiation flux at the top of the atmosphere (ToA) in W m⁻² (lower row). Hatched areas (upper row) and light-red shaded areas (lower row) show differences which are significant compared to the inter-annual variability.





7, 9419-9464, 2007

The impact of emissions from ocean-going ships

A. Lauer et al.



Fig. 8. Annual mean (1983–2004) low cloud amount (%) derived from ISCCP (International Satellite Cloud Climatology Project) satellite data (Rossow et al., 1996). The highly frequented shipping routes (inventory A) are overlaid in gray. Regions with significant ship traffic (Eyring et al., 2005) and high amount of low clouds are marked with red circles.



Fig. 9. Climatological annual mean (1999–2004) of zonally averaged changes in cloud droplet number concentration (left), effective cloud droplet radii (middle), and cloud optical thickness in the spectral range 0.28–0.69 μ m (right) in the lower troposphere due to shipping. The upper row shows the changes calculated using ship emission inventory A (Eyring et al., 2005), the middle row depicts changes calculated using ship emission inventory B (Dentener et al., 2006), the lower row shows changes calculated using ship emission inventory C (Wang et al., 2007²). Hatched areas show differences which are significant at the 99% confidence level compared to their inter-annual variability.



7, 9419–9464, 2007

The impact of



Fig. 10. Multi-year average of simulated changes in shortwave cloud forcing due to shipping at the top of the atmosphere (ToA) in W m^{-2} . Upper row shows the geographical distribution, lower row zonal averages. Hatched areas (upper row) and light-red shaded areas (lower row) show differences which are significant at the 99% confidence level compared to the inter-annual variability.

emissions from ocean-going ships A. Lauer et al. **Title Page** Introduction Abstract Conclusions References **Figures** .∎. ►T. Back Close Full Screen / Esc **Printer-friendly Version** Interactive Discussion EGU



Fig. 11. Annual mean radiative forcing due to emissions from international shipping in mW m^{-2} . Values for CO₂, O₃, CH₄ (reduced lifetime), and SO₄ (direct aerosol effect) are taken from Endresen et al. (2003) and Eyring et al. (2007). The indirect aerosol effect calculated by Capaldo et al. (1999) includes the first indirect effect of sulfate plus organic material aerosols only, the error bar depicts the range spanned by their additional sensitivity studies. The estimated direct and the indirect aerosol effect calculated in this study also includes changes due to BC, POM, NH₄, NO₃, and H₂O from shipping in addition to SO₄ and refers to the changes in all-sky shortwave radiation fluxes and net cloud forcing (sum of shortwave and longwave cloud forcing) at the top of the atmosphere, respectively. The all-sky direct aerosol effect is estimated from the changes in the clear-sky fluxes and the total cloud cover (Eq. 1). The net cloud forcing is calculated from the differences in the simulated all-sky fluxes and the corresponding clear-sky fluxes at top of the atmosphere. The global annual mean RF due to ship tracks is taken from the satellite data analysis by Schreier et al. (2007)¹. For details see text.

ACPD 7,9419-9464,2007 The impact of emissions from ocean-going ships A. Lauer et al. **Title Page** Abstract Introduction Conclusions References **Figures** Back Full Screen / Esc **Printer-friendly Version** Interactive Discussion