Atmos. Chem. Phys. Discuss., 7, 6903–6958, 2007 www.atmos-chem-phys-discuss.net/7/6903/2007/ © Author(s) 2007. This work is licensed under a Creative Commons License.



The Tropical Forest and fire emissions experiment: overview and airborne fire emission factor measurements

R. J. Yokelson¹, T. Karl², P. Artaxo³, D. R. Blake⁴, T. J. Christian¹, D. W. T. Griffith⁵, A. Guenther², and W. M. Hao⁶

¹University of Montana, Department of Chemistry, Missoula, MT, 59812, USA
 ²National Center for Atmospheric Research, Boulder, CO, USA
 ³University of São Paulo, Department of Physics, São Paulo, Brazil
 ⁴University of California at Irvine, Department of Chemistry, USA
 ⁵University of Wollongong, Department of Chemistry, Wollongong, New South Wales, Australia
 ⁶USDA Forest Service, Fire Sciences Laboratory, Missoula, MT, USA

Received: 4 May 2007 – Accepted: 10 May 2007 – Published: 23 May 2007 Correspondence to: R. J. Yokelson (bob.vokelson@umontana.edu)



Abstract

The Tropical Forest and Fire Emissions Experiment (TROFFEE) used laboratory measurements followed by airborne and ground based field campaigns during the 2004 Amazon dry season to quantify the emissions from pristine tropical forest and several plantations as well as the emissions, fuel consumption, and fire ecology of tropical deforestation fires. The airborne campaign used an Embraer 110B aircraft outfitted with whole air sampling in canisters, mass-calibrated nephelometry, ozone by uv absorbance, Fourier transform infrared spectroscopy (FTIR), and proton-transfer mass spectrometry (PTR-MS) to measure PM10, O₃, CO₂, CO, NO, NO₂, HONO, HCN, NH₃, OCS, DMS, CH₄, and up to 48 non-methane organic compounds (NMOC). The Brazilian smoke/haze layers extended to 2–3 km altitude, which is much lower than the 5–6 km observed at the same latitude, time of year, and local time in Africa in 2000. Emission factors (EF) were computed for the 19 tropical deforestation fires sampled and they largely compare well to previous work. However, the TROFFEE EF are mostly

- ¹⁵ based on a much larger number of samples than previously available and they also include results for significant emissions not previously reported such as: nitrous acid, acrylonitrile, pyrrole, methylvinylketone, methacrolein, crotonaldehyde, methylethylketone, methylpropanal, "acetol plus methylacetate," furaldehydes, dimethylsulfide, and C₁-C₄ alkyl nitrates. Thus, we recommend these EF for all tropical deforestation fires.
- The NMOC emissions were ~80% reactive, oxygenated volatile organic compounds (OVOC). Our EF for PM10 (17.8±4 g/kg) is ~25% higher than previously reported for tropical forest fires and may reflect a trend towards, and sampling of, larger fires than in earlier studies. A large fraction of the total burning for 2004 likely occurred during a two-week period of very low humidity. The combined output of these fires created a
- massive "mega-plume" >500 km across that we sampled on September 8. The megaplume contained high PM10 and 10–50 ppbv of many reactive species such as O₃, NH₃, NO₂, CH₃OH, and organic acids. This is an intense and globally important chemical processing environment that is still poorly understood. The mega-plume or "white

ACPD 7,6903-6958,2007 **Tropical Forest fire** emissions R. J. Yokelson et al. **Title Page** Introduction Abstract Conclusions References **Figures** ►T. Back Full Screen / Esc **Printer-friendly Version** Interactive Discussion EGU

ocean" of smoke covered a large area in Brazil, Bolivia, and Paraguay for about one month. The smoke was transported >2000 km to the southeast while remaining concentrated enough to cause a 3-4-fold increase in aerosol loading in the São Paulo area for several days.

5 1 Introduction

Biomass burning and biogenic emissions are the two largest sources of volatile organic compounds (VOC) and fine particulate carbon in the global troposphere. Tropical deforestation fires account for much of the global biomass burning and tropical forest produces much of the global biogenic emissions (Andreae and Merlet, 2001; Kreidenweis
et al., 1999; Guenther et al., 1995, 2006). Deforestation fires facilitate land-use change, which alters the biogenic emissions. Thus, to understand regional-global atmospheric chemistry and assess the long-term impact of land-use change, we must thoroughly characterize the smoke emissions from these fires and the different biogenic emissions produced by the primary forest and the various anthropogenic "replacement" ecosystems.

The Tropical Forest and Fire Emissions Experiment (TROFFEE) provided emissions measurements for tropical deforestation fires and tropical vegetation. An overview of TROFFEE follows. A laboratory experiment was carried out before the field campaigns that intercompared proton-transfer reaction mass spectrometry (PTR-MS), open-path

Fourier transform infrared spectroscopy (FTIR), and gas chromatography (GC) coupled to PTR-MS (GC-PTR-MS) on 26 fires burning tropical fuels. The laboratory work helped plan the PTR-MS sampling protocol for the field campaign, and (due to the higher smoke concentrations and more instrumentation) quantified some emissions not measured in the field. The GC-PTR-MS measured the branching ratios for fire-emitted species that appear on the same mass channel. The laboratory fire and intercompari-



son results are presented elsewhere (Christian et al., 2007a¹; Karl et al., 2007a²).

The TROFFEE field campaigns were in Brazil since it has the most tropical forest and the most deforestation fires. The ground-based field campaigns included measurements of biogenic emissions from pristine forest and various plantations near Manaus

- ⁵ (Karl et al., 2007b). The ground campaign also included FTIR emissions measurements on initially-unlofted plumes from 9 biomass fires in the vicinity of Alta Floresta. These plumes were due to residual smoldering combustion at deforestation sites or pasture maintenance burns or they were from charcoal kilns, cooking fires, burning dung, etc. This element of TROFFEE was motivated by indications from previous field
- ¹⁰ campaigns that initially, unlofted biomass burning plumes might contribute a large portion of the total regional emissions (Kauffman et al., 1998; Reid et al., 1998). The results for unlofted plumes and biofuels are described elsewhere (Christian et al., 2007b³). The ground campaign fires included a planned fire in which Brazilian researchers carried out a "typical" deforestation burn under conditions where the fuel consumption and other aspects of fire ecology could be measured. The emissions from this planned fire were measured by the ground-based FTIR and in the TROFFEE airborne campaign (described next).

The TROFFEE airborne campaign consisted of 44.5 flight hours between 27 August

¹Christian, T. J., Karl, T. G., Yokelson, R. J., Guenther, A., and Hao, W. M.: The tropical forest and fire emissions experiment: Comprehensive laboratory measurements of the emissions from burning sugar cane and other tropical fuels, Atmos. Chem. Phys. Discuss., in preparation, 2007a.

²Karl, T. G., Christian, T. J., Yokelson, R. J., Artaxo, P., Hao, W. M., and Guenther, A.: The tropical forest and fire emissions experiment: Volatile organic compound emissions from tropical biomass burning investigated using PTR-MS, FTIR, and GC, Atmos. Chem. Phys. Discuss., submitted, 2007a.

³Christian, T. J., Yokelson, R. J., Carvalho Jr., J. A., Griffith, D. W. T., Alvarado, E. C., Santos, J. C., Neto, T. G. S., Veras, C. A. G., and Hao, W. M.: The tropical forest and fire emissions experiment: Trace gases emitted by smoldering logs and dung on deforestation and pasture fires in Brazil, submitted, J. Geophys. Res., 2007b.

AC	PD	
7, 6903–6	7, 6903–6958, 2007	
Tropical Forest fire emissions		
R. J. Yoke	R. J. Yokelson et al.	
Title Page		
Abstract	Introduction	
Conclusions	References	
Tables	Figures	
14	۶I	
•	•	
Back	Back Close	
Full Screen / Esc		
Printer-friendly Version		
Interactive Discussion		
EGU		

and 8 September of 2004 on an Embraer Bandeirante operated by the Brazilian National Institute for Space Research (Instituto Nacional de Pesquisas Espaciais (INPE)). The major instruments deployed on the aircraft included: (1) real-time ozone, condensation particle counter, and mass-calibrated nephelometry (University of São Paulo);

(2) PTR-MS (National Center for Atmospheric Research); (3) Whole air sampling in canisters with subsequent GC analysis using flame ionization, mass selective, and electron capture detection (FID, MSD, and ECD; University of California at Irvine); and (4) airborne FTIR (University of Montana). This suite of instruments was well suited for measuring CO₂, CO, PM10, CH₄, NO_x, O₃, and >40 non-methane organic compounds
 (NMOC) including the important biogenic emissions isoprene and methanol.

In phase 1, the aircraft was based in Alta Floresta, Mato Grosso in the southern Amazon (9.9167 S, 56.0167 W) from 27 August–5 September where the local dry/burning season was well underway. Regional haze due mostly to diluted biomass-burning smoke of unknown age and the nascent (minutes-old) emissions from 15 fires (mostly deforestation fires) was sampled in the states of Mato Grosso and Pará within about one-hour flight time (~300 km) of Alta Floresta.

In phase 2, the aircraft was based in Manaus, Amazonas (3.0386 S, 60.0497 W) from 5–8 September. The local dry season was just beginning there and the air was comparatively clean and mostly unaffected by fires; especially in the mornings. The biogenic emissions were sampled from forests and several plantations east of Manaus,

- ²⁰ biogenic emissions were sampled from forests and several plantations east of Manaus, and the pristine forest at the ZF-14 tower north of Manaus. The results are discussed and integrated with the ground-based biogenic measurements elsewhere (Karl et al., 2007b). In addition, four more fires were sampled around noon in the Manaus region. On 8 September 2004, between ~8–16° S we sampled a smoke plume hundreds of
- km wide that contained the combined emissions from a huge number of fires. These fires represented a significant fraction of the total Amazon burning for 2004 and they generated a "mega-plume," which we discuss in detail in Sect. 3.6. All the fires sampled are listed in Table 1. The TROFFEE flight tracks and individual fires are mapped in Fig. 1. A more detailed map of the 6–7 September flights is given in the biogenic



emissions paper (Karl et al., 2007b).

The fire component of TROFFEE is covered in four initial papers. The nature and impact of smoke not amenable to airborne sampling and the lab fire emissions results are covered in two papers by Christian et al. (Christian et al., 2007a¹, b³). Karl the table of table o

- ⁵ et al. (2007a) concentrate on presenting results with widespread applicability in atmospheric chemistry – mainly: the instrument intercomparison and emission ratios of many VOC to acetonitrile, which is thought to be mostly emitted by biomass burning. The main focus of this paper is to provide background on the region and experiment and to detail the airborne measurements of fire emission factors, which are needed
- as model input and for bottom-up emissions estimates at any scale. Some aspects of the airborne measurements in clean air (relatively unaffected by fires) and haze (dilute/aged smoke) are also given to clarify the regional atmospheric conditions and make our fire-sampling strategy clear.
- A major thrust of all the TROFFEE fire papers is comprehensive sampling of reactive species as close as possible to the source. The rationale for this is given next. Much of the initial interest in fires was centered on their climate forcing effects. In fact, in El-Niño years, the total trace gases (mostly CO₂) added to the atmosphere by biomass burning may even exceed that from fossil fuels (Page et al., 2002). The CO₂ due to tropical deforestation alone may cause an average annual amount of warming that is 20–60%
- of that caused by the CO₂ from all global industry (Crutzen and Andreae, 1990) and fires emit more other greenhouse gases (GHG) per CO₂ than fossil fuel use because of their inefficient combustion (Christian et al., 2003). Early on, it was also observed that fire emissions can be photochemically processed to yield O₃ (Fishman et al., 1991; Andreae et al., 1994), and O₃ is the third most important GHG, not including water (Duther et al., 1024).
- (Prather et al., 1994). Particles emitted by fires were found to cause negative forcing both directly (Hobbs et al., 1997) and indirectly by reducing cloud droplet sizes and increasing cloud albedo (Kaufman and Fraser, 1997).

In recent years, the reactivity of fire emissions and the rapid post-emission changes in smoke chemistry have attracted increasing attention. Early laboratory and field stud-

ACPD 7,6903-6958,2007 **Tropical Forest fire** emissions R. J. Yokelson et al. **Title Page** Introduction Abstract Conclusions References **Figures** Þ١ Back Full Screen / Esc **Printer-friendly Version** Interactive Discussion EGU

ies of biomass burning concentrated on measuring the emissions of CO_2 , CO, NO_x , and hydrocarbons (Lobert et al., 1991; Blake et al., 1996; Ferek et al., 1998), but later laboratory work showed that 60-80% of the NMOC emissions from fires were actually reactive, oxygenated VOC (OVOC) (Yokelson et al., 1996, 1997; Holzinger et al., 1999).

- The dominance of NMOC emissions by OVOC was then confirmed for all of the major 5 types of biomass burning except tropical forest fires: e.g. savannas, biofuels, agricultural waste, peat, and boreal forest (Goode et al., 2000; Christian et al., 2003; Bertschi et al., 2003a). In addition, field measurements of rapid changes in smoke chemistry became available (Goode et al., 2000, Yokelson et al., 2003a, Hobbs et al., 2003).
- Detailed photochemical smoke models successfully reproduced the observed O₃ for-10 mation rate in some, but not all, cases and they were unable to reproduce the observed post-emission formation of other species such as acetone and acetic acid (Mason et al., 2001 Jost et al., 2003; Tabazadeh et al., 2004; Trentmann et al., 2005). Sensitivity analysis showed that model performance was significantly enhanced by using the most
- complete possible information on the initial NMOC (mostly OVOC) emissions. In addi-15 tion, it was found that OVOC play a major role in general atmospheric oxidant chemistry as HO_x (OH + HO₂) precursors (Singh et al., 1995). About 80% of biomass burning occurs in the tropics (Hao and Liu 1994), a region that governs the oxidizing capacity of the global troposphere. Fires are a major source of CO (the main sink of OH), but
- the large quantities of OVOC emitted by fires, and the secondary O_3 , are HO_y precur-20 sors (Finlayson-Pitts and Pitts 1986). Thus there was a critical need for the first-ever data on OVOC emissions from tropical deforestation fires. In addition, since land-use practices are changing in the Amazon, the fire types and particle emissions may also be changing making an update of earlier particle measurements important (Artaxo et
- al., 1988; 1994; 1998; Ferek et al., 1998). 25



2 Experimental details

- 2.1 Instrument details
- 2.1.1 Airborne FTIR (AFTIR) and whole air sampling in canisters.

The basic design and operation of the AFTIR system has been described in detail previously (Yokelson et al., 1999, 2003a, b). A summary description is given here followed by the details of how AFTIR was used to fill canisters. The AFTIR has a dedicated, halocarbon-wax, coated inlet that directs ram air through a Pyrex, multipass cell. Infrared spectra of the cell contents are acquired continuously (every 0.83 s) throughout each flight and the flow-control valves are normally open, which flushes the cell with outside air every 2–4 s. The fast-acting flow control valves allow the system flow to be temporarily stopped for signal averaging and improved accuracy on "grab samples." The IR spectra are later analyzed to quantify the compounds responsible for all the major peaks. This accounts for most of the trace gases present in the cell above 5–20 ppbv (Goode et al., 1999).

For TROFFEE, a Teflon valve was added to the AFTIR cell that connected to two options for filling canisters. For a canister sample of a plume, we used a battery-powered pump to pressurize the can with gas from the AFTIR cell, which already contained a grab sample of the plume. For canister samples of background air we diverted a portion of the flow through the AFTIR cell into the cans. The .635 cm outside diameter Teflon

tubing connecting to the canisters had a pressure higher than the cabin pressure and attached to the can with Ultra-Torr® fittings. We flushed the connecting tubing with cell air by loosening the fitting for a few minutes. Once the fitting was retightened the pre-evacuated can was opened and filled to cell pressure within seconds. The filling time of each can was shown by a sharp, (logged) pressure response in the AFTIR cell. The canisters were later analyzed at UCI using GC/FID-MSD-ECD (Colman et al., 2001).

ACPD 7,6903-6958,2007 **Tropical Forest fire** emissions R. J. Yokelson et al. **Title Page** Introduction Abstract Conclusions References **Figures Tables** ►I. Back Full Screen / Esc **Printer-friendly Version** Interactive Discussion

2.1.2 IR spectral analysis

Mixing ratios for H_2O , CO_2 , CO_2 , and CH_4 were obtained by multicomponent fits to sections of the IR transmission spectra with a synthetic calibration non-linear leastsquares method (MALT 5.2) recently developed by one of the authors (Griffith). To derive excess mixing ratios (ΔX) for the above species in smoke plumes we took the 5 mixing ratio of the species "X" in the smoke plume grab sample minus the mixing ratio of X in the closest grab sample of background air. The use of a nearby background sample for this subtraction is important because it excludes the contribution of the aged smoke that contributes much of the background air in areas heavily impacted by biomass burning. We used the same background-sample spectra pairs to gener-10 ate absorbance spectra of the smoke plume samples. Excess mixing ratios for NO and NO₂ in smoke plumes were obtained directly from the smoke-plume absorbance spectra using peak integration and a multipoint calibration. Excess mixing ratios for ethylene (C_2H_4) , acetylene (C_2H_2) , propylene (C_3H_6) , methanol (CH_3OH) , formic acid (HCOOH), acetic acid (CH₃COOH), ammonia (NH₃), nitrous acid (HONO), hydrogen 15 cyanide (HCN), and ozone (O_3) were retrieved from the absorbance spectra by spectral subtraction (Yokelson et al., 1997). The spectral subtraction routine used commercial IR reference spectra or multiple reference spectra per species that we recorded in

house for NH₃, CH₃OH, CH₃COOH, C₂H₄, and C₃H₆. Excess mixing ratios for C₂H₆ and HCHO were retrieved from the absorbance spectra using MALT 5.2. For most compounds the detection limit was 5–10 ppbv, but for NO_x, HCHO, acetic acid, C₃H₆, C₂H₆, and O₃ it was usually closer to 15–20 ppbv.

The spectral analysis routines were challenged by applying them to IR spectra of over 50 flowing standard mixtures. The routines typically returned values within 1% of the nominal, delivered amount. Consideration of the accuracy of the standards, flow meters, and other issues suggests that the absolute accuracy of our mixing ratios is $\pm 1-2\%$ for CO₂, CO, and CH₄ and $\pm 5\%$ (1 σ), or the detection limit, whichever is larger, for the other compounds. NH₃ was the only compound noticeably affected by brief

ACPD 7,6903-6958,2007 **Tropical Forest fire** emissions R. J. Yokelson et al. **Title Page** Introduction Abstract Conclusions References **Figures** ►T. Back Full Screen / Esc **Printer-friendly Version** Interactive Discussion EGU

storage in the cell, but the NH₃ values have been corrected both for initial passivation of the cell and slow decay during grab-sample storage as described by Yokelson et al. (2003b) and should be good to $\pm 10\%$ or the detection limit.

2.1.3 PTR-MS

5 A detailed description of the PTR-MS instrument is given elsewhere (Lindinger et al., 1998). Briefly, H_3O^+ ions are used to ionize volatile organic compounds (VOC) via proton-transfer reactions. The value for E/N (E being the electric field strength and N the buffer gas density) in the drift tube was kept at about 123 Townsend (Td) which is high enough to avoid strong clustering of H_3O^+ ions with water and thus a humidity dependent sensitivity. The sensitivity of the PTR-MS instrument during this study 10 was typically on the order of 70 Hz/ppbv (counts per second per ppbv) for acetone and 50 Hz/ppbv for methanol at 2.3 mbar buffer gas pressure with a reaction time of $110 \,\mu s$ and 3–4 MHz H_2O^+ ions, and thus inferred a signal to noise ratio of 60% at a concentration of 20 pptv and a 2 s integration time. The PTR-MS sampled air through a dedicated, rear-facing, Teflon inlet. Typically, about 17 mass channels were monitored 15 during flight with a measurement period for each species of 1-20 s. Higher sampling rates were typically used in the plumes. Additional operational details about the PTR-MS in this campaign are given elsewhere (Karl et al., $2007a^2$).

2.1.4 Particle, ozone, and auxiliary measurements

A list of the particle and ozone instruments deployed by the University of São Paulo, along with their measurement frequency follows. (1) Mass-calibrated nephelometer (DataRAM4) retrieving particle mass (ug/m³) and diameter (microns) at 0.5 Hz. (2) 3-channel nephelometer (RBG) at 0.2857 Hz. (3) 7-channel aethalometer (Magee Scientific) measuring particle absorbance from 950–450 nm every 2 min. (4) Ozone by uv absorbance (1 min time resolution). (5) GPS (Garmin) measuring UTC time, latitude, longitude, and altitude at 1 Hz. Instruments 1–4 had specialized inlets located on the



front belly of the aircraft adjacent to the PTR-MS inlet.

2.1.5 Flight plans and sampling protocols

While based in Alta Floresta (27 August-5 Septemmber) background air (defined here as air not within a visible biomass burning plume) was characterized at various altitudes (up to 3352 m). These were afternoon flights conducted to search for and sample fires and most of the measurements were made below the top of the (hazy) mixed layer. While based in Manaus cleaner background air was sampled during morning flights over a similar altitude range. The Manaus flights included both continuousspiral and "parking-garage"-type vertical profiles over the instrumented ZF-14 Tower and a constant-altitude "racetrack" pattern that sampled several regionally important 10 ecosystems (undisturbed forest, flooded forest, and various plantations) east of Manaus. When sampling background air, the PTR-MS continuously cycled through a suite of mass channels with a resulting measurement frequency for individual species ranging from 10-20 s. Twenty-one canisters were used to "grab" samples at key locations. The airborne FTIR (AFTIR) was operated either continuously (time resolution of 0.83 15 to 18 s) or to acquire 133 grab samples of background air. To measure the initial emissions from fires in both regions, we sampled smoke less than several minutes old by penetrating the column of smoke 200–1000 m above the flame front. The AFTIR system and cans obtained grab samples in the plume (and paired background samples just outside the plume). The other instruments profiled continuously while passing through 20 the plume. More than a few kilometers downwind from the source, smoke samples are "chemically aged" and better for probing post-emission chemistry than estimating initial

emissions (Hobbs et al., 2003; de Gouw et al., 2006).

2.2 Data processing and synthesis

²⁵ Grab samples or profiles of an emission source can provide excess mixing ratios (ΔX , see Sect. 2.1.2.). ΔX reflect the instantaneous dilution of the plume and the instru-



ment response time. Thus, a widely used, derived quantity is the normalized excess mixing ratio where ΔX is compared to a simultaneously measured plume tracer such as ΔCO or ΔCO_2 . A measurement of $\Delta X/\Delta CO$ or $\Delta X/\Delta CO_2$ made in a nascent plume (seconds to a few minutes old) is an emission ratio (ER). The ER $\Delta CO/\Delta CO_2$ and the modified combustion efficiency (MCE, $\Delta CO_2/(\Delta CO_2 + \Delta CO)$ are useful to indicate the relative amount of flaming and smoldering combustion for biomass burning. Higher $\Delta CO/\Delta CO_2$ or lower MCE indicates more smoldering (Ward and Radke, 1993). For any carbonaceous fuel, a set of ER to CO_2 for the other major carbon emissions (i.e. CO, CH_4 , a suite of NMOC, particulate carbon) can be used to calculate emission factors (EF, g compound emitted/kg dry fuel) for all the gases quantified from the source using the carbon mass-balance method (Yokelson et al., 1996). In this project, the primary data needed to calculate EF was provided by AFTIR measurements of CO_2 , CO, CH₄,

and many NMOC. However, the PTR-MS and canister sampling added numerous, important NMOC that were below AFTIR detection limits or not amenable to IR detection. The PM10 data allowed inclusion of particle carbon. (EFs are combined with fuel

¹⁵ The PM10 data allowed inclusion of particle carbon. (EFs are combined with fuel consumption measurements to estimate total emissions at various scales.) Next we summarize the methods we used to calculate ER and EF and to couple/synthesize the data from the various instruments on the aircraft.

2.2.1 Estimation of fire-average, initial Emission Ratios (ER)

- ²⁰ The first step in our analysis was to compute ER to CO and CO_2 for each species detected in the AFTIR or can grab samples; and ER to methanol (justified below) for each species detected by PTR-MS. This is done for each individual fire or each group of co-located, similar fires. If there is only one sample of a fire (as for the canisters) then the calculation is trivial and equivalent to the definition of ΔX given above. For
- ²⁵ multiple AFTIR grab samples of a fire (or group of fires) then the fire-average, initial ER were obtained from the slope of the least-squares line (with the intercept forced to zero) in a plot of one set of excess mixing ratios versus another (see Figs. 2a and b). This method is justified in detail by Yokelson et al. (1999). We calculated the fire-



average MCE for each fire using the fire-average $\Delta CO/\Delta CO_2$ and the equation MCE = $1/((\Delta CO/\Delta CO_2)+1)$.

The ER for PTR-MS compounds with respect to methanol were obtained by similar plots except that the integrated excess mixing ratios (ppbv s) for each pass thru the plume were used in lieu of the individual excess mixing ratios (see Fig. 2c). When two or more compounds appear on the same mass channel, the signal was apportioned to each compound using the branching ratios measured by GC-PTR-MS in smoke from tropical fuels burned during the lab component. This involves additional uncertainty for these compounds since these branching ratios typically varied by 10–20% from fire to fire during the lab experiments.

The ER to CO for the NMOC detected by PTR-MS was derived from a simple two step process. The process is based on the fact that we have found excellent agreement between FTIR and PTR-MS for methanol, over a wide range of concentrations, in two other studies (Christian et al., 2004; Karl et al., 2007a²). An example of the process follows. The ER for acetaldehyde to CO was taken to be the PTR-MS ER "acetalde-

- ¹⁵ follows. The ER for acetaldehyde to CO was taken to be the PTR-MS ER "acetaldehyde/methanol" times the AFTIR ER "methanol/CO." Multiplying again by the AFTIR CO/CO₂ ratio gave the ratio of the NMOC to CO₂ – as needed for the EF calculation. A slightly different approach was needed to couple the data from the particle instruments. The DataRAM4 measures the STP-equivalent mass per unit volume (μ g/m³) of
- ²⁰ particles every two seconds while passing thru a plume. We converted the integrated methanol mixing ratios to an integrated mass (STP) of methanol and ratioed the integrated particle mass to this (see Fig. 2d). The ER for each fire can be derived from the EF in Table 2 (calculated as described next) after accounting for any difference in molecular mass.
- 25 2.2.2 Estimation of fire-average, initial Emission Factors

We estimated fire-average, initial EF for PM10 and each observed trace gas from our fire-average, initial ER using the carbon mass balance method (Ward and Radke, 1993) as described by Yokelson et al. (1999). Briefly, we assume that all the volatilized carbon



is detected and that the fuel carbon content is known. For purposes of the carbon mass balance we assume the particles are 60% C by mass (Ferek et al., 1998). By ignoring unmeasured gases we are probably inflating the emission factors by 1–2% (Andreae and Merlet, 2001). We assumed in our EF calculations that all the fires burned in fuels containing 50% carbon by mass. This is in good agreement with previous studies of tropical biomass (Susott et al., 1996), but the actual fuel carbon percentage may vary by ±10% (2σ) of our nominal value. (Emission factors scale linearly with assumed fuel carbon percentage.) The fire-average, initial emission factors for each compound and fire, along with the fire average MCE, are listed in Table 2. Because NO is mostly converted to NO₂ within minutes of emission (largely due to reaction with O₃ in the entrained background air), we also report a single EF for "NO₄ as NO."

3 Results and discussion

3.1 Characteristics of clean background air

We briefly summarize some of the data obtained in early dry season, clean air near Manaus (see also Karl et al., 2007b). These data are of intrinsic interest and by com-15 parison to data from the more active burning region further south (Sect. 3.2), they highlight the degree to which fires can perturb background air over a large geographic area. Figure 3a shows all the AFTIR CO grab samples from 6 and 7 September, while we were based in the vicinity of Manaus, which was not visibly impacted by a biomass burning haze before noon. The CO average and standard deviation were 20 134 ppb±13 ppb. This is a relatively narrow range. Chou et al. (2002) measured numerous CO vertical profiles in nearly the same location in April-May of 1987. Their figures indicate that their CO values averaged about 100 ppb. The larger values we observed could be due to a gradual increase in pollution in the area and/or the fact that our measurements occurred part way into the beginning of the dry season so that 25 there were probably small enhancements from biomass burning. (A few fires were



sampled around noon on 7 September.) Fig. 3a also shows the water vertical profile. The higher altitude CO samples are from above the mixed layer and they show some of the higher mixing ratios. This is consistent with HYSPLIT back-trajectories (Draxler and Rolph, 2003) indicating that the air at this altitude was transported from a region to the southeast with much active burning as suggested by numerous NOAA-12 hotspots.

the southeast with much active burning as suggested by numerous NOAA-12 hotspots. In contrast the mixed layer air is calculated to have come from the northeast, which was a region mostly free of hotspots.

Figure 3b shows two CO_2 vertical profiles above the ZF-14 Tower northeast of Manaus. One is from late morning and the other is from midday. The profiles are consistent with the CO_2 profiles observed by Chou et al. (2002) in the same region. The morning

- with the CO_2 profiles observed by Chou et al. (2002) in the same region. The morning profiles show CO_2 enhancements at lower elevations due to nighttime respiration exceeding photosynthesis and as the day progresses the enhancements decrease as the forest "draws down" CO_2 . Chou et al. (2002) actually observed a CO_2 deficit at lower elevations by afternoon, but we did not measure afternoon vertical profiles. Our higher
- altitude CO₂ shows small increases in the later profile, which could also be consistent with some transport of biomass burning emissions in the upper layer. The main difference between Chou et al. (2002) and our current measurements is the obvious effect of increasing global CO₂. Their 1987 CO₂ values average around 350 ppm, while our 2004 average for the same region is around 380 ppm. Above the ZF-14 tower, our PM10 ranged from ~40 μ g m⁻³ near the surface to ~30 μ g m⁻³ near the top of the mixed layer. Our O₃ ranged from 1–10 ppbv near the surface and increased to 20– 30 ppbv near the top of the profiles. Our O₃ profile is similar to that reported by Chou
 - 3.2 Characteristics of aged regional smoke haze

et al. (2002).

In contrast to the region near Manaus, the region surrounding our base in Alta Floresta was well into the local dry season and heavily impacted by numerous fires that caused a regional haze of aged smoke sequestered in the mixed layer. (The fire emission factors in Table 2 are derived only from smoke < a few minutes old that was sampled in</p>



concentrated, visually-obvious plumes and not from smoke of unknown age that constitutes the regional haze layer.) Figure 4a shows all the CO values from AFTIR grab samples that were not in smoke plumes in this region. The range is from 100–600 ppb with an average and standard deviation of 328±102 ppb. Thus the background, mixedlayer air in this large fire imported region had about 2.5 times as much CO as were

Iayer air in this large fire-impacted region had about 2.5 times as much CO as was found near Manaus. This degree of impact is similar to the impact on dry season CO observations at the same latitude in Africa (Fig. 1b of Yokelson et al., 2003a).

Most of the lower CO values were from above the mixed layer as can be seen more easily in Fig. 4b. Figure 4b shows the CO and water AFTIR grab sample data in background air for 30 and 31 August. On these days we spent relatively more time

- above the boundary layer so the vertical patterns are more apparent. The water and CO dropped off with altitude in remarkable correlation. This is consistent with our visual observation that the plumes from active fires rarely penetrated the top of the mixed layer; a limitation that was also observed during the southern African biomass burning season (Yokelson et al., 2003a). Interestingly, the smoky mixed layers in Brazil in 2004
- ¹⁵ season (Yokelson et al., 2003a). Interestingly, the smoky mixed layers in Brazil in 2004 extended to only 2–3 km altitude; much lower than the 5–6 km altitude observed at the same latitude, time-of-year, and local time-of-day in Africa during 2000 (Yokelson et al., 2003a, Fig. 1; Schmid et al., 2003, Fig. 11).

We can compare the above airborne CO observations in the 2004 regional
smoke/haze to a long record of previous airborne measurements in Brazil. In 1979 and 1980 Crutzen et al. (1985) measured CO from 100–400 ppb in haze layers over the Amazon (their Figs. 10 and 11). The 1985 study of Andreae et al. (1988) shows Amazon dry season CO ranging from 150–600 ppb (their Fig. 4). Kaufman et al. (1992) also reported haze layer CO ranging from ~150–600 ppb in 1989 (their Fig. 4). Blake
et al. (1996) observed haze layer CO values from ~100–400 during TRACE A in 1992.

1995 featured an amount of biomass burning that was well above average for Brazil. The SCAR-B mission was conducted late in the 1995 dry season as biomass burning peaked and Reid et al. (1998) observed much higher levels of CO than we have presented thus far. Average CO values for flights based in several central Brazil locations

ACPD 7, 6903–6958, 2007		
Tropical Forest fire emissions		
R. J. Yokelson et al.		
Abstract	Introduction	
Conclusions	References	
Tables	Figures	
I4	۶I	
•	•	
Back	Back Close	
Full Scr	Full Screen / Esc	
Printer-friendly Version		
EGU		

ranged from 440–760 ppb (their Table 1).

Our average PM10 values for vertical profiles in the regional haze layer ranged from 70–120 μ g m⁻³ at 300–500 m to 30–60 μ g m⁻³ near the top (~3000 m). Ozone values were about 30 ppbv throughout these haze layers similar to the observations in the

⁵ CITE-3, Brushfire, and ABLE-2A studies referred to above. During SCAR-B, however, O₃ ranged from 60-100 ppb, consistent with the more polluted boundary layer present in the late 1995 dry season.

In summary, 2004, through 7 September, appears to be a year with typical concentrations of biomass burning haze based on the comparison of our CO, PM, and O_3 measurements to other measurements from the last ~30 years. However, as discussed in Sect. 3.6, our measurements on 8 September probed widespread unusually high levels of pollutants.

It is also of interest to compare the airborne CO measurements with the CO measurements obtained during the same time period by the ground-based FTIR system

- (Christian07b). The ground-based samples obtained well away from visible smoke plumes return much higher values. The average for 25 afternoon samples taken within ~100 km of Alta Floresta from 26 August–8 September was 1.35±1.15 ppm with a range from 0.330 to 4.76 ppm. Gatti et al. (personal communication) monitored CO levels at a pasture site in Rondonia in September and October of 1999 and observed
- a range of CO from 0.6 to 1.3 ppm. Thus while airborne sampling retrieved the composition of the majority of the mixed layer, more polluted air was found at ground level than would be inferred from airborne measurements. At this time we don't know the thickness of the ground-level layer. Above the mixed layer, the CO tends to drop off sharply to a mixing ratio characteristic of the free troposphere. Both the African and
- ²⁵ Brazilian CO profiles do not resemble the a-priori CO profile used for MOPITT CO retrievals (Emmons et al., 2004). We speculate that consideration of the shapes of the actual profiles might enhance CO retrievals from space-based instruments.

AC	PD	
7, 6903–6	7, 6903–6958, 2007	
Tropical Forest fire emissions		
Title Page		
Abstract	Introduction	
Conclusions	References	
Tables	Figures	
14	۶I	
•	•	
Back	Back Close	
Full Screen / Esc		
Printer-friendly Version		
Interactive Discussion		
EGU		

3.3 Description and significance of global and Brazilian deforestation fires

We provide an overview of the global significance of tropical deforestation fires and describe their characteristics in Brazil for the reader who is not familiar with these topics. Recent estimates of the total amount of biomass burned globally vary from
⁵ about 5 to 7 Pg C/y (Andreae and Merlet, 2001; Page et al., 2002). The contribution of tropical deforestation fires to total global biomass burning has been estimated as 52% (Crutzen and Andreae, 1990), 34% (Hao and Liu, 1994), and 15% (Andreae and Merlet, 2001). Thus, factors of 2–3 uncertainty need to be resolved, but these fires consistently emerge as one of the three major types of burning along with savanna fires is large in part because it is unclear if small fires or understory fires can be quantified from space (Brown et al., 2006) and because at least partial cloud cover is common in forested areas.

Conversion of the Amazon primary forest usually starts at the beginning of the dry season (May-July) when the small-diameter biomass is slashed and dried ~1 month to make a flammable mat that promotes consumption of the large-diameter material, which is felled next (Fearnside, 1993). To achieve high consumption the burns mostly occur late in the dry season (August–October). A typical anthropogenic fire in the tropics unfolds as follows. A line of flame is initiated along part or all of the outer edge of the

- area to be burned. At this point the emissions are nearly all from flaming combustion and nearly all entrained in the flame-induced convection plume. Once the flame front has propagated inward, the convection column will also entrain the emissions from any smoldering combustion that continues in the area just vacated by the flame front. In a homogeneous fuel bed a steady mixture of flaming and smoldering emissions will
- ²⁵ be produced by much of the fuel. In some cases, smoldering can continue after the convection envelope has moved too far away to entrain the emissions or until after convection from the entire site has ceased. When either of these conditions is met, we term this residual smoldering combustion (RSC) and RSC emissions are not initially



lofted or amenable to airborne sampling. When dry large-diameter fuels are present RSC has been observed to continue for many days and account for a large part of the total biomass consumed (Bertschi et al., 2003b; Kauffman et al., 1998).

- Next we provide a brief summary of the types of biomass burning that occur in Brazil
 with references to more details. Brazil contains ~2×10⁶ km² of savanna (cerrado), mostly in southern Brazil (Coutinho, 1990). The savanna is burned every 1–3 years rapidly consuming 5–10 t/ha of grass (Coutinho, 1990; Ward et al., 1992; Kauffman et al., 1994; Andrade et al., 1999). For estimating the emissions from any global savanna fire, we recommend the tables for African savanna fires in (Christian et al., 2003)
 and (Andreae and Merlet, 2001) for additional species. Brazil also has ~4×10⁶ km² of evergreen tropical forest mostly in the Amazon basin. This represents ~25% of the world's total "rainforest." The Brazilian space agency (INPE) monitors the conversion of primary forest in the Amazon basin (http://www.obt.inpe.br/prodes/). Deforestation
- rates since 1978 have ranged from 11–29×10³ km²/y (~2×10⁶ ha annually). Cumulative deforested area for 1978–2005 was estimated at 541716 km² with 85% of this occurring in just 19% of the Amazon basin; mainly in the states of Pará, Mato Grosso, Maranhão, and Rondonia. This is an area that spans the southern and eastern edge of the Amazon basin and experiences encroaching development. Deforestation fires feature enormous total aboveground biomass (TAGB) loading compared to savanna fires:
- e.g. 288, 402, 265, 349±21 (n=7), and 292 t/ha reported by Carvalho et al. (1998, 2001); Fearnside et al. (1993); Guild et al. (1998); and Ward et al. (1992). In these studies the percentage of the TAGB consumed by the fire was 50, 21, 29, 48 (n=7), and 53 and large diameter fuels (>10 cm) typically accounted for >50% of the total fuel consumption.
- Pasture fires are intermediate between savanna and primary forest fires, because residual wood debris (RWD) persists for many years. Reported TAGB ranges from 119 t/ha (with 87% of TAGB being RWD in a 4 yr old pasture) to 53 t/ha (47% RWD, in a 20 yr old pasture) (Barbosa and Fearnside, 1996; Guild et al., 1998; Kauffman et al., 1998). Large-diameter RWD is reported to account for 38–49% of the fuel consumption



in the above studies. A few other fire-types occur in Brazil in TAGB that is intermediate between primary forests and savannas. Selective logging opens the forest canopy allowing the logging debris to dry, which increases susceptibility to fire (Kauffman and Uhl, 1990; Cochrane et al., 1999). Selective logging is increasing in the Amazon and

Latin America was projected to pass Indonesia in tropical lumber production by 2007 (Grainger, 1987; Laurance, 2000). Dry, open forests, such as the Caatinga, occur on a large scale in Brazil. Natural fires in these forests frequently burn the small-diameter biomass <1–2 m above the forest floor, but these forests are also subject to landuse change (Kauffman et al., 1993). Secondary forests have lower TAGB than primary forest, but are used in similar fashion (Fearnside, 1990; 2000).

Some years ago, according to Fearnside (1990), after fires in primary forest, lands were converted (~75% of the time) directly to pasture (usually large landowners), or used for several cycles of shifting cultivation (smallholders) prior to conversion to pasture. In shifting cultivation the plots were used for 2–3 years, left fallow for 4–6 years while secondary forest grew, then burned and reused. Pastures were burned every 2–3 years and usually had a lifetime of 10–20 years (Guild et al., 1998). As a result pastures occupied the most deforested land, pasture burning was the most common type of fire in the Amazon, and (for Brazil) the total emissions from pasture fires were comparable to the emissions from deforestation fires (Barbosa et al., 1996; Kauffman

et al., 1998). Globally, deforestation fires associated with shifting cultivation and plantation establishment dominate and pasture fires are less common.

In Brazil, the last 5 years have seen explosive growth in large-scale, mechanized soybean production, especially in Mato Grosso. The croplands for soy are provided both by conversion of former pastures and direct conversion of forest. In either case,

no remaining large-diameter fuels are acceptable. There are a few statistics on the relative frequency of the two conversion pathways. Initially, Cardille and Foley (2003) identified Mato Grosso and Pará as the states with the most area where agricultural practices changed from 1980 to 1995. Later, Morton et al. (2006) found that Mato Grosso accounted for 40% of the new deforestation in Amazonia from 2000-2004 and



87% of the increase in cropland in Amazonia. Within Mato Grosso from 2001-2004, pasture was still the main use following deforestation, but that fraction was decreasing (to 66%) and direct transition to large (>25 ha) areas of cropland accounted for up to 23% of deforestation. Deforestation for cropland accounted for 28% of clearings larger than 200 ha in 2003. Thus the expansion of mechanized agriculture may be associated with an increase in both the area of individual fires and the fuel consumption per unit area.

5

Because some Brazilian fires consume large quantities of large-diameter material, RSC could be important. There is mixed evidence in this respect which is discussed
in detail in Christian et al. (2007b)³. In summary, smoldering and possibly RSC could produce a large part of the total regional emissions and this helped motivate our simultaneous airborne and ground based campaigns. However, RSC likely occurs mostly on pasture maintenance fires rather than the deforestation fires, which were our main target. Also the mix of combustion types for a region could certainly vary within a dry season and from year to year with climate and land-use changes.

3.4 Description and relevance of individual fires sampled in the TROFFEE airborne campaign

Nearly all the fires we found in Mato Grosso and southern Pará seemed related to the expansion of existing, large farms or ranches (Table 1). All but 3 of the fires observed on flights out of Alta Floresta were located on the edge of forested (or partially forested) areas that were adjacent to large tracts of cleared, often cultivated, land. In fact, casual examination of MODIS visible images of this region reveals that nearly all hotspots are located at the edge of dark-green (forested) areas, adjacent to light-green (cleared) areas (http://rapidfire.sci.gsfc.nasa.gov/subsets/

?AERONET_Alta_Floresta/2004252). However, the second fire sampled on 29 August was in a grass meadow and no large fuels were visible from the air. This fire was probably to maintain an older pasture. Another exception was a pair of small deforestation fires on opposite banks of the Xingu River observed on 31 August 2004 in the cen-



ter of an indigenous reserve and far from any visible clearings or roads. These fires were likely due to shifting cultivation and the one we sampled is labeled the "SC" fire in Tables 1 and 2. In the U.S., efficient burning of logging slash is promoted by using a bulldozer to push the fuel into long strip-like piles termed "windrows." Burning windrows
were observed from the aircraft on at least one group of fires we sampled (30 August Fires 1-4). Since RWD interferes with mechanized agriculture, but not cattle ranching, this is evidence the area was being prepared for the former use. In all areas sampled, the fires frequently occurred in clusters.

TROFFEE supported a planned, deforestation fire that was carried out on a farm
 near Alta Floresta (Fazenda Caiabí) under the leadership of João Carvalho (University of Estadual Paulista) and Ernesto Alvarado (University of Washington). The fuel consumption and fire ecology was studied in detail by an international team. Measurements included time-resolved biomass combustion and total charcoal production, propagation of smoldering combustion, forest flammability and fire characteristics in forest
 adjacent to clearcuts, on-site meteorology and climatology, fire effects on groundwater

- ¹⁵ adjacent to clearcuts, on-site meteorology and climatology, fire effects on groundwater chemistry, monitoring of recovery of burned areas with remote sensing, and regeneration of burned areas. The emissions from this fire were sampled by ground-based FTIR (Christian et al., 2007b³) and the TROFFEE aircraft (5 September data in Tables 1 and 2). Pasture fires were undersampled relative to their importance in Brazil, but we achieved our objective of comprehensive chemical sampling of the emissions.
- ²⁰ but we achieved our objective of comprehensive chemical sampling of the emissions from deforestation fires, which are far more significant globally.

The timing and extent, and perhaps representativeness, of Brazilian biomass burning in 2004 can be compared to other years using metrics other than the regional CO, PM, and O₃ values discussed in Sect. 3.2. Dating back to at least 1993 a nearcontinuous, regional record of aerosol optical thickness (Holben et al., 1996; Echalar et al., 1998; http://aeronet.gsfc.nasa.gov/newaeronet1.html) and deforestation rates exists. Unfortunately, the Alta Floresta sun photometer was not operational during the peak of the 2004 burning season (Holben, personal communication). The INPE deforestation data, however, shows 2004 (27 429 km²) as the second highest year after 1995

ACPD 7,6903-6958,2007 **Tropical Forest fire** emissions R. J. Yokelson et al. **Title Page** Introduction Abstract Conclusions References **Figures** Back Full Screen / Esc **Printer-friendly Version** Interactive Discussion EGU

(29 059 km²) - the year of the SCAR-B campaign. Thus, both TROFFEE and SCAR-B were evidently conducted in well above-average years. The number of NOAA-12 hotspots (http://www.cptec.inpe.br/queimadas/) for 2004 (236 821) is also above average (average for 2000–2005; 192 569) and just above 2002 (232 921), which was the second-biggest year since 2000. Interestingly, 2002 was the year for another smokesampling campaign termed SMOCC (Andreae et al., 2004). While the annual total for the NOAA-12 hotspots are readily available, they likely underestimate the true number of fires, especially under extreme burning conditions as discussed in Sect. 3.6.

3.5 Initial emissions from tropical deforestation fires

Since a variety of large changes can occur in smoke chemistry in the minutes to days after emission, segregation of results by sample age and history (to the degree possible) enhances interpretation of the results and comparison with models and other measurements. Thus, only excess mixing ratios measured <~1 km from the fire were used to compute our initial emission ratios and emission factors. Forty-two plume penetrations of this type were made. In contrast to the background-air grab samples discussed above, the excess CO mixing ratios (above background) in the AFTIR, plume grab samples were in the range 1–31 ppmv for ~90% of the samples. Thus, excellent signal to noise was observed on all instruments for each fire for numerous species.

3.5.1 Natural variation in emission factors

In Fig. 5 we plot the fire-average emission factors versus MCE (data from Table 2) for selected compounds. This gives some idea of the natural variation in emission factors that results from deforestation fires burning under a range of vegetative/environmental conditions and different mixtures of flaming and smoldering combustion. Figure 5a shows NO_x emissions which increase as MCE (and thus flaming combustion) increases. Figures 5b–d show the pattern typical of most of the VOC we measured – the EF for these "smoldering compounds" increased with decreasing MCE. Figure 5e



shows that EFPM10 also increases with decreasing MCE. The range in EF (with MCE) for these species is about a factor of two, which is a smaller range than we observed for African savanna fires (Yokelson et al., 2003a). Figure 5f shows that EFCH₃CN did not have a strong dependence on MCE. This is similar to the pattern observed for HCN from savanna fires by Yokelson et al. (2003a). However, like EFHCN, the EFCH₃CN

⁵ from savanna fires by Yokelson et al. (2003a). However, like EFHCN, the EFCH₃CN did vary by $\sim \pm 50\%$, possibly due to varying fuel N content. The use of acetonitrile as a biomass burning indicator/tracer is discussed later in this paper and by Karl et al. (2007a)².

3.5.2 Comparison with other work

It is most meaningful to compare our study-average, initial emission-factor measurements in nascent smoke from Brazilian deforestation fires with measurements made in August-September of 1990 using a tower-based platform by Ward et al. (1992) during BASE-B; and in August–September of 1995 from an aircraft by Ferek et al. (1998) as part of SCAR-B. We also compare to a widely-used compilation of EF for tropical forests by Andreae and Merlet (2001).

The EFCO₂, EFCO, and, especially, MCE all reflect the overall mix of flaming and smoldering combustion in a fire and thus these parameters can give some idea of the similarity of the combustion characteristics of the fires we sampled to fires sampled previously. This serves as one probe of how representative our fires were of regional fires in general. Ward et al and Ferek et al report individual values for flaming and

fires in general. Ward et al and Ferek et al report individual values for flaming and smoldering combustion and it is not always clear if they have a recommended studyaverage for primary forest fuels. However, our study-average MCE for deforestation fires (Table 2) indicates that they burn with roughly equal amounts of flaming and smoldering (Yokelson et al., 1996). Thus, when necessary, we compare to the average of the flaming and smoldering values given in the other work in the following discussion.

For CO₂ the EF are 1614 \pm 56 (Ward et al., 1992), 1599 (Ferek et al., 1998), and 1580 \pm 90 (Andreae and Merlet). All these values are reasonably close to each other and our study average of 1615 \pm 40. Similarly for CO the previous values are 110 \pm 28,



105, and 104 ± 20 in excellent agreement with each other and our value of 101 ± 24 . The MCE are $.903\pm.03$, .906, .906, and our value of $.910\pm.021$. Thus our values are well within the range of previous measurements, but seem to reflect slightly more flaming combustion on average than previous work.

- ⁵ The research fire on 5 September, which was designed to simulate regional fires apparently had a significantly higher MCE than our regional average. However, the higher MCE partly reflected that we did sample the beginning of the fire, but could not finish sampling the full fire (smoldering contributes less at the beginning of a fire) because of aircraft fuel considerations. Our airborne samples showed that MCE initially
- ¹⁰ decreased with time and then stabilized. It is also interesting to note that the fires sampled later in TROFFEE tended to have higher MCE, which could be due to the protracted dry period after unusual rains in mid August. Finally, the plume from the intense burning event sampled on 8 September (see Sect. 3.6 below) also had higher than study-average MCE. Thus late-season, "higher-MCE" plumes may account for a
- fair percentage of the total regional biomass burned. On the other hand, prolonged dry spells will desiccate large diameter logs, which tend to burn with a low MCE (~.788, Christian et al., 2007b³) producing initially unlofted smoke. So the real nature of the "total regional smoke" is governed by complex sometimes competing trends, which need further analysis.

Rather than an exhaustive species by species comparison with other work for the numerous other trace gases measured, we have tried to summarize the comparison in Fig. 6 and provide some useful guidance. Then a few comments are made about select individual species. Many compounds appear in both our work (Table 2) and the recommendations of Andreae and Merlet (AM). In general, our values are based

on a larger number of measurements and should probably be preferred to those in AM who acknowledge basing many of their values on 1–2 less direct measurements and/or "best guesses" due to a lack of detailed information available at the time. On the other hand, a number of compounds appear in the AM recommendations that we did not measure during TROFFEE. Most of these are minor plume constituents, but some

ACPD 7, 6903–6958, 2007			
Tropical Forest fire emissions R. J. Yokelson et al.			
Title	Title Page		
Abstract	Introduction		
Conclusions	References		
Tables	Figures		
14	▶1		
•	•		
Back	Close		
Full Scre	Full Screen / Esc		
Printer-friendly Version			
Interactive Discussion			
EGU			

are of major importance (e.g. SO₂). We recommend continuing to use the AM values for compounds we did not measure since there is reasonable agreement between our work and theirs on most of the compounds we both address (see Fig. 6). Finally our work includes data on a number of "new," significant plume constituents for which ⁵ information was not previously available. Included in this category are nitrous acid, acrylonitrile, pyrrole, methylvinylketone, methacrolein, crotonaldehyde, methylethylketone, methylpropanal, "acetol plus methylacetate," furaldehydes, dimethylsulfide, and C₁-C₄ alkyl nitrates (Table 2).

- In early fire research it was usually assumed that most of the NMOC were NMHC as was actually the case for industrial combustion of fossil fuels. As mentioned in the introduction, a key discovery of previous FTIR and PTR-MS work was that OVOC accounted for the large majority of NMOC emitted by the fires sampled. A goal of this project was to verify this for tropical deforestation fires. The TROFFEE data show that the molar ratio OVOC/NMHC is about 4:1 – or that OVOC account for ~80% of the NMOC. With the completion of TROFFEE, there are now reasonably comprehensive field measurements of the NMOC emitted by all the major types of biomass burning. The new information provided on the "universal dominance" of OVOC is significant
- OVOC (Mason et al., 2001; Trentmann et al., 2005).

A few comments are made about individual species we measured. An IR signal due to HONO was observed on the lab fires and 2 field fires, but the measurements are semi-quantitative due to a low SNR. However, the presence of any HONO signal is significant since even a small amount of HONO in the initial emissions is a source of OH that speeds up the initial plume chemistry (Trentmann et al., 2005). Our field, study-

because of the huge size of the biomass burning source and the reactive nature of

²⁵ average HONO EF (0.26±0.13 g/kg) overlaps the other relevant HONO EF we know of (Keene et al., 2006): 0.24 g/kg shrubs, 0.19±.08 g/kg branches, and 0.14±0.05 g/kg grass.

We point out that the study-average EF for phenol presented in Table 2 may be an underestimate due to sampling difficulties for this sticky compound discussed by Karl



et al. (2007a)². Phenol was below the AFTIR detection limit, but it was measured by open-path FTIR during the laboratory component of TROFFEE. The lab emission factors for phenol were highly correlated with MCE and the lab results imply that an EF for phenol near 2.29 g/kg would be appropriate at our field-study-average MCE of 0.91 ⁵ for deforestation fires. If this latter value is appropriate it would be 382 times larger than the AM recommendation. More detailed coupling of lab and field work appears in Christian et al. (2007a)¹.

As mentioned above, the EF for acetonitrile was not strongly correlated with MCE in our field study. Thus, our study-average EF of 0.37±0.10 g/kg seems to be a good estimate for all tropical deforestation fires regardless of MCE. However, our EF for acetonitrile from deforestation fires does differ significantly from recommended EFCH₃CN for other types of burning. For example, 0.13 g/kg for savanna fires and 4.91 g/kg for burning Indonesian peat (Christian et al., 2003). In addition, acetonitrile emissions have not been measured for cooking fires, which may be the second largest type of biomass burning. Still, these results suggest that (with proper attention to the type of fire) inverse modeling of PTR-MS acetonitrile measurements could contribute to estimates of the amount of biomass burned.

The particle emission factors we measured during TROFFEE are significantly larger than in previous work or recommendations. Ferek et al. (1998) reported a range of

- ²⁰ EFPM4 from 2–21 g/kg and a study average of about 11 g/kg for Brazilian deforestation fires. The tower-based measurements of Ward et al. (1992) returned values for EFPM2.5 ranging from 6.8 to 10.4 g/kg with an average of about 9 g/kg for forest fuels. Ferek et al speculated that their higher average and high end values were due to incomplete particle formation being probed from the tower platform. This hypoth-
- esis was supported by simultaneous tower and airborne PM measurements on the same Brazilian fires (Babbitt et al., 1996). In that experiment, the airborne EFPM2.5 averaged about 11 g/kg while the EFPM2.5 measured on the same fires from towers averaged about 4 g/kg. In any case our study average value for PM10, which includes a wider range of particle sizes than the work referenced above, is significantly higher at

AC	PD	
7, 6903–6	7, 6903–6958, 2007	
Tropical Forest fire emissions		
R. J. Yoke	R. J. Yokelson et al.	
Title Page		
Abstract	Introduction	
Conclusions	References	
Tables	Figures	
14	۶I	
•	•	
Back	Back Close	
Full Scre	Full Screen / Esc	
Printer-friendly Version		
Interactive Discussion		
EGU		

17.8±4.1 g/kg. For most types of biomass burning the PM10 values might be expected to be about 30% higher than the PM2.5 or PM4 values (AM, Ottmar, 2001). Applying this factor to the study average of Ferek et al gives a projected PM10 of about 14 g/kg – still lower than our TROFFEE value. A major reason for the rest of this discrepancy
⁵ could be related to fire size and intensity. Ferek et al noted that their largest, most intense fire in Brazil had a much higher EFPM4 or PM4/CO ratio than the other fires they sampled in SCAR-B. They cited their measurements on even larger more intense fires in North America, which had even higher EFPM4, and proposed that EFPM increase with fire size and combustion intensity. For example they cited EFPM3.5 from 15–25 g/kg (implying an average PM10 of ~26 g/kg) for large, intense North American fires (Radke et al., 1991; Hobbs, 1997). In our TROFFEE data, the lowest EFPM10 (12–14 g/kg) are from our smallest fires (5 and 7 September). Our largest EFPM10

(26.4 g/kg) was obtained on 3 September. This plume was the largest and most intense we encountered (turbulence during the last sample damaged the aircraft cabin and instrumentation). Thus we speculate that our larger study-average EFPM values for Brazil could be due to sampling larger, more-intense fires (on average) than in previous studies in Brazil. If correct, this raises two interesting questions (1) what fire sizes contribute what fraction of the regional biomass burning and (2) is there a trend in fire size related to trends in land-use (Sect. 3.3).

A species by species comparison of the emissions for the three main types of burning is beyond the scope of this paper. Here we just point out a few main characteristics of the 3 main types of burning. Cooking fire emissions occur year round and are not initially lofted. The emissions immediately impact human health (Bertschi et al., 2003a). Average MCE is about .91 and HCN was not observed from cooking fires. In contrast,

savanna fires occur only in the dry season, burn with higher MCE (~.94), and most of the emissions (including ample HCN) are lofted. Tropical deforestation fires also burn in the dry season (with MCE ~0.91) and generally feature higher smoldering compound emissions per unit mass of fuel, higher fuel loadings, and more emissions per unit area than savanna fires. Specifically, the emission factors for methane, acetic acid, ac-

ACPD 7,6903-6958,2007 **Tropical Forest fire** emissions R. J. Yokelson et al. **Title Page** Introduction Abstract Conclusions References **Figures** ►T. Back Full Screen / Esc **Printer-friendly Version** Interactive Discussion EGU

etaldehyde, acetone, formaldehyde, ethane, methanol, ammonia, and acetonitrile were all ~2-3 times higher for tropical deforestation fires than for savanna fires (Christian et al., 2003). Interestingly, the EF for carbon dioxide, ethylene, HCN, formic acid, acetylene, and propylene were about the same for both fire types. This could imply some value for some of these compounds to serve as rough, global non-biofuel biomass burning tracers, but caution is needed as there are other sources of most of these species (Li et al., 2000; Shim et al., 2007). When compared to savanna fires, the EF for CO is significantly higher for tropical deforestation fires and the EF "NO_x as NO" is significantly smaller for these fires. The NMOC are dominated by OVOC for all types of biomass burning. The smoke from outdoor fires that impacts human health and global

biomass burning. The smoke from outdoor fires that impacts human health and global climate/chemistry is aged. There are two dry seasons in the tropics: ~February–May in the Northern Hemisphere and ~June–October in the Southern Hemisphere. Only November-January are mostly unaffected by outdoor biomass burning emissions.

3.5.3 Regional-global bottom-up emissions estimates

As discussed in Sect. 3.1 about 2 million ha of tropical rain forest are converted/burned 15 on average each year in Brazil. The data in that section also suggests that about 120 tons per hectare of fuel are consumed in these fires. That implies 2.4×10⁸ tons or 2.4×10^{11} kg of biomass burned annually. The last value can be multiplied by any EF in Table 2 for a bottom-up estimate of annual emissions from Brazilian tropical deforestation fires. For instance, 388 Tg and 4 Tg are crude estimates of the annual 20 CO₂ and PM10 emissions from Brazilian deforestation fires. About 20-50% larger emissions than predicted by this type of estimate are warranted for several VOC to account for RSC in Brazilian pasture fires as detailed by Christian et al. (2007b)³. The ~240 Tg of biomass burned each year is about 20% of the total (1330 Tg) consumed by tropical deforestation fires given by AM. This implies that other countries (Indonesia, 25 Congo, Ivory Coast, etc.) have higher, national, deforestation rates. Assuming that the emissions from Brazilian deforestation fires are similar to those from deforestation fires



(above) to estimate global emissions from deforestation fires. For instance, this implies that about 2148 Tg of CO_2 and 24 Tg of PM10 are emitted by deforestation fires globally on an annual basis. Of course it should be remembered that the emissions from any contributing region are emitted in much less than one year and that the vast majority of these emissions are too reactive to be well-mixed globally.

3.6 Mega-plume

5

The statements in Sects. 3.1 and 3.2 about background CO falling within the range observed earlier pertain to our measurements up to 7 September. In contrast, on 8 September, during transit (at ~2.0 km altitude) from Manaus to Cuiabá we encountered CO values as high as 1172 ppb from about 8.3° S (~11:00 a.m. LT) to 13° S (~12:30 p.m. LT) – a distance >500 km (Fig. 7a). Visibility was often too low to see the ground. Thus, no fires were observed from the aircraft during this time. On 8 September there was also a sharp maximum in the daily NOAA-12 hotspot total for Brazil (http://www.cptec.inpe.br/queimadas/) and a very large area with high TOMS Earth Probe aerosol index (AI) appeared (Figs. 7b and c). These observations suggest

- ¹⁵ Earth Probe aerosol index (AI) appeared (Figs. /b and c). These observations suggest the presence of either "extreme haze" or a massive plume formed from the combined output of numerous fires. Because many relatively short-lived fire emissions were still present (vide infra), we prefer the latter explanation and have termed this phenomenon a "mega-plume." The mega-plume or "white ocean" of smoke covered a large area
- in Brazil, Bolivia, and Paraguay for about one month draining southeastward. Selected, narrated MODIS visible images of the smoke from this "event" are archived from 6 September to 8 October of 2004 (http://earthobservatory.nasa.gov/NaturalHazards/natural_hazards_v2.php3?img_id=12424) and the TOMS Earth Probe AI is elevated until late September (http://toms.gsfc.nasa.gov/aerosols/aerosols_v8.html). The NOAA-
- 12 hotspots are elevated from ~3–19 September and the hotspots during this period account for roughly one-quarter of the total NOAA-12 hotspots for the year. This suggests that a large fraction of the total biomass burned in 2004 may have produced smoke that was processed in this mega-plume/smoke-ocean event. Brown et al. (2006)

ACPD 7,6903-6958,2007 **Tropical Forest fire** emissions R. J. Yokelson et al. **Title Page** Introduction Abstract Conclusions References **Figures** Back Full Screen / Esc **Printer-friendly Version** Interactive Discussion EGU

describe a similar smoke event in the western Amazon occurring in the fall of 2005. Thus mega-plumes and an accompanying ocean of smoke may be fairly common occurrences in Brazil and other areas of the tropics when biomass burning is peaking. The chemical processing environment may be the relevant environment for a large
 part of the total emissions.

Only the AFTIR and GPS acquired data on this flight as the other instrumentation had been deployed at the ZF-14 Tower (Karl et al., 2007b). The AFTIR spectra show that the mega-plume (or smoke ocean) contained 10–50 ppbv of numerous reactive species such as NH₃, NO₂, CH₃OH, and organic acids and high PM10 (100–200 μ g/m³) can be inferred. The mega-plume was not perfectly mixed, however, all the samples showed depletion (relative to CO) of reactive species such as HCHO, C₂H₄ and C₂H₂. Many samples also showed incipient production of O₃ and both formic and acetic acids. To illustrate the mega-plume chemistry and aging effects, we computed "effective emission factors" for the mega-plume that are shown in Table 2. The effective emission factors

- (EEF) reflect the temporary composition of the mega-plume for the range of time and location that it was sampled and the EEF are not included in the computation of the study-average initial emission factors. Also shown in Table 2, for each species, is the mega-plume EEF minus the study average EF, divided by the standard deviation in the study average EF. As seen in Table 2 the average mega-plume sample was enriched
- ²⁰ by ~6 and 13 standard deviations for formic and acetic acid, respectively. This corresponds to normalized excess mixing ratios relative to CO of about 5% and 1.6% for these species respectively, which can be compared to the study-average initial values of about 1.6 and 0.3%, respectively. $\Delta O_3 / \Delta CO$ in the mega-plume was most often positive and ranged from -1 to +5%. Potentially similar post-emission smoke chem-
- istry can be probed by comparing the PTR-MS data for initial emissions to that for the biomass-burning-induced regional haze that we sampled from 27 August to 5 September (Karl et al., 2007a²). The fire plumes producing the earlier haze mixed with the haze before combining with each other and that haze was also less-concentrated and of more ambiguous age. Nevertheless, it probably had some chemical processes in

ACPD 7,6903-6958,2007 **Tropical Forest fire** emissions R. J. Yokelson et al. **Title Page** Introduction Abstract Conclusions References **Figures** Þ١ Back Full Screen / Esc **Printer-friendly Version** Interactive Discussion EGU

common with the mega-plume. In that earlier regional haze, Karl et al. $(2007a)^2$ observed a ~50% increase in the acetone/acetonitrile ratio, but no evidence of secondary formation of methanol or acetaldehyde or other species.

- Secondary production of ozone and organic acids in an isolated smoke plume from a forest fire was previously observed in Alaska by Goode et al. (2000). Yokelson et al. (2003a) observed $\Delta O_3/\Delta CO$ and $\Delta CH_3 COOH/\Delta CO$ rise to ~9% in less than one hour in plumes from African grass fires, but no formic acid was produced. Jost et al. (2003) observed secondary production of acetone in a savanna fire plume. Trentmann et al. (2005) successfully modeled many aspects of the chemical evolution of
- ¹⁰ individual smoke plumes in Africa, but could not account for the observed secondary production of acetone or acetic acid. The ozone production could only be modeled by increasing initial VOC (to account for unmeasured VOC) or by invoking a few possible, but unconfirmed, heterogeneous effects. Our observation of fast formation of acetic acid and O₃ in the mega-plume and increased acetone in the regional haze suggests.
- that important, but unknown, chemistry occurs in isolated smoke plumes mega-plumes, and regional haze.

It is difficult to pinpoint what was burning to create the mega-plume, but some insight is gained from the attempt. The largest group of NOAA-12 hotspots for a late-afternoon, 8 September overpass was located near 50 W or ~22 h to the east according to HYS-

- PLIT back trajectories (Draxler and Rolph, 2003). The sampled air would have passed this location around 02:00 p.m. LT on 7 September. The afternoon overpass for 7 September shows reduced (compared to the 8th) but very substantial hotspot activity in that same area. Another, closer, large group of NOAA-12 hotspots detected on Sept 8 was located along 55W only ~4 h to the east. This is consistent with the observation
- of slight aging, but would suggest that the fires were active by ~08:00-09:00 a.m. The common assumption is, however, that most fires are ignited in the afternoon. Other possibilities are that the relevant hotspots were undetected or that the HYSPLIT back trajectories are highly uncertain in this remote region. To check the former hypothesis we examined the MODIS visible archives available (but hotspot numbers not tabulated)

ACPD 7, 6903–6958, 2007		
Iropical I emis	Tropical Forest fire	
R. J. Yoke	R. J. Yokelson et al.	
Title	Title Page	
Abstract	Introduction	
Conclusions	References	
Tables	Figures	
14	►I	
•	Þ	
Back	Close	
Full Scre	Full Screen / Esc	
Driptor frie		
Printer-friei	Printer-friendly Version	
Interactive Discussion		
EGU		

at: http://rapidfire.sci.gsfc.nasa.gov/subsets/?AERONET_Alta_Floresta/2004252. Perhaps surprisingly, even the ~10:30 a.m. LT Terra overpass shows numerous hotspots both along the 55W line and closer hotspots (not seen on NOAA-12 images) that overlap our flight track (approximately along 56 W). This suggests several important things:

(1) numerous fires were ignited in the morning on 8 September, (2) much of the smoke we sampled was likely ~0–4 h old, and (3) the NOAA-12 hotspots sometimes miss significant areas of active burning (at least under extreme conditions). In any case, the mega-plume samples probed smoke that was most likely less than 1 day old.

Christian et al. $(2007b)^3$ observed a study-average MCE for RSC of ~.788 in the

- ¹⁰ ground-based campaign. The mega-plume had a higher MCE of 0.923 suggesting that the bulk of the emissions we sampled were not produced by RSC. The mega-plume MCE is also above our study average MCE of 0.91. This suggests that the smoke we happened to sample from this major burning episode originated from relatively more flaming combustion than in the fires we sampled earlier (except for the planned fire).
- The humidity during the 8 September flight was also by far the lowest we encountered. By 11AM LT the water vapor had dropped below 1% as compared to 1.5–2.5% for afternoon lows on earlier flights. The low humidity and the higher MCE could explain the peak in the hotspots by indicating a strong preference for Brazilian farmers to burn under conditions that promote both flaming and high fuel consumption. In a non-technical
- summary; landholders wait until conditions are ideal and then do much of their annual burning in the next few days (including burning in the mornings) creating a mega-plume or "white ocean" of smoke that slowly drains out over southern Brazil and into the south Atlantic.

There is evidence that the mega-plume had a brief, but major impact on the air quality of São Paulo, which is about 1500 km south of the main burning region. The TOMS aerosol index (AI) images for 16 and 17 September 2004 show a concentrated section (AI ~3.5) of the mega-plume exiting the South American continent a little further north than usual and passing over São Paulo (Figs. 8a and b). Simultaneously, the São Paulo AERONET station recorded a factor of 3–4 increase in aerosol optical thickness above

ACPD 7,6903-6958,2007 **Tropical Forest fire** emissions R. J. Yokelson et al. **Title Page** Introduction Abstract Conclusions References **Figures** Back Full Screen / Esc **Printer-friendly Version** Interactive Discussion EGU

the normal, already, high value (\sim 1) for this large urban area (Fig. 8c). This incident dramatically illustrates the amount of material transported from Amazonian fires and how long it remains in a concentrated (altered) processing regime.

4 Conclusions

The TROFFEE 2004 airborne campaign in the Brazilian Amazon successfully obtained the first comprehensive emissions data for tropical deforestation fires. It was found that reactive OVOC accounted for about 80% of the NMOC emissions. We recommend emission factors for most of the major species produced by deforestation fires globally. Dry season haze layers in Brazil, due largely to biomass burning, extend to only about one-half the altitudes observed for dry-season smoke layers in Africa. This may have implications for global remote sensing of CO. Very large geographic areas were covered by the type of reactive smoke mixture that has proved hard to handle in photochemical models. Thus, the detailed effects this smoke had when it impacted areas thousands of kilometers to the south would be difficult to predict. Larger fires may emit more particles per unit mass of fuel burned and larger fires may be becoming more common in the Amazon basin. Acetonitrile, fire emission factors differ substan-

tially by ecosystem, but could be used with inverse modeling and attention to fire type to estimate the amount of biomass burned.

The TROFFEE airborne campaign also completed an initial survey of the major fire
theatres of the world using new technology and a consistent sampling strategy. The initial emissions from temperate and boreal forest fires and the chemical evolution of two plumes were sampled in 1997 and recommended EF were developed (Yokelson et al., 1999; Goode et al., 2000). In 2000, the chemical evolution of 4 dry plumes and one cloud-processed plume was measured (Yokelson et al., 2003a; Hobbs et al., 2003; Jost et al., 2003) as well as the initial emissions from the two largest types of global biomass burning; savanna fires (Yokelson et al., 2003a) and cooking fires (Bertschi et al., 2003a). In 2001 and 2003, PTR-MS was co-deployed with FTIR and



whole air sampling on laboratory fires in savanna, Indonesian, boreal, tropical forest, and temperate fuels and recommended EF for an expanded suite of compounds were produced (Christian et al., 2003, 2004; $2007a^{1}$; Karl et al., $2007a^{2}$).

We now know the top \sim 20–50 emissions from each main fire type and have quanti-

- ⁵ fied at best ~70% of the NMOC. Of those NMOC, about 70–80% are reactive OVOC and the NMHC are quickly converted to a series of short-lived OVOC intermediates. Rapid, very large changes in smoke composition, that are subgrid for global models, normally occur immediately after emission and there is no evidence for a fixed smoke age at which the chemical composition or rate of change is reproducible. For instance
- ¹⁰ some plumes are characterized by high OH (Goode et al., 2000; Hobbs et al., 2003) and others by low OH (de Gouw et al., 2006). Detailed box models of the initial fast changes have relied on logical assumptions about unmeasured emissions; or proposed possible, but unconfirmed, heterogeneous processes to achieve partial agreement with observations (Tabazadeh et al., 2004; Trentmann et al., 2005).
- ¹⁵ Much work remains to be done including: (1) Airborne plume evolution studies, especially in smoky clouds, with enough instrumentation to constrain models and probe heterogeneous effects, (2) Development and/or deployment of instrumentation to quantify the unknown 30% of NMOC and species like HONO, which evidently strongly impact plume chemistry, (3) Development of well-validated high resolution, smoke chemistry
- ²⁰ models that can be confidently applied to different regional fire density and smoke transport scenarios and guide the parameterizations needed for global models, (4) Cooking fires are the second largest type of biomass burning, but a fairly large suite of emissions has only been measured on 4 of them: more cooking fires need to be sampled and more species need to be quantified such as acetonitrile and particles,
- (5) Better understanding of the environmental driving factors for RSC globally, (6) better knowledge of the fuels that burn in southeast Asia, (7) Validation of space-based fire-related products such as hotspots, burned area, CO, aerosol loading, etc., and (8) Stronger integration of biomass burning measurements into campaigns focused on other issues.

ACPD 7, 6903–6958, 2007			
Tropical I emis	Tropical Forest fire emissions		
R. J. Yoke	R. J. Yokelson et al.		
Title	Title Page		
Abstract	Introduction		
Conclusions	References		
Tables	Figures		
I	۰		
•	•		
Back	Close		
Full Screen / Esc			
Printer-frie	Printer-friendly Version		
Interactive Discussion			
F	EGU		

Acknowledgements. The authors thank our pilots P. Celso and A. Pele. We also thank J. Carvalho, E. Alvarado, R. Gielow, and J. Carlos dos Santos for excellent logistical support and collaboration in Brazil. The planned fire and airborne research was supported largely by NSF grant ATM0228003. Yokelson was also supported by the Interagency Joint Fire Science Pro-

- gram, the Rocky Mountain Research Station, Forest Service, U.S. Department of Agriculture 5 (agreements INT-97082-RJVA, RMRS-99508-RJVA, and 03-UV-1222049-046). The planned fire was also supported by Fundação de Amparo à Pesquisa do Estado de São Paulo -FAPESP, Brazil and the USDA Forest Service. The National Center for Atmospheric Research is sponsored by the National Science Foundation. This research benefited from the au-
- thors connection with the Large Scale Biosphere-Atmosphere Experiment in Amazônia (LBA). 10 The authors gratefully acknowledge the NOAA Air Resources Laboratory for the provision of the HYSPLIT transport and dispersion model used in this publication at the READY website (http://www.arl.noaa.gov/ready.html). We thank Dave Larko, Rich McPeters, and the Ozone Processing Team at NASA's Goddard Space Flight Center for custom images of the TOMS earth probe aerosol index for South America. 15

References

- Andrade, S. M. A., Neto, W. N., and Miranda, H. S.: The dynamics of components of the fine fuel after recurrent prescribed fires in Central Brazil savannas, Proceedings of the Bushfire 99 Conference, Alburn, Australia, 1998.
- ²⁰ Andreae, M. O., Anderson, B. E., Blake, D. R., Bradshaw, J. D., Collins, J. E., Gregory, G. L., Sachse, G. W., and Shipham, M. C.: Influence of plumes from biomass burning on atmospheric chemistry over the equatorial and tropical South Atlantic during CITE 3, J. Geophys. Res., 99, 12793-12808, doi:10.1029/94JD00263, 1994.

Andreae, M. O., Browell, E. V., Garstang, M., et al.: Biomass-burning emissions and associ-

- ated haze layers over Amazonia, J. Geophys. Res., 93, 1509–1527, doi:10.1029/88JD01585, 25 1988.
 - Andreae, M. O. and Merlet, P.: Emission of trace gases and aerosols from biomass burning, Global Biogeochem. Cycles, 15, 955-966, doi:10.1029/2000GB001382, 2001. Andreae, M. O., Rosenfeld, D., Artaxo, P., Costa, A. A., Frank, G. P., Longo, K. M., and Silva-
- Dias, M. A. F.: Smoking rain clouds over the Amazon, Science, 303, 1337–1342, 2004. 30



Artaxo, P., Fernandes, E. T., Martins, J. V., Yamasoe, M. A., Hobbs, P. V., Maenhaut, W., Longo, K. M., and Castanho, A.: Large scale aerosol source apportionment in Amazonia, J. Geophys. Res., 103, 31 837–31 847, doi:10.1029/98JD02346, 1998.

Artaxo, P., Gerab, F., Yamasoe, M. A., and Martins, J.V.: Fine mode aerosol composition in

- three long term atmospheric monitoring sampling stations in the Amazon Basin, J. Geophys. 5 Res., 99, 22857-22868, doi:10.1029/94JD01023, 1994.
 - Artaxo, P., Storms, H., Bruynseels, F., van Grieken, R., and Maenhaut, W.: Composition and sources of aerosols from the Amazon Basin, J. Geophys. Res., 93, 1605-1615, doi:10.1029/88JD01593.1988.
- 10 Babbitt, R. E., Ward, D. E., Susott, R. A., Artaxo, P., and Kaufmann, J. B.: A comparison of concurrent airborne and ground based emissions generated from biomass burning in the Amazon Basin, SCAR-B Proceedings, Transtec, Saõ Paulo, Brazil, 1996.

Barbosa, R. I., and Fearnside, P. M.: Pasture burning in Amazonia: Dynamics of residual biomass and the storage and release of aboveground carbon, J. Geophys. Res., 101, 25847-25857, doi:10.1029/96JD02090, 1996.

- 15
 - Bertschi, I. T., Yokelson, R. J., Ward, D. E., Christian, T. J., and Hao, W. M.: Trace gas emissions from the production and use of domestic biofuels in Zambia measured by open-path Fourier transform infrared spectroscopy, J. Geophys. Res., 108, 8469, doi:1029/2002/D002158, 2003a.
- Bertschi, I. T., Yokelson, R. J., Ward, D. E., Babbitt, R. E., Susott, R. A., Goode, J. G., and 20 Hao, W. M.: Trace gas and particle emissions from fires in large-diameter and belowground biomass fuels, J. Geophys. Res., 108, 8472, doi:10.1029/2002JD002100, 2003b.
 - Blake, N. J., Blake, D. R., Sive, B. C., Chen, T. Y., Rowland, F. S., Collins, J. E., Sachse, G. W., and Anderson, B. E.: Biomass burning emissions and vertical distribution of atmospheric
- methyl halides and other reduced carbon gases in the South Atlantic region, J. Geophys. 25 Res., 101, 24 151–24 164, 1996.
 - Brown, I. F., Schroeder, W., Setzer, A., de Los Rios Maldonado, M., Pantoja, N., Duarte, A., and Marengo, J.: Monitoring fires in southwestern Amazonia rain forests, EOS Trans. AGU, 87(26), 253-264, 2006.
- 30 Cardille, J. A., and Foley, J. A.: Agricultural land-use change in Brazilian Amazonia between 1980 and 1995: Evidence from integrated satellite and census data, Rem. Sens. Environ., 87, 551-562, doi:10.1016/j.rse.2002.09.001, 2003.

Carvalho, J. A., Jr., Costa, F. S., Veras, C. A. G., Sandberg, D. V., Alvarado, E. C., Gielow, R.,

AC	ACPD	
Tropical F	7, 6903–6958, 2007	
emis	emissions	
R. J. Yoke	R. J. Yokelson et al.	
Title Page		
Abstract	Introduction	
Conclusions	References	
Tables	Figures	
I	۶I	
•	•	
Back	Close	
Full Scre	Full Screen / Esc	
Printer-friendly Version		
Interactive Discussion		
EGU		

Serra, A. M., Jr., and Santos, J. C.: Biomass fire consumption and carbon release rates of rainforest-clearing experiments conducted in northern Mato Grosso, Brazil, J. Geophys. Res., 106, 17877–17887, doi:10.1029/2000JD900791, 2001.

Carvalho, J. A., Jr., Higuchi, N., Araujo, T. M., and Santos, J. C.: Combustion completeness in a rainforest clearing experiment in Manaus, Brazil, J. Geophys. Res., 103, 13195–13199,

- a rainforest clearing experiment in Manaus, Brazil, J. Geophys. Res., 103, 13195–13 doi:10.1029/98JD00172, 1998.
 - Chou, W. W., Wofsy, S. C., Harriss, R. C., Lin, J. C., Gerbig, C., and Sachse, G. W.: Net fluxes of CO₂ in Amazonia derived from aircraft observations, J. Geophys. Res., 107, 4614, doi:10.1029/2001JD001295, 2002.
- ¹⁰ Christian, T., Kleiss, B., Yokelson, R. J., Holzinger, R., Crutzen, P. J., Hao, W.M., Saharjo, B. H., and Ward, D. E.: Comprehensive laboratory measurements of biomass-burning emissions: 1. Emissions from Indonesian, African, and other fuels, J. Geophys. Res., 108, 4719, doi:10.1029/2003JD003704, 2003.

Christian, T. J., Kleiss, B., Yokelson, R. J., Holzinger, R., Crutzen, P. J., Hao, W. M., Shirai, T.,

- and Blake, D. R.: Comprehensive laboratory measurements of biomass-burning emissions:
 2. First intercomparison of open-path FTIR, PTR-MS, and GC-MS/FID/ECD, J. Geophys. Res., 109, D02311, doi:10.1029/2003JD003874, 2004.
 - Cochrane, M. A., Alencar, A., Schulze, M. D., Souza, C. M., Jr., Nepstad, D. C., Lefebvre, P., and Davidson, E. A.: Positive feedbacks in the fire dynamics of closed canopy tropical forests, Science, 284, 1832–1835, 1999.

20

Colman, J. J., Swanson, A. L., Meinardi, S., Sive, B. C., Blake, D. R., and Rowland, F.S.: Description of the analysis of a wide range of volatile organic compounds in whole air samples collected during PEM tropics A and B, Anal. Chem., 73, 3723–3731, 2001.

Coutinho, L. M., Fire in the ecology of the Brazilian cerrado, in Fire in the Tropical Biota: Ecosys-

- tem Processes and Global Challenges, edited by J.G. Goldammer, pp. 82–105, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1990.
 - Crutzen, P. J., and Andreae, M. O.: Biomass burning in the tropics: Impact on atmospheric chemistry and biogeochemical cycles, Science, 250, 1669–1677, 1990.

Crutzen, P. J., Delany, A. C., Greenberg, J., Haagenson, P., Heidt, L., Lueb, R., Pollock, W.,

- ³⁰ Seiler, W., Wartburg, A., and Zimmerman, P.: Tropospheric chemical composition measurements in Brazil during the dry season, J. Geophys. Res., 2, 233–256, 1985.
 - de Gouw, J. A., Warneke, C., Stohl, A., et al.: Volatile organic compounds composition of merged and aged forest fire plumes from Alaska and western Canada, J. Geophys. Res.,

AC	PD	
7, 6903–6	958, 2007	
Tropical Forest fire emissions R. J. Yokelson et al.		
Title Page		
Abstract Introduction		
Conclusions	References	
Tables	Figures	
14	۶I	
•	4	
Back	Back Close	
Full Scre	Full Screen / Esc	
Printer-friendly Version		
Interactive Discussion		
EGU		

111, D10303, doi:10.1029/2005JD006175, 2006.

5

- Draxler, R. R. and Rolph, G. D.: HYSPLIT (HYbrid Single-Particle Lagrangian Integrated Trajectory) Model access via NOAA ARL READY Website (http://www.arl.noaa.gov/ready/hysplit4.html). NOAA Air Resources Laboratory, Silver Spring, MD, 2003.
- Echalar, F., Artaxo, P., Martins, J. V., Yamasoe, M., Gerab, F., Maenhaut, W., and Holben, B.: Long-term monitoring of atmospheric aerosols in the Amazon Basin: Source identification and apportionment, J. Geophys. Res., 103, 31849–31864, 1998.

Emmons, L. K., Deeter, M. N., Gille, J. C., et al.: Validation of measurements of pollution in

- the troposphere (MOPITT) CO retrievals with aircraft in situ profiles, J. Geophys. Res., 109, D03309, doi:10.1029/2003JD004101, 2004.
 - Fearnside, P. M.: Fire in the tropical rain forest of the Amazon basin, in Fire in the Tropical Biota: Ecosystem Processes and Global Challenges, edited by J. G. Goldammer, pp. 106– 116, Springer Verlag, Berlin, 1990.
- Fearnside, P. M.: Global warming and tropical land-use change: Greenhouse gas emissions from biomass burning, decomposition and soils in forest conversion, shifting cultivation and secondary vegetation, Climatic Change, 46, 115–158, doi:10.1023/A:1005569915357, 2000.

Fearnside, P. M., Leal, N., Jr., and Fernandes, F. M.: Rainforest burning and the global budget:

- ²⁰ Biomass, combustion efficiency, and charcoal formation in the Brazilian Amazon, J. Atmos. Chem., 98, 733–743, 1993.
 - Ferek, R. J., Reid, J. S., Hobbs, P. V., Blake, D. R., and Liousse, C.: Emission factors of hydrocarbons, halocarbons, trace gases, and particles from biomass burning in Brazil, J. Geophys. Res., 103, 32 107–32 118, doi:10.1029/98JD00692, 1998.
- ²⁵ Finlayson-Pitts, B. J., and Pitts, J. N., Jr.: Atmospheric Chemistry: Fundamentals and Experimental Techniques, 1098 pp., John Wiley, Inc., New York, 1986.
 - Fishman, J., Fakhruzzaman, K., Cros, B., and Nganga, D.: Identification of widespread pollution in the southern hemisphere deduced from satellite analysis, Science, 252, 1693–1696, 1991.
- Goode, J. G., Yokelson, R. J., Susott, R. A., and Ward, D. E.: Trace gas emissions from laboratory biomass fires measured by open-path FTIR: Fires in grass and surface fuels, J. Geophys. Res., 104, 21 237–21 245, doi:10.1029/1999JD900360, 1999. Goode, J. G., Yokelson, R. J., Ward, D. E., Susott, R. A., Babbitt, R. E., Davies, M. A., and

AC	PD	
7, 6903–6958, 2007		
Tropical F emis	Tropical Forest fire emissions	
R. J. Yoke	R. J. Yokelson et al.	
Title Page		
Abstract	Introduction	
Conclusions	References	
Tables	Figures	
I	∢ ▶	
•	•	
Back	Back Close	
Full Screen / Esc		
Printer-friendly Version		
Interactive Discussion		
EGU		

Hao, W. M.: Measurements of excess O_3 , CO_2 , CO, CH_4 , C_2H_4 , C_2H_2 , HCN, NO, NH_3 , HCOOH, CH_3COOH , HCHO, and CH_3OH in 1997 Alaskan biomass burning plumes by airborne Fourier transform infrared spectroscopy (AFTIR), J. Geophys. Res., 105, 22147–22166, doi:10.1029/2000JD900287, 2000.

- Grainger, A.: The future environment for forest management in Latin America, in Management of the Forests of Tropical America: Prospects and Technologies, Institute of Tropical Forestry/USDA Forest Service, Washington, DC, 1987.
 - Guenther, A., Hewitt, C. N., Erickson, D., et al.: A global model of natural volatile organic compound emissions, J. Geophys. Res., 100(D5), 8873–8892, 1995.
- Guenther, A., Karl, T., Harley, P., Wiedinmyer, C., Palmer, P. I., and Geron, C.: Estimates of global terrestrial isoprene emissions using MEGAN (Model of Emissions of Gases and Aerosols from Nature), Atmos. Chem. Phys., 6, 3181–3210, 2006, http://www.atmos-chem-phys.net/6/3181/2006/.

Guild, L. S., Kaufmann, J. B., Ellingson, L. J., Cummings, D. L., Castro, E. A., Babbitt, R. E.,

and Ward, D. E.: Dynamics associated with total above ground biomass, C, nutrient pools, and biomass burning of primary forest and pasture in Rondônia, Brazil during SCAR-B, J. Geophys. Res., 103, 32 091–32 100, doi:10.1029/98JD00523, 1998.

Hao, W. M. and Liu, M. H.: Spatial and temporal distribution of tropical biomass burning, Global Biogeochem. Cycles, 8, 495–503, doi:10.1029/94GB02086, 1994.

- Hobbs, P. V., Reid, J. S., Kotchenruther, R. A., Ferek, R. J., and Weiss, R.: Direct Radiative Forcing by Smoke from Biomass Burning, Science, 275, 1777–1778, 1997.
 - Hobbs, P. V., Sinha, P., Yokelson, R. J., Christian, T. J., Blake, D. R., Gao, S., Kirchstetter, T. W., Novakov, T., and Pilewskie, P.: Evolution of gases and particles from a savanna fire in South Africa, J. Geophys. Res., 108, 8485, doi:10.1029/2002JD002352, 2003.
- Holben, B., Setzer, A., Eck, T. F., Pereira, A., and Slutsker, I.: Effect of dry-season biomass burning on Amazon basin aerosol concentrations and optical properties, 1992–1994, J. Geophys. Res., 101, 19465–19482, doi:10.1029/96JD01140, 1996.
 - Holzinger, R., Warneke, C., Hansel, A., Jordan, A., Lindinger, W., Scharffe, D. H., Schade, G., and Crutzen, P. J.: Biomass burning as a source of formaldehyde, acetaldehyde,
- ³⁰ methanol, acetone, acetonitrile, and hydrogen cyanide, Geophys. Res. Lett., 26, 1161–1164, doi:10.1029/1999GL900156, 1999.
 - Jost, C., Trentmann, J., Sprung, D., Andreae, M. O., McQuaid, J. B., and Barjat, H.: Trace gas chemistry in a young biomass burning plume over Namibia: Observations and model



simulations, J. Geophys. Res., 108, 8482, doi:10.1029/2002JD002431, 2003.

- Karl, T. G., Guenther, A., Yokelson, R. J., Greenberg, J., Potosnak, M. J., Blake, D. R., and Artaxo P.: The tropical forest and fire emissions experiment: Emission, chemistry, and transport of biogenic volatile organic compounds in the lower atmosphere over Amazonia, J. Geophys.
- 5 Res., accepted, 2007b.

10

25

- Kauffman, J. B., Cummings, D. L., and Ward, D. E.: Relationships of fire, biomass and nutrient dynamics along a vegetation gradient in the Brazilian cerrado, J. Ecol., 82, 519–531, 1994.
- Kauffman, J. B., Cummings, D. L., and Ward, D. E.: Fire in the Brazilian Amazon
 2. Biomass, nutrient pools and losses in cattle pastures, Oecologia, 113, 415–427, doi:10.1007/s004420050394, 1998.
- Kauffman, J. B., Sanford, R. L., Cummings, D. L., Salcedo, I. H., and Sampaio, E. V. S. B.: Biomass and nutrient dynamics associated with slash fires in neotropical dry forests, Ecol-

ogy, 74, 140–151, 1993. Kauffman, J. B., and Uhl, C.: Interactions of anthropogenic activities, fire, and rain forests in the

- Amazon basin, in Fire in the Tropical Biota: Ecosystem Processes and Global Challenges, edited by J.G. Goldammer, pp. 117-134, Springer Verlag, Berlin, 1990.
 - Kaufman, Y. J., and Fraser, R. S.: The Effect of Smoke Particles on Clouds and Climate Forcing, Science, 277, 1636–1639, doi:10.1126/science.277.5332.1636, 1997.

Kaufman, Y. J., Setzer, A., Ward, D., Tanre, D., Holben, B. N., Menzel, P., Pereira, M. C., and

- Rasmussen, R.: Biomass burning airborne and spaceborne experiment in the Amazonas (BASE-A), J. Geophys. Res., 97, 14581–14599, doi:10.1029/92JD00275, 1992.
 - Keene, W. C., Lobert, J. M., Crutzen, P. J., Maben, J. R., Scharffe, D. H., Landmann, T., Hély, C., and Brain, C.: Emissions of major gaseous and particulate species during experimental burns of southern African biomass, J. Geophys. Res., 111, D04301, doi:10.1029/2005JD006319, 2006.
 - Kreidenweis, S., Tyndall, G., Barth, M., Dentener, F., Lelieveld, J., and Mozurkewich, M.: Aerosols and clouds, in Atmospheric Chemistry and Global Change, edited by G.P. Brasseur, J.J. Orlando, and G.S. Tyndall, pp. 654, Oxford University Press, New York, 1999.
- Laurance, W. F.: Cut and run: The dramatic rise of transnational logging in the tropics, Trends Ecol. Evol., 15, 433–434, 1990.
 - Li, Q., Jacob, D. J., Bey, I., Yantosca, R. M., Zhao, Y., Kondo, Y., and Notholt, J.: Atmospheric hydrogen cyanide (HCN): biomass burning source, ocean sink?, Geophys. Res. Lett., 27, 357–360, doi:10.1029/1999GL010935, 2000.

ACPD	
7, 6903–6	958, 2007
Tropical Forest fire emissions	
R. J. Yokelson et al.	
Title Page	
Abstract	Introduction
Conclusions	References
Tables	Figures
I	۰
•	•
Back	Close
Full Screen / Esc	
Printer-friendly Version	
Interactive Discussion	
5011	

Lindinger, W., Jordan, A., and Hansel, A.: Proton-transfer-reaction mass spectrometry (PTR-MS): on-line monitoring of volatile organic compounds at pptv levels, Chem. Soc. Rev., 27, 347-375, doi:10.1039/a827347z, 1998.

Lobert, J. M., Scharffe, D. H., Hao, W. M., Kuhlbusch, T. A., Seuwen, R., Warneck, P., and

- Crutzen, P. J.: Experimental evaluation of biomass burning emissions: Nitrogen and carbon 5 containing compounds, in Global Biomass Burning: Atmospheric, Climatic, and Biospheric Implications, edited by J.S. Levine, pp. 289–304, MIT Press, Cambridge, 1991.
 - Mason, S. A., Field, R. J., Yokelson, R. J., Kochivar, M. A., Tinsley, M. R., Ward, D. E., and Hao, W. M.: Complex effects arising in smoke plume simulations due to inclusion of direct emis-
- sions of oxygenated organic species from biomass combustion, J. Geophys. Res., 106(D12), 10 12527-12540. doi:10.1029/2001JD900003. 2001.
 - Morton, D. C., DeFries, R. S., Shimabukuro, Y. E., Anderson, L. O., Arai, E., Espirito-Santo, F., Freitas, R., and Morisette, J.: Cropland expansion changes deforestation dynamics in the southern Brazilian Amazon, Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America, 2006.
- 15

30

Ottmar, R. D.: Smoke source characteristics, in: Smoke Management Guide for Prescribed and Wildland Fire: 2001 Edition, edited by: Hardy, C., Ottmar, R., Peterson, J., Core, J., and Seamon, P., National Interagency Fire Center, Boise, ID, US, 2001.

Page, S. E., Siegert, F., Rieley, J. O., Boehm, H.-D. V., Jaya, A., and Limin, S.: The amount

- of carbon released from peat and forest fires in Indonesia during 1997, Nature, 420(6911), 20 61-65, 2002.
 - Prather, M., Derwent, R., Ehhalt, D., Fraser, P., Sanhueza, E., and Zhou, X.: Other trace gases and atmospheric chemistry, in Climate Change 1994: Radiative Forcing of Climate Change and an Evaluation of the IPCC IS92 Emission Scenarios, edited by: Houghton, J. T., Filho, L.
- G. M., Bruce, J., Lee, H., Callader, B. A., Haites, E., Harris, N., and Maskell, K., Cambridge 25 University Press, New York, 1994.
 - Radke, L. F., Hegg, D. A., Hobbs, P. V., Nance, J. D., Lyons, J. H., Laursen, K. K., Weiss, R. E., Riggan, P. J., and Ward, D.E.: Particulate and trace gas emissions from large biomass fires in North America, in, Global Biomass Burning, edited by J. Levine, MIT Press, Cambridge, MA, 1991.
 - Reid, J. S., Hobbs, P. V., Ferek, R. J., Blake, D. R., Martins, J. V., Dunlap, M. R., and Liousse, C.: Physical, chemical, and optical properties of regional hazes dominated by smoke in Brazil, J. Geophys. Res., 103(D24), 32059-32080, doi:10.1029/98JD00458, 1998.

AC	ACPD	
7, 6903–6958, 2007		
Tropical Forest fire emissions		
R. J. Yokelson et al.		
Title	Title Page	
Abstract	Introduction	
Conclusions	References	
Tables	Figures	
14	۶I	
•	Þ	
Back	Close	
Full Screen / Esc		
Printer-friendly Version		
Interactive Discussion		
EGU		

- Schmid, B., Redemann, J., Russell, P. B., et al.: Coordinated airborne, spaceborne, and ground-based measurements of massive thick aerosol layers during the dry season in southern Africa, J. Geophys. Res., 108(D13), 8496, doi:10.1029/2002JD002297, 2003.
- Shim, C., Wang, Y., Singh, H. B., Blake, D. R., and Guenther, A. B.: Source characteristics of oxygenated volatile organic compounds and hydrogen cyanide, J. Geophys. Res., accepted, 2007.

Singh, H.B., Kanakidou, M., Crutzen, P. J., and Jacob, D. J.: High concentrations and photochemical fate of oxygenated hydrocarbons in the global troposphere, Nature, 378(6552), 50–54, 1995.

Susott, R. A., Olbu, G. J., Baker, S. P., Ward, D. E., Kauffman, J. B., and Shea, R.: Carbon, hydrogen, nitrogen, and thermogravimetric analysis of tropical ecosystem biomass, in Biomass Burning and Global Change, edited by J.S. Levine, pp. 350-360, MIT Press, Cambridge, 1996.

Tabazadeh, A., Yokelson, R. J., Singh, H. B., Hobbs, P. V., Crawford, J. H., and Iraci, L. T.:

- ¹⁵ Heterogeneous chemistry involving methanol in tropospheric clouds, J. Geophys. Res. Lett., 31, L06114, doi:10.1029/2003GL018775, 2004.
 - Trentmann, J., Yokelson, R. J., Hobbs, P. V., Winterrath, T., Christian, T. J., Andreae, M. O., and Mason, S. A.: An analysis of the chemical processes in the smoke plume from a savanna fire, J. Geophys. Res., 110, D12301, doi:10.1029/2004JD005628, 2005.
- ²⁰ Ward, D. E., and Radke, L. F.: Emissions measurements from vegetation fires: A comparative evaluation of methods and results, in Fire in the Environment: The Ecological, Atmospheric and Climatic Importance of Vegetation Fires, edited by: Crutzen, P. J. and Goldammer, J. G., 53–76, John Wiley, New York, 1993.

Ward, D. E., Susott, R. A., Kauffman, J. B., Babbitt, R. E., Cummings, D. L., Dias, B., Holden,

- B. N., Kaufman, Y. J., Rasmussen, R. A., and Setzer, A. W.: Smoke and fire characteristics for Cerrado and deforestation burns in Brazil: BASE-B experiment, J. Geophys. Res., 97, 14601–14619, doi:10.1029/92JD01218, 1992.
 - Yokelson, R. J., Bertschi, I. T., Christian, T. J., Hobbs, P. V., Ward, D. E., and Hao, W. M.: Trace gas measurements in nascent, aged, and cloud-processed smoke from African savanna fires
- ³⁰ by airborne Fourier transform infrared spectroscopy (AFTIR), J. Geophys. Res., 108(D13), 8478, doi:10.1029/2002JD002322, 2003a.
 - Yokelson, R. J., Christian, T. J., Bertschi, I. T., and Hao, W. M.: Evaluation of adsorption effects on measurements of ammonia, acetic acid, and methanol, J. Geophys. Res., 108(D20),

Interactive Discussion

EGU

4649, doi:10.1029/2003JD003549, 2003b.

- Yokelson, R. J., Goode, J. G., Ward, D. E., Susott, R. A., Babbitt, R. E., Wade, D. D., Bertschi, I., Griffith, D. W. T., and Hao, W. M.: Emissions of formaldehyde, acetic acid, methanol, and other trace gases from biomass fires in North Carolina measured by air-
- ⁵ borne Fourier transform infrared spectroscopy, J. Geophys. Res., 104, 30109–30126, doi:10.1029/1999JD900817, 1999.
 - Yokelson, R. J., Susott, R., Ward, D. E., Reardon, J., and Griffith, D. W. T.: Emissions from smoldering combustion of biomass measured by open-path Fourier transform infrared spectroscopy, J. Geophys. Res., 102, 18865–18878, doi:10.1029/97JD00852,1997.
- Yokelson, R. J., Griffith, D. W. T., and Ward, D. E.: Open-path Fourier transform infrared studies of large-scale laboratory biomass fires, J. Geophys. Res., 101, 21067–21080, doi:10.1029/96JD01800, 1996.

ACPD 7, 6903–6958, 2007

Tropical Forest fire emissions

R. J. Yokelson et al.

Title Page									
Abstract	Introduction								
Conclusions	References								
Tables	Figures								
◄	►I.								
•	•								
Back	Close								
Full Scre	en / Esc								
Printer-friendly Version									
Interactive Discussion									
FC	911								

ACPD

7, 6903-6958, 2007

Tropical Forest fire emissions

al.

Title Page								
Abstract	Introduction							
Conclusions	References							
Tables	Figures							
14								
•	•							
Back	Close							
Full Scre	een / Esc							
Printer-friendly Version								
Interactive Discussion								

EGU

Table 1. Location and characteristics of fires sampled from the INPE Bandeirante aircraft during TROFFEE 2004 airborne campaign.

	R. J. Yokelson							
Fire name	Date dd/mm	Lat dd ddd	Long dd ddd	time perio	time period sampled Fuels observed from aircraft			
29-Aug Eire 1	20/08	_10 270	_52 150	13.41.54	1/.17.10	slash under partial capopy		2
29-Aug Fire 2	29/00	-10.270	-52.139	14.30.37	14.17.10	Pastura	litle	Page
30-Aug Fire 1	30/08	-11.315	-54.064	12:56:51	13:00:45	grass and slash piles under partial canopy		
30-Aug Fire 2	30/08	-11.459	-54.062	13:04:18	13:13:37	grass and slash piles under partial canopy	Abstract	Introd
30-Aug Fire 3	30/08	-11.479	-54.088	13:20:14	13:20:55	grass and slash piles under partial canopy		
30-Aug Fire 4	30/08	-11.491	-54.058	13:29:06	13:36:56	grass and slash piles under partial canopy	Conclusions	Refere
SC Fire	30/08	-11.488	-53.458	14:36:25	14:43:59	mixed forest fuels		
31-Aug Fire 1	31/08	-11.282	-54.185	13:08:58	13:25:01	mixed forest fuels	Tables	Figu
31-Aug Fire 2	31/08	-11.183	-54.131	13:30:55	13:44:52	mixed forest fuels		
3-Sept Fire 1	03/09	-9.224	-51.918	13:23:32	13:39:36	mixed forest fuels		
3-Sept Fire 2	03/09	-9.167	-51.798	13:37:00	13:37:08	mixed forest fuels		•
3-Sept Fire 3	03/09	-9.311	-51.861	13:52:24	14:02:22	mixed forest fuels		
3-Sept Fire 4	03/09		nm	14:13:48	14:14:16	source/fuels not observed from aircraft	•	
3-Sept Fire 5	03/09		nm	13:22:41	13:22:54	source/fuels not observed from aircraft		
Planned Fire	05/09	-9.969	-56.345	14:16:42	14:51:08	mixed forest fuels	Back	Clo
7-Sept Fire 1	07/09	-3.007	-58.930	11:49:39	11:56:42	mixed forest fuels	Daon	
7-Sept Fire 2	07/09	-3.011	-58.946	12:01:13	12:01:29	mixed forest fuels	E.J. Oan	
7-Sept Fire 3	07/09	-3.129	-59.056	12:04:50	12:05:58	mixed forest fuels	Full Scre	en / Esc
7-Sept Fire 4	07/09	-3.137	-59.147	12:06:46	12:07:36	mixed forest fuels		
Mega-plume	08/09		nm	~11:00	~12:30	source/fuels not observed from aircraft	Drinter fries	
							Printer-mer	iuly vers

Table 2. Initial emission factors for the fires sampled at their source during the 2004 TROF-FEE airborne campaign. Effective emission factors for the mega-plume, which was sampled downwind from source.

	20-Aug	20-400	30-Aug	30-4110	31-Aug	31-400	3-Sent	5-Sent	7-Sent			8-Sent	
	Fire 1	Eire 2	Fires 1-4	SC Fire	Fire 1	Fire 2	Fires1-5	Planned Fire	Fires 1-4	Study	Standard	Mega-Plume	MPEEE-average ^c
	1101	1102	11100 1 4	00110	1101	1110 2	110010	i lainea i ne	1110014	Average	Deviation	wega i lame	WI EEI avolago
Compound	EF	EF	EF	EF	EF	EF	EF	EF	EF	EF	EF	Effective EF	
formula or name	g/kg	g/kg	g/kg	g/kg	g/kg	g/kg	g/kg	g/kg	g/kg	g/kg	g/kg	g/kg	# stdev's
CO-	1638	1591	1567	1579	1603	1636	1579	1679	1662	1615	40	1651	0.91
CO2	95 72	112.08	133 45	124 82	110 70	93 13	110.52	59.91	72.36	101 41	23 78	87 54	-0.58
MCF	0.916	0.900	0.882	0.890	0.902	0.918	0.901	0.947	0.936	0.910	0.021	0.923	0.61
NO	0.283	nm	0.281	0.514	0.208	0.438	0.746	2.681	nm	0.74	0.877	2.297	1.78
NO	1.979	0.930	1.157	0.509	0.738	2.216	1.393	3.441	4.120	1.83	1.245	1.899	0.05
NO. (as NO)	1.574	0.606	1.035	0.846	0.690	1.883	1.654	4.926	2.687	1.77	1.359	3.535	1.30
HONO	0.345	0.167	nm	nm	nm	nm	nm	nm	nm	0.26	0.126	nm	nm
CH	4.213	6.916	5.751	7.544	5.323	5.486	7.220	3.353	5.324	5.68	1.380	7.636	1.42
C _o H _a	0.747	1.238	0.958	1.215	0.997	0.809	1.520	0.642	0.454	0.95	0.332	0.378	-1.73
C,H,	0.094	nm	0.083	0.101	0.140	0.084	0.172	0.923	0.620	0.28	0.317	0.085	-0.61
C_H_	0.548	1.137	0.917	1.157	0.893	0.532	1.478	nm	nm	0.95	0.341	nm	nm
C ₃ H ₆	0.452	0.728	0.424	0.606	0.462	0.317	0.509	0.091	nm	0.45	0.190	nm	nm
HČHO	1.277	1.912	1.674	1.783	1.445	1.517	2.201	1.741	1.409	1.66	0.286	1.004	-2.30
CH3OH	2.077	2.874	2.724	3.371	2.294	2.331	3.002	2.252	2.165	2.57	0.445	2.550	-0.04
CH3COOH	3.134	4.172	3.635	3.590	2.643	3.232	3.190	3.579	3.704	3.43	0.436	9.242	13.33
НСООН	0.398	0.519	0.377	0.223	0.246	0.508	0.323	0.978	1.715	0.59	0.479	3.266	5.59
NH ₃	1.127	1.364	1.093	1.769	0.653	0.658	1.476	1.236	0.308	1.08	0.460	1.509	0.94
HCN	0.665	0.537	0.699	0.582	0.486	0.409	0.426	2.098	0.184	0.68	0.555	0.169	-0.91
PTR-MS species													
CH ₃ CN	0.574	0.276	0.270	0.381	0.291	0.347	0.485	0.359	0.336	0.37	0.101	nm	nm
CH ₃ CHO	1.255	1.202	1.167	1.240	0.751	1.041	3.322	1.282	1.202	1.38	0.745	nm	nm
Acrylonitrile	0.051	nm	0.038	nm	0.020	0.048	nm	nm	nm	0.04	0.014	nm	nm
Acrolein	Nm	nm	nm	nm	0.306	0.477	nm	0.808	0.732	0.58	0.232	nm	nm
acetone ^a	0.429	0.525	0.645	0.673	0.235	0.506	0.803	0.694	0.590	0.57	0.167	nm	nm
propanal ^a	0.067	0.082	0.101	0.105	0.037	0.079	0.126	0.109	0.092	0.09	0.026	nm	nm

ACPD

7, 6903–6958, 2007

Tropical Forest fire emissions

R. J. Yokelson et al.



EGU

Table 2. Continued.

	29-Aug Fire 1	29-Aug Fire 2	30-Aug Fires 1-4	30-Aug SC Fire	31-Aug Fire 1	31-Aug Fire 2	3-Sept Fires1-5	5-Sept Planned Fire	7-Sept Fires 1-4	Study	Standard	8-Sept Mega-Plume	MPEEF-average ^c
Compound formula or name	EF g/kg	EF g/kg	EF g/kg	EF g/kg	EF g/kg	EF g/kg	EF g/kg	EF g/kg	EF g/kg	Average EF g/kg	Deviation EF g/kg	Effective EF g/kg	# stdev's
PTR-MS species continue	d												
Pyrrole	Nm	nm	nm	nm	nm	nm	nm	0.139	0.084	0.11	0.038	nm	nm
isoprene ^a	0.236	0.366	0.402	0.396	0.271	0.296	0.625	0.378	0.386	0.37	0.112	nm	nm
furan ^a	0.207	0.320	0.352	0.347	0.237	0.259	0.547	0.331	0.338	0.33	0.098	nm	nm
methylvinyl ketone ^a	0.166	0.499	0.340	nm	0.399	0.318	0.215	0.411	0.436	0.35	0.113	nm	nm
methacrolein ^a	0.066	0.198	0.135	nm	0.158	0.126	0.085	0.163	0.173	0.14	0.045	nm	nm
crotonaldehyde ^a	0.100	0.302	0.205	nm	0.241	0.192	0.130	0.248	0.263	0.21	0.068	nm	nm
methylethyl ketone ^a	0.229	0.469	nm	nm	nm	nm	0.654	nm	nm	0.45	0.213	nm	nm
methyl propanal ^a	0.081	0.165	nm	nm	nm	nm	0.230	nm	nm	0.16	0.075	nm	nm
acetol and methylacetate	Nm	nm	0.649	nm	0.840	0.607	0.895	0.700	0.627	0.72	0.120	nm	nm
benzene ^a	0.189	0.381	0.168	nm	0.538	0.176	0.234	0.261	0.172	0.26	0.131	nm	nm
C6 Hydrocarbons	0.098	0.307	0.105	nm	nm	nm	0.241	0.363	0.159	0.21	0.109	nm	nm
3-methylfuran ^a	0.252	0.707	0.434	nm	0.843	0.389	0.668	0.511	0.413	0.53	0.196	nm	nm
2-methylfuran ^a	0.036	0.101	0.062	nm	0.120	0.056	0.095	0.073	0.059	0.08	0.028	nm	nm
hexanal ^a	0.006	0.017	0.010	nm	0.020	0.009	0.016	0.012	0.010	0.01	0.005	nm	nm
2,3 butanedione ^a	0.317	0.790	0.509	nm	0.855	0.490	0.995	0.634	0.659	0.66	0.219	nm	nm
2-pentanone ^a	0.032	0.085	0.052	nm	0.094	0.051	0.106	0.066	0.069	0.07	0.024	nm	nm
3-pentanone ^a	0.014	0.038	0.023	nm	0.042	0.023	0.047	0.029	0.031	0.03	0.011	nm	nm
Toluene	0.102	0.109	0.126	nm	0.227	0.096	0.399	0.135	0.368	0.20	0.123	nm	nm
phenol ^{a,b}	Nm	nm	nm	nm	nm	nm	nm	0.406	0.282	0.34	0.088	nm	nm
other substituted furans	Nm	nm	nm	nm	nm	nm	nm	1.095	1.071	1.08	0.016	nm	nm
Furaldehydes	Nm	nm	nm	nm	nm	nm	nm	0.255	0.256	0.26	0.001	nm	nm
xylenes ^a	0.086	0.092	0.076	nm	0.132	0.060	0.322	0.137	0.115	0.13	0.083	nm	nm
ethylbenzene ^a	0.053	0.084	0.047	nm	0.118	0.044	0.126	0.078	0.052	0.08	0.033	nm	nm
PM10	17.61	14.43	17.94	20.18	19.81	17.27	26.41	12.53	14.28	17.83	4.121	nm	nm

ACPD

7, 6903–6958, 2007

Tropical Forest fire emissions

R. J. Yokelson et al.



Printer-friendly Version

Interactive Discussion

EGU

ACPD

7, 6903–6958, 2007

Tropical Forest fire emissions

R. J. Yokelson et al.

Title Page								
Abstract	Introduction							
Conclusions	References							
Tables	Figures							
14	►I							
•	Þ							
Back	Close							
Full Scre	en / Esc							
Printer-friendly Version								
Interactive Discussion								
EGU								

Table 2. Continued.

	29-Aug	29-Aug	30-Aug	30-Aug	31-Aug	31-Aug	3-Sept	5-Sept	7-Sept			8-Sept	
	Fire 1	Fire 2	Fires 1-4	SC Fire	Fire 1	Fire 2	Fires1-5	Planned Fire	Fires 1-4	Study	Standard	Mega-Plume	MPEEF-average ^c
										Average	Deviation		
Compound	EF	EF	EF	EF	EF	EF	EF	EF	EF	EF	EF	Effective EF	
formula or name	g/kg	g/kg	g/kg	g/kg	g/kg	g/kg	g/kg	g/kg	g/kg	g/kg	g/kg	g/kg	# stdev's
UCI-Canister species													
OCS	Nm	nm	nm	nm	nm	nm	nm	0.0247	nm	0.0247	nm	nm	nm
DMS	Nm	nm	nm	nm	nm	nm	nm	0.0022	nm	0.0022	nm	nm	nm
CFC 12	Nm	nm	nm	nm	nm	nm	nm	0.0028	nm	0.0028	nm	nm	nm
MeONO ₂	Nm	nm	nm	nm	nm	nm	nm	0.0163	nm	0.0163	nm	nm	nm
EtONO ₂	Nm	nm	nm	nm	nm	nm	nm	0.0057	nm	0.0057	nm	nm	nm
i-PrONO ₂	Nm	nm	nm	nm	nm	nm	nm	0.0010	nm	0.0010	nm	nm	nm
n-PrONO ₂	Nm	nm	nm	nm	nm	nm	nm	0.0003	nm	0.0003	nm	nm	nm
2-BuONO ₂	Nm	nm	nm	nm	nm	nm	nm	0.0006	nm	0.0006	nm	nm	nm
C ₂ H ₆	Nm	nm	nm	nm	nm	nm	nm	0.5600	nm	0.5600	nm	nm	nm
1-Butene	Nm	nm	nm	nm	nm	nm	nm	0.0200	nm	0.0200	nm	nm	nm
trans-2-Butene	Nm	nm	nm	nm	nm	nm	nm	0.0161	nm	0.0161	nm	nm	nm
cis-2-Butene	Nm	nm	nm	nm	nm	nm	nm	0.0202	nm	0.0202	nm	nm	nm

^a A branching ratio has been applied to the signal from a single mass channel as measured by Karl et al. $(2007a)^2$.

^b Lower limit due to possible inlet losses.

^c The mega-plume effective emission factor minus the study average emission factor given as the number of standard deviations in the study-average emission factor.



Fig. 1. The TROFFEE flight tracks and the locations of the fires sampled.

ACPD 7,6903-6958,2007 **Tropical Forest fire** emissions R. J. Yokelson et al. **Title Page** Abstract Introduction Conclusions References **Tables** Figures ١ 14 ► 4 Close Back Full Screen / Esc **Printer-friendly Version** Interactive Discussion EGU



Fig. 2. Examples of the plots used to derive emission ratios (ER) in this work. See Sect. 2.2.1 for details. **(a)** plot used to derive the ER $\Delta CO/\Delta CO_2$ from AFTIR grab samples of the 5 September planned fire. **(b)** as in a for the ER $\Delta CH_3OH/\Delta CO$. **(c)** plot for the ER $\Delta CH_3CO/\Delta CH_3OH$ from integrated PTR-MS traces during plume penetrations of the 5 September fire. **(d)** plot used to derive the ER $\Delta PM10/\Delta CH_3OH$ (mass ratio) from integrated PTR-MS and nephelometer traces during plume penetrations of the shifting cultivation fire on 30 August.







Fig. 3. Clean background air, early in the local dry season, near Manaus on 6 and 7 September, 2004. (a) CO and water from AFTIR grab samples of ambient air. Air parcels with high CO above the boundary layer were likely affected by transport from a biomass burning region to the southeast. (b) AFTIR vertical profiles for CO_2 above the ZF-14 Tower on 6 September showing progressive depletion by photosynthesis of the CO_2 that builds up overnight from respiration.





Fig. 4. Regional haze due to biomass fires late in the dry season near Alta Floresta. **(a)** CO from AFTIR grab samples of ambient air vs altitude for 29 August – 5 September. **(b)** as in a for CO and H_2O for 30 and 31 August only, illustrating efficient, initial trapping of the fire-caused haze in the mixed (boundary) layer.

EGU



ACPD 7,6903-6958,2007 **Tropical Forest fire** emissions R. J. Yokelson et al. **Title Page** Introduction Abstract Conclusions References **Figures** .∎. Back Full Screen / Esc **Printer-friendly Version** Interactive Discussion

EGU

Fig. 5. Fire-average emission factors (EF) plotted versus fire-average modified combustion efficiency (MCE) for the indicated species (data from Table 2). A range of EF can occur and the sign of the trend for EF versus MCE can vary (Sect. 3.5.1).



Fig. 6. Comparison of the TROFFEE airborne study emission factors with the recommendations of Andrea and Merlet (2001) (AM) for species in both studies. (AM PM10 is taken as 1.3*AM PM2.5.) With 8 exceptions, the older (AM) recommendations are within a factor of ~2 of the newer TROFFEE EF, which are usually based on more measurements. This suggests that the AM recommendations for species not measured in TROFFEE (e.g. SO_2) are reasonable. (a) species measured by AFTIR. (b) species measured by PTR-MS and the nephelometer. (When the ratio exceeds the scale shown the value of the ratio is given above the bar.).





Fig. 7. The mega-plume. **(a)** CO vs latitude from the 8 September, 2004 flight showing high CO extending from 8 to 13 south. **(b)** Daily NOAA-12 afternoon hotspots for Brazil from 24 August to 19 September, 2004. The maximum value occurs on 8 September. **(c)** TOMS aerosol index on 8 September, 2004.



