Atmos. Chem. Phys. Discuss., 7, 14639–14674, 2007 www.atmos-chem-phys-discuss.net/7/14639/2007/ © Author(s) 2007. This work is licensed under a Creative Commons License.



ACPD

7, 14639–14674, 2007

Aerosol effects on convective clouds

U. Lohmann



Global anthropogenic aerosol effects on convective clouds in ECHAM5-HAM

U. Lohmann

Institute of Atmospheric and Climate Science, ETH Zurich, Universitätsstr. 16, 8092 Zurich, Switzerland

Received: 18 September 2007 - Accepted: 9 October 2007 - Published: 15 October 2007

Correspondence to: U. Lohmann (ulrike.lohmann@env.ethz.ch)

Abstract

Aerosols affect the climate system by changing cloud characteristics in many ways. They act as cloud condensation and ice nuclei and may have an influence on the hydrological cycle. Here we investigate aerosol effects on convective clouds by extending the

- ⁵ double moment cloud microphysics scheme developed for stratiform clouds to convective clouds in the ECHAM5 general circulation model. This increases the liquid water path in the tropics and reduces the sensitivity of the liquid water path with increasing aerosol optical depth in better agreement with observations and large-eddy simulation studies. In simulations in which greenhouse gases and aerosols emissions are increased since pre-industrial times, accounting for microphysics in convective clouds
- matches most closely the observed increase in precipitation. The total anthropogenic aerosol effect since pre-industrial time is slightly reduced from -1.6 to -1.9 W m⁻² when microphysics are only included in stratiform clouds to -1.5 W m⁻² when microphysics are included both in stratiform and convective clouds.

15 **1** Introduction

Anthropogenic aerosol particles such as sulfate and carbonaceous aerosols have substantially increased the global mean burden of aerosol particles from pre-industrial times to the present-day. Aerosols can interact with clouds and precipitation by acting as cloud condensation or ice nuclei. The suite of possible impacts of aerosols through the modification of cloud properties is called indirect effects (Denman et al., 2007).

the modification of cloud properties is called indirect effects (Denman et al., 2007). Estimates of the global annual mean cloud albedo enhancement due to the more and smaller cloud droplets for a given cloud water content (cloud albedo effect) range between -0.3 and -1.8 W m⁻² (Forster et al., 2007). Feedbacks due to the cloud lifetime effect, semi-direct effect or aerosol-ice cloud effects can either enhance or reduce the cloud albedo effect. Climate models estimate the sum of all aerosol effects (total indirect plus direct) to be -1.2 W m⁻² with a range from -0.2 to -2.3 W m⁻² in the change



in the top-of-the-atmosphere net radiation since pre-industrial times, whereas inverse estimates constrain the indirect aerosol effect to be between -0.1 and -1.7 W m^{-2} (Denman et al., 2007).

Rosenfeld (1999) and Rosenfeld and Woodley (2000) analyzed aircraft data together with satellite data suggesting that pollution aerosols suppress deep convective precipitation by decreasing cloud droplet size and delaying the onset of freezing. This hypothesis was supported with a cloud resolving model (Khain et al., 2001) such that supercooled cloud droplets down to −37.5°C could only be simulated if the cloud droplets were small and numerous.

Precipitation from single-cell mixed-phase convective clouds is reduced under continental and maritime conditions when aerosol concentrations are increased (Yin et al., 2000; Khain et al., 2004; Seifert and Beheng, 2006). In the modelling study by Cui et al. (2006), this is caused by drops evaporating more rapidly in the high aerosol case (see also Jiang et al., 2006), which eventually reduces ice mass and hence precipita-

- tion. Khain et al. (2005) postulate that smaller cloud droplets, such as those originating from human activity, would change the thermodynamics of convective clouds. More, smaller droplets suppress the warm rain formation in the lower parts of convective clouds. When these droplets freeze, more liquid water is available for freezing, which releases more latent heat. This can then result in more vigorous convection and more
- ²⁰ precipitation. In a clean cloud, on the other hand, rain would have depleted the cloud so that less latent heat is released when the cloud glaciates, resulting in less vigorous convection and less precipitation. Similar results were obtained by Koren et al. (2005); Zhang et al. (2005) and for the multi-cell cloud systems studied by Seifert and Beheng (2006). For a thunderstorm in Florida in the presence of Saharan dust, the simulated
- ²⁵ precipitation enhancement only lasted two hours after which precipitation decreased as compared with clean conditions (Van den Heever et al., 2006).

When aerosol effects on warm convective clouds are included in addition to their effect on warm stratiform clouds on a global scale, the overall indirect aerosol effect and the change in surface precipitation can be larger or smaller than if just the aerosol

AC	ACPD			
7, 14639–	14674, 2007			
Aerosol effects on convective clouds				
U. Lohmann				
Litle Page				
Abstract	Introduction			
Conclusions	References			
Tables	Figures			
14	۶I			
•	•			
Back	Back Close			
Full Screen / Esc				
Printer-frie	Printer-friendly Version			
Interactive Discussion				
EGU				

effect on stratiform clouds is considered (Nober et al., 2003; Menon and Rotstayn, 2006).

The adequate treatment of convection in general circulation models (GCMs) is one of the major uncertainties in climate modeling (Randall et al., 2003). Tost et al. (2006)

studied the influence of different convection parameterizations including the Tiedtke scheme (Tiedtke, 1989) with modifications by Nordeng (1994) that is used in this study. They concluded that the differences between the different convective schemes are generally not very large and each scheme has its particular aspects for which it performs comparatively well or less well. Thus, it cannot unequivocally be concluded which of the schemes is superior.

In this paper the microphysics of stratiform clouds has been extended to convective clouds (Zhang et al., 2005). Whereas Zhang et al. (2005) only introduced microphysics for the liquid and ice water mass mixing ratios, here the modifications to the number concentrations of cloud droplets and ice crystals and the coupling to the double-moment aerosol scheme ECHAM5-HAM are included as well. As compared to the studies by Nober et al. (2003) and Menon and Rotstayn (2006), here aerosols cannot only modify warm convective clouds, but will influence the ice phase as well. The model is described in the next section. Section 3 presents a detailed model validation. Sensitivity studies of the cloud response to anthropogenic aerosols are subject

²⁰ of Sect. 4 and conclusions are given in Sect. 5.

2 Model description

2.1 Standard model

25

We use the ECHAM5 general circulation model (GCM) (Roeckner et al., 2003) to estimate the importance of aerosol effects on convective clouds. The version of ECHAM5 used in this study includes the double-moment aerosol scheme ECHAM5-HAM that predicts the aerosol mixing state in addition to the aerosol mass and number concen-

ACPD 7, 14639-14674, 2007 Aerosol effects on convective clouds U. Lohmann **Title Page** Introduction Abstract Conclusions References **Figures** Back Full Screen / Esc **Printer-friendly Version** Interactive Discussion EGU

trations (Stier et al., 2005). The size-distribution is represented by a superposition of log-normal modes including the major global aerosol compounds sulfate, black carbon, organic carbon, sea salt and mineral dust.

A mass flux scheme is employed for shallow, midlevel, and deep convection (Tiedtke, 1989) with modifications for deep convection according to Nordeng (1994). The scheme is based on steady-state equations for mass, heat, moisture, cloud water, and momentum for an ensemble of updrafts and downdrafts, including turbulent and organized entrainment and detrainment. Cloud water detrainment in the upper part of the convective updrafts is used as a source term in the stratiform cloud water equations. For deep convection, an adjustment-type closure is used with convective activity

¹⁰ tions. For deep convection, an adjustment-type closure is used with convective activity expressed in terms of convective available potential energy (Roeckner et al., 2006). The microphysics are very simple. At temperatures below 0°C only ice clouds are considered which assumes that freezing takes place instantaneously. The conversion from cloud water q_w to precipitation *G* is a function of the cloud water content and the vertical extent of the cloud:

 $G = K(p) \cdot q_w$

where $K(p) = 6 \times 10^{-4} \text{ s}^{-1}$ if $(p_b - p) > p_{\text{crit}}$. Here p_b is the pressure at cloud base and the critical cloud thickness is given as $p_{\text{crit}} = 150 \text{ hPa}$ (ocean) and $p_{\text{crit}} = 300 \text{ hPa}$ (land).

The stratiform cloud scheme consists of prognostic equations for the water phases
 (vapor, liquid, solid), bulk cloud microphysics (Lohmann and Roeckner, 1996), and an empirical cloud cover scheme (Sundqvist et al., 1989). The microphysics scheme includes phase changes between the water components and precipitation processes (autoconversion, accretion, aggregation). Moreover, evaporation of rain and melting of snow are considered, as well as sedimentation of cloud ice. It also includes prognostic
 equations of the number concentrations of cloud droplets and ice crystals and has been coupled to the aerosol scheme ECHAM5-HAM (Lohmann et al., 2007).

The simulation with the standard model as described in this subsection is referred to as ECHAM5-acp (Table 1). It is compared to a simulation that includes modifications to the microphysics scheme in stratiform clouds (simulation ECHAM5-strat) and



(1)

to a simulation in which the double moment cloud microphysics scheme is included in convective clouds (simulation ECHAM5-conv) both of which are described below.

2.2 Microphysics in convective clouds and modifications to the standard model

In simulation ECHAM5-conv the simplified microphysics scheme in convective clouds is replaced by the double-moment cloud microphysics scheme in stratiform clouds (Lohmann et al., 2007) retaining the critical cloud thickness before precipitation commences. A first study along these lines was carried out by Zhang et al. (2005), who introduced the bulk microphysics scheme for the mass mixing ratios of cloud liquid water and cloud ice and their conversion rates into convective clouds. Here we extend that approach to the number concentrations of cloud droplets and ice crystals and couple the convective microphysics scheme to the aerosol scheme ECHAM5-HAM. The cloud optical properties remain unchanged.

The aerosol activation in convective clouds is parameterized according to Lin and Leaitch (1997):

¹⁵
$$Q_{\text{nucl}} = \max \left[\frac{1}{\Delta t} \left(0.1 (N_l^{\text{max}})^{1.27} - N_{\text{old}} \right), 0 \right]$$

where

20

$$N_{l}^{\max} = \frac{N_{a}w}{w + \alpha N_{a}}$$

and $\alpha = 0.023 \text{ cm}^4 \text{ s}^{-1}$. The updraft velocity *w* is obtained as the sum of the grid mean vertical velocity and a turbulent contribution expressed in terms of the turbulent kinetic energy (TKE) for stratiform clouds (Lohmann et al., 1999). In terms of convective clouds also a contribution of the convectively available potential energy (CAPE) (Lohmann, 2002) has been added:

 $w = \begin{cases} \overline{w} + 1.33\sqrt{\mathsf{TKE}} & \text{stratiform clouds} \\ \overline{w} + \sqrt{\mathsf{CAPE}} + 1.33\sqrt{\mathsf{TKE}} & \text{convective clouds} \\ 14644 & \text{convective} \end{cases}$



(2)

(3)

 N_a is the number concentration of the internally mixed aerosols beyond a certain wet radius. While the cutoff of 35 nm was chosen in stratiform clouds (Lohmann et al., 2007), we chose 25 nm for convective clouds to go along with the enhanced vertical velocity in convective clouds.

- The other microphysical conversion rates inside convective clouds are autoconversion of cloud droplets to form rain drops, heterogeneous contact and immersion freezing of cloud droplets, aggregation of ice crystals to form snow and accretion of rain drops with cloud droplets and snow flakes with cloud droplets and ice crystals. Zhang et al. (2005) neglected the accretion of rain and snow falling into the grid box from above with cloud droplets and ice crystals, because the microphysics inside convective
- clouds are only calculated in rising updrafts. However, as preliminary calculations of the updraft processes are conducted for estimating cloud top, we save the rain and snow produced in the preliminary updraft to calculate the accretion processes in the final updraft. Since supercooled cloud droplets can now exist down to -35°C in convec-
- tive clouds, we use the vapor pressure over liquid water and latent heat of vaporization as long as the ice water mixing ratio is below a threshold value of 5 mg/kg and switch to vapor pressure over ice and latent heat of sublimation otherwise. This treatment is consistent with what is done for stratiform clouds in ECHAM5 (Lohmann et al., 2007).

In ECHAM5-conv the updraft velocity in convective cores w_u =0.5 m/s is used to obtain cloud cover from the upward mass flux M_u :

 $b^{\rm conv} = M_u / (w_u \rho)$

where ρ is the air density. This formula is used in penetrative updrafts for the calculation of microphysics, for the evaporation of precipitation and for wet scavenging whereas two different values for cloud cover were used for the evaporation of precipita-²⁵ tion and wet scavenging in ECHAM5-acp. Equation (4) is also applied in ECHAM5-strat for evaporation of precipitation and wet scavenging. In order to re-adjust the models radiation balance, the organized entrainment rate for penetrative convection has been doubled to $2 \times 10^{-4} \text{ m}^{-1}$ in simulations ECHAM5-conv and ECHAM5-strat.



(4)

In ECHAM5-acp and ECHAM5-strat only the detrainment of the mass mixing ratios of cloud liquid water and cloud ice is considered as a source for stratiform clouds. With the introduction of sources and sinks for the cloud droplet and ice crystal number concentrations in convective clouds, ECHAM5-conv also includes detrainment of the

⁵ cloud droplet and ice crystal number concentration from convective clouds as a source for stratiform clouds. When the cloud droplet resp. ice crystal number concentration from convective clouds exceeds the number concentration in stratiform clouds, then the difference is added to the respective number concentration in stratiform clouds. Some improvements were made to the microphysics in stratiform clouds in ECHAM5-

strat and ECHAM5-conv that differ from what has been used in ECHAM5-acp as described in Lohmann et al. (2007):

- 1. The depositional growth equation for ice crystals, which was only applied for the growing crystals, is now also used to calculate sublimation of the ice crystals
- 2. The cooling rate that is used in the parameterization of immersion freezing of
- black carbon and dust aerosols now considers the enhanced cooling due to turbulent motions (Hoose et al., 2007¹)
- 3. Inconsistencies in the description of the Bergeron-Findeisen process, the accretion of snow flakes with ice crystals and the sedimentation of ice crystals have been removed
- 20 2.3 Set-up of the simulations

15

The ECHAM5 simulations have been carried out in T42 horizontal resolution $(2.8125^{\circ} \times 2.8125^{\circ})$ and 19 vertical levels with the model top at 10 hPa and a timestep

07		
on ds		
Title Page		
tion		
ces		
S		
)		
Printer-friendly Version		

EGU

¹Hoose, C., Lohmann, U., Erdin, R., and Tegen, I.: Global influence of dust mineralogical composition on heterogeneous ice nucleation in mixed-phase clouds, Environ. Res. Lett., submitted, 2007.

of 30 min. All simulations used climatological sea surface temperature (SST) and seaice extent. They were simulated for 5 years after an initial spin-up of 3 months using aerosol emissions for the year 2000. To isolate the total anthropogenic aerosol effect, all simulations were repeated with aerosol emissions for pre-industrial times represen-

tative for the year 1750 (Dentener et al., 2006). The total anthropogenic effect investigated in this paper is not a forcing in the IPCC's definition of aerosol radiative forcing because it includes feedbacks from the cloud lifetime effect, the semi-direct effect and aerosol effects on ice clouds and allows adjustments of atmospheric temperatures.

In order to compare the change in precipitation over the last century with the sen-

- sitivity studies of the anthropogenic aerosol effect on both stratiform and convective clouds, we need to account for the changes in greenhouse gases and SST in addition to anthropogenic aerosols. Thus, we have repeated the pre-industrial simulations using pre-industrial greenhouse gas concentrations (Solomon et al., 2007) and added the difference in SST from a coupled GCM/mixed-layer-ocean (MLO) simulation between
- the present-day and a pre-industrial simulation in which anthropogenic greenhouse gases and aerosols have been turned off (Feichter et al., 2004) (simulations ECHAM5strat-ghg and ECHAM5-conv-ghg, see Table 1). The global mean change in surface temperature in these MLO simulations due to anthropogenic greenhouse gases and aerosols amounts to 0.6 K in good agreement with the observed warming during the
- 20 20th century (Feichter et al., 2004). The difference in SST between the present-day and the pre-industrial MLO simulation is used rather than the pre-industrial SST itself in order to avoid spurious SST changes stemming from differences in the climatological present-day SST and the present-day SST in the MLO simulation.

3 Model evaluation

Validation of the coupled aerosol-cloud microphysics scheme in stratiform clouds is described in Lohmann et al. (2007). Here we focus on the differences of ECHAM5conv and ECHAM5-strat, and between ECHAM5-strat and ECHAM5-acp.



An overview of the global-mean cloud and aerosol properties is given in Table 2. The simulations are conducted such that the global annual mean radiation budget is balanced to within 1 W m^{-2} at the top-of-the-atmosphere (TOA) and that the values of the shortwave and longwave cloud forcing are within the uncertainty of the radiative flux measurements of $\pm 5 \text{ W m}^{-2}$ as reported by Kiehl and Trenberth (1997).

The largest difference between ECHAM5-strat and ECHAM5-acp is the decrease in ice water path. This is due to the re-adjustment of the radiation balance after inclusion of the changes described above. It required an enhancement of the autoconversion and aggregation rates resulting in more precipitation, especially stratiform precipitation, at the surface and less cloud condensate in the atmosphere.

The most noticeable difference between ECHAM5-conv and ECHAM5-strat is the different contribution from convective versus stratiform clouds to the total precipitation. In ECHAM5-conv, the convective contribution of the total precipitation is markedly decreased, from 56% in ECHAM5-strat to 38% in ECHAM5-conv. While no observations

are available that suggest how much of the precipitation should originate from convective precipitation globally, TRMM observations in the tropics (20° N–20° S) suggest that 40% of the precipitation stems from stratiform clouds (Schumacher and Houze, 2003). In ECHAM5-strat the fraction only accounts to 24% while it accounts to 47% in ECHAM5-conv in better agreement with the observations. The wet scavenging of aerosols could have been affected by the different contributions from stratiform versus convective precipitation. This seems not to be the case as the global mean aerosol

optical depth is very similar in both simulations (Table 2).

5

10

Even though detrainment from convective clouds is extended to the number concentrations in ECHAM5-conv, the vertically integrated cloud droplet and ice crystal number

²⁵ concentrations differ only slightly between simulations ECHAM5-strat and ECHAM5conv (Table 2). The global mean cloud top effective radius of warm clouds (with cloud top temperatures >0°C) sampled only over cloudy events and over the cloudy part of the grid box is smaller in all simulations than estimated from ISCCP (Han et al., 1994). It is 0.6 μ m smaller in ECHAM5-conv than in ECHAM5-strat because a positive cloud

ACPD 7, 14639-14674, 2007 Aerosol effects on convective clouds U. Lohmann **Title Page** Introduction Abstract Conclusions References **Figures** Þ١ Back Full Screen / Esc **Printer-friendly Version** Interactive Discussion EGU

droplet number concentration difference between convective and stratiform clouds is a source for stratiform clouds in ECHAM5-conv. This leads to an increase in the cloud droplet number concentration and a decrease in the size of the droplets per given liquid water content and increases the shortwave cloud forcing. In order to bring the

- top-of-the-atmosphere radiation balance back into equilibrium, the autoconversion rate in stratiform clouds is enhanced by 60% in simulation ECHAM5-conv. This causes the liquid water path and the vertically integrated cloud droplet number in ECHAM5-conv to be smaller than in ECHAM5-strat (Table 2). Even though the cloud top radius is sampled only over cloudy periods and over the cloudy part of the grid box while the liquid water path and the vertically integrated cloud droplet number are averaged over
- the entire grid box and over cloudy and clear-sky periods in Table 2, the same holds for the conditionally sampled cloud droplet number and liquid water path (not shown).

The annual mean liquid water path is shown in Fig. 1. Maxima in observed liquid water path are found in the Intertropical convergence zone (ITCZ) and in the extrat-

- ¹⁵ ropical storm tracks (Ferraro et al., 1996; Greenwald et al., 1993; Weng and Grody, 1994). The retrievals differ by a factor of two regarding the liquid water path in the tropics and by over 60% in the global mean, highlighting the problems that still exist with these observations. Only in the retrieval by Ferraro et al. (1996), the South Pacific convergence zone (SPCZ) is apparent. All simulations reproduce the liquid water
- 20 path maxima in the extratropical stormtracks where the simulated values are encompassed by the measurement uncertainty. However, the liquid water path in the Pacific Warm Pool is underestimated in simulations ECHAM5-acp and ECHAM5-strat. In better agreement with observations, ECHAM5-conv simulates a higher liquid water path in the Intertropical and South Pacific convergence zones due to the decelaration of the
- formation of convective precipitation in this simulation. The differences in the shortwave and longwave cloud forcing are small between the different simulations and agree to within 4 Wm^{-2} with the ERBE observations (Table 2).

The annual mean precipitation is shown in Fig. 2. The observational data from the Global Precipitation Climatology Project (GPCP) (Adler et al., 2003) show maxima in



precipitation in the ITCZ, SPCZ and secondary maxima in the Southern and Northern Hemisphere storm tracks. All simulations overestimate precipitation in the Pacific Warm Pool region and the monsoonal precipitation. Precipitation along the ITCZ is underestimated in ECHAM5-conv and ECHAM5-strat but captured in ECHAM5-acp. The agreement between simulated and observed precipitation is better in the extratropical stormtracks. Overall, the three simulations are closer to each other than any of them with the observations.

The ice water path is considerably smaller in simulations ECHAM5-conv and ECHAM5-strat than in ECHAM5-acp and as derived from ISCCP (Fig. 3). However, one has to keep in mind that the ice water path retrieval is even more uncertain than 10 retrievals of the liquid water path. The cloud droplet number concentration was almost perfectly matched in simulation ECHAM5-acp as compared to the ISCCP observations (Han et al., 1998). However, the annual mean as deduced from ISCCP is an average of only 4 months (January, April, July and October 1987) and therefore has to be regarded with caution. The cloud top effective radius of warm clouds (with cloud 15 top temperatures >0°C) has been derived separately over land and ocean from IS-CCP (Han et al., 1994). It is larger over the oceans than over land and larger over the Southern Hemisphere than in the Northern Hemisphere. All simulations capture the size of the continental cloud droplets very well, but underestimate the size of the oceanic cloud droplets (Fig. 3). Total cloud cover is reduced in simulation ECHAM5-20 conv as compared to simulation ECHAM5-strat in worse agreement with observations. This is especially pronounced in the tropics where the atmosphere is drier in ECHAM5conv. As the Sundqvist cloud cover scheme only depends on the relative humidity, the

25 3.1 Validation of cloud altitudes

cloud cover is reduced as well.

5

Including cloud microphysics in convective clouds has implications for the vertical distribution of cloud cover and cloud condensate. As shown in Fig. 4, the cloud liquid water extends to higher altitudes in simulation ECHAM5-conv. On the other hand, fewer trop-



ical cirrus clouds are found in ECHAM5-conv because the convective cloud tops do not extend as high vertically as in ECHAM5-strat. The ice water content is very similar in both simulations.

Stubenrauch et al. (2005) derived the pressure of the highest cloud layer, weighted
 ⁵ by the effective cloud amount and normalized to the total cloud amount from collocated TOVS-LITE satellite data for 10 days in September 1994 (Fig. 5). The statistics look similar as compared to 8 years of Sept-Nov data from 1987 and 1994 obtained from TOVS alone (Stubenrauch et al., 2005). Effective cloud amount refers to the frequency of occurrence of cloud amount multiplied by its emissivity. The model data for compar ison have been obtained from 5 years of September data and were calculated in the same way.

As shown in Fig. 5, the effective cloud amount in the tropics peaks between 100 and 300 hPa with a secondary maximum at the top of the boundary layer between 700 and 800 hPa. The satellite data suggest a much larger cloud amount above 300 hPa than simulated in any ECHAM5 simulation. The increase in cloud amount in ECHAM5-conv at altitudes above 400 hPa is a small step in the right direction, but the agreement between 700–900 hPa is worse.

The effective cloud amount in Southern Hemisphere midlatitudes is vastly different from the tropics with the maximum cloud amount between 800 and 900 hPa and a secondary maximum between 400 and 500 hPa (Fig. 5). All simulations capture the maximum between 800 and 900 hPa but severely underestimate the cloud amount at higher altitudes. The slight increase in effective cloud amount in ECHAM5-conv is a small improvement towards higher cloud amounts at altitudes above 700 hPa.

The effective cloud amount in Northern Hemisphere midlatitudes peaks between 300 and 400 hPa with a secondary maximum between 700 and 800 hPa (Fig. 5). ECHAM5acp and ECHAM5-strat simulate the highest cloud amount below 900 hPa and a secondary maximum between 200 and 300 hPa. The increase in cloud amount at altitudes above 700 hPa and the decrease below 900 hPa in ECHAM5-conv slightly improves the vertical distribution of effective cloud cover.

ACPD 7, 14639–14674, 2007 Aerosol effects on convective clouds U. Lohmann **Title Page** Introduction Abstract Conclusions References **Figures** Þ١ Back Full Screen / Esc **Printer-friendly Version** Interactive Discussion EGU

The slight increase in effective cloud cover in simulation ECHAM5-conv in the mid troposphere that is apparent in all plots of Fig. 5 stems from the larger amount of supercooled water in these clouds (cf. Fig. 4) which increases their emissivity. The overall underestimation of high clouds can partly be explained by a higher sensitivity of the satellites to optically thin cirrus clouds than present in ECHAM5. Again, the differences between the observations and the model simulations are larger than intermodel differences.

3.2 Validation of aerosol-cloud interactions

Aerosol-cloud interactions are validated using observations from the MODIS satellites
 between 50° S and 50° N for two full years (2001 for MODIS on board of the Terra satellite and 2003 for MODIS on board the Aqua satellite) following Myhre et al. (2007). The observations show a modest increase of liquid (LWP) and total water path (TWP, sum of liquid and ice water path) with aerosol optical depth (AOD) and a rather strong increase of cloud cover with AOD especially for AOD below 0.2. This is partly due to the
 water uptake of aerosols as can be inferred from the increase of total water mass with AOD (Fig. 6). At AOD >0.2, LWP, TWP, cloud cover and water vapor mass decrease with increasing AOD.

The increase in LWP and TWP with AOD for small AOD values (AOD<0.1) is captured in all model simulation consistent with the hygroscopic growth of the aerosols in

- Fig. 6d. While the observed decrease in LWP for AOD>0.2 is not reproduced in any simulation, the sensitivity of LWP and TWP changes with increasing AOD is smallest in simulation ECHAM5-conv in best agreement with the observations. On the other hand, the sensitivity of the water vapor mass with increasing AOD is vastly overestimated in this simulation. Part of the differences in sensitivity between ECHAM-acp and the sensitivity of the water vapor mass with increasing AOD is vastly overestimated in this simulation.
- ECHAM5-conv stem from the modifications to the large-scale microphysics scheme because the slopes the increases in LWP and water vapor mass with increasing AOD in ECHAM5-strat lie between the slopes for ECHAM5-acp and ECHAM5-conv (Fig. 6). The increase in cloud cover with AOD at AOD<0.2 is not captured in any model</p>



simulation. Instead the trend is reversed such that the cloud cover decreases at small values of AOD and increases at higher values. This maybe an artifact of the Sundqvist cloud cover scheme that depends only on relative humidity and hence is not very sensitive to changes in cloud condensate. On the other hand, multi-year analyses of data at

the ARM site show that cloud fraction increases with AOD only for clouds of less than 1 km but decreases with increasing AOD for larger clouds (Kassianov et al., 2007). Thus, the global correlations have to be viewed with caution.

Water vapor mass is very sensitive to increases in AOD in simulation ECHAM5-conv and to a lesser extent in ECHAM5-strat. It suggests that data points with low values of AOD in ECHAM5-conv stem from different geographical regions than in the other two simulations and in the observations. These low values of water vapor mass of less

than 20 kg m⁻² are limited to orographic terrain and mid to high latitudes. Koren et al. (2005) analyzed the cloud top heights from MODIS satellite data as a function of AOD for convective clouds over the Atlantic. As found globally by Myhre

- et al. (2007), cloud cover increases with increasing AOD. More interesting though, the convective clouds extend to higher altitudes when AOD increases (Fig. 7). The authors thus suggest that aerosols invigorate convective storms by suppressing drizzle so that more cloud water is available for freezing. This results in a larger latent heat release as compared to clean clouds and allows the clouds to penetrate to higher
- altitudes (see also Khain et al., 2005 discussed in the introduction). In ECHAM5-acp and ECHAM5-strat, cloud top height shows the opposite trend with AOD, it decreases from 300 hPa at the cleanest AOD to 600 hPa for the highest AOD. While ECHAM5conv underestimates the cloud top heights throughout it nevertheless predicts the right trend of an increase in cloud top height for AOD values >0.2.



4 Sensitivity studies of the anthropogenic aerosol effect on stratiform and convective clouds

The change in precipitation from 1900 to 1998 has been reported by Hulme et al. (1998). While the observations show an increase of 0.02 mm/d since the beginning of

- ⁵ the last century, the precipitation decreases in simulations ECHAM5-acp, ECHAM5strat and ECHAM5-conv (Table 3). Whereas the decrease in precipitation is dominated by convective precipitation in ECHAM5-acp, the convective precipitation actually increases in simulation ECHAM5-conv. Because the change in precipitation over the last century is influenced by changes in greenhouse gases in addition to changes in
- aerosols, the pre-industrial simulations ECHAM5-strat and ECHAM5-conv have been repeated using pre-industrial greenhouse gas concentrations and pre-industrial seasurface-temperatures as described above. In these simulations (ECHAM5-conv-ghg and ECHAM5-strat-ghg) the observed global mean temperature increase of 0.6 K over the 20th is taken into account. This results in an increase of precipitation since pre-
- industrial times of 0.006 mm/d in simulation ECHAM5-strat-ghg and of 0.013 mm/d in simulation ECHAM5-conv-ghg (Table 3). The latter agrees fairly well with the observed increase of 0.02 mm/d. The increase in convective precipitation exceeds the increase in total precipitation in simulations ECHAM5-conv-ghg and ECHAM5-strat-ghg suggesting a strengthening of the convective intensity in a warmer climate in these simulations.
- ²⁰ This is opposite to the small changes in convective activity found when doubling CO₂ in the GISS GCM (Del Genio et al., 2007).

Figure 8 shows the observed change in precipitation between the 10-year average from 1989–1998 minus the 10-year average from 1901–1910. Increases in precipitation can be seen in eastern North America, Northern Europe, Northern Asia, India,

²⁵ Brazil and Argentina, whereas precipitation decreased in the Pacific Warm Pool, in the Sahel zone, Central America and off the east coast of America. The decrease in the Sahel zone has partly been attributed to a cooling of the Northern Atlantic possibly enhanced by anthropogenic aerosols (Williams et al., 2001; Rotstayn and Lohmann,

ACPD 7, 14639–14674, 2007 Aerosol effects on				
convectiv	ve clouds			
U. Loł	U. Lohmann			
Title Page				
Abstract Introduction				
Conclusions	References			
Tables	Tables Figures			
I	۶I			
•	•			
Back	Back Close			
Full Scre	Full Screen / Esc			
Printer-frier	Printer-friendly Version			
Interactive Discussion				
EG	EGU			

2002; Held et al., 2005).

ECHAM5-conv-ghg captures the increase in precipitation in South America, Central Africa, Madagascar, Western Europe and Eastern North America as well as the decrease in precipitation in the Sahel zone, in the North Pacific and parts of Indonesia. However, the observed increases in precipitation in Northern Asia and in India are missing in this simulation. Most of these features are also apparent in simulation ECHAM5-strat-gbg. In this simulation even the increase in precipitation in Northern

- ECHAM5-strat-ghg. In this simulation even the increase in precipitation in Northern Asia is captured, but therefore the increase in precipitation in North America is shifted from the coast too far inland.
- ¹⁰ The annual zonal mean changes in total water path (sum of liquid and ice water path), total cloud cover, total precipitation, shortwave, longwave and net radiation at the top-of-the-atmosphere only due to the total anthropogenic aerosol effect are shown in Fig. 9. The increase in AOD, which can be taken as a surrogate for the aerosol forcing, is similar in all simulations suggesting that the wet scavenging has not vastly
- ¹⁵ changed between the simulations (Table 3). Total water path has increased the most in Northern Hemisphere midlatitudes as a response to the maximum in anthropogenic aerosol emissions. The increase in total water path is smallest in simulation ECHAM5conv consistent with the smaller sensitivity of total water path with increasing AOD in this simulation (cf. Fig. 6). This is a step in the right direction as large eddy model
- simulations suggest that the total water path may even decrease when adding aerosols (e.g. Ackerman et al., 2004; Jiang et al., 2006). Cloud cover increases between 0.25 and 0.4% globally in the simulations due to anthropogenic aerosols with the largest increase in Northern Hemisphere mid latitude where the increases in total water path are highest (Fig. 9).
- ²⁵ The decrease in TOA shortwave radiation of -1.9 to -2 W m^{-2} is comparable in ECHAM5-acp and ECHAM5-strat because it depends on the increase in total water path and total cloud cover. The smaller increase in total water path in simulation ECHAM5-conv as compared to ECHAM5-acp and ECHAM5-strat results in a smaller decrease in shortwave radiation at TOA of -1.6 W m^{-2} . The outgoing longwave ra-

ACPD 7, 14639-14674, 2007 Aerosol effects on convective clouds U. Lohmann **Title Page** Introduction Abstract Conclusions References **Figures** ►T. Back Full Screen / Esc **Printer-friendly Version** Interactive Discussion EGU

diation also decreases because of the increase in cloud cover. Thus it slightly compensated the decrease in shortwave radiation at TOA. Its decrease is largest in simulation ECHAM5-strat such the decrease in net radiation is comparable in simulations ECHAM5-conv and ECHAM5-strat with -1.5 to -1.6 W m⁻² (Table 3).

5 5 Conclusions

20

In this study, the double-moment cloud microphysics scheme developed for stratiform clouds of the ECHAM5 GCM has been extended to convective clouds. This includes the processes of aerosol activation, precipitation formation via the warm and ice phase, freezing depending on the availability of ice nuclei and detrainment of the cloud droplet and ice crystal number concentrations and mass mixing ratios to the stratiform cloud scheme. Previously cloud water in convective cores froze immediately upon supercooling below 0°C. Now cloud droplets remain supercooled up to -35° C depending on the liquid water content, the cloud droplet number concentration and the availability of freezing nuclei. In order to account for the accretion process, rain and snow that were formed in the preliminary updraft calculation have been saved for the final updraft calculations.

The results of the simulations for the present-day climate show that the liquid water path in the tropics is increased in the new scheme in better agreement with the observations. Also the higher amount of supercooled water in the mid troposphere increases the effective cloud amount in slightly better agreement with observations.

In terms of precipitation changes over the 20th century, many observed features such as the decrease of precipitation in the Sahel zone or the increase in precipitation over South America and Central Africa are captured in the simulations that are forced by the changes in anthropogenic greenhouse gases and aerosols. The over-

all increase in precipitation is twice as high in simulation ECHAM5-conv-ghg than in ECHAM5-strat-ghg in better agreement with observations. The total aerosol effect defined as the difference in net radiation at TOA between pre-industrial and present-day



times due to anthropogenic aerosols is smallest in simulation ECHAM5-conv amounting to $-1.5 W m^{-2}$ as compared to $-1.6 W m^{-2}$ in ECHAM5-strat and $-1.9 W m^{-2}$ in ECHAM5-acp.

As concluded in the Fourth IPCC report, the response of deep convective clouds to global warming is a substantial source of uncertainty in projections since current models predict different responses of these clouds (Randall et al., 2007). Thus, improvements in convective clouds need to go beyond including microphysics but should account for an ensemble of transient clouds as introduced for example by von Salzen and McFarlane (2002) for shallow convective clouds.

Acknowledgements. I thank P. Stier, H. Feichter and J. Zhang for helpful comments and suggestions, C. Stubenrauch, G. Myhre and I. Koren for providing observational data, S. Ferrachat, M. Esch and C. LeDrian for technical help, and the German (DKRZ) and Swiss Computing Centres (CSCS) for computing time. This study contributed towards the Swiss climate research program NCCR Climate and the EU project EUCAARI.

15 **References**

- Ackerman, A. S., Kirkpatrick, M. P., Stevens, D. E., and Toon, O. B.: The impact of humidity above stratiform clouds on indirect climate forcing, Nature, 432, 1014–1017, 2004. 14655
 Adler, R. F., Huffman, G. J., Chang, A., Ferraro, R., Xie, P. P., Janowiak, J., Rudolf, B., Schneider, U., Curtis, S., Bolvin, D., Gruber, A., Susskind, J., Arkin, P., and Nelkin, E.:
- ²⁰ The version-2 global precipitation climatology project (GPCP) monthly precipitation analysis (1979-present), J. Hydrometeorol., 4, 1147–1167, 2003. 14649, 14664, 14667
 - Cui, Z. Q., Carslaw, K. S., Yin, Y., and Davies, S.: A numerical study of aerosol effects on the dynamics and microphysics of a deep convective cloud in a continental environment, J. Geophys. Res., 111, D05201, doi:10.1029/2005JD005981, 2006. 14641
- Del Genio, A. D., Yao, M.-S., and Jonas, J.: Will moist convection be stronger in a warmer climate?, Geophys. Res. Lett., 34, L16703, doi:10.1029/2007GL030525, 2007. 14654
 Denman, K., Brasseur, G., Chidthaisong, A., Ciais, P., Cox, P., Dickinson, R., Hauglustaine, D., Heinze, C., Holland, E., Jacob, D., Lohmann, U., Ramachandran, S., Silva Dias, P., Wofsy,
 - S., and Zhang, X.: Couplings between changes in the climate system and biogeochemistry,



in Climate Change 2007: The Physical Science Basis. Contribution of Working Group I to the Fourth Assessment Report of the Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change, edited by S. Solomon, D. Qin, M. Manning, Z. Chen, M. Marquis, K. B. Averyt, M. Tignor, and H. L. Miller, pp. 499–588, Cambridge Univ. Press, Cambridge, United Kingdom and New York, NY, USA, 2007. 14640, 14641

- Dentener, F., Kinne, S., Bond, T., Boucher, O., Cofala, J., Generoso, S., Ginoux, P., Gong, S., Hoelzemann, J., Ito, A., Marelli, L., Penner, J., Putaud, J.-E., Textor, C., Schulz, M., van der Werf, G., and J., W.: Emissions of primary aerosol and precursor gases in the years 2000 and 1750 prescribed data-sets for AeroCom, Atmos. Chem. Phys., 6, 4321–4344, 2006,
- 10 http://www.atmos-chem-phys.net/6/4321/2006/. 14647

5

Feichter, J., Roeckner, E., Lohmann, U., and Liepert, B.: Nonlinear aspects of the climate response to greenhouse gas and aerosol forcing, J. Climate, 17, 2384–2398, 2004. 14647, 14663

Ferraro, R., Weng, F., Grody, N., and Basist, A.: An Eight Year (1987-1994) Time Series of

- Rainfall, Clouds, Water Vapor, Snow-cover, and Sea-ice Derived from SSM/I Measurements, Bull. Amer. Meteorol. Soc., 77, 891–905, 1996. 14649, 14664, 14666
 - Forster, P., Ramaswamy, V., Artaxo, P., Berntsen, T., Betts, R., Fahey, D. W., Haywood, J., Lean, J., Lowe, D. C., Myhre, G., Nganga, J., Prinn, R., Raga, G., Schulz, M., and Van Dorland, R.: Radiative Forcing of Climate Change, in Climate Change 2007: The Physical Science Basis.
- Contribution of Working Group I to the Fourth Assessment Report of the Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change, edited by S. Solomon, D. Qin, M. Manning, Z. Chen, M. Marquis, K. B. Averyt, M. Tignor, and H. L. Miller, pp. 129–234, Cambridge Univ. Press, Cambridge, United Kingdom and New York, NY, USA, 2007. 14640

Greenwald, T. J., Stephens, G. L., Vonder Haar, T. H., and Jackson, D. L.: A Physical Retrieval

- of Cloud Liquid Water Over the Global Oceans Using Special Sensor Microwave/Imager (SSM/I) Observations, J. Geophys. Res., 98, 18471–18488, 1993. 14649, 14664, 14666
 - Hahn, C. J., Warren, S. G., and London, J.: Climatological data for clouds over the globe from surface observations, 1982–1991: The total cloud edition, Tech. rep., ORNL/CDIAC-72 NDP-026A Oak Ridge National Laboratory, Oak Ridge Tennessee, USA, 1994. 14664
- Han, Q., Rossow, W. B., and Lacis, A. A.: Near-Global Survey of Effective Droplet Radii in Liquid Water Clouds Using ISCCP Data, J. Climate, 7, 465–497, 1994. 14648, 14650, 14664
 Han, Q., Rossow, W. B., Chou, J., and Welch, R.: Global Variation of column droplet concentration in low-level clouds, Geophys. Res. Lett., 25, 1419–1422, 1998. 14650, 14664

AC	ACPD			
7, 14639–1	7, 14639–14674, 2007			
Aerosol effects on convective clouds U. Lohmann				
Title Page				
Abstract Introduction				
Conclusions	Conclusions References			
Tables	Figures			
14	∢ ▶			
•	۲			
Back Close				
Full Scre	Full Screen / Esc			
Printer-frier				
	Printer-triendly Version			
Interactive Discussion				
EGU				

- Held, I. M., Delworth, T. L., Lu, J., Findell, K. L., and Knutson, T. R.: Simulation of Sahel drought in the 20th and 21st centuries, Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci., 102, 17891–17896, 2005. 14655
- Hulme, M., Osborn, T. J., and Johns, T. C.: Precipitation sensitivity to global warming: Comparison of observations with HadCM2 simulations, Geophys. Res. Lett., 25, 3379–3382, 1998. 14654, 14673
- Jiang, H. L., Xue, H. W., Teller, A., Feingold, G., and Levin, Z.: Aerosol effects on the lifetime of shallow cumulus, Geophys. Res. Lett., 33, L14806, doi:10.1029/2006GL026024, 2006. 14641, 14655
- Kassianov, E. I., Berg, L. K., Flynn, C., and McFarlane, S.: The relevance of aerosol optical
- depth to cumulus fraction changes: a five-year climatology at the ACRF SGP site, Atmos. Chem. Phys. Discuss., 7, 11 797–11 837, 2007. 14653
 - Khain, A., Pokrovsky, A., Pinsky, M., Seifert, A., and Phillips, V.: Simulation of Effects of Atmospheric Aerosols on Deep Turbulent Convective Using a Spectral Microphysics Mixed-Phase Cumulus Cloud Model. Part I: Model Description and Possible Applications, J. Aerosol Sci.,
- ¹⁵ **61, 2963–2982, 2004. 14641**

5

20

- Khain, A., Rosenfeld, D., and Pokrovsky, A.: Aerosol impact on the dynamics and microphysics of deep convective clouds, Q. J. R. Meteorol. Soc., 131, 2639–2663, 2005. 14641, 14653
 Khain, A. P., Rosenfeld, D., and Pokrovsky, A.: Simulating convective clouds with sustained
- supercooled liquid water down to -37.5°C using a spectral microphysics model, Geophys. Res. Lett., 28, 3887–3890, 2001. 14641
- Kiehl, J. T. and Trenberth, K. E.: Earth's Annual Global Mean Energy Budget, Bull. Amer. Meteorol. Soc., 78, 197–208, 1997. 14648, 14664
- King, M. D., Menzel, W. P., Kaufman, Y. J., Tanre, D., Gao, B. C., Platnick, S., Ackerman, S. A., Remer, L. A., Pincus, R., and Hubanks, P. A.: Cloud and aerosol properties, precipitable
- water, and profiles of temperature and water vapor from MODIS, IEEE Trans. Geo. Rem. Sens., 41, 442–458, 2003. 14664
 - Koren, I., Kaufman, Y. J., Rosenfeld, D., Remer, L. A., and Rudich, Y.: Aerosol invigoration and restructuring of Atlantic convective clodus, Geophys. Res. Lett., 32, L14828, doi:10.1029/2005GL023187, 2005. 14641, 14653, 14672
- Lin, H. and Leaitch, W. R.: Development of an in-cloud aerosol activation parameterization for climate modelling, in Proceedings of the WMO Workshop on Measurement of Cloud Properties for Forecasts of Weather, Air Quality and Climate, 328–335, World Meteorol. Organ., Geneva, 1997. 14644

AC	PD			
7, 14639–1	4674, 2007			
Aerosol effects on convective clouds				
U. Loł	nmann			
Tille Deve				
Litle Page				
Abstract Introduction				
Conclusions	References			
Tables	Tables Figures			
I	I4 ÞI			
•	•			
Back Close				
Full Screen / Esc				
Printer-frier	ndly Version			
Interactive Discussion				
EGU				

- Lohmann, U.: Possible aerosol effects on ice clouds via contact nucleation, J. Atmos. Sci., 59, 647–656, 2002. 14644
- Lohmann, U. and Roeckner, E.: Design and performance of a new cloud microphysics scheme developed for the ECHAM general circulation model, Clim. Dyn., 12, 557–572, 1996. 14643
- ⁵ Lohmann, U., Feichter, J., Chuang, C. C., and Penner, J. E.: Predicting the number of cloud droplets in the ECHAM GCM, J. Geophys. Res., 104, 9169–9198, 1999. 14644
 - Lohmann, U., Stier, P., Hoose, C., Ferrachat, S., Kloster, S., Roeckner, E., and Zhang, J.: Cloud microphysics and aerosol indirect effects in the global climate model ECHAM5-HAM, Atmos. Chem. Phys., 7, 3425–3446, 2007,

http://www.atmos-chem-phys.net/7/3425/2007/. 14643, 14644, 14645, 14646, 14647, 14663

10

20

25

Menon, S. and Rotstayn, L.: The radiative influence of aerosol effects on liquid-phase cumulus and stratiform clouds based on sensitivity studies with two climate models, Climate Dyn., 27, 345–356, 2006. 14642

Myhre, G., Stordal, F., Johnsrud, M., Kaufman, Y. J., Rosenfeld, D., Storelvmo, T., Kristjansson,

- J. E., Berntsen, T. K., Myhre, A., and Isaksen, I. S. A.: Aerosol-cloud interaction inferred from MODIS satellite data and global aerosol models, Atmos. Chem. Phys., 7, 3081–3101, 2007, http://www.atmos-chem-phys.net/7/3081/2007/. 14652, 14653, 14671
 - Nober, F. J., Graf, H.-F., and Rosenfeld, D.: Sensitivity of the global circulation to the suppression of precipitation by anthropogenic aerosols, Global Planetary Change, 37, 57–80, 2003. 14642
 - Nordeng, T. E.: Extended Versions of the Convective Parameterization Scheme at ECMWF and their Impact on the Mean and Transient Activity of the Model in the Tropics, Tech. Rep. 206, European Centre for Medium-Range Weather Forecasts, 1994. 14642, 14643 Reputed Barrier M. Arakewa A. and Crabewald, W. Bracking the claud parameter

Randall, D., Khairoutdinov, M., Arakawa, A., and Grabowski, W.: Breaking the cloud parameterization deadlock, Bull. Amer. Meteorol. Soc., 84, 1547–1564, 2003. 14642

- Randall, D., Wood, R., Bony, S., Colman, R., Fichefet, T., Fyfe, J., Kattsov, V., Pitman, A., Shukla, J., Srinivasan, J., Stouffer, R., Sumi, A., and Tayler, K.: Climate Models and Their Evaluation, in: Climate Change 2007: The Scientific Basis. Contribution of working group I to the Forth Assessment Report of the Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change, edited
- by: Solomon, S., Qin, D., Manning, M., Chen, Z., Marquis, M., Averyt, K. B, Tignor, M., and Miller, H. L., pp. 589–662, Cambridge Univ. Press, Cambridge, United Kingdom and New York, NY, USA, 2007. 14657

Roeckner, E., Bäuml, G., Bonaventura, L., Brokopf, R., Esch, M., Giorgetta, M., Hagemann, S.,

ACPD 7, 14639–14674, 2007 Aerosol effects on convective clouds U. Lohmann **Title Page** Abstract Introduction Conclusions References **Figures** ►T. Back Close Full Screen / Esc **Printer-friendly Version** Interactive Discussion

EGU

Kirchner, I., Kornblueh, L., Manzini, E., Rhodin, A., Schlese, U., Schulzweida, U., and Tompkins, A.: The atmospheric general circulation model ECHAM5. PART I: Model description, Tech. Rep. 349, Max-Planck-Inst. für Meteorol., Hamburg, Germany, 2003. 14642

Roeckner, E., Brokopf, R., Esch, M., Giorgetta, M., Hagemann, S., Kornblueh, L., Manzini, E., Schlese, U., and Schulzweida, U.: Sensitivity of simulated climate to horizontal and vertical

resolution in the ECHAM5 atmosphere model, J. Climate, 19, 3771–3791, 2006. 14643

Rosenfeld, D.: TRMM observed first direct evidence of smoke from forest fires inhibiting rainfall, Geophys. Res. Lett., 26, 3105–3108, 1999. 14641

Rosenfeld, D. and Woodley, W. L.: Deep convective clouds with sustained supercooled liquid water down to -37.5°C, Nature, 405, 440-442, 2000. 14641

Rossow, W. B. and Schiffer, R. A.: Advances in understanding clouds from ISCCP, Bull. Amer. Meteorol. Soc., 80, 2261–2287, 1999. 14664

10

Rotstayn, L. D. and Lohmann, U.: Tropical rainfall trends and the indirect aerosol effect, J. Climate, 15, 2103–2116, 2002. 14654

- ¹⁵ Schumacher, C. and Houze, R. A.: Stratiform rain in the tropics as seen by the TRMM precipitation radar, J. Climate, 16, 1739–1756, 2003. 14664
 - Scott, N. A., Chedin, A., Armante, R., Francis, J., Stubenrauch, C., Chaboureau, J. P., Chevallier, F., Claud, C., and Cheruy, F.: Characteristics of the TOVS Pathfinder Path-B dataset, Bull. Amer. Meteorol. Soc., 80, 2679–2701, 1999. 14664
- Seifert, A. and Beheng, K. D.: A two-moment cloud microphysics parameterization for mixedphase clouds. Part 2: Maritime vs. continental deep convective storms, Meteorol. Atmos. Phys., 92, 67–82, 2006. 14641
 - Solomon, S., Qin, D., Manning, M., Alley, R. B., Berntsen, T., Bindoff, N. L., Chen, Z., Chidthaisong, A., Gregory, J. M., Hegerl, G. C., Heimann, H., Hewitson, B., Hoskins, B. J.,
- Joos, F., Jouzel, J., Kattsov, V., Lohmann, U., Matsuno, T., Molina, M., Nicholls, N., Overpeck, J., Raga, G., Ramaswamy, V., Ren, J., Rusticucci, M., Somerville, R., Stocker, T. F., Whetton, P., A., W. R., and Wratt, D.: Technical Summary, in: Climate Change 2007: The Physical Science Basis. Contribution of Working Group I to the Fourth Assessment Report of the Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change, edited by: Solomon, S., Qin, D., Manning,
- ³⁰ M., Chen, Z., Marquis, M., Averyt, K. B, Tignor, M., and Miller, H. L., Cambridge Univ. Press, Cambridge, United Kingdom and New York, NY, USA, 2007. 14647
 - Stier, P., Feichter, J., Kinne, S., Kloster, S., Vignati, E., Wilson, J., Ganzeveld, L., Tegen, I., Werner, M., Balkanski, Y., Schulz, M., Boucher, O., Minikin, A., and Petzold, A.: The aerosol-

AC	ACPD			
7, 14639–1	4674, 2007			
Aerosol effects on convective clouds				
U. Loł	U. Lohmann			
Title Page				
Abstract	Abstract Introduction			
Conclusions	References			
Tables	Tables Figures			
14	۶I			
•	• •			
Back	Back Close			
Full Scre	Full Screen / Esc			
Printer-frier	Printer-friendly Version			
Interactive Discussion				
EGU				

climate model ECHAM5-HAM, Atmos. Chem. Phys., 5, 1125–1156, 2005. 14643 Storelvmo, T., Kristjansson, J.-E., and Lohmann, U.: Aerosol influence on mixed-phase clouds in CAM-Oslo, J. Atmos. Sci., in press, 2007. 14664

Stubenrauch, C. J., Eddounia, F., and Sauvage, L.: Cloud heights from TOVS Path-B: Eval-

 uation using LITE observations and distributions of highest cloud layers, J. Geophys. Res., 110, D19203, doi:10.1029/2004JD005447, 2005. 14651, 14670

Sundqvist, H., Berge, E., and Kristiansson, J. E.: Condensation and Cloud Parameterization Studies with a Mesoscale Numerical Weather Prediction Model, Mon. Wea. Rev., 117, 1641– 1657, 1989. 14643

- ¹⁰ Susskind, J., Piraino, P., Rokke, L., Iredell, T., and Mehta, A.: Characteristics of the TOVS Pathfinder Path A dataset, Bull. Amer. Meteorol. Soc., 78, 1449–1472, 1997. 14664
 - Tiedtke, M.: A Comprehensive Mass Flux Scheme for Cumulus Parameterization in Large-Scale Models, Mon. Weather Rev., 117, 3040–3061, 1989. 14642, 14643

Tost, H., Jockel, P., and Lelieveld, J.: Influence of different convection parameterisations in a GCM, Atmos. Chem. Phys., 6, 5475–5493, 1680–7316, 2006. 14642

15

Van den Heever, S., Carrio, G., Cotton, W. R., DeMott, P. J., and Prenni, A. J.: Impacts of nucleating aerosol on Florida convection, Part I: Mesoscale simulations, J. Aerosol Sci., 63, 1752–1775, 2006. 14641

von Salzen, K. and McFarlane, N. A.: Parameterization of the bulk effects of lateral and cloud-

- top entrainment in transient shallow cumulus clouds, J. Atmos. Sci., 59, 1405–1430, 2002. 14657
 - Weng, F. and Grody, N. C.: Retrieval of cloud liquid water using the special sensor microwave imager (SSM/I), J. Geophys. Res., 99, 25535–25551, 1994. 14649, 14664

Williams, K. D., Jones, A., Roberts, D. L., Senior, C. A., and Woodage, M. J.: The response of

- the climate system to the indirect effects of anthropogenic sulfate aerosols, Clim. Dyn., 17, 845–856, 2001. 14654
 - Yin, Y., Levin, A., Reisin, T. G., and Tzivion, S.: The effect of giant cloud condensation nuclei on the development of precipitation in convective clodus – a numerical study, Atmos. Res., 53, 91–116, 2000. 14641
- ³⁰ Zhang, J. H., Lohmann, U., and Stier, P.: A microphysical parameterization for convective clouds in the ECHAM5 climate model: Single-column model results evaluated at the Oklahoma Atmospheric Radiation Measurement Program site, J. Geophys. Res., 110, D15S07, doi:10.1029/2004JD005128, 2005. 14641, 14642, 14644, 14645

ACPD				
7, 14639–1	4674, 2007			
Aerosol effects on convective clouds				
U. Loł	imann			
Title Page				
Abstract Introduction				
Conclusions	References			
Tables	Tables Figures			
14	۶I			
•	•			
Back Close				
Full Screen / Esc				
Driptor frion	dly Version			
Printer-mer	Printer-friendly Version			
Interactive Discussion				
FGU				

7, 14639–14674, 2007

Aerosol effects on convective clouds

U. Lohmann

cloud mi- 007)	Title	Page
aft velocity deposition	Abstract	Introduction
onvection; ng param-	Conclusions	References
crophysics	Tables	Figures
tions rep-	14	▶1
a coupled /ithout an-	•	•
04)	Back	Close
	Full Scr	een / Esc
	Printer-frie	ndly Version
	Interactive	Discussion
	EGU	

 Table 1. Sensitivity simulations.

Simulation	Description
ECHAM5-acp	Simulation with ECHAM5-HAM coupled to the double-moment cloud mi-
	crophysics scheme only for stratiform clouds (Lohmann et al., 2007)
ECHAM5-strat	As ECHAM5-acp, but including some updates: Consistent updraft velocity
	for transport and scavenging; depositional growth equation for deposition
	and sublimation; increased entrainment rate for penetrative convection;
	accounting for the turbulent cooling rate in the initiersion neezing param-
	As ECHAME stret, but employing the double memory cloud microphysics
ECHAIVIS-CONV	AS ECHAM5-strat, but employing the double-moment cloud microphysics
	scheme also in convective clouds
ECHAM5-strat-ghg	As ECHAM5-strat, but using the greenhouse gas concentrations rep-
	resentative of 1750 and the sea surface temperature from a coupled
	GCM/mixed-layer ocean simulation of a pre-industrial climate without an-
	thropogenic greenhouse gases and aerosols (Feichter et al., 2004)
ECHAM5-conv-ghg	As ECHAM5-strat-ghg, but employing the double-moment cloud micro-
	physics scheme also in convective clouds

Table 2. Annual global mean cloud and aerosol properties. Aerosol optical depth (AOD) is obtained from different observations (Kinne, 2007²). The liquid water path (LWP) observations stem from SSM/I (Ferraro et al., 1996; Greenwald et al., 1993; Weng and Grody, 1994), and ISCCP and are restricted to oceans. Ice water path (IWP) has been derived from ISCCP data (Storelymo et al., 2007) and includes data from land and oceans. Water vapor mass (WVM) data stem from MODIS. N_d and N_i refer to the vertically integrated cloud droplet and ice crystal number concentration, and $r_{\rm eff}$ refers to the cloud top effective radius. Observations of N_d and $r_{\rm eff}$ are obtained from ISCCP (Han et al., 1998, 1994) and are limited to 50° N to 50° S. Total precipitation (P_{tot}) is taken from the Global Precipitation Climatology Project (Adler et al., 2003); the fraction of stratiform precipitation in the tropics (20° N-20° S) from TRMM (Schumacher and Houze, 2003), total cloud cover (TCC) is obtained from surface observations (Hahn et al., 1994), ISCCP (Rossow and Schiffer, 1999) and MODIS data (King et al., 2003). The shortwave (SCF) and longwave cloud forcing (LCF) estimates are taken from Kiehl and Trenberth (1997). In addition estimates of LCF from TOVS retrievals (Susskind et al., 1997; Scott et al., 1999) are included. In cases where the observations are restricted geographically, the respective data from the different simulations are averages over the limited regions as well. Note that r_{eff} is only sampled over cloudy periods and over the cloudy part of the grid box.

Simulation	ECHAM5-conv	ECHAM5-strat	ECHAM5-acp	OBS
LWP, g m ⁻²	61.0	69.0	64.6	50-84
IWP, g m ⁻²	17.6	17.0	27.7	26.7
N _d , 10 ¹⁰ m ⁻²	3.2	3.8	4.3	4
N_i , 10 ¹⁰ m ⁻²	0.6	0.6	0.7	
r _{eff} , μm	10.2	10.8	10.5	11.4
WVM, kg m ⁻²	25.8	26.1	26.0	25.1
TCC, %	62.6	65.2	62.5	62–67
$P_{\rm tot}$, mm d ⁻¹	2.96	2.90	2.89	2.74
P _{strat} , mm d ^{−1}	1.83	1.30	1.07	
$P_{\rm conv}$, mm d ⁻¹	1.13	1.61	1.82	
$\left(\frac{P_{\text{strat}}}{P_{\text{tot}}}\right)_{20\text{S}-20\text{N}}$, %	47	24	7	40
SCF, W m ⁻²	-52.7	-53.8	-52.4	-50
LCF, W m ⁻²	30.1	30.3	29.2	22-30
AOD	0.178	0.182	0.176	0.15–0.19

² Kinne, S.: Aerosol Direct Radiative Forcing with an AERONET touch, Atmos. Environ., submitted, 2007. 14664



Table 3. Annual global mean changes in AOD, the hydrological cycle and the TOA radiative budget from 1750 to present-day. Note that total water path changes here refer to the average over land and ocean.

Simulation	ECHAM5-	ECHAM5-	ECHAM5-	ECHAM5-	ECHAM5-
	conv	strat	acp	conv-ghg	strat-ghg
AOD	0.039	0.041	0.042	0.04	0.042
Total water path, $g m^{-2}$	4.6	6.1	6.9	3.5	5.0
Total cloud cover, %	0.27	0.40	0.25	-0.4	-0.3
Total precip., mm d^{-1}	-0.001	-0.015	-0.008	0.013	0.006
Conv. precip., mm d^{-1}	0.008	-0.007	-0.006	0.017	0.01
Shortwave radiation TOA, $W m^{-2}$	-1.6	-1.9	-2.0	-0.3	-0.8
Outgoing longwave rad., $W m^{-2}$	0.1	0.3	0.1	0.4	0.8
Net radiation TOA, $W m^{-2}$	-1.5	-1.6	-1.9	0.1	0

ACPD

7, 14639–14674, 2007

Aerosol effects on convective clouds

U. Lohmann

Title Page				
Abstract	Introduction			
Conclusions	References			
Tables	Figures			
14	►I			
•	•			
Back Close				
Full Screen / Esc				
i un oore				

Interactive Discussion

EGU





7, 14639–14674, 2007

Aerosol effects on convective clouds

U. Lohmann





Fig. 2. Annual mean precipitation $[mm d^{-1}]$ from GPCP observations (Adler et al., 2003) and from the simulations ECHAM5-conv, ECHAM5-strat and ECHAM5-acp.

7, 14639–14674, 2007

Aerosol effects on convective clouds

U. Lohmann





7, 14639–14674, 2007

Aerosol effects on convective clouds U. Lohmann **Title Page** Introduction Abstract References Conclusions Figures Back Full Screen / Esc **Printer-friendly Version** Interactive Discussion EGU

Fig. 3. Annual zonal means of ice water path (IWP), vertically integrated cloud droplet number concentration (N_c), cloud top effective radius (r_e) over oceans (solid lines) and over land (dotted lines), and total cloud cover from different model simulations described in Table 1 and from observations described in Table 2. Dotted black lines refer to ISCCP data for IWP, N_c , r_e and total cloud cover. Dashed lines refer to surface observations of total cloud cover.



Fig. 4. Annual zonal mean latitude versus pressure differences of the grid-average mass mixing ratios (LWC, IWC) and cloud cover for simulations ECHAM5-conv and ECHAM5-strat.

ACPD

7, 14639–14674, 2007





Fig. 5. Effective cloud amount (fraction) versus pressure in the tropics (-13° S to 13° N), Southern (SH) and Northern (NH) Hemisphere midlatitudes (32°-60° S/N) from collocated TOVS-LITE data (Stubenrauch et al., 2005) for September 1994 with simulated data for September from ECHAM5-conv, ECHAM5-strat and ECHAM5-acp.

ACPD

7, 14639–14674, 2007

Aerosol effects on convective clouds

U. Lohmann







Fig. 6. Observed relationships between aerosol optical depth with **(a)** liquid water path, **(b)** total water path (sum of liquid and ice water path), **(c)** cloud cover and **(d)** water vapor mass obtained from the MODIS instruments on board the AQUA and TERRA satellites (Myhre et al., 2007) and compared to the simulated relationships from ECHAM5-conv, ECHAM5-acp and ECHAM5-strat.

14671



Fig. 7. Observed relationships between aerosol optical depth with cloud top pressure and cloud cover obtained from MODIS satellites over the North Atlantic (Koren et al., 2005) and compared to the simulated relationships from ECHAM5-conv, ECHAM5-strat and ECHAM5-acp.

ACPD

7, 14639–14674, 2007

Aerosol effects on convective clouds U. Lohmann **Title Page** Abstract Introduction Conclusions References **Figures Tables** .∎. ►T. Close Back

Full Screen / Esc

Printer-friendly Version

Interactive Discussion

EGU



7, 14639–14674, 2007 Aerosol effects on convective clouds U. Lohmann **Title Page** Introduction Abstract Conclusions References **Figures Tables** .∎. Close Back Full Screen / Esc **Printer-friendly Version** Interactive Discussion

EGU

ACPD

lated changes between the present-day and pre-industrial conditions for simulations ECHAM5conv-ghg and ECHAM5-strat-ghg.





