

Interactive comment on “Integrated systems for forecasting urban meteorology, air pollution and population exposure” by A. Baklanov et al.

A. Baklanov et al.

Received and published: 18 January 2007

We thank the editor for the decision about our paper. Concerning his request “to include a couple of figures or paragraphs opt a sections relating to evaluation of their system”, we are glad to include such paragraphs and figures into the final revised version. This paper is a part of the FUMAPEX special issue, where many papers present different results of interconnected tasks of the FUMAPEX project. Therefore partly this question was already answered in complementing papers of the issue, e.g. in the overview FUMAPEX paper by Baklanov (ACP, 6, 2005-2015, 2006), figure 3; validation of the improved and urbanised models is considered in papers by Hamdi and Schayes (ACPD, 5, 4257-4289, 2005), Fay and Neunhäuserer (ACP, 6, 2107-2128, 2006) and Baklanov, Mestayer, Clappier et al. (ACPD, 5, 12119-12176, 2005). Besides, validation aspects for the Helsinki modelling system partly considered in Section 3.1 and Figure

Full Screen / Esc

Printer-friendly Version

Interactive Discussion

Discussion Paper

3. However, we have improved these aspects of the systems evaluation (with a few new figures) in the revised version of the paper for ACP.

Interactive comment on Atmos. Chem. Phys. Discuss., 6, 1867, 2006.

ACPD

6, S6345–S6346, 2007

Interactive
Comment

Full Screen / Esc

Printer-friendly Version

Interactive Discussion

Discussion Paper

S6346

EGU