Atmos. Chem. Phys. Discuss., 6, S4744–S4745, 2006 www.atmos-chem-phys-discuss.net/6/S4744/2006/ © Author(s) 2006. This work is licensed under a Creative Commons License.



**ACPD** 

6, S4744-S4745, 2006

Interactive Comment

Interactive comment on "Contribution of fungi to primary biogenic aerosols in the atmosphere: active discharge of spores, carbohydrates, and inorganic ions by Asco- and Basidiomycota" by W. Elbert et al.

## **Anonymous Referee #2**

Received and published: 20 November 2006

This otherwise excellent paper could serve as an overview and open the door to study other specific primary biological aerosol particles. Even if the subject (fungi) seems to be a minor contribution (17 Tg/yr first estimate of global average emission), the literature survey is excellent. However, the way, the estimate is calculated (p. 11330, l. 19) needs a deeper and more supported discussion. Why is a CBL height of 1000 m assumed and not for instance 1800 m, as it is often the case? Can a CBL height of 1000 m be assumed for the global land area? Brett J. Green, Euan R. Tovey, Jason K. Sercombe, Francoise M. Blachere, Donald H. Beezhold, Detlef Schmechel (2006):

Full Screen / Esc

Printer-friendly Version

Interactive Discussion

Discussion Paper

EGU

Airborne fungal fragments and allergenicity. Medical Mycology 44, 245 - 255 DOI: 10.1080/13693780600776308 have shown, that for each fungal spore one can expect a 300 - 500 fold increase (release) of fragments of such spores. Such material adds to the emission rate of those substances. As a technical recommendation: Add the SD in the graphs as error bars, as usual.

Interactive comment on Atmos. Chem. Phys. Discuss., 6, 11317, 2006.

## **ACPD**

6, S4744-S4745, 2006

Interactive Comment

Full Screen / Esc

Printer-friendly Version

Interactive Discussion

Discussion Paper

EGU

S4745