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The paper reports new results about stationary planetary waves by introducing likelihoods of the refractive index valid for long-term averages. The paper is lengthy and profusely discussed. While I (and perhaps the other referees) do not agree with all of their conclusions about previous work (and the paper would be rather uninspiring were it to carry all critics along with the foundations of the structure), this caveat means simply that there will be profitable discussion of the paper after it is published.
Similar to the other referees, I recommend publication subject to minor revisions, as suggested below.

1) page 9042 lines 1-10: I agree with referee \#2 that a high farea, the extratropi-
cal region at 70 mbar in Fig. 2, does not coincide with a region of negative vertical wind shear in Fig 3b. Generally, I ask myself about your averaging propcedure. As I understand, you compute your mean values, e.g. the refractive index value $\mathrm{n}^{* *} 2$ as
