

***Interactive comment on “First-year sea-ice contact predicts bromine monoxide (BrO) levels better than potential frost flower contact” by W. R. Simpson et al.***

**L. Kaleschke (Referee)**

lars.kaleschke@zmaw.de

Received and published: 8 November 2006

Review of “First-year sea-ice contact predicts bromine monoxide (BrO) levels better than potential frost flower contact” by Simpson et al.

**General**

The authors analyse bromine monoxide (BrO) and ozone data measured at Barrow, Alaska. The dataset spans 40 days (DOY 80-120) in the year 2005. The BrO signal is interpreted using meteorological back trajectories in terms of the contact time of

Full Screen / Esc

Printer-friendly Version

Interactive Discussion

Discussion Paper

air parcels to different sea ice types and the so-called potential frost flower (PFF) coverage. The latter parameter was invented by the reviewer in order to investigate the hypothesised role of salty frost flowers on polar tropospheric chemistry. The concept of contact time to different sea ice types is innovative and should receive further attention. However, the methods and the interpretation of the results are problematic. Therefore, I suggest major revisions. My main criticism is that the dataset is rather limited and the correlations seem to be not significant. Furthermore, a good theory has to explain also the spatial BrO distribution as it is observable by satellite sensors. This is obviously not the case if one assumes that the bromine source is first-year sea ice (contact) only. Moreover, a good hypothesis should explain the distribution of other tracers such as mercury.

### Specific issues

**11051** I personally appreciate the term potential frost flowers in the title. However, I doubt that it is widely known. A title such as “A trajectory study .. BrO .. O<sub>3</sub> .. sea ice .. Barrow, Alaska .. April 2005” would be much more descriptive.

**11053 5-30** The authors state that there are two hypotheses for the mechanism of bromine liberation from the ocean to the atmosphere. The first one is by the means of salty frost flowers that grow on new ice and the second is by the means of salty snow. In my opinion these “two hypotheses” are intermingled and connected. I would probably divide the hypotheses in, e.g. biological origin of bromine and inorganic sea ice origin if necessary at all.

**11054 10 and Fig. 1** I can not see the trajectory passing over a polynya. A more detailed map including the PFF parameter would be instructive.

**11054 15** What forcing data has been used for the HYSPLIT model?

[Full Screen / Esc](#)[Printer-friendly Version](#)[Interactive Discussion](#)[Discussion Paper](#)

- 11054 20** The authors used the AMSR-E sea ice data available at the Institute of Environmental Physics at the University of Bremen. These data are regarded as “experimental” for certain reasons. The address [www.seaice.de](http://www.seaice.de) is my personal website. Please use a reference to the Institute of Environmental Physics.
- 11055** The authors introduce some ad hoc rules to implement dispersion and to define the contact time. This should be done with more care. The stability of the boundary layer and the cloud coverage are certainly also important parameters that should be incorporated in the analysis. This information would be available e.g. from MODIS or AIRS measurements.
- 11055** According to the proposed concept of a contact time, an air parcel could steadily increase its BrO content as long as it stays above first-year sea ice. This is certainly not true. One has to include a BrO sink in the system.
- 11056** Voss et al. (2003) examine the sea ice radar backscatter for melt- and refreezing cycles that prevents the discrimination of multi-year ice. Kwok (2004) would be a better reference here.
- 11057** The authors exclude the most interesting datapoints that exhibit ODEs. More discussion is necessary as this influences the resulting correlation.
- 11057pp** *The authors have to investigate the significance of the correlations. In doing so they must not neglect the effect of temporal autocorrelation. The number of statistically independent datapoints is drastically reduced for autocorrelated time series. This is my most important point of criticism. All conclusions drawn from the correlation coefficients should be thought over in the light of possibly new results.*

## Further issues and suggestions

- The authors draw a very black and white picture of Arctic sea ice with only two ice types. First-year ice is, defined by the WMO, more than 30 cm thick. Other thinner (and saltier) ice types such as nilas or young ice are probably more important for the processes under consideration. The authors should try to distinguish between the ice types in more detail which is feasible as demonstrated by Jacobi et al. (2006).
- The authors should discuss the influence of temperature, i.e. the role of cold spots as it is mentioned in the study of Bottenheim and Chan (2006).
- In April 2005 it was relatively warm in the Arctic. In my PFF dataset I can only see larger PFF values for December to End of March. At the end of April there were virtually no frost flowers anymore. Therefore, I don't think that the comparison is adequate for  $DOY > 100$ . One could divide the ozone timeseries into  $DOY 80-100$  and  $100-120$ . In the latter part there are no longer lasting ODEs which indicates in fact two different different regimes.
- The authors should compare their BrO measurements to satellite data and fill the gaps of the time series. How can the authors explain the spatial distribution of satellite BrO?
- There are much more station datasets available, e.g. the one mentioned of U. Frieß, that should be investigated with the proposed methodology.
- The authors should discuss the enhanced accumulation of mercury close to a coastal polynya (Bargagli et al., 2005). How could they explain the spatial distribution by assuming a first-year sea ice source of bromine only?

## References

Bottenheim JW, Chan E A trajectory study into the origin of spring time Arctic boundary layer ozone depletion JGR-D 111 (D19): Art. No. D19301 OCT 3 2006

Bargagli R, Agnorelli C, Borghini F, et al. Enhanced deposition and bioaccumulation of mercury in Antarctic terrestrial ecosystems facing a coastal polynya Env. Sci. & Techn. 39 (21): 8150-8155 NOV 1 2005

Jacobi HW, Kaleschke L, Richter A, et al. Observation of a fast ozone loss in the marginal ice zone of the Arctic Ocean JGR-D 111 (D15): Art. No. D15309 AUG 12 2006

Kwok R, Annual cycles of multiyear sea ice coverage of the Arctic Ocean: 1999-2003 JGR-C 109 (C11): Art. No. C11004 NOV 10 2004

Murphy AH and Katz RW (editors), Probability, Statistics, and Decision Making in the Atmospheric Sciences, Westview Press, 1985

von Storch H and Zwiers FW, Statistical Analysis in Climate Research, Cambridge University Press 1999

---

Interactive comment on Atmos. Chem. Phys. Discuss., 6, 11051, 2006.

Full Screen / Esc

Printer-friendly Version

Interactive Discussion

Discussion Paper