Atmos. Chem. Phys. Discuss., 6, S3435–S3436, 2006 www.atmos-chem-phys-discuss.net/6/S3435/2006/ © Author(s) 2006. This work is licensed under a Creative Commons License.



ACPD

6, S3435–S3436, 2006

Interactive Comment

## Interactive comment on "Consecutive reactions of aromatic–OH adducts with NO, NO<sub>2</sub> and O<sub>2</sub>: benzene, toluene, m- and p-xylene, hexamethylbenzene, phenol, m-cresoland aniline" by R. Koch et al.

## B. Bohn

b.bohn@fz-juelich.de

Received and published: 30 September 2006

In Section 4.3 of the paper the authors cite Grebenkin and Krasnoperov (2004) who argue against an irreversible adduct loss in a reaction with  $O_2$  by stating that a low value of the rate constant  $k_6$  is inconsistent with its weak temperature dependence. I would like to note that in the presence of a fast equilibrium with a peroxy radical, the rate constant of adduct loss measured in the present work by Koch et al. most likely is



**Printer-friendly Version** 

Interactive Discussion

**Discussion Paper** 

FGU

an effective rate constant as outlined by Bohn and Zetzsch (1999):

$$k_6 = K_7 k_{\rm a} + k_{\rm b}$$

Here  $K_7$  is the equilibrium constant of peroxy radical formation ( $K_7 = k_7/k_{-7}$ ),  $k_a$  is the sum of first-order rate constants of unimolecular reactions possibly competing with peroxy radical dissociation back to the adduct and  $O_2$  (e.g. formation of a bicyclic radical), and  $k_b$  is the sum of rate constant of  $O_2$  reactions competing with formation of the peroxy radical (e.g. formation of HO<sub>2</sub> + phenol). Only in the case where  $k_a = 0$ ,  $k_6$ actually corresponds to the rate constant of an elementary reaction and the objection by Grebenkin and Krasnoperov (2004) is justified. The other extreme would be  $k_b = 0$ where  $k_6$  corresponds to the product  $K_7 k_a$ . In that case it can be shown that the effective activation energy of  $k_6$  is the sum of the reaction enthalpy of peroxy radical formation ( $\approx -50$  kJ mol<sup>-1</sup>) and the activation energy of  $k_a$ . Thus, there may be compensating effects and a complex temperature dependence of  $k_6$  is therefore not surprising.

Interactive comment on Atmos. Chem. Phys. Discuss., 6, 7623, 2006.

## ACPD

6, S3435–S3436, 2006

Interactive Comment

Full Screen / Esc

**Printer-friendly Version** 

Interactive Discussion

**Discussion Paper**