Atmos. Chem. Phys. Discuss., 5, S4481–S4483, 2005 www.atmos-chem-phys.org/acpd/5/S4481/ European Geosciences Union © 2005 Author(s). This work is licensed under a Creative Commons License.



ACPD

5, S4481–S4483, 2005

Interactive Comment

## Interactive comment on "Measurements of NO, $NO_y$ , $N_2O$ , and $O_3$ during SPURT: implications for transport and chemistry in the lowermost stratosphere" by M. I. Hegglin et al.

M. I. Hegglin et al.

Received and published: 15 December 2005

We thank Klaus Pfeilsticker for his comments and suggestions.

Comment 1: Suggests providing NO<sub>y</sub>', also called excess NO<sub>y</sub>. NO<sub>y</sub>' is the difference between measured NO<sub>y</sub> and calculated NO<sub>y</sub> (NO<sub>y</sub>\*) by the equation: NO<sub>y</sub>\*=(N<sub>2</sub>O<sup>trop</sup>-N<sub>2</sub>O<sup>meas</sup>)·0.065+NO<sub>y</sub><sup>trop</sup>. 0.065 is also called the effective conversion efficiency (ECE).

Reply 1: One major outcome of the study presented here is that the changing air mass origin in the lowermost stratosphere leads to a seasonal cycle in the NO<sub>y</sub> to N<sub>2</sub>O



**Print Version** 

Interactive Discussion

**Discussion Paper** 

EGU

correlation slope (=ECE), which has to be accounted for in the calculation of  $NO_y$ '. A calculation of  $NO_y$ ' with the factor 0.065 is therefore not appropriate for the use in the LMS. The generally low correlation coefficients between  $NO_y$  and  $N_2O$  during the SPURT measurements, however, introduce a major uncertainty in the calculation of  $NO_y$ ' which should be investigated in detail. The discussion of  $NO_y$ ' from the SPURT measurements is therefore beyond the scope of this paper. The topic will be addressed in future studies. For (preliminary) results of  $NO_y$ ' calculated for the SPURT measurement campaign please refer to Hegglin (2004).

Comment 2: Suggests including the presentation of the  $NO_x/NO_y$  ratio.

Reply 2: We included a new Figure (Fig. 8) in the revised manuscript. The discussion of the figure yields further valuable information about possible sources of  $NO_y$  in the LMS.

Comment 3: Importance of halogen bearing gases for the calculation of NO<sub>crit</sub>.

Reply 3: We included the reference of Salawitch et al. (2005) and also of Glasow et al. (2004) latter showing the importance of Br–chemistry also in the upper troposphere. Nevertheless, we did not extend our calculation of  $NO_{crit}$  to halogen chemistry since it is intended to be a first approximation of the chemistry in the UT/LMS region.

## References

Hegglin, M. I.: Airborne  $NO_y$ -, NO-, and  $O_3$ -measurements during SPURT: Implications for atmospheric transport, Disseration, Eidgenössische Technische Hochschule ETH Zürich, Nr. 15553,

URL:http://e-collection.ethbib.ethz.ch/show?type=diss&nr=15553, 2004.

Salawitch, R. J., Weisenstein, D. K., Kovalenko, L. J., Sioris, C. E., Wennberg, P. O., Chance, K., Ko, M. K. W., and McLinden, C. A.: Sensitivity of ozone to bromine in

5, S4481–S4483, 2005

Interactive Comment

Full Screen / Esc

**Print Version** 

Interactive Discussion

**Discussion Paper** 

the lower stratosphere, Geophys. Res. Lett., 32, L05811, doi:10.1029/2004GL021504, 2005.

von Glasow, R., von Kuhlmann, R., Lawrence, M. G., Platt, U., and Crutzen, P.J.: Impact of reactive bromine chemistry in the troposphere, Atmos. Chem. Phys., 4, 2481–2497, 2002.

Interactive comment on Atmos. Chem. Phys. Discuss., 5, 8649, 2005.

## ACPD

5, S4481-S4483, 2005

Interactive Comment

Full Screen / Esc

**Print Version** 

Interactive Discussion

**Discussion Paper**