

**European ozone
trends**

J. E. Jonson et al.

Can we explain the trends in European ozone levels?

J. E. Jonson¹, D. Simpson¹, H. Fagerli¹, and S. Solberg²

¹Norwegian Meteorological Institute, Oslo, Norway

²Norwegian Institute for Air Research (NILU), Kjeller, Norway

Received: 27 June 2005 – Accepted: 20 July 2005 – Published: 15 August 2005

Correspondence to: J. E. Jonson (j.e.jonson@met.no)

© 2005 Author(s). This work is licensed under a Creative Commons License.

Title Page

Abstract

Introduction

Conclusions

References

Tables

Figures

◀

▶

◀

▶

Back

Close

Full Screen / Esc

Print Version

Interactive Discussion

EGU

Abstract

Ozone levels in Europe are changing. Emissions of ozone precursors from Europe (NO_x, CO and non-methane hydrocarbons) have been substantially reduced over the last 10–15 years, but changes in ozone levels can not be explained by changes in European emissions alone.

In order to explain the European trends in ozone since 1990 the EMEP regional photochemistry model has been run for the the years 1990 and 1995–2002. The EMEP model is a regional model centered over Europe but the model domain also includes most of the North Atlantic and the polar region. Climatological ozone data are used as initial and lateral boundary concentrations. Model results are compared to measurements over this timespan of 12 years. Possible causes for the measured trends in European surface ozone have been investigated using model sensitivity runs perturbing emissions and lateral boundary concentrations. The observed ozone trends at many European sites are only partially reproduced by global or regional photochemistry models, and possible reasons for this are discussed. The increase in winter ozone partially and the decrease in the magnitude of high ozone episodes is attributed to the decrease in ozone precursor emissions since 1990 by the model. Furthermore, the model calculations indicate that the emission reductions has resulted in a marked decrease in summer ozone in major parts of Europe, and in particular in Germany. Such a trend in summer ozone is likely to be difficult to identify from the measurements because of large inter-annual variability.

1. Introduction

Both on a global/hemispheric scale and regional/local scale the potential to produce ozone has changed significantly after the onset of industrialization. Both models and measurements agree that ozone levels have increased significantly over this period. As discussed below the magnitude and directions of the trends after the mid-1980s

European ozone trends

J. E. Jonson et al.

Title Page

Abstract

Introduction

Conclusions

References

Tables

Figures

◀

▶

◀

▶

Back

Close

Full Screen / Esc

Print Version

Interactive Discussion

European ozone trendsJ. E. Jonson et al.

[Title Page](#)[Abstract](#)[Introduction](#)[Conclusions](#)[References](#)[Tables](#)[Figures](#)[◀](#)[▶](#)[◀](#)[▶](#)[Back](#)[Close](#)[Full Screen / Esc](#)[Print Version](#)[Interactive Discussion](#)

EGU

are less clear. Since the late 1980s the emissions of ozone precursors, NO_x, CO and NMVOC (non-methane volatile organic compounds) have been substantially reduced in most parts of Europe (Vestreng et al., 2004). The reduction in the emissions has resulted in corresponding reductions in the measured concentrations of these precursor species (Derwent et al., 2003; Solberg, 2004). The anticipated effect of these reductions are that ozone levels in the summer months would also be reduced, and that environmentally set thresholds for ozone would be less frequently violated. Reliable surface ozone measurements are available since the late 1980s at a number of sites. However, there are large inter-annual variations in ozone levels making it difficult to identify significant trends over the same period. Further, at many ozone sites sampling background and/or free tropospheric air, measured ozone has increased at all seasons, but in particular in winter and spring. The origin, or even extent, of this increase in European background ozone is unclear as will be discussed below.

This paper discusses a number of factors which affect near-surface ozone levels over Europe, and attempts to quantify how far we can account for the observed trends in terms of European emission reductions.

2. Factors affecting European tropospheric ozone trends

Although not independent of each other, ozone concentrations may be viewed as the sum of a global/hemispheric background concentration and regionally/locally produced ozone. Most of the ozone is believed to originate from the troposphere itself, but a significant fraction is also advected from the stratosphere.

The anthropogenic emissions of ozone precursors (predominantly surface sources) have changed over the last 1–2 decades. In Europe considerable reductions in emissions are made since the late 1980s. In Table 1 emissions in the EU countries are listed for the years 1990 and 2002. In addition Germany is listed separately as the reductions here are particularly large, as well as N. America (USA and Canada) being directly upwind from Europe. In North America there is a downward trend of about 15–20% for

European ozone trendsJ. E. Jonson et al.

[Title Page](#)[Abstract](#)[Introduction](#)[Conclusions](#)[References](#)[Tables](#)[Figures](#)[◀](#)[▶](#)[◀](#)[▶](#)[Back](#)[Close](#)[Full Screen / Esc](#)[Print Version](#)[Interactive Discussion](#)

EGU

the emissions of NMVOC and NO_x and a slight increase in the emissions of CO over the same period (UNECE, 2004). Emissions are however increasing rapidly in parts of Asia (Streets et al., 2003). There is also a marked upward trend in the emissions from international shipping. Endresen et al. (2003) reports a 1.6%/year increase in fuel consumption from shipping between 1996 and 2000.

The emission sources of ozone precursors are predominantly located in the boundary layer. The lifetime of ozone in the boundary layer is of the order of days as opposed to the free troposphere where the lifetime is of the order of one month or more. It therefore seems reasonable to assume that in order to have hemispheric or global effects, ozone (or ozone precursors) must be lifted into the free troposphere.

One may speculate to what extent recent changes in the stratospheric ozone layer may have resulted in a reduction in the intrusion of ozone to the troposphere. According to Fusco and Logan (2003) the stratospheric flux of ozone into the troposphere may have decreased by as much as 30% in recent years as a result of large declines of lower stratospheric ozone. These changes should be much larger in the southern hemisphere as a result of a more pronounced decline in the ozone layer here. However, as a result of global change and changes in stratospheric ozone in particular, circulation patterns may have been altered affecting the exchange between the stratosphere and troposphere. Measured ozone at mountain tops in Europe are increasing. Kanter et al. (2004) report a pronounced rise in ⁷Be since the mid-1970's. They argue that this is an indication, but not a proof, of an increase in the input from the stratosphere.

At least on a regional scale ozone may also be affected by changes in the circulation in the troposphere. There are substantial inter-annual variations in tropospheric ozone. Creilson et al. (2003) found a good correlation between the NAO (North Atlantic Oscillation) index and the tropospheric ozone column in the eastern Atlantic in spring, but not for other seasons.

3. Trends in measured ozone precursor levels

The EMEP assessment study showed that the reported emission changes as discussed in the previous section have indeed been accompanied by downward trends in the atmospheric concentrations of these species (Løvblad et al., 2004) although there are many gaps in the data. For NO₂ the largest reductions in ambient concentrations at rural EMEP sites have been reported in Eastern and Central parts of Europe (Germany, Czech Republic) with reductions of the order of 50% between 1990 and 2000. Around 30% reduction in NO₂ is reported for the same period in the Nordic countries, Italy, Netherlands and Switzerland (Løvblad et al., 2004). It should be noted, however, that the number of regional sites with a sufficient monitoring history, and consistent set of measurement data, is rather low.

For NMVOC there is an even lower number of regionally representative monitoring sites, and the data are mostly scattered in time. The data do, however, show clear indications of reduced concentration levels of NMVOCs during the 1990s (Solberg, 2004). Continuous NMVOC monitoring data from urban background sites in the UK have shown a decrease of the order of $-4.5\% \text{ yr}^{-1}$ and $-12\% \text{ yr}^{-1}$ for the various individual species during 1994–2000 (Derwent et al., 2003). Thijsse et al. (1999) showed that there was a shift in the NMVOC composition at Dutch sites during 1990–1997 with less aromatic compounds compared to the aliphatic, and they related this to the stronger emission reduction of aromatics by the cars' three-way catalysts. Based on NMVOC measurements at the EMEP monitoring site Moerdijk back to the early 1980s Roemer (2001) showed an excellent agreement between observed ethene and acetylene (tracers of car exhaust) and the official Dutch EMEP road traffic emissions with an estimated reduction of the order of 50% from 1981 to 1999. Urban studies, e.g. in Denmark (Palmgren et al., 2001), have indicated a particular strong decline in the atmospheric benzene concentration due to the reduced benzene content of petrol fuel introduced in the 1990s.

Title Page

Abstract

Introduction

Conclusions

References

Tables

Figures

◀

▶

◀

▶

Back

Close

Full Screen / Esc

Print Version

Interactive Discussion

4. Trends in measured ozone levels in the troposphere

Trends and seasonal cycles at sites affected by European emissions and at background sites will differ. In the overview below we therefore discuss trends at sites less affected by European emissions and central European sites affected by European emissions most of the time, separately. Trends in ozone are hard to detect because of large inter-annual variations and for this reason long timeseries are needed. The measurements must be carefully checked for discontinuities and drifts in the data as discussed by Roemer (2001). Such screening of the data can be made by visual inspection, statistical methods (Schuepbach et al., 2001) or by pairing of neighbouring sites (Roemer, 2001). Ozone trends are expected to be different in the summer and winter seasons. It is therefore unfortunate that most trend studies analyze the measurements with regards to annual mean concentrations.

4.1. Measured Global/Hemispheric trends

Measurements from the early stages of industrialization indicate that ozone levels at that time may have been around 10 ppbv (Volz and Kley, 1988; Pavelin et al., 1999). Studies of ozone-sonde data in the free troposphere (Logan, 1994; Logan et al., 1999; Oltmans et al., 1998) points to a general increase in free tropospheric ozone up to the mid 1980s and a mixed picture with many sites/regions showing no significant trend or even negative trends after that. In WMO (2002) trends have been calculated including 4 more years (1996–2000). The addition of 4 years of data does not alter these conclusions significantly. Naja et al. (2003) studied ozone trends at Hohenpeissenberg and Payern and found no positive trends for background ozone in the free troposphere.

Studying trends in the last decade at different altitudes in Switzerland, Ordóñez et al. (2004) found a statistically significant trend of 0.2–0.7 ppb /year at elevated sites from autumn to spring, at a time when these stations are predominantly measuring free tropospheric air. One of the sites (Jungfrauoch, 3580 m asl) is located close to the ozone sonde station Payern where there is no significant trend reported at this height (Naja

European ozone trends

J. E. Jonson et al.

Title Page

Abstract

Introduction

Conclusions

References

Tables

Figures

◀

▶

◀

▶

Back

Close

Full Screen / Esc

Print Version

Interactive Discussion

European ozone trendsJ. E. Jonson et al.

Title Page

Abstract

Introduction

Conclusions

References

Tables

Figures

◀

▶

◀

▶

Back

Close

Full Screen / Esc

Print Version

Interactive Discussion

EGU

et al., 2003). Also at Zugspitze, 2962 m asl, there is a positive ozone trend between 1990 and 2001 (Kanter et al., 2004), whereas at the nearby, but about 1000 m lower in altitude, site Wank there is no significant trend.

In the MOZAIC project (<http://www.aero.obs-mip.fr/mozaic/>) tropospheric ozone has been measured on a routine basis on commercial aircraft since 1994. Preliminary studies indicate a trend in recent years of about 1.7%/year in the upper free troposphere (Thouret et al., 2004). However, this is mostly caused by a high anomaly in 1998–1999 of about 10%. It is unclear as to what extent the trend reported at elevated sites are affected by the same anomaly. Over western Europe and the eastern Atlantic the high ozone levels in the free troposphere are correlated with the NAO index in the late 1990's as noted in Sect. 2.

Analysis of the clean sector at surface sites measuring relatively unpolluted air-masses (British Isles, Scandinavia) show that there has been an increase in background ozone levels also after the mid-1980s (Solberg, 2003; Roemer, 2001) for all seasons, although the increase is strongest in winter. Simmonds et al. (2004) found that background ozone in the clean oceanic sector measured at Mace Head Ireland has increased by about 8 ppb from 1987 to 2003.

The difference in trends for ozone sondes and other measurements, and in particular mountain sites often in the free troposphere, are not easily reconciled and will be discussed in Sect. 6.

4.2. Measured ozone trends in Europe

Ozone trend analysis of the measurements are mainly restricted to northern and western parts of Europe where routine measurement of ozone first started and timeseries are long enough to perform meaningful studies. Overviews of reported trends are given in several publications, i.e. Roemer (2001); TOR-2 (2003); Monks et al. (2003); Solberg et al. (2004). Apart from sites at the western coast of Europe, measuring background ozone, trends in surface ozone does not show a uniform picture. However, most sites show substantial downward trends of high ozone (98 or 95 percentiles) over the past

European ozone trendsJ. E. Jonson et al.

Title Page

Abstract

Introduction

Conclusions

References

Tables

Figures

◀

▶

◀

▶

Back

Close

Full Screen / Esc

Print Version

Interactive Discussion

EGU

10 to 15 years. As an example peak ozone concentrations have decreased by about 30% in the UK. At the same time a slight increase in annual average ozone is observed (NEG-TAP, 2001). According to Beilke and Wilson (2000), studying the trend at more than 300 ozone sites in Germany between 1990 and 2000, the 99 percentile dropped by $3.3 \mu\text{g}$ per year, but with no significant trend for the ozone indicator AOT40 (see, e.g. Fuhrer et al., 1997, for definition of AOT40).

Furthermore, at most polluted sites the low ozone percentiles (mainly winter) are increasing. An important contribution to this upward trend is a reduction in the titration by $\text{O}_3 + \text{NO}$ reaction in response to the reduction in NO_x emissions.

Trends in tropospheric ozone are closely linked to the seasonal cycle of ozone. Clean unpolluted sites have a spring ozone maximum, whereas polluted (continental) sites are characterized by a broad summer maximum. The relationship between trends, seasonal cycles and what is denoted as controllable ozone, are extensively covered in Monks et al. (2003).

5. Calculated trends

Models point to a close connection between the increase in ozone precursors and in tropospheric ozone on a hemispheric or even global scale. Model calculations indicate that there has been a substantial increase in ozone in the tropospheric ozone since pre-industrial times (Berntsen et al., 1997; Lelieveld and Dentener, 2000; Karlsdottir et al., 2000; Hauglustaine and Brasseur, 2003), and that the increase in global free tropospheric ozone has contributed to the increase in surface ozone also in Europe. Fusco and Logan (2003) calculated an increase in tropospheric ozone between 1970 and 1994. In these calculations ozone levels in the free troposphere continued to increase from 1985 to 1994 as a result of increasing emissions worldwide. In addition to future projections, Dentener et al. (2004), in a transient global model calculation, compared measured and modeled ozone for 6 surface sites, of which 3 (Westerland, Brotjacklriegel and Schauinsland), are in Europe. None of the sites showed significant

European ozone trendsJ. E. Jonson et al.

Title Page

Abstract

Introduction

Conclusions

References

Tables

Figures

◀

▶

◀

▶

Back

Close

Full Screen / Esc

Print Version

Interactive Discussion

EGU

measured or calculated trends. The three European sites selected are located at some distance from the coast, and calculated and measured trends should be influenced by a combination of background and regional ozone. Among the global model calculations reported above there is thus a considerable spread in the calculated trend for ozone.

Substantial reductions in modeled peak ozone levels was found comparing results from ten dispersion models in response to emission changes during the 1990s (Roemer et al., 2003). A positive trend in winter ozone, mostly in the 2–4 ppb range in central Europe, was also seen in the model results. This is in good agreement with measurements as noted in Sect. 4.2. Following the decrease in the emissions of ozone precursors, model calculated mean ozone also decreased in summer with about 10%, but with a substantial variability between models. This decrease is not confirmed by the analysis of the measurements. Similar model results were also obtained as part of the EU project TROTREP (Monks et al., 2003). Here particularly large reductions in mean summer ozone were calculated for Eastern Europe. In this region there are insufficient measurement data available to verify the model results. In Solberg et al. (2005) it was shown that the changes in the European emissions of ozone precursors in the 1990s has led to significant reductions in Nordic surface ozone episodes.

Several studies have tried to quantify the contributions to the ozone levels from different continents or source regions. By separately shutting off sources in N. America, Europe and Asia, Li et al. (2002) calculated the contribution from these regions to surface ozone. For the summer months (June, July, August) the calculated contribution from N. America to western parts of Europe was of the order of 2–3 ppb, whereas the contribution from Asia was of the order of 1 ppb. Derwent et al. (2004) studied the effects of inter-continental transport on ozone by a labeling technique and by reducing the anthropogenic emissions in N. America, Europe and Asia of NO_x and CO by 50% on an annual basis. The labelling technique indicated a much larger contribution of more than 8 ppb from N. America and 4.5 ppb from Asia. The effect of inter-continental transport is expected to be relatively smaller in summer. The annual contribution should therefore be larger than just the summer season as studied by Li et al. (2002). Because

of non-linearities in the ozone chemistry the tracer labeling technique gives a higher estimate for the inter-continental contribution. The corresponding results from a 50% reduction in NO_x and CO emissions were much smaller, and taking into account that emission reductions in this study are smaller, similar to the effects calculated by Li et al. (2002).

5.1. Description of the EMEP model

The EMEP Eulerian Photochemistry model has a polar stereographic projection with a horizontal resolution of $50 \times 50 \text{ km}^2$ true at 60° N and 20 vertical layers below 100 hPa. The model domain is centered over Europe and also includes most of the North Atlantic and the polar region. The EMEP model uses 3-hourly resolution meteorological input data from a dedicated version of the HIRLAM model. The emission data have been retrieved from the EMEP database (Vestreng et al., 2004). Emissions are distributed temporarily and vertically depending on source category. A thorough description of the model is included in Simpson et al. (2003), with updates reported in Fagerli et al. (2004), so only a very brief summary is given here. Descriptions and applications of earlier versions of the model can be found in Jonson et al. (2000a, 2001).

Initial and lateral boundary conditions (BCs), especially of ozone, represent key inputs to the EMEP model. For ozone, these boundary conditions are derived from the 3-D ozone climatology from Logan (1999), modified in order to accommodate inter-annual variabilities in air masses arriving from the upwind Atlantic region. The modifications are based on the measurements at Mace Head, Ireland (Simpson et al., 2003), filtered to obtain clean-air O_3 values. The adjustment (in ppb ozone) in lateral boundary concentrations is the same for the whole model domain and at all vertical levels. As ozone generally increase with altitude, the relative adjustment is largest near the surface and small in the upper free troposphere as the ozone mixing ratio is higher here than in the lower troposphere. The lower relative correction in the upper and middle troposphere was chosen as the marked trend is not evident from free tropospheric ozone sonde measurements (see Sect. 4.1). The motivation for and effects of the Mace Head

Title Page

Abstract

Introduction

Conclusions

References

Tables

Figures

◀

▶

◀

▶

Back

Close

Full Screen / Esc

Print Version

Interactive Discussion

correction will be discussed in more detail in a separate paper, Simpson et al. (2005)¹

In this paper two sets of O₃ BC data have been used:

- Ref: The Mace Head correction for ozone has been applied individually on a monthly basis for all years.
- AvgBC: Consists of a “10-year” climatology based upon the average of the annual data-sets over the period 1990–2000.

The Ref case corresponds to normal EMEP model usage and represents our best estimate of BCs. The AvgBC case is used to explore what happens when interannual variability and trends are ignored.

Lateral boundary concentrations for other species are specified, mostly based on measurements as described in Simpson et al. (2003)

5.2. Calculated NO₂ in the European boundary layer, 1990 to 2002

In winter calculated reductions in NO₂ are of the order of 30% or more in central and Eastern Europe, but reductions are smaller in summer. In the Iberian peninsula and countries bordering the Mediterranean calculated changes are small. Figure 1 depicts measured and calculated NO₂ levels for 13 sites (left) and 3 German sites (right) measuring NO₂ for all relevant years. There is a clear bias towards Northwest Europe in the location of the sites. The measured levels, as well as the trend, for NO₂ are reasonably well reproduced by the model. Observed wintertime NO₂ seems to have decreased by 1–2 μg Nm⁻³ over the European and German stations from 1990 to 2000, although interannual variation makes a clear determination difficult. Summertime trends are somewhat smaller, and in some areas even absent both for measured and calculated NO₂. This is probably because reductions in traffic emissions, with constant emissions

¹Simpson, D., Fagerli, H., Jonson, J. E., and Simmonds, P. A.: Simple but effective procedure to improve boundary conditions for European regional models, in preparation, 2005.

Title Page

Abstract

Introduction

Conclusions

References

Tables

Figures

◀

▶

◀

▶

Back

Close

Full Screen / Esc

Print Version

Interactive Discussion

throughout the year, are smaller than the total reductions in NO_x emissions. Overall the decrease in NO₂ levels is consistent with the emission reductions summarized in Table 1. This is in good agreement with other studies as reported in Sect. 3.

5.3. Calculated ozone over Europe for the years 1990 to 2002

In large parts of Europe the emissions of ozone precursors peaked in the late 1980s. Reliable measurements for trend studies are available from about the same time. Model calculations with the EMEP photochemistry model have been made for 1990 (for this year a substantial number of reliable measurement sites are already in operation) and for the years 1995 to 2002. The Mace Head adjustment discussed above is applied in the calculations. Emissions for the relevant years are from Vestreng (2004).

As already noted in Sect. 4 the interpretation of measured trends are not straight forward. To avoid some of the problems related to the individual sites we mostly base our conclusions from the model to measurement comparisons on ensembles of sites. In Fig. 2 calculated and measured monthly averaged daily maximum ozone are compared for all EMEP sites with continuous measurements for these years (top left). Likewise for the corresponding German sites (top right). Below, in the same figure, measured and calculated ozone at Mace Head (IE31) and Deuselbach (DE04) are compared. The inter-annual variability of ozone from the measurements is well reproduced by the model with no apparent drift in the model bias over the years. The figure containing all sites with continuous measurements for all the years seems to confirm the conclusions from the trend studies already discussed in Sect. 4.2, with ozone levels increasing in winter and no clear trend in mean ozone for other seasons. Calculated trends and measured trends for different seasons and regions are discussed in more detail below.

5.4. Sensitivity tests

To explain the mechanisms behind the trends in European ozone levels two sensitivity test are compared to the reference calculation. In the first sensitivity test the year 2002

European ozone trends

J. E. Jonson et al.

Title Page

Abstract

Introduction

Conclusions

References

Tables

Figures

◀

▶

◀

▶

Back

Close

Full Screen / Esc

Print Version

Interactive Discussion

model run is repeated with emissions representative of year 1990. In the second sensitivity test the 10-year average lateral boundary concentrations (AvgBC, see Sect. 5.1) was used. The AvgBCs were chosen in favour of 1990 BCs as this is more robust than BCs from a single year.

In Fig. 3 top, mean summer daily maximum ozone is shown for the base-case (Ref) simulation, year 2002. High ozone levels are in particular seen in the Mediterranean ocean and in northern Italy. Relatively high summer ozone is also seen in southern parts of Germany and neighbouring countries. The resulting effects of the sensitivity tests on ozone are discussed below.

5.4.1. 1990 emissions: Effects on summer ozone

Figure 3 middle shows the difference in ozone levels obtained when using present (year 2002) emissions compared to when using 1990 emissions for the same meteorological year (2002). Throughout major parts of Europe calculated ozone reductions are in the 5–10 ppb range. Over Turkey and the Iberian peninsula emissions of ozone precursors have increased, resulting in small changes or a slight increase in calculated ozone here. In southern Germany reductions in calculated ozone of more than 12 ppb are seen. The reductions reflect the substantial reductions in the emissions of ozone precursors in most of Europe, and in particular in Germany, in the same period. The reductions in summer ozone over Germany can also be seen for both measured and calculated ozone for 6 German sites, Fig. 2 bottom left, and for Deuselbach (DE04), Fig. 2 bottom right, in particular. At the German sites there is a large variability in summer ozone levels, but with less variability after 1998.

Calculated reductions in summer ozone as shown in Fig. 3 middle are not seen in the measurements (and model calculations) for the ensemble of sites in Fig. 2 top left. The reductions may be masked by inter-annual variability. Furthermore there is a bias in the available measurements to sites affected by changes in background ozone and less by central European emission reductions.

European ozone trends

J. E. Jonson et al.

Title Page

Abstract

Introduction

Conclusions

References

Tables

Figures

◀

▶

◀

▶

Back

Close

Full Screen / Esc

Print Version

Interactive Discussion

5.4.2. 1990 emissions: Effects on winter ozone

In winter ozone levels are low over the European continent (Fig. 4, top), largely as a result of the titration reaction $\text{NO} + \text{O}_3 \Rightarrow \text{NO}_2$. According to the model calculations, the decrease in NO_x (along with other ozone precursors) emissions over the last 10–15 years should have resulted in an increase in ozone levels of the order of 2 ppb or more in most parts of central Europe, as depicted in Fig. 4 middle. Over the Mediterranean sea the insolation is quite strong even in winter, allowing some photochemistry, and resulting in a small decrease in ozone levels. Over the Iberian peninsula and Turkey emissions of ozone precursors have increased since 1990 partially resulting in different trends than neighbouring regions here. The increase in winter ozone in central Europe is also seen for both measured and calculated ozone at the sites shown in Fig. 2. This is also in agreement with investigations of measured trends as discussed in Sect. 4.2.

5.4.3. 1990 emissions: Effects on high ozone events

The highest ozone events, here defined as the 7 highest ozone days in the year are shown in Fig. 5, top. High ozone events are in particular seen in the model calculations in and around the European countries with a Mediterranean coastline, in the countries around the English channel and in parts of Germany. High ozone events are also apparent in the Moscow area. As a result of emission changes from 1990 to 2002 the calculated magnitude of the highest ozone events have been reduced by 10 ppb or more in large parts of Europe (Fig. 5, bottom). In parts of west and central Europe the magnitude has been reduced by as much as 25 ppb. As discussed in Sect. 4.2, changes of this order of magnitude are consistent with measurements.

5.4.4. Importance of boundary conditions

As discussed in Sect. 5.1, the standard boundary conditions (BCs) for the EMEP model consist of the 3-D dataset of Logan (1999), modified by a correction procedure based

Title Page

Abstract

Introduction

Conclusions

References

Tables

Figures

◀

▶

◀

▶

Back

Close

Full Screen / Esc

Print Version

Interactive Discussion

European ozone trendsJ. E. Jonson et al.

[Title Page](#)[Abstract](#)[Introduction](#)[Conclusions](#)[References](#)[Tables](#)[Figures](#)[◀](#)[▶](#)[◀](#)[▶](#)[Back](#)[Close](#)[Full Screen / Esc](#)[Print Version](#)[Interactive Discussion](#)

EGU

upon monthly O_3 measured at Mace Head in Ireland. The Mace Head correction is a measure of trends and inter-annual variability in background ozone. In addition to the model runs with these standard (Ref) monthly boundary conditions for each year, model runs have also been made with the 10-year average of monthly BCs (run AvgBC, see Sect. 5.1). For 2002 the correction is markedly higher than the 10 years average.

Differences between model runs with standard (Ref) and 10-year boundary conditions (AvgBC) are depicted calculated with year 2002 meteorology in Fig. 3 (bottom) for the summer months (June, July, August), and in Fig. 4 (bottom) for the winter months (January, February). The difference between the standard and 10-year average BCs are mostly in the 0.5 to 1 ppb range. Calculated effects are however more pronounced in winter, with ozone levels increasing with 2–3 ppb throughout much of the European continent as a result of higher ozone levels at the lateral boundaries in 2002 compared to the 10 years average. Over the British isles, Scandinavia, and mountainous regions as the Alps and Pyrenees (with a strong influence from the free troposphere) the increase is even stronger.

5.4.5. Summary of Sensitivity tests

The calculations made above with 1990 versus 2002 emissions or 10-year average BCs versus annually corrected BCs illustrate that both European emissions and BCs have likely contributed significantly to the ozone trend over Europe. Whereas the calculated changes in ozone resulting from emission changes are representative for the 12 years from 1990 to 2002, this is not the case for the increase in BCs. Lateral boundary concentrations used in the AvgBC scenario represent concentrations in the middle of the 12 year period considered. In order to compare the effects of emission changes and changes in BCs the latter effect should be multiplied by two.

In summertime the direction of the changes is in general opposite, with the emissions reduction reducing ozone levels in most areas, whereas the increasing levels of BCs leads to higher ozone levels.

In wintertime, the decrease in NO_x emissions leads to higher O_3 levels, as does the

increase in BCs.

6. Do we understand the trends?

The sensitivity tests discussed above, as well as observations, show that ozone is clearly affected by changes in European emissions (mostly reductions) of ozone precursors. The observed increase in winter ozone can partially be explained by the reduction in emissions and partially by a probable increase in background ozone.

The trend in the summer months are less clear. Following the reductions in the emissions of ozone precursors there is a marked downward trend in calculated summer ozone in central Europe, and in southern Germany in particular as indicated in the comparison with measurements for the German sites. Such reductions are however so far not shown by analysis of the measurements. An analysis focusing on measured ozone in the summer months may confirm this trend. In a model experiment reducing the anthropogenic emissions stepwise in Europe (Monks et al., 2003) it was demonstrated that the largest effects on mean ozone was seen when removing the last 20% of the ozone precursor emissions. Thus reductions in surface ozone caused by more moderate reductions in ozone precursors could easily be masked by inter-annual variability and/or a trend in background ozone.

Many of the sites with long timeseries suitable for trend studies are located in northern and western parts of Europe where the calculated effects of the emission changes are small. At the same time these areas are the ones most affected by an increase in background ozone. The calculated effects of the Mace Head adjustment as described in Sect. 5.4.4 would have been larger if the correction had been made with 1990 data rather than a 10 years average.

The origin of the marked trend in background ozone discussed above is unclear. Emissions of ozone precursors over Europe and North America have leveled off or decreased over the last 1–2 decades. Over the same period emissions in east Asia have increased substantially. Here ozone and ozone precursors have a greater chance of

European ozone trends

J. E. Jonson et al.

Title Page

Abstract

Introduction

Conclusions

References

Tables

Figures

◀

▶

◀

▶

Back

Close

Full Screen / Esc

Print Version

Interactive Discussion

European ozone trendsJ. E. Jonson et al.

[Title Page](#)[Abstract](#)[Introduction](#)[Conclusions](#)[References](#)[Tables](#)[Figures](#)[◀](#)[▶](#)[◀](#)[▶](#)[Back](#)[Close](#)[Full Screen / Esc](#)[Print Version](#)[Interactive Discussion](#)

EGU

being lifted into the free troposphere by convection compared to other polluted continents and thereby contribute to an increasing trend in the free troposphere throughout the northern mid latitudes. However, as discussed in Sect. 5, model studies indicate that this increase can only explain a small part of the observed increase in background ozone.

The increase in free tropospheric ozone as seen at Mace Head, mountain sites and the MOZAIC data are however in direct conflict with the trends derived from the ozone sonde measurements, where no significant trends are recorded over the last 1–2 decades. The trend in the MOZAIC data may however have been affected by a high anomaly in 1998 and 1999. According to Ordóñez (personal communication) the inconsistency in the trends for sondes versus other measurements may be related to the low sensitivity and very low time resolution of these ozonesonde measurements. There may also have been a change in the meteorological limitations as to when to make soundings.

As already noted emissions from international shipping has been increasing at an annual rate of about 1.6% in recent years. International shipping has been shown to result in a calculated perturbation of more than 10 ppb in the North Atlantic in the summer (Jonson et al., 2000b; Endresen et al., 2003) with the largest perturbation in the mid Atlantic where NO_x levels are in general low. Calculated effects of international shipping over the European continent is small (Jonson et al., 2000b). This effect is however likely to be an overestimate (Davis et al., 2001; von Glasow et al., 2003). Thus the trend for this source can not explain the increase in surface ozone in the clean oceanic sites as Mace Head, and certainly not the increase at mountain tops, in the free troposphere, in winter.

Boreal fires in Siberia and north America may have a marked effect on ozone. Honrath et al. (2004) measured ozone and CO at Mt. Pico on the Azores. Ozone levels elevated by 15 ppb or more could often be attributed to boreal fires in this period. Recently it has been shown that also at Mace Head there is a strong correlation between surface ozone (and other greenhouse gases) and large-scale biomass burning events

(Simmonds et al., 2005). And, as pointed out by the same authors, Canadian fires have increased steadily over the past two decades according to Stocks et al. (2003).

As pointed out in Sect. 2 circulation patterns may have changed, altering the exchange across the tropopause and also the circulation within the troposphere itself.

5 Such changes may have been brought about by global change.

As it stands now the magnitude and origin of the ozone trends in Europe are not completely understood.

Acknowledgements. The authors thank S. Dalsøren, University of Oslo, for valuable discussions on ozone trends. This work was supported by the Co-operative Programme for Monitoring and Evaluation of the Long-range Transmission of Air pollutants in Europe (EMEP) under UNECE.

References

Beilke, S. and Wilson, R. R.: The ozone concentration in Germany since 1990 and prognoses for the future development (in German), Tech. rep., Immissionschutz, 5, 2000. 5964

15 Berntsen, T. K., Isaksen, I. S. A., Myhre, G., Fuglestedt, J. S., Stordal, F., Larsen, T. A., Freckleton, R. S., and Shine, K.: Effects of anthropogenic emissions on tropospheric ozone and its radiative forcing, J. Geophys. Res., 102, 28 101–28 126, 1997. 5964

Creilson, J., Fishman, J., and Wozniak, A.: Intercontinental transport of tropospheric ozone: a study of its seasonal variability across the North Atlantic utilizing tropospheric ozone residuals and its relationship to the North Atlantic Oscillation, Atmos. Chem. Phys., 3, 2053–2066, 2003, SRef-ID: 1680-7324/acp/2003-3-2053. 5960

Davis, D., Grodzinski, G., Kasibhatla, P., Crawford, J., Chen, G., S.Liu, Brady, A., Thornton, D., Guan, H., and sandholm, S.: Impact of ship emissions on marine boundary layer NO_x and SO₂ distributions over the Pacific basin, Geophys. Res. Lett., 28, 235–238, 2001. 5973

25 Dentener, F., Stevenson, D., Cofala, J., Mecchler, R., Amann, M., Bergamaschi, P., Raes, F., and Derwent, R.: The impact of air pollutant and methane emission controls on tropospheric ozone and radiative forcing: CDT calculations for the period 1990–2030, Atmos. Chem.

European ozone trends

J. E. Jonson et al.

Title Page

Abstract

Introduction

Conclusions

References

Tables

Figures

◀

▶

◀

▶

Back

Close

Full Screen / Esc

Print Version

Interactive Discussion

Phys., 4, 1–68, 2004,

SRef-ID: 1680-7324/acp/2004-4-1. 5964

Derwent, R., Jenkin, M., Saunders, S., Pilling, M., Simmonds, P., Passant, N., Dollard, G., Dumitrescu, P., and Kent, A.: Photochemical ozone formation in north west Europe and its control, *Atmos. Environ.*, 37, 1983–1991, 2003. 5959, 5961

Derwent, R., Stevenson, D., Collins, W., and Johnson, C.: Intercontinental transport and the origins of the ozone observed at surface sites in Europe, *Atmos. Environ.*, 38, 1891–1901, 2004. 5965

Endresen, Ø., Sørsgård, E., Sundet, J., Dalsøren, S., Isaksen, I., Berglen, T., and Gravir, G.: Emission from international sea transport and environmental impact, *J. Geophys. Res.*, 108, doi:10.1029/2002JD002898, 2003. 5960, 5973

Fagerli, H., Simpson, D., and Tsyro, S.: Unified EMEP model: Updates, in: *Transboundary acidification, eutrophication and ground level ozone in Europe*, EMEP/MSC-W Status Report 1/2004, The Norwegian Meteorological Institute, Oslo, Norway, 2004. 5966

Fuhrer, J., Skärby, L., and Ashmore, M.: Critical levels for ozone effects on vegetation in Europe, *Environmental Pollution*, pp. 91–106, 1997. 5964

Fusco, A. and Logan, J. A.: Analysis of 1970 - 1995 trends in tropospheric ozone at Northern Hemisphere midlatitudes with the GEOS-CHEM model, *J. Geophys. Res.*, 108, doi:10.1029/2002JD002742, 2003. 5960, 5964

Hauglustaine, D. A. and Brasseur, G.: Evolution of tropospheric ozone under anthropogenic activities and associated radiative forcing of climate, *J. Geophys. Res.*, 106, 32 337–32 360, 2003. 5964

Honrath, R., Martin, R. O. M. V., Reid, J., Lapina, K., Fialho, P., Dziobak, M., Kneissl, J., and Westphal, D.: Regional and hemispheric impacts of anthropogenic and biomass burning emissions on summertime CO and O₃ in the North Atlantic lower free troposphere, *J. Geophys. Res.*, 109, doi:10.1029/2004JD005147, 2004. 5973

Jonson, J., Kylling, A., Berntsen, T., Isaksen, I., Zerefos, C., and Kourtidis, K.: Chemical effects of UV fluctuations inferred from total ozone and tropospheric aerosol variations, *J. Geophys. Res.*, 105, 14 561–14 574, 2000a. 5966

Jonson, J., Tarrasón, L., and Sundet, J.: Model calculations of present and future levels of ozone and ozone precursors with a global and a regional model, *Atmos. Environ.*, 35, 525–537, 2001. 5966

Jonson, J. E., Tarrasón, L., and Bartnicki, J.: Effects of international shipping on European

European ozone trends

J. E. Jonson et al.

Title Page

Abstract

Introduction

Conclusions

References

Tables

Figures

◀

▶

◀

▶

Back

Close

Full Screen / Esc

Print Version

Interactive Discussion

European ozone trendsJ. E. Jonson et al.

Title Page

Abstract

Introduction

Conclusions

References

Tables

Figures

◀

▶

◀

▶

Back

Close

Full Screen / Esc

Print Version

Interactive Discussion

EGU

pollution levels, EMEP/MSC-W note 5/00, The Norwegian Meteorological Institute, Oslo, Norway, 2000b. [5973](#)

Kanter, H.-J., Scheel, H., James, T. T. P., Stohl, A., and Feldmann, H.: Atmospheric long-range transport and its impact on the trace-gas distribution in the free troposphere over Central Europe (ATMOFAST), in: Ozone: Proceedings of the Quadrennial Ozone Symposium, edited by: Zerefos, C. S., University of Athens, Athens, Greece, pp. 849–850, 2004. [5960](#), [5963](#)

Karlsdottir, S., Isaksen, I., Myhre, G., and Berntsen, T.: Trend analysis of O₃ and CO in the period 1980–1996; A 3-D model study, *J. Geophys. Res.*, 105, 28 907–28 934, 2000. [5964](#)

Lelieveld, J. and Dentener, F.: What controls tropospheric ozone?, *J. Geophys. Res.*, 105, 3531–3551, 2000. [5964](#)

Li, Q., Jacob, D., Bey, I., Palmer, P., Duncan, B., Field, B., Martin, R., Fiore, A., Yantosca, R., Parrish, D., Simmonds, P., and Oltmans, S.: Transatlantic transport of pollution and its effects on surface ozone in Europe and North America, *J. Geophys. Res.*, 107, doi:10.1029/2001JD001422, 2002. [5965](#), [5966](#)

Logan, J.: Trends in the vertical distribution of ozone: An analysis of ozonesonde data, *J. Geophys. Res.*, 99, 25 553–25 585, 1994. [5962](#)

Logan, J.: An analysis of ozonesonde data for the troposphere: Recommendations for testing 3-d models and development of a gridded climatology for tropospheric ozone, *J. Geophys. Res.*, 104, 16 115–16 150, 1999. [5966](#), [5970](#)

Logan, J., Megretskaia, A., Miller, A., Tiao, G., Choi, D., Zhang, L., Stolarski, R., Labow, G., Hollandsworth, S., Bodeker, G., Claude, H., DeMuer, D., Kerr, J., Tarasick, D., Oltmans, S., Johnson, B., Schmidlin, F., Staehelin, J., Viatte, P., and Uchino, O.: Trends in the vertical distribution of ozone: A comparison of two analyses of ozonesonde data, *J. Geophys. Res.*, 104, 26 373–26 399, 1999. [5962](#)

Løvblad, G., Tarrasón, L., Tørseth, K., and Dutchak, S.: EMEP assessment PART I: European perspective, <http://www.emep.int>, The Norwegian Meteorological Institute, Oslo, Norway, 2004. [5961](#)

Monks, P., Richard, A., Dentener, F., Jonson, J., Lindskog, A., Roemer, M., Schuepbach, E., Friedli, T., and Solberg, S.: Tropospheric ozone and precursors, trends budgets and policy, TROTREP synthesis and integration report, <http://atmos.chem.le.ac.uk/trotrep>, 2003. [5963](#), [5964](#), [5965](#), [5972](#)

Naja, M., Akimoto, H., and Staehlin, J.: Ozone in background and photochemically aged air over central Europe: Analysis of long term ozonesonde data from Hohenpeissenberg and

European ozone trendsJ. E. Jonson et al.

Title Page

Abstract

Introduction

Conclusions

References

Tables

Figures

◀

▶

◀

▶

Back

Close

Full Screen / Esc

Print Version

Interactive Discussion

EGU

Payerne, J. Geophys. Res., 108, doi:10.1029/2002JD002477, 2003. 5962

NEGTA: Transboundary air pollution: acidification, eutrophication and ground level ozone in the UK, <http://www.nbu.ac.uk/negtap/>, National Expert Group on Transboundary Air Pollution, 2001. 5964

5 Oltmans, S., Lefohn, A., Scheel, H., Harris, J., Levy, H., Galbally, I., Brunke, E.-G., Meyer, C., Lathrop, J., Johnson, B., Shadwick, D., Cuevas, E., Schmidlin, F., Tarasick, D., H. Claude, Kerr, J., Uchino, O., and Mohnen, V.: Trends in ozone in the troposphere, Geophys. Res. Lett., 25, 139–142, 1998. 5962

10 Ordóñez, C., Mathis, H., Furger, M., Henne, S., Hülin, C., Staehelin, J., and Prévôt, A.: Changes of daily surface ozone maxima in Switzerland in all seasons from 1992 to 2002 and discussion of summer 2003, Atmos. Chem. Phys., 5, 1187–1203, 2005, SRef-ID: 1680-7324/acp/2005-5-1187. 5962

Palmgren, F., Hansen, A., Berkowics, R., and Skov, H.: Benzene emissions from the actual car fleet in relation to petrol composition in Denmark, Atmos. Environ., 35, 35–42, 2001. 5961

15 Pavelin, E., Johnson, C., Rughooputh, S., and Toumii, R.: Evaluation of pre-industrial surface ozone measurements made using Schönbein method, Atmos. Environ., 33, 919–929, 1999. 5962

Roemer, M.: Trends of ozone and precursors in Europe, Status report TOR-2, TNO report r2001/244, TNO, 2001. 5961, 5962, 5963

20 Roemer, M.: In search for trends of ozone and precursors, First progress report TROTREP, TNO report r2001/100, TNO, 2001. 5962

Roemer, M., Beekmann, M., Bergström, R., Boersen, G., Feldmann, H., Flatøy, F., Honore, C., Langner, J., Jonson, J., Matthijsen, J., Memmesheimer, M., Simpson, D., Smeets, P., Solberg, S., Stern, R., Stevenson, D., Zandveld, P., and Zlatev, Z.: Ozone trends according to ten dispersion models, Special report, EUROTRAC–2, 2003. 5965

25 Schuepbach, E., Friedli, T. K., Zanis, P., Monks, P., and Penkett, S.: State space analysis of changing seasonal ozone cycles (1988–97) at Jungfraujoch (3580 m asl) in Switzerland, J. Geophys. Res., 106, 20 413–20 427, 2001. 5962

Simmonds, P., Derwent, R., Manning, A., and Spain, G.: Significant growth in surface ozone at Mace Head, Ireland 1987–2003, Atmos. Environ., 38, 4769–4778, 2004. 5963

30 Simmonds, P., Manning, A., Derwent, R., Ciais, P., Ramonet, M., Kazan, V., and Ryall, D.: A burning question. Can recent growth rate anomalies in the greenhouse gases be attributed to large-scale burning events?, Atmos. Environ., 39, 2513–2517, 2005. 5974

European ozone trendsJ. E. Jonson et al.

Title Page

Abstract

Introduction

Conclusions

References

Tables

Figures

◀

▶

◀

▶

Back

Close

Full Screen / Esc

Print Version

Interactive Discussion

EGU

- Simpson, D., Fagerli, H., Jonson, J., Tsyro, S., Wind, P., and Tuovinen, J.-P.: Transboundary acidification, eutrophication and ground level ozone in Europe, PART 1, Status report 1/2003, The Norwegian Meteorological Institute, Oslo, Norway, 2003. [5966](#), [5967](#)
- Solberg, S.: Monitoring of boundary layer ozone in Norway from 1977 to 2002, TOR 85/2003, NILU, Norwegian Institute for Air Research, 2003. [5963](#)
- Solberg, S.: VOC measurements 2002, Tech. Rep. EMEP/CCC 8/2004, <http://www.nilu.no/projects/ccc/reports/ccc08-2004.pdf>, NILU, Norwegian Institute for Air Research, 2004. [5959](#), [5961](#)
- Solberg, S., Simpson, D., Jonson, J., Hjellberekke, A., and Derwent, R.: Ozone, in: EMEP assessment PART I: European perspective, edited by: Løvblad, G., Tarrasón, L., Tørseth, K., and Dutchak, S., Tech. rep., The Norwegian Meteorological Institute, Oslo, Norway, 2004. [5963](#)
- Solberg, S., Bergström, R., Langner, J., Laurila, T., and Lindskog, A.: Changes in Nordic surface ozone episodes due to European emission reductions in the 1990s, Atmos. Environ., 39, 179–192, 2005. [5965](#)
- Stocks, B., Mason, J., Todd, J., Bosch, E., Watson, B., Amino, B., Flannigan, M., Hirsch, K., Logan, D., Martell, D., and Skinner, W.: Large forest fires in Canada, 1959–1997, J. Geophys. Res., 108, 8149–8170, 2003. [5974](#)
- Streets, D., Bond, T., Carmichael, G. R., Fernandes, S. D., Fu, Q., He, D., Klimont, Z., Nelson, S. M., Tsai, N. Y., Wang, M. Q., Woo, J.-H., and Yarber, K. F.: An inventory of gaseous and primary aerosol emissions in Asia in the year 2000, J. Geophys. Res., 108, doi:10.1029/2002JD003093, 2003. [5960](#)
- Thijsse, T., Roemer, M., and van Oss, R.: Trends in large-scale VOC concentrations in the Southern Netherlands between 1991 and 1997, Atmos. Environ., 33, 3803–3812, 1999. [5961](#)
- Thouret, V., Cammas, J., Sauvage, B., Athié, G., Nédélec, P., Zbinden, R., and Simonn, P.: Ozone climatology and inter-annual variability in the UT/LS region calculated from 8 years of MOZAIC measurements, in: Ozone: Proceedings of the Quadrennial Ozone Symposium, edited by: Zerefos, C. S., University of Athens, Athens, Greece, pp. 203–203, 2004. [5963](#)
- TOR-2: Tropospheric ozone research, EUROTRAC-2 subproject final report, ISS GSF National Research Center for Environment and Health, Munich, Germany, 2003. [5963](#)
- UNECE: Present state of emission data, EB.AIR/GE, 1/2004/10, United Nations, Economic and Social Council, http://www.unece.org/env/emep/emep28_docs.htm, 2004. [5960](#)

Vestreng, V., Adams, M., and Goodwin, J.: Inventory review 2004: Emission data reported to CLRTAP and under the NEC directive, EMEP/MSC-W status report 1/04, The Norwegian Meteorological Institute, Oslo, Norway, 2004. [5959](#), [5966](#)

5 Volz, A. and Kley, D.: Evaluation of the Montsouris series of ozone measurements made in the nineteenth century, *Nature*, 332, 218–219, 1988. [5962](#)

von Glasow, R., Lawrence, M., Sander, R., and Crutzen, P.: Modeling the chemical effects of ship exhaust in the cloud-free marine boundary layer, *Atmos. Chem. Phys.*, 3, 233–250, 2003,
[SRef-ID: 1680-7324/acp/2003-3-233](#). [5973](#)

600 WMO: Scientific assessment of ozone depletion: 2002, Report no. 47, World Meteorological Organization. Global Ozone Research and Monitoring Project, 2002. [5962](#)

European ozone trends

J. E. Jonson et al.

Title Page

Abstract

Introduction

Conclusions

References

Tables

Figures

◀

▶

◀

▶

Back

Close

Full Screen / Esc

Print Version

Interactive Discussion

European ozone trends

J. E. Jonson et al.

Table 1. Anthropogenic Emissions (Gg/year) for the EU25 Germany and N. America. EU25 includes Austria, Belgium, Cyprus, Czech Republic, Estonia, Denmark, Finland, France, Germany (former east and west), Greece, Hungary, Ireland, Italy, Latvia, Lithuania, Luxembourg, Netherlands, Poland, Portugal, Slovakia, Slovenia, Spain, Sweden and the United Kingdom. N. America includes Canada and USA.

Region	1990		
	NO _x	NM VOC	CO
EU 25	15 991	16 869	61 213
Germany	2845	3591	11 212
N. America	25 775	21 264	97 651
Region	2002		
	NO _x	NM VOC	CO
EU 25	10 988	10 322	33 774
Germany	1499	1478	4311
N. America	21 721	17 790	111 562

[Title Page](#)
[Abstract](#)
[Introduction](#)
[Conclusions](#)
[References](#)
[Tables](#)
[Figures](#)
[I◀](#)
[▶I](#)
[◀](#)
[▶](#)
[Back](#)
[Close](#)
[Full Screen / Esc](#)
[Print Version](#)
[Interactive Discussion](#)

EGU

European ozone trends

J. E. Jonson et al.




Fig. 1. Measured and model calculated NO_2 in $\mu\text{g(N)}\text{m}^{-3}$ for the sites (Westerland, Deuselbach Langenbrügge, Hoburg, Bredkålen, Vavihill, Osen, Kårvatn, Tustervatn, Skreådalen, Birkenes, Jarczew, K-pusztá) left, and for the 3 German sites (Westerland, Deuselbach, Langenbrügge), right.

[Title Page](#)[Abstract](#)[Introduction](#)[Conclusions](#)[References](#)[Tables](#)[Figures](#)[◀](#)[▶](#)[◀](#)[▶](#)[Back](#)[Close](#)[Full Screen / Esc](#)[Print Version](#)[Interactive Discussion](#)

European ozone trends

J. E. Jonson et al.




Fig. 2. Measured and model calculated ozone in ppb. For all sites with continuous ozone measurements for all relevant years (top left), German sites with measurements for all years (top right), Mace Head (bottom left) and Deuselbach (bottom right).

[Title Page](#)[Abstract](#)[Introduction](#)[Conclusions](#)[References](#)[Tables](#)[Figures](#)[◀](#)[▶](#)[◀](#)[▶](#)[Back](#)[Close](#)[Full Screen / Esc](#)[Print Version](#)[Interactive Discussion](#)

EGU

European ozone trends

J. E. Jonson et al.




Fig. 3. Summer (June, July, August) mean of daily maximum ozone in ppb. Top, summer ozone as calculated for 2002. Middle, difference in summer ozone calculated for the meteorological year 2002 with emissions for 2002 compared to emissions for 1990. Bottom, difference in summer ozone calculated for the meteorological year 2002 with 10 year (AvgBC) boundary conditions.

[Title Page](#)[Abstract](#)[Introduction](#)[Conclusions](#)[References](#)[Tables](#)[Figures](#)[◀](#)[▶](#)[◀](#)[▶](#)[Back](#)[Close](#)[Full Screen / Esc](#)[Print Version](#)[Interactive Discussion](#)

EGU

European ozone trends

J. E. Jonson et al.




Fig. 4. Winter (January, February) mean of daily maximum ozone in ppb. Top, Winter ozone as calculated for 2002. Middle, difference in winter ozone calculated for the meteorological year 2002 with emissions for 2002–1990. Bottom, difference in winter ozone calculated with meteorological year 2002 with 10 year (AvgBC) boundary conditions.

[Title Page](#)[Abstract](#)[Introduction](#)[Conclusions](#)[References](#)[Tables](#)[Figures](#)[◀](#)[▶](#)[◀](#)[▶](#)[Back](#)[Close](#)[Full Screen / Esc](#)[Print Version](#)[Interactive Discussion](#)

EGU

European ozone trends

J. E. Jonson et al.




Fig. 5. High ozone events (average for 7 highest days in the year) in ppb. Top, average for year 2002. Bottom, difference in high ozone calculated for the meteorological year 2002 with emissions for the years 2002–1990.

[Title Page](#)[Abstract](#)[Introduction](#)[Conclusions](#)[References](#)[Tables](#)[Figures](#)[◀](#)[▶](#)[◀](#)[▶](#)[Back](#)[Close](#)[Full Screen / Esc](#)[Print Version](#)[Interactive Discussion](#)