Atmos. Chem. Phys. Discuss., 4, S680–S681, 2004 www.atmos-chem-phys.org/acpd/4/S680/ © European Geosciences Union 2004



ACPD

4, S680–S681, 2004

Interactive Comment

Interactive comment on "Nitrogen oxides measurements in an Amazon site and enhancements associated with a cold front" by A. M. Cordova et al.

Anonymous Referee #1

Received and published: 7 May 2004

General comments:

This is a very well written case study of long-range transport of biomass burning emissions to a remote site in the Amazon basin. The authors clearly document chemical, aerosol, meteorological, and satellite observations, present trajectory information, and conclude by presenting regional model output implicating long-range transport as the cause of the observed gas- and aerosol-phase enhancements. The authors do not attempt to generalize from these data, and while limited in scope, this report is a very nice example of a descriptive paper documenting a geophysical occurence that is of recent scientific interest.

Specific comments:



© EGU 2004

In general this is very well done; the conclusions are strongly supported by the data that are shown, the overall intent is well defined, and the logical progression is refreshingly clear. The only question I had was in regard to the uncertainties in gas-phase data. No experimental uncertainties are provided, and some estimation of the magnitude of the potential errors would be welcome.

Further, the description of the NO2 measurement is quite sparse, but it seems that the authors used a system that relies on thermal surface conversion to NO as a means of quantifying atmospheric NO2. If that was the case (no details on the NO2 conversion were given in the text) the authors might indicate that this method has some well-documented shortcomings (e.g., Fehsenfeld et al., JGR, 95, 3579-3597 (1990)), and likely measures the sum of NO2 + PAN compounds + some fraction of ambient HNO3. In the Amazon site, PAN compounds could potentially bias the "NO2" measurement by a factor several times larger than the actual ambient NO2 concentration. In any case, please state what conversion technique was used, and what confidence in the resulting NO2 data they have.

Interactive comment on Atmos. Chem. Phys. Discuss., 4, 2301, 2004.

ACPD

4, S680–S681, 2004

Interactive Comment

Full Screen / Esc

Print Version

Interactive Discussion

Discussion Paper

© EGU 2004