

Interactive comment on “The velocity of meteoroids” by I. P. Williams

I. P. Williams

Received and published: 28 January 2004

First, I accept all the minor points made (including change of title). Turning to the more major comment, namely that there is little new data. This is also correct. It is however difficult to see from the web pages where this paper came from and why it was written. As I understand the situation, it is the first paper in a special issue of the journal which is based on the proceedings of a workshop (Radar Meteor Workshop) held early in 2003 at Arecebo. The aim of this workshop was primarily to discuss the problems that I highlight in my conclusions.

This raises the interesting question of how much should a paper in the proceedings of a workshop or conference differ from the material that was presented. In the case of the paper under discussion, I have expanded a little by also including early visible and photographic determinations. One could go on as the referee hints and include modern determinations. As most of the workers were present at the workshop and presenting their work there (and presumably also publishing it as other papers in this journal), it

Full Screen / Esc

Print Version

Interactive Discussion

Discussion Paper

does not seem reasonable for me to go down that road in the paper. the format of this journal is however different from other journals in that modification at the very end of the process is possible. It thus raises a point for discussion of whether or not to include reference to the works of authors who for one reason or another have chosen not to publish their work in the special issue of acpd.

Interactive comment on Atmos. Chem. Phys. Discuss., 4, 109, 2004.

Full Screen / Esc

Print Version

Interactive Discussion

Discussion Paper