Atmos. Chem. Phys. Discuss., 4, S1660–S1660, 2004 www.atmos-chem-phys.org/acpd/4/S1660/ © European Geosciences Union 2004



ACPD

4, S1660-S1660, 2004

Interactive Comment

## Full Screen / Esc

Print Version

Interactive Discussion

**Discussion Paper** 

© EGU 2004

## *Interactive comment on* "A review of the Match technique as applied to AASE-2/EASOE and SOLVE/THESEO 2000" by G. A. Morris et al.

Anonymous Referee #2

Received and published: 31 August 2004

This is a preliminary comment on technical issues with the Figures. Insofar as I am hoping that the main issue can be rectified *during* the discussion period, I wanted to make this comment as early as possible.

Figure 2 is obviously incorrect in the published ACPD paper. It is just a repetition of Fig. 1!

Figures 3.-5. are a bit strange insofar as five tick-marks appear between e.g. 0 and -5. (In contrast to the other figures where there are four, as expected).

Finally, Figures 3.-5. have a much larger *y*-range than the dynamic range of the data, so that the plots show quite a lot of "white space".

Interactive comment on Atmos. Chem. Phys. Discuss., 4, 4665, 2004.