

**Aerosol seasonal  
variability over the  
Mediterranean region**

F. Barnaba and G. P.  
Gobbi

# Aerosol seasonal variability over the Mediterranean region and relative impact of maritime, continental and Saharan dust particles over the basin from MODIS data in the year 2001

F. Barnaba and G. P. Gobbi

Istituto di Scienze dell'Atmosfera e del Clima, ISAC – CNR, Via Fosso del Cavaliere, 100, 00133 Roma, Italy

Received: 17 May 2004 – Accepted: 23 June 2004 – Published: 4 August 2004

Correspondence to: F. Barnaba (f.barnaba@isac.cnr.it)

Title Page

Abstract

Introduction

Conclusions

References

Tables

Figures

⏪

⏩

◀

▶

Back

Close

Full Screen / Esc

Print Version

Interactive Discussion

## Abstract

The one-year (2001) record of aerosol data from the space borne Moderate Resolution Imaging Spectroradiometer (MODIS) was analyzed focusing on the Mediterranean region. The MODIS aerosol optical thickness standard product (AOT at 550 nm) provided over both land and ocean was employed to evaluate the seasonal and spatial variability of the atmospheric particulate over the region. Expected accuracy of the MODIS AOT is ( $\pm 0.05 \pm 0.2 \times \text{AOT}$ ) over land and ( $\pm 0.03 \pm 0.05 \times \text{AOT}$ ) over ocean. The seasonal analysis revealed a significant AOT variability all over the region, with minimum values in Winter (AOT < 0.15) and maximum in Summer (AOT > 0.2). The spatial variability is also found to be considerable, particularly over land. The impact of some major urban sites and industrialized areas is detectable. For the sole Mediterranean basin, a method (aerosol mask) was implemented to separate the contribution of maritime, continental and desert dust aerosol to the total AOT. Input of both continental and desert dust particles is well captured, showing, respectively, a North-to-South and a South-to-North AOT gradient. A quantitative summary of the AOT seasonal and regional variability is given for different sectors of the Mediterranean basin. Results of this summary were also used to test the aerosol mask assumptions and indicate the method adopted to be suitable for the aerosol type selection. Estimates of the atmospheric aerosol mass load were performed employing specifically-derived mass-to-extinction efficiencies ( $\alpha$ ). For each aerosol type, a reliable mean  $\alpha$  value was determined on the basis of lidar measurements of extinction and aerosol models. These estimates indicate a total of 43 Mtons of desert dust suspended over the basin during 2001. A comparable value is derived for maritime aerosol. Opposite to the dust case, a minor seasonal variability (within 15%) of maritime aerosol mass is found. This latter result is considered a further check of the suitability of the methodology adopted to separate, on the basis of MODIS data, the three aerosol types which dominate the Mediterranean region.

## Aerosol seasonal variability over the Mediterranean region

F. Barnaba and G. P. Gobbi

Title Page

Abstract

Introduction

Conclusions

References

Tables

Figures

◀

▶

◀

▶

Back

Close

Full Screen / Esc

Print Version

Interactive Discussion

## 1. Introduction

The great effort spent over the last decade by the scientific community to investigate atmospheric particulate matter reflects the importance attributed to aerosol in controlling the Earth's climate (e.g. Penner et al., 2001). Major advancements in the field have been fostered by a new generation of space based instruments (e.g. ATSR-2 on board the ESA-ERS2, MODIS and MISR on board the NASA Terra, POLDER on board the ADEOS), specifically developed to facilitate detection and characterization of atmospheric aerosols (e.g. King et al., 1999). A large amount of space-retrieved aerosol data has been produced in recent years and, after the initial period of quality checking (e.g. Chu et al., 2002; Remer et al., 2002; Chu et al., 2003; Levy et al., 2003; Liu et al., 2004), validated information is now becoming available. Even though further improvement of aerosol dedicated instruments and inversion techniques is still needed, it is important to exploit the large, routinely available set of data, particularly considering the unique potential of space-based observations in terms of data coverage.

On this basis, a one-year-dataset (2001) of the aerosol optical thickness operational product (AOT) from the Moderate-resolution Imaging Spectroradiometer (MODIS, on board the NASA platform Terra) has been employed and analyzed to get a picture of the aerosol seasonal and regional variability over the Mediterranean region. This study was performed in combination with the analysis by Gobbi et al. (2004) in which the record of lidar soundings collected in the year 2001 was used to characterize the seasonal variability of the aerosol vertical distribution over Rome (Italy), as representative of Central Mediterranean conditions.

The Mediterranean represents a unique area in terms of suspended particulate matter. Bounded to the north by the European continent and to the south by the North Africa arid regions, it is largely affected by both Saharan dust, anthropogenic aerosols and, of course, maritime aerosols. Transport of Saharan dust from the North African coasts to the Mediterranean and Europe has been often investigated (either as long term analyses or as "case studies") employing in situ samplings (e.g. Molinaroli et al.,

### Aerosol seasonal variability over the Mediterranean region

F. Barnaba and G. P. Gobbi

Title Page

Abstract

Introduction

Conclusions

References

Tables

Figures

⏪

⏩

◀

▶

Back

Close

Full Screen / Esc

Print Version

Interactive Discussion

---

**Aerosol seasonal  
variability over the  
Mediterranean region**F. Barnaba and G. P.  
Gobbi

---

[Title Page](#)[Abstract](#)[Introduction](#)[Conclusions](#)[References](#)[Tables](#)[Figures](#)[◀](#)[▶](#)[◀](#)[▶](#)[Back](#)[Close](#)[Full Screen / Esc](#)[Print Version](#)[Interactive Discussion](#)

1993; Loye-Pilot and Martin, 1996; Avila et al., 1998; Kubilay et al., 2000; Collaud Coen et al., 2004), lidar (e.g. Hamonou et al., 1999; Gobbi et al., 1999; Di Sarra, et al., 2001; Muller et al., 2003; De Tomasi et al., 2003; Dulac and Chazette, 2003; Gobbi et al., 2004) and satellite observations (e.g. Dulac et al., 1992; Moulin et al., 1998; Israelevich et al., 2002). Conversely, studies of the export of particles from the European continent (i.e. mainly pollution-related cases) to the Mediterranean Sea are still limited. However, a growing interest in this topic is now emerging. A recent international effort to quantify the input (and impact) of anthropogenic emissions to the natural background of the Mediterranean environment is represented by the Mediterranean Intensive Oxidant Study (MINOS). Largely based on experimental results, the MINOS project highlighted the relevant role of pollutants in the summertime Mediterranean atmosphere (e.g. Lelieveld, 2002). Models have also been usefully employed to investigate the export pathways of air pollution from Europe (e.g. Stohl et al., 2002; Duncan and Bey, 2004). Still, Duncan and Bey (2004) point out the current lack of observations of pollution related parameters to be compared to model results in the European region.

The strength of the dust outbreaks over the Mediterranean tends to facilitate the Saharan dust detection by both ground and space based observations, allowing the quantification of its transport and of its optical and microphysical properties. As opposite to Saharan dust, investigation of the export of particulate matter from the industrialized countries surrounding the Mediterranean (in the following referred to as “continental aerosols”) is complicated by the fact that an extremely large number of species and gas precursors (including inorganic and organic compounds) contribute to it. In analyzing space-based observations, such a complexity can partly be reduced focusing on some specific physical and optical properties of these particles. In fact, continental aerosol is recognized to be mainly composed of fine particles ( $r < 0.6 \mu\text{m}$ ) so that their optical properties are strongly dominated by such aerosol “fine fraction” (e.g. Dubovik et al., 2002; Kaufman et al., 2002).

In this study we perform a seasonal analysis of the MODIS-derived AOT field over

---

**Aerosol seasonal  
variability over the  
Mediterranean region**F. Barnaba and G. P.  
Gobbi

---

[Title Page](#)[Abstract](#)[Introduction](#)[Conclusions](#)[References](#)[Tables](#)[Figures](#)[⏪](#)[⏩](#)[◀](#)[▶](#)[Back](#)[Close](#)[Full Screen / Esc](#)[Print Version](#)[Interactive Discussion](#)

the Mediterranean region (land and ocean) to investigate the aerosol's seasonal and regional patterns. Moreover, we evaluate the contribution to the total AOT of continental and desert-dust aerosol export over the Mediterranean basin (in addition to the maritime aerosol one). This result is obtained by implementing a simple scheme (hereafter referred to as “aerosol mask”) that combines the MODIS operational retrieval of the aerosol optical thickness with a second MODIS product (i.e. the fine fraction) indicating the relative contribution of the fine particles to the total AOT. The aerosol mask scheme is described in Sect. 2, together with examples of its application to specific case studies. In Sect. 3, results are presented in terms of seasonally averaged maps of both total AOT and relative AOT contribution of maritime, continental and desert dust aerosol. A summary of the aerosol seasonal variability is then given in Sect. 3.1 where regional analysis is performed by separating the Mediterranean basin in ten different sectors. Based on the sectors data, estimates of the aerosol mass load over the Mediterranean basin are given in Sect. 3.2. These have been obtained, for the three different aerosol types, employing appropriate mass-to-AOT conversion factors ( $\alpha$ ) derived from lidar observations and model-based results. In order to focus Sect. 3.2 on the presentation and discussion of results, the procedure employed to derive the  $\alpha$  factors is described in Appendix A. In Sect. 3.2, the Mediterranean sectors summary is also employed as a test of the aerosol mask capability to actually discriminate the three aerosol types addressed in this study.

## 2. Methods

The Moderate Resolution Imaging Spectroradiometer (MODIS) was launched in December 1999 on the polar orbiting NASA-EOS Terra spacecraft. Terra's sun-synchronous orbit has a dayside equatorial 10:30 am local crossing time. Since February 2000, MODIS data are acquired in 36 spectral bands from the visible to the thermal infrared. The instrument having a scanning angle of  $110^\circ$  (swath width of 2330 km), a nearly global image is produced daily. Aerosol retrievals from MODIS data are per-

---

**Aerosol seasonal  
variability over the  
Mediterranean region**F. Barnaba and G. P.  
Gobbi

---

[Title Page](#)[Abstract](#)[Introduction](#)[Conclusions](#)[References](#)[Tables](#)[Figures](#)[◀](#)[▶](#)[◀](#)[▶](#)[Back](#)[Close](#)[Full Screen / Esc](#)[Print Version](#)[Interactive Discussion](#)

formed over both land and ocean surfaces by means of procedures thoroughly described in Kaufman and Tanré (1998). Aerosol products are stored in MODIS Level 2 (MOD04\_L2) files, each corresponding to 5 minutes acquisition along the satellite orbit. The two aerosol products employed in this study are: 1) the optical thickness, at 550 nm (MOD04\_L2 Scientific Data Set: Optical\_Depth\_Land\_And\_Ocean, in the following referred to as AOT) and 2) the fine fraction (MOD04\_L2 Scientific Data Set: Optical\_Depth\_Ratio\_Small\_Ocean, in the following referred to as FF). This latter is defined as the ratio of optical thickness of small mode vs effective optical thickness at 550 nm. Both products are given at a spatial resolution of 10×10 km (at nadir). The AOT is provided over both land and ocean merging the two different retrievals developed for the two different surfaces. The fine fraction product is only operational over ocean surfaces (although Chu et al., 2003 showed that separation of fine and coarse particles over land is possible by comparing the path radiances at 660 and 470 nm). As discussed in detail in the MODIS aerosol products validation studies, a different accuracy is associated to the MODIS AOT retrievals over land ( $\Delta AOT_{land} = \pm 0.05 \pm 0.2 AOT$ ; Chu et al., 2002; Chu et al., 2003) and over ocean ( $\Delta AOT_{ocean} = \pm 0.03 \pm 0.05 AOT$ ; Remer et al., 2002). Over land, errors larger than  $\Delta AOT_{land}$  can be found in coastal zones due to sub-pixel water contamination. This effect tends to produce an AOT overestimation (Chu et al., 2003). Over ocean, the validation by Remer et al. (2002) shows the major uncertainties to occur at small optical thickness, when the aerosol contribution to the measured radiance is low and surface contributions (as foam and water color) can be misinterpreted. Similarly, a significant water color contribution can reduce the ocean AOT retrieval quality in coastal areas. Largely based on Mediterranean test sites, the study by Remer et al. (2002) also indicates the particle size-dependent parameters (as the FF) to be retrieved with an accuracy within  $\pm 25\%$ .

In this work, daily MODIS observations over the Mediterranean region (about three L2 files per day) were collected for the whole year 2001 (except for the period 15 June–3 July, due to instrumental problems). For each L2 file, AOT and FF data were binned onto the 0.1°×0.1° grid (i.e. about 10×10 km pixels) corresponding to the geographical

---

**Aerosol seasonal  
variability over the  
Mediterranean region**F. Barnaba and G. P.  
Gobbi

---

[Title Page](#)[Abstract](#)[Introduction](#)[Conclusions](#)[References](#)[Tables](#)[Figures](#)[◀](#)[▶](#)[◀](#)[▶](#)[Back](#)[Close](#)[Full Screen / Esc](#)[Print Version](#)[Interactive Discussion](#)

area within the latitude and longitude ranges 30° N–50° N and 10° W–35° E, respectively. The derived 0.1-degree-binned AOT data were then averaged on a seasonal basis, the four seasons being defined as DJF (December–January–February, i.e. winter), MAM (March–April–May, i.e. spring), JJA (June–July–August, i.e. summer), SON (September–October–November, i.e. fall). Moreover, for each L2 file, AOT information was combined with the corresponding FF one (0.1-degree-binned as well) to distinguish between maritime, continental and desert dust aerosol following the method described in Sect. 2.1. Then, for each season, the contribution of the three aerosol types to the total, mean AOT was computed.

## 2.1. The aerosol mask

In order to reduce the complexity of the atmospheric aerosol system, some general categories of aerosols can be identified on the basis of their origin. In particular, over the Mediterranean, three general aerosol types are expected to dominate the atmospheric load: 1) maritime aerosol produced on the sea surface by winds; 2) continental aerosol originating in the industrialized countries surrounding the Mediterranean Sea from both anthropogenic and non-anthropogenic activities (e.g. fossil fuel combustion, industrial activity, soil erosion); 3) desert dust aerosol loaded into the Mediterranean atmosphere from the North African arid regions. Produced by such different mechanisms, these aerosol types generally show substantially different optical and physical properties (e.g. Kaufman et al., 2002). In fact, in urban/industrial conditions, optical properties are dominated by fine particles (e.g. Remer and Kaufman, 1998; Dubovik et al., 2002) while, in the presence of desert dust, these are strongly dominated by coarse particles. In maritime conditions, the relative contribution of coarse particles, although variable (Smirnov et al., 2002), is generally higher than in urban/industrial conditions and lower than for desert dust (e.g. Dubovik et al., 2002).

Following the previous considerations, we implemented a simple method (aerosol mask) to separate the contribution of the three mentioned aerosol types over the Mediterranean Sea, based on the combination of the MODIS AOT and FF data. In

---

**Aerosol seasonal  
variability over the  
Mediterranean region**F. Barnaba and G. P.  
Gobbi

---

[Title Page](#)[Abstract](#)[Introduction](#)[Conclusions](#)[References](#)[Tables](#)[Figures](#)[◀](#)[▶](#)[◀](#)[▶](#)[Back](#)[Close](#)[Full Screen / Esc](#)[Print Version](#)[Interactive Discussion](#)

particular, we proceeded to define which regions of the FF vs. AOT space would be peculiar to the three aerosol types. The following considerations were taken into account to define the three regions limits shown in Fig. 1 (blue, green and yellow portions corresponding to maritime, continental and desert dust aerosol, respectively). As opposite to remote oceanic regions, it is particularly difficult to define “pure maritime conditions” in inland seas as the Mediterranean. Following Smirnov et al. (1995), avoiding the most turbid conditions (associated to Saharan dust transport), in the Mediterranean one can distinguish between very pure atmospheres, when AOT values are close to the ones registered in background oceanic regions (i.e.  $AOT \leq 0.1$ ), and “standard” atmospheres, wherein the mean AOT is in the range 0.2–0.3. We have therefore set to 0.3 the upper limit of maritime AOT. At the same time, considering the variability of the coarse fraction in maritime aerosol (Smirnov et al., 2002), we have allowed the fine fraction of maritime aerosol to vary in the range 0–0.8 (see Fig. 1). These assumptions would in case translate in classifying as “maritime” both dusty conditions with  $AOT < 0.3$  and continentally-affected conditions with  $AOT < 0.3$  and  $FF < 0.8$ . This choice was made in order to prevent us from over predicting the presence of both continental and/or desert dust particles over the Mediterranean. Being very simple, this scheme is certainly associated to some margin of error (i.e. incorrect aerosol type interpretation), particularly at the border lines of the three regions. The performances of the aerosol mask will be evaluated on the basis of specific cases registered in 2001 and chronologically reported hereafter. Furthermore, the overall (statistical) performance of the aerosol mask will be assessed on the basis of the whole 2001 record in Sect. 3.1.

## 2.2. Individual tests of the aerosol mask

Four cases are presented to show the response of the aerosol mask to different aerosol conditions. The latter have been evaluated on the basis of both satellite true-color images (SeaWiifs Mediterranean pictures, as composite of images acquired approximately between 10:00 and 13:00 UT, i.e. almost in coincidence with the MODIS overpass) and wind fields at 700 mb, 850 mb and 925 mb (images from the NOAA CIRES



Climate Diagnostic Center, based on NCEP/NCAR re-analysis, Kalnay et al., 1996).

The first case, reported in Fig. 2, refers to 26 March 2001, when a dust plume was blown eastward from the Tunisian/Libyan coasts. The SeaWiFS image (Fig. 2a) clearly reveals the geographical extension of the dust plume moving northeast through the

5 Central Mediterranean and reaching up to the Black Sea. The outcome of the aerosol mask applied to this event is shown in Fig. 2b. Here the proposed method appears to reproduce the dust pattern over the Mediterranean. In particular, the southern (cloud-free) edge of the dust plume is well identified (note that in this plot, and in the following

10 case studies, the green border line between the yellow dust plume and the blue maritime aerosol region is falsely produced by the graphical interpolation. In fact, no green border is visible when the dust plume is bounded by black, i.e. unclassified, regions).

As opposed to Saharan dust, the mask-derived presence of continental aerosols in Fig. 2b (revealed at the eastern coasts of Spain and over the Gulf of Lion, South France), is not as evident in the SeaWiFS image. An explanation of this result can be

15 derived considering the relevant wind field shown in Fig. 2c. The westerly circulation affecting in this plot both Spain and Southern France is likely to transport continental aerosols from those regions over the Mediterranean Sea. Figure 2c also confirms the dust advection over the Central/Eastern Mediterranean and further indicates that,

depending on height, such advection affects different regions. In fact, at lower levels

20 (925, 850 mb, i.e. top and central panels, respectively) winds mainly drive dust from Libya to the Eastern Mediterranean while strong winds ( $v > 20$  m/s) from Algeria and Tunisia also advect dust to the Central Mediterranean at 700 mb (bottom panel).

The second case, reported in Fig. 3, refers to the following day, i.e. 27 March, when some residual dust was still uplifted eastward from the Libyan coasts as revealed by the

25 SeaWiFS image. The corresponding outcome of the aerosol mask reported in Fig. 3b shows that the dust plume from Libya is well detected. Agreement between Figs. 3a and 3b is also found over the Black Sea and in the Cyprus region, where both the true color SeaWiFS image and the aerosol mask reveal the presence of dust. In this case, wind fields in Fig. 3c indicate the dust transport to mainly occur at higher levels

---

**Aerosol seasonal  
variability over the  
Mediterranean region**

F. Barnaba and G. P.  
Gobbi

---

Title Page

Abstract

Introduction

Conclusions

References

Tables

Figures

◀

▶

◀

▶

Back

Close

Full Screen / Esc

Print Version

Interactive Discussion

---

**Aerosol seasonal  
variability over the  
Mediterranean region**F. Barnaba and G. P.  
Gobbi

---

[Title Page](#)[Abstract](#)[Introduction](#)[Conclusions](#)[References](#)[Tables](#)[Figures](#)[◀](#)[▶](#)[◀](#)[▶](#)[Back](#)[Close](#)[Full Screen / Esc](#)[Print Version](#)[Interactive Discussion](#)

( $P < 850$  mb), a piece of information that cannot be derived from the true color image of Fig. 3a on its own. Although no evidence of haze is visible in Fig. 3a, the aerosol mask indicates aerosol of anthropogenic origin off the Sardinian coasts in Fig. 3b. Westerly winds flowing over the island (Fig. 3c) are compatible with this result.

5 The third case, reported in Fig. 4, refers to 1 August 2001. The SeaWiFS picture in Fig. 4a shows two different conditions characterizing the Western and the Eastern Mediterranean, respectively. A well defined dust plume is visible in Fig. 4a, extending from North West Africa northward to Spain and eastward almost reaching Sardinia. Conversely, the Eastern Mediterranean is covered by an inhomogeneous haze layer.  
10 The outcome of the aerosol mask corresponding to this picture is reported in Fig. 4b. Here, the presence of dust is well identified. In particular, the north eastern edge of the dust plume visible in Fig. 4a is well reproduced in Fig. 4b. In the latter, dust is also detected over the Gulf of Biscay (Northern Spain), a region covered by clouds in Fig. 4a but definitely subject to advection from the North West Saharan regions (i.e. Fig. 4c). In  
15 the Eastern Mediterranean the aerosol mask identifies a dominance of continental particles corresponding to the hazy region in Fig. 4a. The origin of such haze is suggested by the wind maps of Fig. 4c. In fact, a North-easterly flow characterizes the Eastern Mediterranean at lower levels (925, 850 mb), with the strongest winds ( $v \geq 10$  m/s) in the region within Southern Italy and Crete. This advection pattern is likely to transport over  
20 the Mediterranean anthropogenic aerosol from Eastern Europe (Romania, Bulgaria) and Turkey and is therefore consistent with the aerosol mask results of Fig. 4b. As a matter of fact, in August 2001 the Mediterranean Intensive Oxidant Study (MINOS) conducted in Crete (Lelieveld et al., 2002) well highlighted the strong advection of pollutants over the Mediterranean caused by the northerly transport occurring at the lower  
25 levels (Sciare, 2003a). It is worth noticing that, in contrast to such lower-levels pollution advection pattern, the wind field in Fig. 4c indicates dust transport over the Western Mediterranean to mainly occur at the higher levels (850, 700 mb).

The fourth case study reported in Fig. 5 refers to 13 October 2001. The SeaWiFS true color image in Fig. 5a reveals a complex atmospheric pattern over the Mediter-

---

**Aerosol seasonal  
variability over the  
Mediterranean region**F. Barnaba and G. P.  
Gobbi

---

[Title Page](#)[Abstract](#)[Introduction](#)[Conclusions](#)[References](#)[Tables](#)[Figures](#)[◀](#)[▶](#)[◀](#)[▶](#)[Back](#)[Close](#)[Full Screen / Esc](#)[Print Version](#)[Interactive Discussion](#)

ranean with the presence of both dust and haze, characterizing the western and eastern basin, respectively. In addition, a hazy layer is visible over the Po Valley region (Northern Italy), the Italian east coasts and, partially, over the Adriatic Sea. The corresponding outcome of the aerosol mask derived from MODIS data is reported in Fig. 5b.

5 This latter clearly depicts the dust plume transported northward from Algeria and well reproduces both the east and west plume edges. Both Eastern Mediterranean and Adriatic Sea haze layers of Fig. 5a are mainly interpreted as continental aerosol in Fig. 5b. However, some minimal misinterpretation of haze as dust is observed. This is mainly produced by the fine fraction being lower than 0.7 in those regions. As mentioned in Sect. 2.1, some percentages of misinterpreted pixels were expected to be associated to the proposed aerosol mask. For this day, wind fields in Fig. 5c suggest advection patterns transporting continental aerosol South-westward to the Mediterranean basin at the lower levels (from Turkey, Bulgaria, Greece) and Saharan dust transported higher up in the atmosphere from the African continent. In this respect, the four cases presented confirm the general particle type-dependent aerosol vertical displacement observed by lidar at a Central Mediterranean site (Gobbi et al., 2004), with desert dust dominating the altitude range 2–6 km and continental aerosol prevailing in the boundary layers.

Overall, these results indicate that the aerosol mask performs rather well at distinguishing between maritime, continental and desert dust aerosol employing the standard MODIS AOT and FF products. This approach was therefore employed to estimate seasonal contributions of each aerosol type to the total AOT over the Mediterranean. Relevant results are discussed hereafter.

### 3. Results and discussion

25 Results of the data analysis are reported in Figs. 6 to 9, referring respectively to the four seasons DJF, MAM, JJA and SON. In each figure, plot a) shows the total mean seasonal aerosol optical thickness ( $AOT_S$  at 550 nm, given over both land and ocean)

## Aerosol seasonal variability over the Mediterranean region

F. Barnaba and G. P. Gobbi

Title Page

Abstract

Introduction

Conclusions

References

Tables

Figures

◀

▶

◀

▶

Back

Close

Full Screen / Esc

Print Version

Interactive Discussion

whereas plots b), c) and d) show the mean contribution to  $AOT_S$  of, respectively, maritime, desert dust and continental aerosol over the basin ( $AOT_{S,type}^*$ , the subscript “type” indicating continental (“cont”), maritime (“mar”) or desert dust (“dust”) aerosols). A detailed definition of the variables  $AOT_S$  and  $AOT_{S,type}^*$  is given hereafter. The synopsis of the whole set of aerosol optical thickness variables discussed in this study is summarized in Table 1.

For each  $0.1^\circ \times 0.1^\circ$  pixel, the AOT average over the season  $S$ ,  $AOT_S$ , is defined as:

$$AOT_S = \frac{1}{N_S} \sum_{j=1}^{N_S} AOT_j \quad (1)$$

where  $N_S$  is the total number of AOT retrievals ( $AOT_j$ ) in the season  $S$ .

Over the ocean, an aerosol type (continental, maritime or desert dust) is associated to each retrieved  $AOT_j$  employing the mask described in Sect. 2.2. Therefore, for each season  $S$  (and for each ocean pixel), the mean AOT associated to the three aerosol types is computed as:

$$AOT_{S,type} = \frac{1}{N_{S,type}} \sum_{j=1}^{N_{S,type}} AOT_{type,j} \quad (2)$$

where  $N_{S,type}$  is the total number of AOTs classified as “type” (i.e.  $AOT_{type,j}$ ) in that pixel during the season  $S$ .

For each ocean pixel, the seasonal frequency of occurrence of each aerosol type,  $f_{S,type}$ , is also derived as  $f_{S,type} = N_{S,type}/N_S$  (thus resulting  $f_{S,cont} + f_{S,mar} + f_{S,dust} = 1$ ). This parameter allows to compute the mean seasonal contribution of each aerosol type to the total  $AOT_S$  as:

$$AOT_{S,type}^* = f_{S,type} \cdot AOT_S \quad (3)$$

Therefore we have, for each pixel,  $AOT_S = AOT_{S,cont}^* + AOT_{S,mar}^* + AOT_{S,dust}^*$ .

---

**Aerosol seasonal  
variability over the  
Mediterranean region**F. Barnaba and G. P.  
Gobbi

---

[Title Page](#)[Abstract](#)[Introduction](#)[Conclusions](#)[References](#)[Tables](#)[Figures](#)[◀](#)[▶](#)[◀](#)[▶](#)[Back](#)[Close](#)[Full Screen / Esc](#)[Print Version](#)[Interactive Discussion](#)

In Figs. 6a to 9a, missing data (black areas) are found over bright surfaces (e.g. scarcely vegetated areas or regions covered by snow) where the MODIS retrieval is not performed (Kaufman et al., 1997) or in regions corresponding to a persistent presence of clouds during the whole season. Comparison of the four a)-plots in Figs. 6 to 9 reveals the strong seasonal cycle of the aerosol optical thickness all over the Mediterranean, with the lowest AOT observed in winter and maximum AOT values registered in Spring-Summer. These latter are possibly related to the increase of both photochemical and convective activity registered during the warmest months of the year. For example, in central Italy, the higher AOT values registered in Spring-Summer are associated to aerosol extending up to altitudes of 3-4 km while in the winter months lower AOT are registered with aerosols confined below 2 km (Gobbi et al., 2004). The modeling study of Duncan and Bey (2004) also highlights the important role of convection as a pathway for the export of European pollution to the middle troposphere in summer. It is also worth mentioning that surface aerosol mass measurements (PM<sub>2.5</sub> and PM<sub>10</sub>) performed all over Europe (Van Dingenen et al., 2004; Putaud et al., 2004) show an opposite trend, i.e. summer minimum and winter maximum aerosol mass. In fact, the low convective activity of the winter months is likely to keep the aerosol particles in the lower levels where these are sampled by the ground-based instrumentation. Conversely, in the warmer months, both reduced RH at the ground and convection-driven mixing of atmospheric particulate to the higher levels translate into a lower amount of aerosol mass to be measured by in situ samplers at the ground. These results confirm that knowledge of the vertical distribution of the particles is necessary when trying to correlate aerosol columnar quantities (as the AOT) to particulate measurements (as PM) at the ground (e.g. Chu et al., 2003; Wang and Christopher, 2003).

Another general feature emerging from Figs. 6a–9a is the impact of some major European cities and/or industrialized areas. The Po Valley region (i.e. the most industrialized Italian area) is the most evident case of such an effect. An increase in AOT is also evident for example in the Hungarian region and over main urban sites as Marseille in France, Rome and Naples in Italy, Thessaloniki in Greece. Overall, the AOT pattern

---

**Aerosol seasonal  
variability over the  
Mediterranean region**F. Barnaba and G. P.  
Gobbi

---

[Title Page](#)[Abstract](#)[Introduction](#)[Conclusions](#)[References](#)[Tables](#)[Figures](#)[◀](#)[▶](#)[◀](#)[▶](#)[Back](#)[Close](#)[Full Screen / Esc](#)[Print Version](#)[Interactive Discussion](#)

observed in plots a) of Figs. 6–9 can be well related to anthropogenic sources as suggested by recent studies performed by Robles Gonzales et al. (2003) and Schaap et al. (2004) by means of chemical-transport models. These studies (in which primary aerosol as sea salt or dust are not considered) show the AOT field over Europe to be strongly related to the distribution of emission of inorganic aerosol precursor (sulfates, nitrates). For example, the Po Valley and the Eastern Europe region (e.g. Hungary, Bulgaria) are shown to be characterized by higher concentrations of  $\text{NO}_3$  and/or  $\text{SO}_4$  and  $\text{SO}_2$  with respect to Western Europe, where high values of this constituents are only found in the northern coast of Spain. Overestimated AOT values are likely to affect the Nile-delta region in Figs. 6–9a), due to water contamination.

The winter plots of Fig. 6 show the AOT in the Mediterranean region to be mostly confined below 0.15–0.20, with higher values over land only registered in Northern Italy, Western France and in the Hungarian region. AOT values higher than 0.15 over the Mediterranean Sea are mainly related to some Saharan dust transport as revealed by Fig. 6c). However, minimum incidence of dust transport is registered in this season, in agreement with the seasonal analysis by Gobbi et al. (2004) performed employing lidar data collected at Rome in the year 2001. Figure 6d reveals some advection of continental aerosol over the Mediterranean with larger impact in all the North-North Eastern regions. This pattern is compatible with the dominance of Westerlies over the Mediterranean in Winter (e.g. Stohl et al., 2002).

Opposite to the winter case, the Spring plots of Fig. 7 show a mean Mediterranean AOT generally higher than 0.15 over both land and ocean. In the Po Valley region AOT reaches values higher than 0.45, almost double with respect to Winter. Figures 7c and 7d reveal that both dust and continental aerosols contribute to the high AOT values observed over the Mediterranean and the Black Sea. In particular, a major impact of Saharan dust is evident over the Eastern and the Central Mediterranean, while advection of continental aerosol mainly affect Western and Central Mediterranean as well as the North Atlantic (Bay of Biscay) and the Black Sea. It is worth mentioning that, by exploiting the polarization capabilities of the POLDER instrument, Tanré et al. (2001)

---

**Aerosol seasonal  
variability over the  
Mediterranean region**F. Barnaba and G. P.  
Gobbi

---

Title Page

Abstract

Introduction

Conclusions

References

Tables

Figures

◀

▶

◀

▶

Back

Close

Full Screen / Esc

Print Version

Interactive Discussion

highlighted a maximum impact of pollution events over central Europe in Spring. However, in that study July and August data were not available. Comparison of Figs. 7a and 7c shows the contribution of dust to the total AOT to reach 60% close to the Libyan and Egyptian-Israeli coasts. A similar contribution by continental aerosol is found to the North of Spain, in the Black Sea and in the Northern Adriatic Sea (right in the outflow of the Po Valley region).

As already pointed out, maximum aerosol optical thickness values are reached in Summer (Fig. 8), with  $AOT > 0.2$  prevailing over both the European continent and the Mediterranean basin. Actually, the summer AOT field of Fig. 8a) compares quite well with the one retrieved at 555 nm by Robles Gonzales et al. (2003) employing August 1997 data collected by the ATSR-2 radiometer on board the ESA ERS-2 platform. Overall, model calculations performed over Europe for the summer period (August) by Robles Gonzales et al. (2003) suggest a contribution to the total AOT (at 555 nm) up to 70% by sulphates and 10% by nitrates. Figure 8a shows a particular AOT increase with respect to Spring over Eastern Europe ( $AOT > 0.3$  over Hungaria, Romania, Ukraine). This effect is possibly connected to the frequent biomass burning events that occurred in Eastern Europe in the Summer 2001 (e.g. Salisbury et al., 2003; Sciare et al., 2003b). It is worth mentioning that, although not specifically addressed in this study, biomass burning episodes are likely to be classified as continental aerosol in our scheme. In fact, these are characterized by high AOT associated to a large fraction of fine particles (e.g. Dubovik et al., 2002). In Fig. 8a, high AOT values are observed in Crete and in the Southeastern European coastal regions (mainly Greece and Turkey). Some water contamination in land pixels and water color impact on the ocean pixels are likely responsible for this effect. It is however worth mentioning that the observed MODIS AOT as high as 0.4 ( $\pm 0.13$ ) in Crete and along the Turkish coasts are still compatible with the AERONET data (<http://aeronet.gsfc.nasa.gov>). In fact, the AERONET quality assured AOT values available for those areas in this period of the year show a mean  $AOT = 0.23 \pm 0.08$  in the FORTH-Crete site ( $35.3^\circ$  N,  $25.7^\circ$  E, Crete, Greece, August 2003) and a mean  $AOT = 0.44 \pm 0.24$  in the IMS-METU-Erdemli coastal

site (36.6° N, 34.2° E, Turkey, August 2000).

Figure 8d shows the Summer impact of continental aerosol (maximum of the year) to extend all over the basin, with particular strength over the Central and Eastern Mediterranean (with the exception of the area South of Cyprus). Overall, the AOT pattern in Fig. 8d suggests a prevalent northerly flow in the lower layers, where transport of pollutants mainly occurs (e.g. Stohl et al., 2002). In this respect, an analysis of air mass trajectories in the Mediterranean during the MINOS campaign (August 2001) performed by Lelieveld et al. (2002), revealed a dominant northerly flow below 4 km, confirming results by Stohl et al. (2002) and Duncan and Bey (2004) of a Summer southward flow of European pollution over the Mediterranean basin and Africa. Conversely, in the middle and upper troposphere (i.e. in the region where the Saharan dust transport mainly occurs), Lelieveld et al. (2002) found westerly/southerly winds to prevail. In fact, similarly to the Spring case (Fig. 7), the Summer continental aerosol distribution in the Mediterranean basin appears to complement the Saharan dust one (Figs. 8c and 8d). In particular, continental aerosol dominate the Central and Eastern basin whereas a major impact of dust is observed in the Central-Western Mediterranean. With respect to the Spring conditions, a Summer westward shift of Saharan dust transport is thus observed in 2001. This is in agreement with the general pattern of dust transport over the Mediterranean emerging from multi annual analysis of satellite data (Moulin et al., 1998; Israelevich et al., 2002). It is also worth noticing that the minor impact of both continental and dust aerosol over the South-eastern Mediterranean (south of Cyprus) reveals the large maritime contribution to the AOT in this region (Fig. 8b). A Summer increase in biogenic (marine phytoplankton) sulphate aerosols could account for such result. In fact, an important impact of biogenic sulphur is found in the Summer Eastern Mediterranean atmosphere, even though the major source of sulphate in this region is still assigned to long-range transport (Ganor et al., 2000; Kouvarakis et al., 2002).

Figure 9 shows the substantial reduction of the Fall AOT average with respect to the Summer one. However, AOT values as high as 0.2 are still observed over a large part of the European continent (e.g. Western France, Northern Italy, Hungarian region)

---

**Aerosol seasonal  
variability over the  
Mediterranean region**

F. Barnaba and G. P.  
Gobbi

---

Title Page

Abstract

Introduction

Conclusions

References

Tables

Figures

◀

▶

◀

▶

Back

Close

Full Screen / Esc

Print Version

Interactive Discussion



**Aerosol seasonal  
variability over the  
Mediterranean region**F. Barnaba and G. P.  
Gobbi

Title Page

Abstract

Introduction

Conclusions

References

Tables

Figures

◀

▶

◀

▶

Back

Close

Full Screen / Esc

Print Version

Interactive Discussion

as well as over Southern Mediterranean. In this latter region AOT values are affected by Saharan dust whose contribution to the total AOT is still of the order of 50%, and even larger close to the African coasts (Fig. 9c). While a minimum impact of Saharan dust is observed in winter (Fig. 6c), the minimum impact of continental aerosol is registered in Fall (Fig. 9d), with residual continental influences mainly visible in the Northern Adriatic Sea (outflow of the Po Valley region) and in the Greek Halkidiki peninsula. In this respect, it is worth mentioning a further factor playing a major role in determining the observed aerosols seasonal pattern: the precipitation, i.e. the most efficient removal process of atmospheric particulate. In fact, the observed seasonal pattern of the Mediterranean AOT should also be interpreted in connection to the seasonal and latitudinal pattern of precipitation in the Mediterranean (e.g. Mariotti et al., 2002). In particular, even considering the mentioned increase of photochemical and convective activity in Spring-Summer, the higher mean AOT registered in this period of the year is also a consequence of a minimum aerosol scavenging by precipitation. Conversely, the higher precipitation rate registered over the Mediterranean in Fall and Winter (particularly at latitudes  $\geq 40^\circ$  N) tends to reduce the mean residence time of aerosols in the atmosphere, and, in turn, the mean AOT. In the case of non-local aerosol (e.g. continental aerosol transported over the Mediterranean), this precipitation effect is expected to be even more evident. In fact, when aerosols travel time is comparable to the mean time interval between two rain events, the advection-related aerosol load cannot be completely re-established (e.g. Bergametti et al., 1989). Interestingly, during the year 2001 the highest seasonal rain rates ( $R_r$ ) over the Mediterranean basin were registered in Fall ( $R_r$  mean values higher than 2 mm/day) with maximum values ( $R_r > 3$  mm/day) over the Adriatic Sea, the Balearic Islands and the Cyprus region. In Winter the mean  $R_r$  over the basin was 1.5–2.5 mm/day whereas the Spring and Summer means were  $R_r < 1$  and  $R_r < 0.5$  mm/day, respectively (data from NCEP/NCAR reanalysis).

### 3.1. Mediterranean AOT data summary

To provide a summary of the seasonal and regional variability discussed so far, aerosol optical thicknesses averaged over both season and Mediterranean sectors were computed and are reported in Table 2. Regional averages have been performed considering the Mediterranean basin as divided into the ten sectors (SEC) shown in Fig. 10. These were selected to define a Western, Central and Eastern Mediterranean basin (longitudinal partitions at 8° and 21° W) and to facilitate the evaluation of North-to-South AOT gradients (latitudinal partitions at 35°, 38° and 41° N). Tables 2a, 2b, 2c, 2d refer to the Winter, Spring, Summer and Fall averages, respectively. A detailed definition of the variables reported in Table 2 is given hereafter (see also Table 1). The seasonal averages shown in Figs. 6–9 ( $AOT_{S,type}$  and  $AOT_{S,type}^*$  derived for each ocean pixel) were averaged regionally, i.e. for the ten sectors of Fig. 10 the following quantities were computed:

$$AOT_{S,SEC,type} = \frac{1}{P_{SEC}} \sum_{P_k=1}^{P_{type,SEC}} AOT_{S,type,P_k} \quad (4)$$

$$AOT_{S,SEC,type}^* = \frac{1}{P_{SEC}} \sum_{P_k=1}^{P_{type,SEC}} AOT_{S,type,P_k}^* \quad (5)$$

where the subscript  $P_k$  indicates the  $k$ -th pixel in sector SEC;  $P_{type,SEC}$  is the total number of pixels in SEC in which the parameter  $AOT_{S,type}$  (and  $AOT_{S,type}^*$ ) is defined; and  $P_{SEC}$  is the total number of pixels in the sector SEC (independent of the aerosol type).

It is worth noticing that, since an aerosol type is not necessarily detected in the whole sector during a season (i.e. being  $P_{type,SEC} \leq P_{SEC}$ ), the quantity  $AOT_{S,SEC,type}$  does not coincide with  $AOT_{S,type}$  in SEC, i.e. the AOT averaged only over the pixels

## Aerosol seasonal variability over the Mediterranean region

F. Barnaba and G. P. Gobbi

Title Page

Abstract

Introduction

Conclusions

References

Tables

Figures

⏪

⏩

◀

▶

Back

Close

Full Screen / Esc

Print Version

Interactive Discussion

classified as “type” in sector SEC (it is:  $AOT_{S,SEC,type} = \frac{1}{P_{SEC}} \sum_{P_k=1}^{P_{type,SEC}} AOT_{S,type,P_k} \leq$

$$\frac{1}{P_{type,SEC}} \sum_{P_k=1}^{P_{type,SEC}} AOT_{S,type,P_k} = AOT_{S,type \text{ in } SEC}).$$

For example: the quantity  $AOT_{DJF,5,dust}$  is the desert dust average AOT over the whole sector 5 in winter ( $0.18 \pm 0.07$ ). However, since only a fraction of sector 5 was covered by dust,  $AOT_{DJF,5,dust}$  is smaller than the mean AOT of dust events in the sector  $AOT_{DJF,dust \text{ in } 5}$  ( $0.44 \pm 0.11$ ). For completeness, the whole set of  $AOT_{S,SEC,type}$  values are also given in Table 2 (in parentheses).

Data presented in Table 2 clearly show the following:

1. A seasonal pattern of the aerosol optical thickness in the Mediterranean, with mean AOT values ( $AOT_{S,SEC}$ ) spanning the range 0.12–0.14 in Winter, 0.17–0.28 in Spring, 0.20–0.28 in Summer and 0.15–0.26 in Fall.
2. A seasonal cycle of dust distribution within the basin, associated to a South-to-North gradient. In fact, a Spring maximum dust impact is found in the Eastern-Central Mediterranean ( $AOT_{MAM,SEC,dust} > 0.45$ , with dust percentage AOT contribution  $> 40\%$  in Sectors 10-8 and 9-7-4, respectively). In Summer and Fall dust maxima shift to the Central-Western Mediterranean ( $AOT_{JJA,SEC,dust}$  and  $AOT_{SON,SEC,dust} \geq 0.44$  in Sectors 9-7-4, and 6-3, with dust percentage contributions to  $AOT \geq 28\%$ ). In Winter a residual dust activity is registered in the Western part of the basin ( $AOT_{DJF,SEC,dust} \geq 0.32$  in Sectors 6-3-1) but in the South-Eastern Mediterranean the beginning of a “new cycle” is also detected ( $AOT_{DJF,SEC,dust} = 0.37$  in Sector 10).
3. A transport of continental aerosol from the European continent over the basin with maximum impact along the coastal regions. In fact, the highest contribution of continental particles to the total AOT is found in Sectors 2 and 5 in Winter

## Aerosol seasonal variability over the Mediterranean region

F. Barnaba and G. P. Gobbi

Title Page

Abstract

Introduction

Conclusions

References

Tables

Figures

◀

▶

◀

▶

Back

Close

Full Screen / Esc

Print Version

Interactive Discussion

(>30%), in Sectors 1, 2, 5 in Spring ( $\geq 35\%$ ), in Sectors 1, 2, 4, 5, 8 in Summer ( $\geq 43\%$ ) and again in Sectors 2 and 5 in Fall ( $\geq 19\%$ ).

A graphical representation of these patterns in terms of aerosol mass is provided in the following Sect. 3.2.

The sector analysis was also used as a control tool of the criteria adopted to build the aerosol mask. To this purpose, the mean seasonal AOT values characterizing each aerosol type in the different sectors (i.e. the  $AOT_{S,type \text{ in } SEC}$  values in Table 2) were associated to the corresponding mean seasonal fine fraction values,  $FF_{S,type \text{ in } SEC}$ . Relevant results are shown in Fig. 11, where the three regions of the aerosol mask (see Fig. 1) have also been indicated. This graph confirms that the three FF vs. AOT regions selected are well suited to identify the three aerosol types. This is because the distribution of points in the three regions of Fig. 11 (maritime, continental and dust) shows no tendency to overlap. Conversely, a concentration of points along the regions limits would have been found for a wrong choice of the three regions thresholds. In particular, a clear-cut distinction between continental and desert dust aerosol emerges, not only in terms of fine fraction (imposed) but also in terms of AOT. This means that, even if high AOT can be associated to some episodes of continental aerosol transport, these conditions are I) not frequent (mean continental  $AOT < 0.3$  and mean desert dust  $AOT > 0.4$  in Fig. 11 and Table 2), and II) well discernible from dust events by the aerosol mask (definite distinction between continental and dust points in the FF vs. AOT space of Fig. 11).

### 3.2. Estimation of the aerosol mass loading

Starting from AOT values, estimates of the column aerosol loading,  $M$  ( $g/m^2$ ), can be derived employing an appropriate conversion factor, generally referred to as the mass-to-extinction efficiency,  $\alpha = AOT/M$  ( $m^2/g$ ). Since both AOT and  $M$  depend on particles physical and optical properties, substantially different  $\alpha$  are associated to different aerosol types. An updated compilation of  $\alpha$  values can be found in the last

## Aerosol seasonal variability over the Mediterranean region

F. Barnaba and G. P. Gobbi

Title Page

Abstract

Introduction

Conclusions

References

Tables

Figures

⏪

⏩

◀

▶

Back

Close

Full Screen / Esc

Print Version

Interactive Discussion

---

**Aerosol seasonal  
variability over the  
Mediterranean region**F. Barnaba and G. P.  
Gobbi

---

[Title Page](#)[Abstract](#)[Introduction](#)[Conclusions](#)[References](#)[Tables](#)[Figures](#)[◀](#)[▶](#)[◀](#)[▶](#)[Back](#)[Close](#)[Full Screen / Esc](#)[Print Version](#)[Interactive Discussion](#)

IPCC report (Table 5.1 in IPCC, 2001) where these are classified on the basis of geographical region and/or aerosol type. As examples,  $\alpha=3.5\pm 1.2$  and  $\alpha=1.8\pm 0.5$  are there given for “polluted continental” and “Pacific marine (accumulation and coarse mode)” aerosol, respectively. While some studies provided  $\alpha$  values of desert dust over the Mediterranean (e.g. Dulac et al., 1992), to our knowledge no specific value is reported for continental and maritime aerosol types in this region. We then performed an original investigation to evaluate the  $\alpha$  values typical of the three Mediterranean aerosol types addressed in this study. To this purpose, we employed 1) lidar measurements to determine typical aerosol extinction coefficients and 2) aerosol models to link such aerosol extinction values to the relevant mass. These models were specifically developed to invert lidar measurements in maritime, continental and desert-dust conditions (Barnaba and Gobbi, 2001; Barnaba and Gobbi, 2004). Both lidar observations and numerical computations refer to the wavelength of 532 nm, i.e. very close to the 550 nm MODIS one. Lidar observations performed at Mediterranean sites under appropriate aerosol load conditions have been considered. In particular, lidar measurements for the year 2001 collected in a semi-urban site at the outskirts of Rome (41.8° N, 12.6° E), Italy (Gobbi et al., 2004) were used to derive a suitable  $\alpha$  value of continental aerosols ( $\alpha_{\text{cont}}$ ). Considering the mean distance between the Rome site and the Saharan region (about 1000–1500 km), lidar measurements recorded in Rome during the seven major dust events registered in 2001 were used as representative of transported desert dust conditions to derive a typical Mediterranean  $\alpha_{\text{dust}}$ . Finally, lidar observations performed in Crete (35.5° N, 23.7° E), Greece, in May 1999 (Gobbi et al., 2000) were employed as representative of Mediterranean maritime conditions to derive  $\alpha_{\text{mar}}$ . A detailed description of the procedure employed to derive the three investigated mass-to-extinction efficiencies is given in Appendix A. The resulting coefficients are:  $\alpha_{\text{cont}}=2.8$ ,  $\alpha_{\text{dust}}=1.36$  and  $\alpha_{\text{mar}}=1.85$  for continental, desert dust and maritime aerosol, respectively. It is worth mentioning that our  $\alpha_{\text{dust}}$  value is in good agreement with the one ( $\alpha=1.3$ ) employed by Dulac et al. (1992) to estimate desert dust columnar mass from Meteosat AOT data during a Saharan dust event over the Western Mediterranean.

## Aerosol seasonal variability over the Mediterranean region

F. Barnaba and G. P. Gobbi

Title Page

Abstract

Introduction

Conclusions

References

Tables

Figures

◀

▶

◀

▶

Back

Close

Full Screen / Esc

Print Version

Interactive Discussion

The two others coefficients,  $\alpha_{\text{cont}}$  and  $\alpha_{\text{mar}}$ , fall well within the variability range of IPCC.

Based on these mass-to-extinction efficiencies, the mean seasonal ( $S$ ) maritime, continental and desert dust mass loads, evaluated for each of the ten sectors (SEC) of Fig. 10 (i.e.  $M_{S,\text{SEC},\text{type}}^* = \text{AOT}_{S,\text{SEC},\text{type}}^* / \alpha_{\text{type}}$ , expressed in  $\text{g}/\text{m}^2$ ) are shown in Fig. 12.

Here, sectors corresponding to Western (SEC=1, 3, 6), Central (SEC=2, 4, 7, 9) and Eastern (SEC=5, 8, 10) Mediterranean basin have been reported in separated plots (first, second and third column of Fig. 10, respectively) in order to better highlight the regional, longitudinal differences. Moreover, this representation allows easy evaluation the meridional gradient of both the continental and desert dust aerosols.

Considering the area of each sector, mean seasonal aerosol mass loads (i.e.

$\sum_{\text{SEC}} M_{S,\text{SEC},\text{type}}^* \times \text{SEC}_{\text{Area}}$ ) were computed and are summarized in Table 3. For each aerosol type, mass values in Table 3 can be considered as the typical load characterizing each day during the season. Table 3 shows that the total suspended mass of desert dust over the Mediterranean basin in the year 2001 ( $4.3 \times 10^4$  ktons) is comparable to that of maritime aerosol, although (as already discussed) the former exhibits a seasonal cycle. The almost constant value of the maritime aerosol mass over the seasons (mean value 111 ktons/day associated to a standard deviation, s.d. of 17 Mtons, i.e. 15%) can be considered as a further confirmation of the consistency of the aerosol mask scheme presented.

With respect to the total dust load of  $4.3 \times 10^4$  ktons, this should be considered as a lower limit of the actual value due to three different points as described hereafter.

1) To give an estimation of the total dust loaded in the atmosphere during the year, every dust event observed should be considered at its maximum (in terms of both AOT and extent). However, by definition, the seasonal means performed in this study do not capture the dust plumes at their maximum but rather weigh their amplitude all over the dust event. 2) The desert dust mass-to-extinction factor,  $\alpha_{\text{dust}}=1.36$ , represents a mean Mediterranean value. It can be considered as a typical value of long-range (>1000 km) transported dust. As shown in Appendix A, the  $\alpha$  parameter is very sensitive to particle size distribution and lower mass-to-extinction efficiencies are found for

---

**Aerosol seasonal  
variability over the  
Mediterranean region**F. Barnaba and G. P.  
Gobbi

---

[Title Page](#)[Abstract](#)[Introduction](#)[Conclusions](#)[References](#)[Tables](#)[Figures](#)[◀](#)[▶](#)[◀](#)[▶](#)[Back](#)[Close](#)[Full Screen / Esc](#)[Print Version](#)[Interactive Discussion](#)

increasing mean size of the particles sounded (e.g. Cachorro and Tanré, 1997). For example,  $\alpha_{\text{dust}}=0.96$  and  $\alpha_{\text{dust}}=0.93$  were obtained (following the approach described in Appendix A) employing lidar observations of Saharan dust plumes observed closer to the source region, i.e. over the Canary Islands (August 2002) and Sicily (July 2003), respectively. 3) The AOT data considered in this study are cloud-screened. In fact, dust transport over the Mediterranean is generally associated with meteorological fronts so that presence of dust is often associated to water clouds. Therefore, being that dust is inevitably undetected in cloudy pixels, dust occurrence frequency is expected to be underestimated, thus leading to an underestimation of its impact in terms of mean AOT\* and mass.

#### 4. Conclusions

One year (2001) of aerosol optical thickness (AOT, at 550 nm) data from the MODIS instrument (NASA-Terra) were analyzed in order to evaluate the aerosol seasonal and geographical variability over the Mediterranean region. This study highlighted a strong AOT seasonal cycle over the whole Mediterranean region, with minimum and maximum values in Winter (AOT mainly below 0.15) and Summer (AOT mainly above 0.2), respectively. Over land, this study shows that, even when seasonal averages are addressed, the impact of big cities and/or industrialized areas is well detectable in terms of higher AOT levels. In Europe, the Po Valley (i.e. the most industrialized Italian area) is shown to be particularly affected by high AOT values with respect to the surrounding regions. This is likely to be due to both the high level of aerosol gas precursor emissions and the particular orography of the region (an extended valley bounded to the North, West and South by mountains) that facilitates the pollutants entrapment.

Over ocean, an aerosol mask was implemented to separate the contribution to the total AOT of the three aerosol types prevailing over the Mediterranean basin, i.e. maritime aerosols, continental aerosols (mainly of anthropogenic origin), and mineral dust (mainly from the Sahara region). When applied to specific case studies, the aerosol

---

**Aerosol seasonal  
variability over the  
Mediterranean region**F. Barnaba and G. P.  
Gobbi

---

[Title Page](#)[Abstract](#)[Introduction](#)[Conclusions](#)[References](#)[Tables](#)[Figures](#)[◀](#)[▶](#)[◀](#)[▶](#)[Back](#)[Close](#)[Full Screen / Esc](#)[Print Version](#)[Interactive Discussion](#)

mask showed good correspondence with the actual aerosol field evaluated by means of both true-color satellite images and wind fields. Examination of case studies also highlighted advection of continental and desert dust aerosol to occur at different levels, i.e. mainly within and above the planetary boundary layer, respectively.

Application of the aerosol mask to the whole 2001 dataset allowed to identify some clear features of the atmospheric particulate in the Mediterranean. The export of both continental and dust particles over the basin was found to be important. As expected, continental aerosol were found to impact mainly the coastal regions throughout the year. However, in the warmest seasons (Spring and Summer) these particles were found to impact the whole basin, with a relative contribution to the total AOT up to more than 60% in the Italian and Greek-Turkish coastal regions. A North-to-South gradient of continental aerosol optical thickness is evident in this case. An opposite South-to-North AOT gradient is associated to Saharan dust export, this latter showing a marked seasonal cycle. In fact, while in Spring maximum dust impact is found in Eastern-Central Mediterranean (dust AOT contribution >40%), in Summer-Fall a shift to the Central-Western Mediterranean is observed. In Winter 2001 a residual dust activity is registered in the Western part of the basin while in the South-Eastern Mediterranean the beginning of a “new cycle” is detected.

Atmospheric aerosol mass load estimates were performed applying appropriate extinction-to-mass conversion factors ( $\alpha$ ) to the seasonal AOT averages of maritime, continental and desert dust particles. The  $\alpha$  values employed for the three different aerosol types addressed in this study were specifically derived on the basis of lidar observations and modeling studies. Overall, a total seasonal mean value of 119 ktons of desert dust per day was found to characterize the Mediterranean atmosphere, translating into a total of  $4.3 \times 10^4$  ktons of dust in the year 2001. A similar total mass load was found to be associated to maritime aerosol ( $4.0 \times 10^4$  ktons). However, as expected, minimum seasonal variability (15%) of aerosol mass was found in the maritime case. This result supports the suitability of the aerosol mask adopted to separate the contribution of three aerosol types. Total suspended mass of continental aerosol over the



basin in 2001 is estimated to be 1.2 Mtons.

On the whole, this study provides a quantitative overview of the aerosol seasonal variability over the Mediterranean region, well representing the impact of the different aerosol types over the Mediterranean basin. The AOT data presented over both land and ocean are thought to be important to provide a base of comparison for chemistry-transport models. Further investigation considering a wider dataset is envisaged to evaluate the inter-annual variability of the Mediterranean particulate.

## Appendix A: Deriving the mass-to-extinction efficiency values

Mass-to-extinction efficiency values ( $\alpha = \text{AOT}/M$ ) typical of the three Mediterranean aerosol types addressed in this study were obtained by combining numerical computations based on aerosol models and lidar observations.

As mentioned in Sect. 3.1, AOT and M depending on particles physical and optical properties,  $\alpha$  values strongly depend on the specific characteristic of the aerosol type under investigation. In particular, it is:

$$\text{AOT} = \int_z \sigma_{\text{ext}}(z) dz \quad (\text{A1})$$

$$M = (4/3)\pi\rho \cdot \int_r r^3 n(r) dr = \rho \cdot V, \quad (\text{A2})$$

where  $z$  is the altitude,  $\sigma_{\text{ext}}$  is the aerosol extinction coefficient,  $\rho$  is the aerosol particle density and  $n(r)$  is the aerosol number size distribution. In Eq. (A2) an assumption of particle sphericity is made.

To derive typical  $\alpha$  values, we employed a numerical technique specifically developed to derive typical mean aerosol optical and physical properties. In particular, mean properties of maritime, desert-dust and continental aerosol were addressed as thoroughly described in Barnaba and Gobbi (2001) and Barnaba and Gobbi (2004). In that procedure, 20 000 simulated values of both aerosol extinction,  $\sigma_{\text{ext}}$  ( $\text{km}^{-1}$ ) (at 532 nm), and

## Aerosol seasonal variability over the Mediterranean region

F. Barnaba and G. P. Gobbi

Title Page

Abstract

Introduction

Conclusions

References

Tables

Figures

◀

▶

◀

▶

Back

Close

Full Screen / Esc

Print Version

Interactive Discussion

**Aerosol seasonal  
variability over the  
Mediterranean region**F. Barnaba and G. P.  
Gobbi

Title Page

Abstract

Introduction

Conclusions

References

Tables

Figures

◀

▶

◀

▶

Back

Close

Full Screen / Esc

Print Version

Interactive Discussion

volume,  $V$  ( $\text{cm}^3/\text{cm}^3$ ), were computed for size distributions and refractive indices typical of each aerosol type. Each computation was performed randomly choosing the aerosol microphysical parameters (size distribution, refractive index) within appropriate ranges fixed according to data available in literature. In the present study, those  $V$  and  $\sigma_{\text{ext}}$  computations were employed to investigate the dependence of the  $\alpha$  parameter on  $\sigma_{\text{ext}}$  for the three different aerosol types. To start, we will first refer to the mass-to-extinction efficiency for unit density (i.e.  $\alpha^* (\text{m}^2/\text{g}) = \sigma_{\text{ext}}/V \cdot \rho$ , with  $\rho = 1 \text{ g/cm}^3$ ). The outcome of this analysis is given in Fig. 13, where  $\alpha^*$  is plotted with respect to the relevant  $\sigma_{\text{ext}}$  ( $\text{km}^{-1}$ ), for each aerosol type. Note that the  $0.01 \text{ km}^{-1}$ -step  $\sigma_{\text{ext}}$  values reported in Fig. 13 were obtained binning the original 20,000  $\sigma_{\text{ext}}$  ones. The corresponding mean  $\alpha^*$  value associated to each bin is reported with its variability ( $\pm 1$  standard deviation) in Fig. 13. As can be noticed, due to the different microphysical aerosol properties, a substantially different  $\alpha^*$  versus  $\sigma_{\text{ext}}$  behavior is obtained for the three aerosol types.

From these general curves, it was then necessary to derive, for each aerosol type, a reliable, mean  $\alpha^*$  value to be applied as a mass-to-extinction efficiency to the satellite AOT retrievals. This was done by weighting the  $\alpha^*$  values in Fig. 13 for the actual, i.e. observed, frequency of occurrence of the  $\sigma_{\text{ext}}$  values for the three aerosol types. To this purpose, lidar  $\sigma_{\text{ext}}$  tropospheric profiles measured at Mediterranean sites under relevant aerosol load conditions were considered. In particular, one-year (2001) lidar measurements collected at the outskirts of Rome ( $41.8^\circ \text{ N}$ ,  $12.6^\circ \text{ E}$ ), Italy (Gobbi et al., 2004) were used as representative of continental conditions; lidar measurements recorded at the same site during seven major dust events registered in 2001 were used as representative of dust load conditions; lidar observations performed in Crete ( $35.5^\circ \text{ N}$ ,  $23.7^\circ \text{ E}$ ), Greece in May 1999 (Gobbi et al., 2000) were employed as representative of maritime conditions.

For each case, lidar data of aerosol extinction were binned with  $0.01 \text{ km}^{-1}$ -step to evaluate the frequency of occurrence of the different  $\sigma_{\text{ext}}$  values (only values  $\sigma_{\text{ext}} > 0.001 \text{ km}^{-1}$  were considered). Due to the typically different vertical displacement of the three aerosol types (e.g. Gobbi et al., 2004),  $\sigma_{\text{ext}}$  data corresponding to differ-

---

**Aerosol seasonal  
variability over the  
Mediterranean region**F. Barnaba and G. P.  
Gobbi

---

[Title Page](#)[Abstract](#)[Introduction](#)[Conclusions](#)[References](#)[Tables](#)[Figures](#)[I◀](#)[▶I](#)[◀](#)[▶](#)[Back](#)[Close](#)[Full Screen / Esc](#)[Print Version](#)[Interactive Discussion](#)

ent altitude ranges of the tropospheric lidar profile were considered, i.e.  $0 < z < 4$  km for continental aerosol,  $2 \text{ km} < z < 6$  km for desert dust aerosol and  $0 \text{ km} < z < 2$  km for maritime aerosol. Overall, a total of 17436, 1586, and 1332  $\sigma_{\text{ext}}$  observations were employed for continental, desert dust and maritime aerosol, respectively. The resulting  $\sigma_{\text{ext}}$  frequency distributions for the three aerosol types are shown in the histograms of Fig. 14. As can be observed, due to the particles larger size, higher  $\sigma_{\text{ext}}$  values are generally registered in desert dust (Fig. 14b) and maritime (Fig. 14c) conditions with respect to the continental case (Fig. 14a). Note however the larger width of the dust  $\sigma_{\text{ext}}$  frequency spectra with respect to the maritime case.

Combining results of Fig. 13 with data of Fig. 14, mean  $\alpha^*$  values for the three aerosol types were derived as  $\alpha^* = [\sum_{\text{bin}} (\alpha_{\text{bin}}^* \cdot \text{Observations}_{\text{bin}}) / \sum_{\text{bin}} \text{Observations}_{\text{bin}}]$ . These are  $\alpha_{\text{cont}}^* = 5.6$ ,  $\alpha_{\text{dust}}^* = 3.4$  and  $\alpha_{\text{mar}}^* = 3.7$  for continental, desert dust and maritime aerosol respectively. From these  $\alpha^*$  values (as mentioned referred to  $\rho = 1$ ), the three mass-to-extinction efficiencies were finally obtained as  $\alpha_{\text{cont}} = 2.8$ ,  $\alpha_{\text{dust}} = 1.36$  and  $\alpha_{\text{mar}} = 1.85$  considering a particle density  $\rho = 2.0 \text{ g/cm}^3$  for both continental and maritime aerosols and  $\rho = 2.5 \text{ g/cm}^3$  for desert dust).

## Appendix B: Acronyms

ADEOS	Advanced Earth Observing Satellite
AERONET	Aerosol Robotic Network
ATSR-2	Along Track Scanning Radiometer 2
CIRES	Cooperative Institute for Research in Environmental Sciences
EOS	Earth Observing System
ERS2	European Remote Sensing Satellite 2
ESA	European Space Agency
FORTH	Foundation for Research and Technology – Hellas
IMS – METU	Institute of Marine Sciences – Middle East Technical University
MISR	Multangle Imaging Spectro Radiometer
MODIS	Moderate-resolution Imaging Spectroradiometer
NASA	National Aeronautics and Space Administration
NCEP	National Centers for Environmental Prediction
NCAR	National Center for Atmospheric Research
NOAA	National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration
POLDER	Polarization and Directionality of the Earth Reflectance
SeaWiFS	Sea-viewing Wide Field-of-view Sensor

*Acknowledgements.* The authors would like to thank the MODIS Science Data Support Team and the Earth Observing System Data Gateway for processing and distribution of MODIS data, respectively. We gratefully acknowledge 1) the SeaWiFS Project (Code 970.2) – NASA Goddard Space Flight Center (Greenbelt, Maryland, USA) for providing the true colors images via the website [http://seawifs.gsfc.nasa.gov/cgi/seawifs\\_subreg.pl](http://seawifs.gsfc.nasa.gov/cgi/seawifs_subreg.pl), and 2) the NOAA-CIRES Climate Diagnostics Center (Boulder, Colorado, USA) for providing the wind field images via the web site <http://www.cdc.noaa.gov>.

F. Barnaba performed this study in the framework of the GASTRAN project funded by the Italian Space Agency (ASI).

## Aerosol seasonal variability over the Mediterranean region

F. Barnaba and G. P. Gobbi

Title Page

Abstract

Introduction

Conclusions

References

Tables

Figures

◀

▶

◀

▶

Back

Close

Full Screen / Esc

Print Version

Interactive Discussion

## References

- Avila, A., Alarcon, M., and Queralt, I.: The chemical composition of dust transported in red rains – its contribution to the biogeochemical cycle of a holm oak forest in Catalonia (Spain), *Atmos. Environ.*, 32, 179–191, 1998.
- 5 Barnaba, F. and Gobbi G. P.: Lidar estimation of tropospheric aerosol extinction, surface area and volume: Maritime and desert-dust cases, *J. Geophys. Res.*, 106-D3, 3005–3018, 2001.
- Barnaba, F. and Gobbi, G. P.: Modeling the aerosol extinction versus backscatter relationship for lidar applications: maritime and continental conditions, *J. Atmosp. Oc.*, 21, 428–442, 2004.
- 10 Bergametti, G., Dutot, A.-L., Buat-Menard, P., Losno, R., and Remoudaki, E.: Seasonal Variability of the Elemental Composition of Atmospheric Aerosol over the Northwestern Mediterranean, *Tellus, B*, 41, 353–361, 1989.
- Cachorro, V. E. and Tanré, D.: The correlation between particle mass loading and extinction: application to desert dust aerosol content estimation, *Remot. Sen. E.*, 60, 187–194, 1997.
- 15 Collaud Coen, M., Weingartner, E., Schaub, D., Hueglin, C., Corrigan, C., Schwikowski, M., and Baltensperger, U.: Saharan dust events at the Jungfraujoch: detection by wavelength dependence of the single scattering albedo and analysis of the events during the years 2001 and 2002, *Atmos. Chem. Phys. Discuss.*, 3, 5547–5594, 2003.
- Chu, D. A., Kaufman, Y. J., Ichoku, C., Remer, L. A., Tanré, D., and Holben, B. N.: Validation of MODIS aerosol optical depth retrieval over land, *Geophys. Res. Lett.*, 29, 12, doi:10.1029/2001GL013205, 2002.
- 20 Chu, D. A., Kaufman, Y. J., Zibordi, G., Chern, J. D., Mao, J., Li, C., and Holben, B. N.: Global monitoring of air pollution over land from the Earth Observing System-Terra Moderate Resolution Imaging Spectroradiometer (MODIS), *J. Geophys. Res.*, 108, 4661, doi:10.1029/2002JD003179, 2003.
- 25 De Tomasi, F., Blanco, A., and Perrone, M. R.: Raman lidar monitoring of extinction and backscattering of Africa dust layers and dust characterization, *Appl. Optics*, 42, 1699–1709, 2003.
- Di Sarra, A., Di Iorio, T., Cacciani, M., Fiocco, G., and Fuà, D.: Saharan dust profiles measured by lidar at Lampedusa, *J. Geophys. Res.*, 106, 10335–10348, 2001.
- 30 Dulac, F., Tanré, D., Bergametti, G., Buat-Ménard, P., Desbois, M., Sutton, D.: Assessment of the african airborne dust mass over the western Mediterranean Sea using meteosat data, *J.*

---

## Aerosol seasonal variability over the Mediterranean region

F. Barnaba and G. P. Gobbi

---

Title Page

Abstract

Introduction

Conclusions

References

Tables

Figures

◀

▶

◀

▶

Back

Close

Full Screen / Esc

Print Version

Interactive Discussion

---

**Aerosol seasonal  
variability over the  
Mediterranean region**

---

F. Barnaba and G. P.  
Gobbi

---

[Title Page](#)[Abstract](#)[Introduction](#)[Conclusions](#)[References](#)[Tables](#)[Figures](#)[◀](#)[▶](#)[◀](#)[▶](#)[Back](#)[Close](#)[Full Screen / Esc](#)[Print Version](#)[Interactive Discussion](#)

Geophys. Res., 97, 2489–2506, 1992.

Dulac, F. and Chazette, P.: Airborne study of a multy-layer aerosol structure in the eastern Mediterranean observed with the airborne polarized lidar ALEX during a STAAARTE campaign (7 June 1997), Atmos. Chem. Phys., 3, 1817–1831, 2003.

5 Dubovik, O., Holben, B. N., Eck, T. F., Smirnov, A., Kaufman, Y. J., King, M.D., Tarré, D., and Slutsker, I.: Variability of absorption and optical properties of key aerosol types observed in worldwide locations, J. Atmos. Sci., 59, 590–608, 2002.

Duncan, B. N. and Bey, I.: A modeling study of the export pathways of pollution from Europe: Seasonal and interannual variations (1987–1997), J. Geophys. Res., 109, D08301, doi:10.1029/2003JD004079, 2004.

10 Gobbi, G. P., Barnaba, F., Giorgi, R., and Santacasa, A.: Altitude-resolved properties of a Saharan-Dust event over the Mediterranean, Atmos. Environ., 34, 5119–5127, 2000.

Gobbi, G. P., Barnaba, F., and Ammannato, L.: The vertical distribution of aerosol, Saharan dust and cirrus clouds in Rome (Italy) in the year 2001, Atmos. Chem. Phys., 4, 351–359, 2004.

15 Hamonou, E., Chazette, P., Balis, D., Dulac, F., Schneider, X., Galani, E., Ancellet, G., and Papayannis, A.: Characterization of the vertical structure of Saharan dust export to the Mediterranean basin, J. Geophys. Res., 104, 22 257–22 270, 1999.

Israelevich, P. L., Levin, Z., Joseph, J. H., and Ganor, E.: Desert aerosol transport in the Mediterranean region as inferred from the TOMS aerosol index, J. Geophys. Res., doi:10.1029/2001JD002011, 2002.

20 Kalnay, E., Kanamitsu, M., Kistler, R., Collins, W., Deaven, D., Gandin, L., Iredell, M., Saha, S., White, G., Woollen, J., Zhu, Y., Leetmaa, A., Reynolds, B., Chelliah, M., Ebisuzaki, W., Higgins, W., Janowiak, J., Mo, K. C., Ropelewski, C., Wang, J., Jenne, R., and Joseph, D.: The NCEP/NCAR 40-Year Reanalysis Project, Bull. Amer. Meteor. Soc., 77, 437–472, 1996.

25 Kaufman Y. J. and Tarré, D.: Algorithm for remote sensing of tropospheric aerosol from MODIS, Algorithm Theoretical Basis Documents (ATBD-MOD-02), 85, 1998.

Kaufman, Y. J., Tarré, D., Remer, L. A., Vermote, E. F., Chu, A., and Holben, B. N.: Operational remote sensing of tropospheric aerosol over land from EOS Moderate-resolution Imaging Spectroradiometer, J. Geophys. Res., 102, 17 051–17 065, 1997.

30 Kaufman, Y. J., Tarré, D., and Boucher, O.: A satellite view of aerosols in the climate system, Nature, 419, 215–223, 2002.

King, M. D., Kaufman, Y. J., Tarré, D., and Nakajima, T.: Remote sensing of tropospheric

aerosol from space: past, present and future, *Bull. Amer. Meteor. Soc.*, 80, 2229–2259, 1999.

Kubilay, N., Nickovic, S., Moulin, C., and Dulac, F.: An illustration of the transport and deposition of mineral dust onto the eastern Mediterranean, *Atmos. Environ.*, 34, 1293–1303, 2000.

5 Lelieveld, J., Berresheim, H., Borrmann, S., Crutzen, P. J., Dentener, F. J., Fischer, H. Feichter, J., Flatau, P. J., Heland, J., Holzinger, R., Korrmann, R., Lawrence, M. G., Levin, Z., Markowicz, K. M., Mihalopoulos, N., Minikin, A., Ramanathan, V., de Reus, M., Roelofs, G. J., Scheeren, H. A., Sciare, J., Schlager, H., Schultz, M., Siegmund, P., Steil, B., Stephanou, E. G., Stier, P., Traub, M., Warneke, C., Williams, J., and Ziereis, H.: Global air pollution  
10 crossroads over the Mediterranean, *Science*, 298, 794–799, 2002.

Levy, R.C., Remer, L. A., Tanré, D., Kaufman, Y. J., Ichoku, C., Holbe, B. N., Livingston, J. M., Russel, P. B., and Maring, H., Evaluation of the Moderate-Resolution Imaging Spectroradiometer (MODIS) retrievals of dust aerosol over the ocean during PRIDE, *J. Geophys. Res.*, 108, doi:10.1029/2002JD002460, 2003.

15 Liu, Y., Sarnat, J. A., Coull, B. A., Koutrakis, P., Jacob, D. J.: Validation of Multiangle Imaging Spectroradiometer (MISR) aerosol optical thickness measurements using Aerosol Robotic Network (AERONET) observations over the contiguous United States, *J. Geophys. Res.*, 109, doi:10.1029/2003JD003981, 2004.

Loye-Pilot, M. D. and Martin, J. M.: Saharan dust input to the western Mediterranean: An eleven years record in Corsica, in “The Impact of Desert Dust Across the Mediterranean”, edited by S. Guerzoni and R. Chester, 191–200, Kluwer Acad., Norwell, Mass., 1996.

20 Mariotti, A., Struglia, M. V., Zeng, N., and Lau, K. -M.: The hydrological cycle in the Mediterranean region and implications for the water budget of the Mediterranean Sea, *J. Climate.*, 15, 1674–1690, 2002.

25 Molinaroli, E., Guerzoni, S., and Rampazzo, G.: Contribution of Saharan dust to the Central Mediterranean basin, in Processes controlling the composition of clastic sediments, edited by Johnsson, M. J. and Basu, A., Boulder, Colorado, Geological Society of America, Paper 284, 1993.

Moulin, C., Guillard, F., Dulac, F., and Lambert, C. E.: Long-term daily monitoring of Saharan dust load over ocean using Meteosat ISCCP-B2 data 1. Methodology and preliminary results for 1983–1994 in the Mediterranean, *J. Geophys. Res.*, 102, 16 947–16 958, 1997.

30 Moulin, C., Lambert, C., Dayan, U., Masson, V., Ramonet, M., Bousquet, P., Legrand, M., Balkanski, Y., Guelle, W., Marticorena, B., Bergametti, G., and Dulac, F.: Satellite climatology

---

**Aerosol seasonal variability over the Mediterranean region**

F. Barnaba and G. P. Gobbi

---

Title Page

Abstract

Introduction

Conclusions

References

Tables

Figures

◀

▶

◀

▶

Back

Close

Full Screen / Esc

Print Version

Interactive Discussion

---

**Aerosol seasonal  
variability over the  
Mediterranean region**F. Barnaba and G. P.  
Gobbi

---

[Title Page](#)[Abstract](#)[Introduction](#)[Conclusions](#)[References](#)[Tables](#)[Figures](#)[◀](#)[▶](#)[◀](#)[▶](#)[Back](#)[Close](#)[Full Screen / Esc](#)[Print Version](#)[Interactive Discussion](#)

of African dust transport in the Mediterranean atmosphere, *J. Geophys. Res.*, 103, 13 137–13 144, 1998.

Muller, D., Mattis, I., Wandinger, U., Ansmann, A., Althausen, D., Dubovik, O., Eckhardt S., and Stohl, A.: Saharan dust over a central European EARLINET\_AERONET site: Combined observations with Raman lidar and Sun photometer, *J. Geophys. Res.*, 108, 4345, doi:10.1029/2002JD002918, 2003.

Penner, J. E., Andreae, M., Annegarn, H., Barrie, L., Feichter, J., Hegg, D., Jayaraman, A., Leaitch, R., Murphy, D., Nganga, J., and Pitari, G.: Aerosols, their Direct and Indirect Effects, In *Climate Change 2001: The Scientific Basis*, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, UK, 2001.

Putaud, J.-P., Raes, F., Van Dingenen, R., Brüggemann, E., Facchini, M. C., Decesari, S., Fuzzi, S., Gehrig, R., Hüglin, C., Laj, P., Lorbeer, G., Maenhaut, W., Mihalopoulos, N., Müller, K., Querol, X., Rodriguez, S., Schneider, J., Spindler, G., ten Brink, H., Torseth, K., and Wiedensohler, A.: A European aerosol phenomenology-2: chemical characteristics of particulate matter at kerbside, urban, rural and background sites in Europe, *Atmos. Environ.*, 38, 2579–2595, 2004.

Remer, L. A. and Kaufman, Y. J.: Dynamic aerosol model: Urban/Industrial aerosol, *J. Geophys. Res.*, 103, 13 859–13 871, 1998.

Remer L. A., Tanré, D., Kaufmann, Y. J., Ichoku, C., Mattoo, S., Levy, R., Chu, D. A., Holben, B., Dubovik, O., Smirnov, A., Martins, J. V., Li, R.-R., and Ahmad, Z.: Validation of MODIS aerosol retrieval over ocean, *Geophys. Res. Lett.*, 29 12, doi:10.1029/2001GL013204, 2002.

Robles Gonzales, C., Schaap, M., de Leeuw, G., Buitjes, P. J. H., and van Loon, M.: Spatial variation of aerosol properties over Europe derived from satellite observations and comparison with model calculations, *Atmos. Chem. Phys.*, 3, 521–533, 2003.

Schaap, M., van Loon, M., ten Brink, H. M., Dentener, F. J., and Buitjes, P. J. H.: Secondary inorganic aerosol simulations for Europe with special attention to nitrate, *Atmos. Chem. Phys.*, 4, 857–874, 2004.

Sciare, J., Bardouki, H., Moulin, C., and Mihalopoulos, N.: Aerosol sources and their contribution to the chemical composition of aerosols in the Eastern Mediterranean Sea during summertime, *Atmos. Chem. Phys.*, 3, 291–302, 2003a.

Sciare, J., Cachier, H., Oikonomou, K., Ausset, P., Sarda-Estève, R., and Mihalopoulos, N.: Characterization of carbonaceous aerosols during the MINOS campaign in Crete, July–August 2001: a multi-analytical approach, *Atmos. Chem. Phys.*, 3, 1743–1757, 2003b.



---

**Aerosol seasonal  
variability over the  
Mediterranean region**

F. Barnaba and G. P.  
Gobbi

---

[Title Page](#)[Abstract](#)[Introduction](#)[Conclusions](#)[References](#)[Tables](#)[Figures](#)[◀](#)[▶](#)[◀](#)[▶](#)[Back](#)[Close](#)[Full Screen / Esc](#)[Print Version](#)[Interactive Discussion](#)

Smirnov, A., Yershov, O., and Villevalde, Y.: Measurement of aerosol optical depth in the Atlantic and Mediterranean Sea, SPIE Proceedings, 2582, 203–214, 1995.

Smirnov, A., Holben, B. N., Kaufman, Y. J., Dubovik, O., Eck, T. F., Slutsker, I., Pietras, C., and Halthore, R. N.: Optical properties of atmospheric aerosol in maritime environments, J. Atmos. Sci., 59, 501–523, 2002.

Stohl, A., Eckhardt, S., Forster, C., James, P., and Spichtinger, N.: On the pathways and timescales of intercontinental air pollution transport, J. Geophys. Res., 107, 4684, doi:10.1029/2001JD001396, 2002.

Tanré, D., Bréon, F. M., Deuzé, J. L., Herman, M., Goloub, P., Nadal, F., and Marchand, A.: Global observations of anthropogenic aerosols from satellite, Geophys. Res. Lett., 28, 4555–4558, 2001.

Van Dingenen, R., Raes, F., Putaud, J.-P., Baltensperger, U., Charron, A., Facchini, M. C., Decesari, S., Fuzzi, S., Gehrig, R., Hansson, H.-C., Harrison, R. M., Hüglin, C., Jones, A. M., Laj, P., Lorbeer, G., Maenhaut, W., Palmgren, F., Querol, X., Rodriguez, S., Schneider, J., ten Brink, H., Tunved, P., Torseth, K., Wehner, B., Weingartner, E., Wiedensohler, A., and Wahlin, P.: A European aerosol phenomenology – 1: physical characteristics of particulate matter at kerbside, urban, rural and background sites in Europe, Atmos. Environ., 38, 2561–2577, 2004.

Wang, J. and Christopher, S. A.: Intercomparison between satellite-derived aerosol optical thickness and PM<sub>2.5</sub> mass: Implications for air quality studies, Geophys. Res. Lett., 30, 2095, doi:10.1029/2003GL18174, 2003.

**Table 1.** Synopsis of the whole set of variables employed to describe the variability of the aerosol optical thickness.

Variable	Definition	Description
$AOT_S$	$AOT_S = \frac{1}{N_S} \sum_{j=1}^{N_S} AOT_j$	Pixel ( $0.1^\circ \times 0.1^\circ$ ) seasonal average AOT. $N_S$ is the pixel total number of AOT retrievals ( $AOT_j$ ) in the season $S$ .
$AOT_{S,type}$	$AOT_{S,type} = \frac{1}{N_{S,type}} \sum_{j=1}^{N_{S,type}} AOT_{type,j}$	Pixel ( $0.1^\circ \times 0.1^\circ$ ) seasonal average AOT associated to each aerosol type. $N_{S,type}$ is the pixel total number of $AOT_j$ classified as “type” (i.e. $AOT_{type,j}$ ) during the season $S$ .
$AOT_{S,type}^*$	$AOT_{S,type}^* = f_{S,type} \cdot AOT_{S,type}$	Mean seasonal contribution of each aerosol type to the pixel $AOT_S$ . For each pixel, it is $AOT_S = AOT_{S,cont} + AOT_{S,mar} + AOT_{S,dust}$ being $f_{S,type} = N_{S,type} / N_S$ (with $f_{S,cont} + f_{S,mar} + f_{S,dust} = 1$ ).
<b>Analysis by Sectors of the Mediterranean basin (e.g. Sect. 3.1)</b>		
$AOT_{S,SEC}$	$AOT_{S,SEC} = \frac{1}{P_{SEC}} \sum_{P_k=1}^{P_{SEC}} AOT_{S,P_k}$	Sector (SEC) seasonal (S) average AOT. $P_k$ is the $k^{th}$ pixel in sector SEC; $P_{SEC}$ is the total number of pixels in SEC.
$AOT_{S,SEC,type}$	$AOT_{S,SEC,type} = \frac{1}{P_{SEC}} \sum_{P_k=1}^{P_{type,SEC}} AOT_{S,type,P_k}$	Sector (SEC) seasonal (S) average AOT associated to each aerosol type. $P_k$ is the $k^{th}$ pixel in sector SEC; $P_{type,SEC}$ is the total number of pixels in SEC in which the parameter $AOT_{S,type}$ is defined; $P_{SEC}$ is the total number of pixels in the sector SEC (independent from aerosol type).
$AOT_{S,SEC,type}^*$	$AOT_{S,SEC,type}^* = \frac{1}{P_{SEC}} \sum_{P_k=1}^{P_{type,SEC}} AOT_{S,type,P_k}^*$	Mean seasonal (S) contribution of each aerosol type to the sector $AOT_{S,SEC}$ . $P_k$ is the $k^{th}$ pixel in SEC; $P_{type,SEC}$ is the total number of pixels in SEC in which the parameter $AOT_{S,type}$ (and thus $AOT_{S,type}^*$ ) is defined; $P_{SEC}$ is the number of pixels in SEC. For each sector it is $AOT_{S,SEC} = AOT_{S,SEC,cont} + AOT_{S,SEC,mar} + AOT_{S,SEC,dust}$
$AOT_{S,type \text{ in SEC}}$	$AOT_{S,type \text{ in SEC}} = \frac{1}{P_{type,SEC}} \sum_{P_k=1}^{P_{type,SEC}} AOT_{S,type,P_k}$	Seasonal (S) average AOT associated to each aerosol type averaged regionally only over those pixels in sector SEC where the aerosol type is detected ( $P_{type,SEC}$ ). Being $P_{type,SEC} \leq P_{SEC}$ , it is $AOT_{S,type \text{ in SEC}} \neq AOT_{S,SEC,type}$ .

**Aerosol seasonal variability over the Mediterranean region**

F. Barnaba and G. P. Gobbi

Title Page

Abstract Introduction

Conclusions References

Tables Figures

◀ ▶

◀ ▶

Back Close

Full Screen / Esc

Print Version

Interactive Discussion

**Table 2. (a)** Winter  $AOT_{S,SEC,type}$  and  $AOT_{S,SEC,type}^*$  averages ( $S=DJF$ ) and relevant standard deviations (s.d.) computed for the ten sectors of Fig. 10 and for the three aerosol types as indicated in Appendix A. For completeness, the  $AOT_{S,type\ in\ SEC}$  values corresponding to the  $AOT_{S,SEC,type}$  ones are also reported. Moreover, being the mean seasonal AOT in each sector given by  $AOT_{S,SEC}=AOT_{S,SEC,cont}^*+AOT_{S,SEC,mar}^*+AOT_{S,SEC,dust}^*$ , the values  $AOT_{S,SEC,type}^*/AOT_{S,SEC}$  (in percent) are also reported in the table.

Sector	$AOT_{DJF,SEC,type} \pm s.d.$ ( $AOT_{DJF,type\ in\ SEC} \pm s.d.$ )			$AOT_{DJF,SEC,type}^* \pm s.d.$ ( $AOT_{DJF,SEC,type}^*/AOT_{DJF,SEC}$ ) in %			$AOT_{DJF,SEC} \pm s.d.$
	dust	continental	maritime	dust	continental	maritime	
1	0.32 ± 0.09 (0.41 ± 0.10)	0.13 ± 0.05 (0.13 ± 0.06)	0.12 ± 0.02 (0.12 ± 0.02)	0.03 ± 0.01 (22%)	0.02 ± 0.01 (14%)	0.09 ± 0.02 (64%)	0.14 ± 0.02
2	0.27 ± 0.14 (0.45 ± 0.18)	0.14 ± 0.06 (0.15 ± 0.06)	0.11 ± 0.02 (0.11 ± 0.02)	0.02 ± 0.02 (15%)	0.04 ± 0.02 (31%)	0.07 ± 0.02 (54%)	0.13 ± 0.04
3	0.32 ± 0.21 (0.50 ± 0.26)	0.11 ± 0.08 (0.11 ± 0.08)	0.11 ± 0.02 (0.11 ± 0.02)	0.02 ± 0.02 (17%)	0.02 ± 0.01 (17%)	0.08 ± 0.02 (66%)	0.12 ± 0.03
4	0.30 ± 0.15 (0.47 ± 0.19)	0.14 ± 0.06 (0.15 ± 0.06)	0.12 ± 0.02 (0.12 ± 0.02)	0.02 ± 0.02 (14%)	0.03 ± 0.01 (22%)	0.09 ± 0.02 (64%)	0.14 ± 0.02
5	0.18 ± 0.07 (0.44 ± 0.11)	0.13 ± 0.05 (0.14 ± 0.06)	0.11 ± 0.04 (0.12 ± 0.04)	0.02 ± 0.03 (16%)	0.05 ± 0.03 (42%)	0.05 ± 0.03 (42%)	0.12 ± 0.05
6	0.43 ± 0.25 (0.58 ± 0.29)	0.08 ± 0.05 (0.08 ± 0.05)	0.11 ± 0.02 (0.11 ± 0.02)	0.03 ± 0.03 (25%)	0.02 ± 0.01 (17%)	0.07 ± 0.02 (58%)	0.12 ± 0.04
7	0.30 ± 0.12 (0.44 ± 0.15)	0.14 ± 0.08 (0.14 ± 0.08)	0.12 ± 0.02 (0.12 ± 0.02)	0.02 ± 0.02 (14%)	0.02 ± 0.02 (14%)	0.10 ± 0.02 (72%)	0.14 ± 0.02
8	0.23 ± 0.18 (0.53 ± 0.26)	0.10 ± 0.04 (0.11 ± 0.04)	0.12 ± 0.02 (0.12 ± 0.02)	0.02 ± 0.04 (17%)	0.03 ± 0.02 (25%)	0.07 ± 0.03 (58%)	0.12 ± 0.05
9	0.31 ± 0.10 (0.42 ± 0.12)	0.08 ± 0.07 (0.10 ± 0.08)	0.11 ± 0.01 (0.11 ± 0.01)	0.02 ± 0.01 (15%)	0.01 ± 0.01 (8%)	0.10 ± 0.01 (77%)	0.13 ± 0.02
10	0.37 ± 0.28 (0.59 ± 0.36)	0.10 ± 0.04 (0.10 ± 0.04)	0.13 ± 0.02 (0.13 ± 0.02)	0.03 ± 0.03 (22%)	0.02 ± 0.02 (14%)	0.09 ± 0.02 (64%)	0.14 ± 0.04

## Aerosol seasonal variability over the Mediterranean region

F. Barnaba and G. P. Gobbi

Title Page

Abstract

Introduction

Conclusions

References

Tables

Figures

◀

▶

◀

▶

Back

Close

Full Screen / Esc

Print Version

Interactive Discussion

## Aerosol seasonal variability over the Mediterranean region

F. Barnaba and G. P. Gobbi

**Table 2. (b)** As in Table 2a but for the spring season ( $S=MAM$ ).

Sector	AOT <sub>MAM, SEC, type</sub> ± s. d. (AOT <sub>MAM, type in SEC</sub> ± s. d.)			AOT <sub>MAM, SEC, type</sub> ± s. d. (AOT <sub>MAM, SEC, type</sub> /AOT <sub>MAM, SEC</sub> ) in %			AOT <sub>MAM, SEC</sub> ± s. d.
	dust	continental	maritime	dust	continental	maritime	
1	0.30 ± 0.12 (0.40 ± 0.14)	0.14 ± 0.04 (0.14 ± 0.04)	0.17 ± 0.03 (0.17 ± 0.03)	0.03 ± 0.02 (18%)	0.06 ± 0.03 (35%)	0.08 ± 0.03 (47%)	0.17 ± 0.03
2	0.35 ± 0.28 (0.55 ± 0.35)	0.16 ± 0.05 (0.16 ± 0.05)	0.15 ± 0.03 (0.16 ± 0.03)	0.04 ± 0.04 (22%)	0.08 ± 0.04 (44%)	0.06 ± 0.03 (34%)	0.18 ± 0.05
3	0.34 ± 0.15 (0.46 ± 0.17)	0.12 ± 0.03 (0.12 ± 0.03)	0.16 ± 0.03 (0.16 ± 0.03)	0.04 ± 0.03 (24%)	0.05 ± 0.02 (29%)	0.08 ± 0.03 (47%)	0.17 ± 0.03
4	0.56 ± 0.28 (0.65 ± 0.30)	0.16 ± 0.05 (0.16 ± 0.05)	0.16 ± 0.03 (0.17 ± 0.03)	0.09 ± 0.07 (41%)	0.06 ± 0.03 (27%)	0.07 ± 0.02 (32%)	0.22 ± 0.07
5	0.30 ± 0.11 (0.51 ± 0.14)	0.15 ± 0.11 (0.16 ± 0.11)	0.13 ± 0.04 (0.17 ± 0.05)	0.05 ± 0.04 (29%)	0.08 ± 0.10 (47%)	0.04 ± 0.02 (24%)	0.17 ± 0.10
6	0.36 ± 0.12 (0.46 ± 0.14)	0.12 ± 0.04 (0.13 ± 0.04)	0.16 ± 0.02 (0.16 ± 0.03)	0.06 ± 0.04 (33%)	0.04 ± 0.02 (22%)	0.08 ± 0.03 (45%)	0.18 ± 0.04
7	0.54 ± 0.18 (0.57 ± 0.18)	0.15 ± 0.05 (0.15 ± 0.05)	0.16 ± 0.02 (0.17 ± 0.02)	0.12 ± 0.06 (48%)	0.05 ± 0.02 (20%)	0.08 ± 0.02 (32%)	0.25 ± 0.06
8	0.47 ± 0.20 (0.55 ± 0.22)	0.12 ± 0.05 (0.14 ± 0.06)	0.15 ± 0.03 (0.17 ± 0.03)	0.12 ± 0.11 (52%)	0.04 ± 0.03 (17%)	0.07 ± 0.03 (31%)	0.23 ± 0.11
9	0.63 ± 0.28 (0.65 ± 0.28)	0.14 ± 0.05 (0.15 ± 0.05)	0.16 ± 0.02 (0.16 ± 0.02)	0.17 ± 0.10 (61%)	0.03 ± 0.02 (11%)	0.08 ± 0.02 (28%)	0.28 ± 0.09
10	0.54 ± 0.31 (0.61 ± 0.33)	0.10 ± 0.06 (0.13 ± 0.07)	0.16 ± 0.03 (0.17 ± 0.03)	0.14 ± 0.13 (54%)	0.02 ± 0.02 (8%)	0.10 ± 0.03 (38%)	0.26 ± 0.12

[Title Page](#)
[Abstract](#)
[Introduction](#)
[Conclusions](#)
[References](#)
[Tables](#)
[Figures](#)
[⏪](#)
[⏩](#)
[◀](#)
[▶](#)
[Back](#)
[Close](#)
[Full Screen / Esc](#)
[Print Version](#)
[Interactive Discussion](#)

## Aerosol seasonal variability over the Mediterranean region

F. Barnaba and G. P. Gobbi

**Table 2. (c)** As in Table 2a but for the summer season ( $S=JJA$ ).

Sector	AOT <sub>JJA, SEC, type ± s. d.</sub> (AOT <sub>JJA, type in SEC ± s. d.</sub> )			AOT <sub>JJA, SEC, type ± s. d.</sub> (AOT <sub>JJA, SEC, type</sub> /AOT <sub>JJA, SEC</sub> ) in %			AOT <sub>JJA, SEC</sub> ± s. d.
	dust	continental	maritime	dust	continental	maritime	
1	0.40 ± 0.14 (0.52 ± 0.16)	0.22 ± 0.06 (0.22 ± 0.06)	0.15 ± 0.03 (0.15 ± 0.03)	0.05 ± 0.04 (24%)	0.09 ± 0.04 (43%)	0.07 ± 0.02 (33%)	0.21 ± 0.04
2	0.30 ± 0.23 (0.59 ± 0.33)	0.22 ± 0.06 (0.23 ± 0.06)	0.12 ± 0.04 (0.14 ± 0.04)	0.03 ± 0.04 (15%)	0.13 ± 0.06 (65%)	0.04 ± 0.02 (20%)	0.20 ± 0.05
3	0.44 ± 0.12 (0.49 ± 0.13)	0.20 ± 0.05 (0.20 ± 0.05)	0.16 ± 0.03 (0.16 ± 0.03)	0.10 ± 0.06 (42%)	0.07 ± 0.04 (29%)	0.07 ± 0.02 (29%)	0.24 ± 0.05
4	0.44 ± 0.16 (0.55 ± 0.18)	0.20 ± 0.06 (0.21 ± 0.06)	0.13 ± 0.03 (0.14 ± 0.03)	0.06 ± 0.04 (28%)	0.10 ± 0.05 (48%)	0.05 ± 0.02 (24%)	0.21 ± 0.05
5	0.06 ± 0.03 (0.39 ± 0.07)	0.25 ± 0.06 (0.28 ± 0.06)	0.13 ± 0.04 (0.16 ± 0.04)	0.01 ± 0.01 (5%)	0.15 ± 0.07 (75%)	0.04 ± 0.02 (20%)	0.20 ± 0.06
6	0.54 ± 0.15 (0.59 ± 0.16)	0.17 ± 0.08 (0.20 ± 0.09)	0.15 ± 0.04 (0.15 ± 0.04)	0.18 ± 0.09 (64%)	0.03 ± 0.02 (11%)	0.07 ± 0.03 (25%)	0.28 ± 0.08
7	0.48 ± 0.12 (0.53 ± 0.12)	0.21 ± 0.05 (0.21 ± 0.05)	0.16 ± 0.03 (0.16 ± 0.03)	0.10 ± 0.06 (42%)	0.07 ± 0.04 (29%)	0.07 ± 0.02 (29%)	0.24 ± 0.04
8	0.20 ± 0.07 (0.41 ± 0.10)	0.22 ± 0.07 (0.28 ± 0.08)	0.15 ± 0.04 (0.17 ± 0.04)	0.03 ± 0.03 (15%)	0.09 ± 0.06 (45%)	0.08 ± 0.04 (40%)	0.20 ± 0.05
9	0.47 ± 0.12 (0.49 ± 0.13)	0.19 ± 0.04 (0.20 ± 0.05)	0.17 ± 0.02 (0.17 ± 0.02)	0.10 ± 0.06 (43%)	0.05 ± 0.03 (22%)	0.08 ± 0.03 (35%)	0.23 ± 0.05
10	0.27 ± 0.13 (0.41 ± 0.16)	0.13 ± 0.07 (0.20 ± 0.08)	0.17 ± 0.03 (0.18 ± 0.03)	0.05 ± 0.05 (25%)	0.03 ± 0.03 (15%)	0.12 ± 0.04 (60%)	0.20 ± 0.04

Title Page

Abstract

Introduction

Conclusions

References

Tables

Figures

⏪

⏩

◀

▶

Back

Close

Full Screen / Esc

Print Version

Interactive Discussion

## Aerosol seasonal variability over the Mediterranean region

F. Barnaba and G. P. Gobbi

**Table 2. (d)** As in Table 2a but for the fall season ( $S=SON$ ).

Sector	AOT <sub>JJA, SEC, type</sub> ± s. d. (AOT <sub>JJA, type in SEC</sub> ± s. d.)			AOT <sub>JJA, SEC, type</sub> ± s. d. (AOT <sub>JJA, SEC, type</sub> / AOT <sub>JJA, SEC</sub> ) in %			AOT <sub>JJA, SEC</sub> ± s. d.
	dust	continental	maritime	dust	continental	maritime	
1	0.40 ± 0.14 (0.52 ± 0.16)	0.22 ± 0.06 (0.22 ± 0.06)	0.15 ± 0.03 (0.15 ± 0.03)	0.05 ± 0.04 (24%)	0.09 ± 0.04 (43%)	0.07 ± 0.02 (33%)	0.21 ± 0.04
2	0.30 ± 0.23 (0.59 ± 0.33)	0.22 ± 0.06 (0.23 ± 0.06)	0.12 ± 0.04 (0.14 ± 0.04)	0.03 ± 0.04 (15%)	0.13 ± 0.06 (65%)	0.04 ± 0.02 (20%)	0.20 ± 0.05
3	0.44 ± 0.12 (0.49 ± 0.13)	0.20 ± 0.05 (0.20 ± 0.05)	0.16 ± 0.03 (0.16 ± 0.03)	0.10 ± 0.06 (42%)	0.07 ± 0.04 (29%)	0.07 ± 0.02 (29%)	0.24 ± 0.05
4	0.44 ± 0.16 (0.55 ± 0.18)	0.20 ± 0.06 (0.21 ± 0.06)	0.13 ± 0.03 (0.14 ± 0.03)	0.06 ± 0.04 (28%)	0.10 ± 0.05 (48%)	0.05 ± 0.02 (24%)	0.21 ± 0.05
5	0.06 ± 0.03 (0.39 ± 0.07)	0.25 ± 0.06 (0.28 ± 0.06)	0.13 ± 0.04 (0.16 ± 0.04)	0.01 ± 0.01 (5%)	0.15 ± 0.07 (75%)	0.04 ± 0.02 (20%)	0.20 ± 0.06
6	0.54 ± 0.15 (0.59 ± 0.16)	0.17 ± 0.08 (0.20 ± 0.09)	0.15 ± 0.04 (0.15 ± 0.04)	0.18 ± 0.09 (64%)	0.03 ± 0.02 (11%)	0.07 ± 0.03 (25%)	0.28 ± 0.08
7	0.48 ± 0.12 (0.53 ± 0.12)	0.21 ± 0.05 (0.21 ± 0.05)	0.16 ± 0.03 (0.16 ± 0.03)	0.10 ± 0.06 (42%)	0.07 ± 0.04 (29%)	0.07 ± 0.02 (29%)	0.24 ± 0.04
8	0.20 ± 0.07 (0.41 ± 0.10)	0.22 ± 0.07 (0.28 ± 0.08)	0.15 ± 0.04 (0.17 ± 0.04)	0.03 ± 0.03 (15%)	0.09 ± 0.06 (45%)	0.08 ± 0.04 (40%)	0.20 ± 0.05
9	0.47 ± 0.12 (0.49 ± 0.13)	0.19 ± 0.04 (0.20 ± 0.05)	0.17 ± 0.02 (0.17 ± 0.02)	0.10 ± 0.06 (43%)	0.05 ± 0.03 (22%)	0.08 ± 0.03 (35%)	0.23 ± 0.05
10	0.27 ± 0.13 (0.41 ± 0.16)	0.13 ± 0.07 (0.20 ± 0.08)	0.17 ± 0.03 (0.18 ± 0.03)	0.05 ± 0.05 (25%)	0.03 ± 0.03 (15%)	0.12 ± 0.04 (60%)	0.20 ± 0.04

Title Page

Abstract

Introduction

Conclusions

References

Tables

Figures

⏪

⏩

◀

▶

Back

Close

Full Screen / Esc

Print Version

Interactive Discussion


## Aerosol seasonal variability over the Mediterranean region

F. Barnaba and G. P. Gobbi

**Table 3.** Seasonal average values of the estimated daily atmospheric aerosol mass load (ktons/day) over the Mediterranean basin, for each aerosol type. The total value (ktons, last row) indicates the total suspended aerosol mass in the year 2001 (derived as  $\text{Total} = \text{Mean} \times 4 \times 90$ , being 4 the number of seasons per year and about 90 the number of days per season).

Season	Aerosol mass		
	Desert dust	Continental	Maritime
DJF	40	19	106
MAM	180	37	102
JJA	131	60	99
SON	126	15	136
Mean $\pm$ s. d.	119 $\pm$ 58	33 $\pm$ 21	111 $\pm$ 17
Total (year)	4.3E+04	1.2E+04	4.0E+04

[Title Page](#)
[Abstract](#)
[Introduction](#)
[Conclusions](#)
[References](#)
[Tables](#)
[Figures](#)
[I◀](#)
[▶I](#)
[◀](#)
[▶](#)
[Back](#)
[Close](#)
[Full Screen / Esc](#)
[Print Version](#)
[Interactive Discussion](#)

**Aerosol seasonal  
variability over the  
Mediterranean region**F. Barnaba and G. P.  
Gobbi

**Fig. 1.** Aerosol mask defining the regions corresponding to continental, desert dust and maritime aerosol (green, yellow and blue areas, respectively) in the Fine Fraction (FF) versus Aerosol Optical Thickness (AOT) space.


[Title Page](#)[Abstract](#)[Introduction](#)[Conclusions](#)[References](#)[Tables](#)[Figures](#)[◀](#)[▶](#)[◀](#)[▶](#)[Back](#)[Close](#)[Full Screen / Esc](#)[Print Version](#)[Interactive Discussion](#)



---

**Aerosol seasonal  
variability over the  
Mediterranean region**F. Barnaba and G. P.  
Gobbi

---




**Fig. 2.** (a) True color image (from SeaWiFS), (b) corresponding aerosol mask outcome from MODIS FF and AOT data (blue, green and yellow areas corresponding to maritime, continental and desert dust aerosol, respectively), and (c) mean daily composite wind fields (m/s) at 925, 850 and 700 mb (top, central and bottom panel, respectively) over the Mediterranean on 26 March 2001 (from NOAA-CIRES based on NCEP/NCAR reanalysis).

[Title Page](#)[Abstract](#)[Introduction](#)[Conclusions](#)[References](#)[Tables](#)[Figures](#)[◀](#)[▶](#)[◀](#)[▶](#)[Back](#)[Close](#)[Full Screen / Esc](#)[Print Version](#)[Interactive Discussion](#)

**Aerosol seasonal variability over the Mediterranean region**

F. Barnaba and G. P. Gobbi



**Fig. 3.** As in Fig. 2 but case of 27 March 2001.

Title Page

Abstract

Introduction

Conclusions

References

Tables

Figures

◀

▶

◀

▶

Back

Close


Full Screen / Esc

Print Version

Interactive Discussion

**Aerosol seasonal variability over the Mediterranean region**

F. Barnaba and G. P. Gobbi



**Fig. 4.** As in Fig. 2 but case of 1 August 2001.

Title Page

Abstract

Introduction

Conclusions

References

Tables

Figures

⏪

⏩

◀

▶


Back


Close

Full Screen / Esc

Print Version

Interactive Discussion

**Aerosol seasonal  
variability over the  
Mediterranean region**F. Barnaba and G. P.  
Gobbi**Fig. 5.** As in Fig. 2 but case of 13 October 2001.[Title Page](#)[Abstract](#)[Introduction](#)[Conclusions](#)[References](#)[Tables](#)[Figures](#)[◀](#)[▶](#)[◀](#)[▶](#)[Back](#)[Close](#)[Full Screen / Esc](#)[Print Version](#)[Interactive Discussion](#)

**Aerosol seasonal  
variability over the  
Mediterranean region**F. Barnaba and G. P.  
Gobbi

**Fig. 6.** (a) Winter (DJF) mean seasonal aerosol optical thickness at 550 nm ( $AOT_{DJF}$ , given over both land and ocean) and mean contribution to  $AOT_{DJF}$  of maritime (b), desert dust (c), and continental (d) aerosol over the basin ( $AOT_{DJF,mar}^*$ ,  $AOT_{DJF,dust}^*$  and  $AOT_{DJF,cont}^*$ , respectively, with  $AOT_{DJF} = AOT_{DJF,mar}^* + AOT_{DJF,dust}^* + AOT_{DJF,cont}^*$ ). Black regions in plot a) (missing data) correspond to bright surfaces (e.g. sand, snow) or areas with persistent cloud cover during the season.

Title Page

Abstract

Introduction

Conclusions

References

Tables

Figures

◀

▶

◀

▶


Back

Close

Full Screen / Esc

Print Version

Interactive Discussion

**Aerosol seasonal  
variability over the  
Mediterranean region**F. Barnaba and G. P.  
Gobbi

**Fig. 7.** As in Fig. 6 but for the Spring season (MAM).

Title Page

Abstract

Introduction

Conclusions

References

Tables

Figures

◀

▶

◀

▶


Back

Close

Full Screen / Esc

Print Version

Interactive Discussion

**Aerosol seasonal  
variability over the  
Mediterranean region**F. Barnaba and G. P.  
Gobbi

**Fig. 8.** As in Fig. 6 but for the Summer season (JJA).

Title Page

Abstract

Introduction

Conclusions

References

Tables

Figures

◀

▶

◀

▶


Back

Close

Full Screen / Esc

Print Version

Interactive Discussion

**Aerosol seasonal  
variability over the  
Mediterranean region**F. Barnaba and G. P.  
Gobbi


**Fig. 9.** As in Fig. 6 but for the Fall season (SON).

[Title Page](#)[Abstract](#)[Introduction](#)[Conclusions](#)[References](#)[Tables](#)[Figures](#)[◀](#)[▶](#)[◀](#)[▶](#)[Back](#)[Close](#)[Full Screen / Esc](#)[Print Version](#)[Interactive Discussion](#)




## Aerosol seasonal variability over the Mediterranean region

F. Barnaba and G. P. Gobbi



**Fig. 10.** The 10 sectors of the Mediterranean basin employed in the analysis.

[Title Page](#)[Abstract](#)[Introduction](#)[Conclusions](#)[References](#)[Tables](#)[Figures](#)[◀](#)[▶](#)[◀](#)[▶](#)[Back](#)[Close](#)[Full Screen / Esc](#)[Print Version](#)[Interactive Discussion](#)


**Aerosol seasonal  
variability over the  
Mediterranean region**F. Barnaba and G. P.  
Gobbi

**Fig. 11.** Mean seasonal FF vs. AOT values characterizing each aerosol type in the ten Mediterranean sectors of Fig. 10 (i.e.  $FF_{S,type \text{ in } SEC}$  vs.  $AOT_{S,type \text{ in } SEC}$  data). Blue, green and red points refer to maritime, continental and desert dust aerosol, respectively. Different symbols are used to indicate the different seasons (i.e. crosses, bullets, diamonds and squares refer to DJF, MAM, JJA and SON, respectively). The aerosol mask thresholds of Fig. 1 are also indicated in the graph.

[Title Page](#)[Abstract](#)[Introduction](#)[Conclusions](#)[References](#)[Tables](#)[Figures](#)[◀](#)[▶](#)[◀](#)[▶](#)[Back](#)[Close](#)[Full Screen / Esc](#)[Print Version](#)[Interactive Discussion](#)


## Aerosol seasonal variability over the Mediterranean region

F. Barnaba and G. P. Gobbi



**Fig. 12.** Mean seasonal ( $S$ =DJF, MAM, JJA and SON) maritime, continental and desert dust mass loads ( $\text{g}/\text{m}^2$ ; blue, green and orange histograms, respectively) in the ten sectors (SEC) of Fig. 10, shown separately for Western (SEC=1, 3, 6), Central (SEC=2, 4, 7, 9) and Eastern (SEC=5, 8, 10) Mediterranean (left, central and right column, respectively).

[Title Page](#)
[Abstract](#)
[Introduction](#)
[Conclusions](#)
[References](#)
[Tables](#)
[Figures](#)
[◀](#)
[▶](#)
[◀](#)
[▶](#)
[Back](#)
[Close](#)
[Full Screen / Esc](#)
[Print Version](#)
[Interactive Discussion](#)


**Aerosol seasonal  
variability over the  
Mediterranean region**F. Barnaba and G. P.  
Gobbi

**Fig. 13.** Modeled behavior of the mass-to-extinction efficiency,  $\alpha$  ( $\text{m}^2/\text{g}$ ), versus the aerosol extinction coefficient,  $\sigma_{\text{ext}}$  ( $\text{km}^{-1}$ ), computed at the lidar wavelength of 532 nm for the three different aerosol types: maritime (blue squares), continental (green bullets) and desert dust (orange diamonds). Note that the  $\alpha$  parameter is given for unit density (i.e.  $\alpha = \alpha^* = \sigma_{\text{ext}}/V \cdot \rho$ , with  $\rho = 1 \text{ g/cm}^3$ ) for each aerosol type. The  $\sigma_{\text{ext}}$  values reported in the plot were obtained binning 20 000 simulated  $\sigma_{\text{ext}}$  with a  $0.01 \text{ km}^{-1}$  step. The corresponding mean  $\alpha^*$  value associated to each bin is reported with its variability ( $\pm 1$  standard deviation, error bars).

[Title Page](#)[Abstract](#)[Introduction](#)[Conclusions](#)[References](#)[Tables](#)[Figures](#)[◀](#)[▶](#)[◀](#)[▶](#)[Back](#)[Close](#)[Full Screen / Esc](#)[Print Version](#)[Interactive Discussion](#)

## Aerosol seasonal variability over the Mediterranean region

F. Barnaba and G. P. Gobbi



**Fig. 14.** Frequency of occurrence of the aerosol extinction coefficient values ( $\sigma_{\text{ext}}$ ) as measured by lidar (at 532 nm) under (a) continental, (b) desert dust, and (c) maritime aerosol conditions at Mediterranean sites. The measured  $\sigma_{\text{ext}}$  values were binned with a  $0.01 \text{ km}^{-1}$ -step. The total number of observations considered is 17436, 1586, and 1332, for continental, desert dust and maritime aerosol, respectively. Note that, due to the typically different vertical displacement of the three aerosol types,  $\sigma_{\text{ext}}$  data corresponding to different altitude ranges of the tropospheric lidar profile were considered, i.e.  $0 < z < 4 \text{ km}$  for continental aerosol,  $2 \text{ km} < z < 6 \text{ km}$  for desert dust aerosol and  $0 \text{ km} < z < 2 \text{ km}$  for maritime aerosol.

[Title Page](#)
[Abstract](#)
[Introduction](#)
[Conclusions](#)
[References](#)
[Tables](#)
[Figures](#)
[◀](#)
[▶](#)
[◀](#)
[▶](#)
[Back](#)
[Close](#)
[Full Screen / Esc](#)
[Print Version](#)
[Interactive Discussion](#)