Atmos. Chem. Phys. Discuss., 4, 3975–4018, 2004 www.atmos-chem-phys.org/acpd/4/3975/ SRef-ID: 1680-7375/acpd/2004-4-3975 © European Geosciences Union 2004



ACPD

4, 3975-4018, 2004

TM5: a two-way nested zoom model

M. Krol et al.

#### **Title Page** Abstract Introduction Conclusions References Tables Figures Back Close Full Screen / Esc Print Version Interactive Discussion © EGU 2004

# The two-way nested global chemistry-transport zoom model TM5: algorithm and applications

M. Krol<sup>1</sup>, S. Houweling<sup>2</sup>, B. Bregman<sup>3</sup>, M. van den Broek<sup>2, 3</sup>, A. Segers<sup>3</sup>, P. van Velthoven<sup>3</sup>, W. Peters<sup>4</sup>, F. Dentener<sup>5</sup>, and P. Bergamaschi<sup>5</sup>

 <sup>1</sup>Institute for Marine and Atmospheric Research Utrecht, The Netherlands
 <sup>2</sup>Space Research Organisation Netherlands, Utrecht, The Netherlands
 <sup>3</sup>Royal Netherlands Meteorological Institute, de Bilt, The Netherlands
 <sup>4</sup>National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration, Climate Monitoring and Diagnostics Laboratory, Boulder, USA
 <sup>5</sup>Joint Research Centre, Institute for Environment and Sustainability, Ispra, Italy

Received: 6 April 2004 – Accepted: 10 May 2004 – Published: 28 July 2004

Correspondence to: M. Krol (krol@phys.uu.nl)

#### Abstract

This paper describes the global chemistry Transport Model, version 5 (TM5) which allows two-way nested zooming. The model is used for global studies which require high resolution regionally but can work on a coarser resolution globally. The zoom algorithm
 <sup>5</sup> introduces refinement in both space and time in some predefined regions. Boundary conditions of the zoom region are provided by a coarser parent grid and the results of the zoom area are communicated back to the parent. A case study using <sup>222</sup>Rn measurements that were taken during the MINOS campaign reveals the advantages of local zooming. As a next step, it is investigated to what extent simulated concentrations over Europe are influenced by using an additional zoom domain over North America. An artificial ozone-like tracer is introduced with a lifetime of twenty days and simplified non-linear chemistry. The concentration differences at Mace Head (Ireland) are generally smaller than 10%, much smaller than the effects of the resolution enhancement

 over Europe. Thus, coarsening of resolution at some distance of a sampling station
 seems allowed. However, it is also noted that the budgets of the tracers change considerably due to resolution dependencies of, for instance, vertical transport. Due to the two-way nested algorithm, TM5 therefore offers a consistent tool to study the effects of grid refinement on global atmospheric chemistry issues like intercontinental transport of air pollution.

#### 20 1. Introduction

In studies of the chemical composition of the atmosphere, measurements play a crucial role. Measurements of the atmospheric composition are conducted at surface stations, with balloon soundings, by aircraft sampling, and by means of satellite measurements. These measurements are often combined during intensive field campaigns, e.g. MI-

<sup>25</sup> NOS (Lelieveld et al., 2002a), TRACE-P (Jacob et al., 2003), in which the composition of a specific atmospheric region is studied. Alternatively, measurements may be con-

4, 3975-4018, 2004

# TM5: a two-way nested zoom model



ducted during longer time periods with the aim to study the long term changes and variability of the chemical composition of the atmosphere (Logan, 1999; Prinn et al., 2000; Dlugokencky et al., 2003; Novelli et al., 2003).

In order to model the regional atmospheric composition it is often necessary to consider the entire Earth atmosphere in a model. For instance, the variability and long term change in the methane concentration is governed not only by local sources, but also by (long range) transport, exchange with the stratosphere, and the chemical breakdown which occurs mainly in the tropics. Apart from the necessity to consider the global atmosphere, the interpretation of measurements requires simulations at a high spa-

- tial and temporal resolution. Only very remote measurements may be representative for a large spatial domain, but most measurement sites are influenced by the local conditions. Spatial variability of emissions and other surface processes also call for a high spatial resolution. High resolution is also required for impact studies which have the aim to investigate the effects of air quality on human health or ecosystems. Cam-
- paigns, moreover, often focus on specific regions where both long range transport and local or regional sources are important. Studies of intercontinental transport of pollution (Wild and Akimoto, 2001; Stohl et al., 2002; Wenig et al., 2003; Trickl et al., 2003; Wang et al., 2003) and exchange of trace gases between the hemispheres require a hemispheric or global model domain. The same holds for inverse modeling studies of
- <sup>20</sup> CO<sub>2</sub>, CH<sub>4</sub>, and CO (Kaminski et al., 1999; Houweling et al., 1999; Bergamaschi et al., 2000; Bousquet et al., 2000; Rödenbeck et al., 2003) where high resolution is required to reduce modeling and representation errors.

Computationally it is not possible to model the atmospheric composition both at the global scale and at a high resolution. One might ask, however, whether it is necessary to resolve the chemical composition of the South Pole at high resolution when the region of interest lies on the northern hemisphere. A possibility to zoom in over a specific region is advantageous, at least from a computational point of view. The general concept of zooming is that the global chemical composition is modeled at a relatively coarse resolution that represents the main transport features. This compo-

#### **ACPD**

4, 3975-4018, 2004

# TM5: a two-way nested zoom model

Title Page		
Abstract	Introduction	
Conclusions	References	
Tables	Figures	
•	•	
Back	Close	
Full Screen / Esc		
Print Version		
Interactive Discussion		
© EGU 2004		

sition then serves as a boundary condition for a regional simulation. This so-called one-way nested approach can be made two-way by feeding the calculated composition of the zoom region back into the global domain. As an alternative to the use of zoom regions as described here, stretched grids have been employed to locally refine the model resolution (e.g. Cosme et al., 2002).

Recently, several atmospheric chemistry studies have employed grid-nesting. However, most of these studies are regional and do not consider a global domain. For instance, the Regional Atmospheric Modelling System (RAMS) (Cotton et al., 2003) has been used to simulate the chemical composition over the south of France (Taghavi et al., 2003). This study focusses on regional scales but employs a similar two-way nested approach as used in TM5. The MM5 model has been coupled with a chemistry module and a region south of the Alps was studied in detail (Grell et al., 2000). In other studies (Soulhac et al., 2003; Tang, 2002) a chain of models is used with a oneway nested information stream from the coarse to the finer scales. The regional high

- <sup>15</sup> resolution air pollution model (REGINA) simulates the northern hemisphere at a relatively coarse resolution and employs one-way nesting to zoom in over Europe (Frohn et al., 2003). One way nesting was also employed by Jonson et al. (2001) who coupled the global Oslo CTM2 model to the regional Eulerian Photochemistry Model (EMEP). The focus of that study was on intercontinental transport and it was concluded that
- air pollution episodes in Europe are largely determined by emissions within the European domain. Somewhat contradictory results were found by Bauer and Langmann (2002) and Langmann et al. (2003) who used a global to mesoscale one-way nested model chain to simulate atmospheric ozone chemistry. They found that regional scale chemistry simulations improve when lateral boundary conditions from a global model
- simulation are used. Also, a significant contribution of long range transport to a pollution event over Europe was found. Nevertheless, a common conclusion of all these studies is that the comparison with observations normally improves with resolution. A large part of this improvement is due to better resolved emissions, especially near urban areas (Tang, 2002).

4, 3975-4018, 2004

### TM5: a two-way nested zoom model



This paper describes the numerical approach of a two-way nested global zoom model, named Tracer Model, version 5 (TM5). The main innovative feature of TM5 is the possibility to use two-way nesting along with a consequent use of the same chemical and physical parameterisations at different model resolutions. While some technical

- <sup>5</sup> details as well as some first applications of the TM5 model have been described in earlier publications (Berkvens et al., 1999; Krol et al., 2002, 2003; Broek et al., 2003), we want to present here a comprehensive description of the TM5 model. Since TM5 is an off-line model (depending on meteorological data calculated by a weather forecast or climate model), we also discuss in some detail the pre-processing system that
- <sup>10</sup> has been developed for the meteorological data that are needed to run the model. In order to evaluate the transport of the model, we present some new results that have been obtained with TM5. To highlight the effects of a refinement in resolution on the model results, we focus on measurements made at Crete (Greece) during the MINOS campaign in August 2001 (Lelieveld et al., 2002a). The TM5 model is designed for
- global studies of atmospheric chemistry, such as intercontinental and interhemispheric exchange and the effects of grid refinement on the budgets of chemically active compounds. To highlight these issues without yet introducing full atmospheric chemistry, we present an artificial tracer study in Sect. 3.2. Finally we discuss future plans and ongoing developments.

#### 20 2. Model description

25

2.1. Historical perspective

TM5 is named after the parent TM3 model and uses many of the concepts and parameterisations that were present in that model. The TM model was originally developed by Heimann et al. (1988) and has been widely used in many global atmospheric chemistry studies. Examples of such studies include the impact of higher hydrocarbons on atmospheric chemistry (Houweling et al., 1998), the methane emissions deduced from 4, 3975-4018, 2004

# TM5: a two-way nested zoom model



a 1978–1993 model simulation (Dentener et al., 2003), a comparison between modeled ozone columns and satellite observations (Peters et al., 2002) and the stability of hydroxyl radical chemistry (Lelieveld et al., 2002b).

- 2.2. Two-way nested zoom algorithm
- Like in the TM3 model, the transport, emissions, deposition and chemistry of tracers is solved by means of operator splitting. This approach has the important advantage that small time steps due to the stiff chemistry and vertical mixing operators can be avoided by applying tailored implicit schemes (Verwer et al., 1999). Both TM3 and TM5 are off-line models in which the meteorological data are provided by the model of the Euro-
- pean Centre for Medium Range Weather Forecast (ECMWF). Basic requirements that we impose on the zooming algorithm are mass conservation and positiveness. Negative concentrations should be avoided since these cause problems in mathematical routines that solve the system of equations of the chemical interactions between the species. The mathematical foundations of the mass-conserving transport within the
- <sup>15</sup> zoom algorithm were presented by Berkvens et al. (1999). The implementation of this algorithm and the coupling with emission, deposition, convection, and chemistry was described by Krol et al. (2002). Here, we summarize only the essential points of the algorithm and refer to the original work for more details.

The central idea behind the nesting technique is that over some selected regions the <sup>20</sup> Chemistry Transport Model (CTM) is run simultaneously at various resolutions. The coarsest region represents the global domain and provides the boundary conditions for one or more nested regions. Within a nested region one or more, still finer resolved regions can be nested. Given the desired model set-up, a tree is built where for each region the parent and the children are defined. A region can act both as a parent and as

a child. A parent provides the boundary conditions for its children and the children are used to update the information of the parent, which makes the nesting algorithm two-way. Figure 1 presents an example of a grid definition that has been defined to study the European area. Globally, a horizontal resolution of 6°×4° (longitude×latitude) is

4, 3975-4018, 2004

### TM5: a two-way nested zoom model



employed. The European domain is resolved at a resolution of 1°×1°. In order to allow a smooth transition between these two regions, a European domain with a resolution of 3°×2° has been added. This latter domain is the child of the global domain and the parent of the 1°×1° model area. For mass conservation it is important that the regions share a common boundary.

Although is was shown that zooming in the vertical direction is possible when only advection processes are considered (Berkvens et al., 1999), this possibility is not included because the coupling with the convection would cause severe technical problems. Therefore, all regions share the same vertical layer structure. The layers in the TM5 model are compatible with the hybrid sigma-pressure coordinate system that is employed by the ECMWF model. Thus, either the ECMWF layers are used, or some layers are combined to a coarser resolution. The current operational ECMWF model version employs 60 layers, which have been transformed into 25 model layers for the European model set-up (see Fig. 2). Since the focus of this model version is mainly on the troposphere, higher vertical resolution is maintained in the boundary layer and in the free troposphere. Another implementation of the TM5 model focuses on the

stratosphere (Broek et al., 2003) and retains more vertical layers above 200 hPa. The nesting algorithm is designed for use in combination with operator splitting. The general steps that are considered in the model are advection in the X, Y, and Z direc-

tions, parameterisation of sub-grid scale mixing by deep convection and vertical diffusion (V), and chemistry (C). The latter process may include the emission and wet and dry deposition of chemical species. The operator splitting as applied in the three-region European-focused TM5 version is given in Table 1. The refinement in time relative to the global domain is 2 and 4 for regions 2 and 3, respectively. All model time steps are

<sup>25</sup> determined from a general time step  $\Delta T$  (normally 5400 s). In the global 6°×4° domain all numerical time steps are  $\Delta T/2$ . This time step is  $\Delta T/4$  and  $\Delta T/8$  at resolutions of 3°×2° and 1°×1°, respectively. Most meteorological information is supplied on time intervals of 6 h, while meteorological information pertaining to boundary layer mixing and surface processes are provided every 3 h (see Appendix for a table that lists the TM5 4, 3975-4018, 2004

# TM5: a two-way nested zoom model



input fields). These time intervals impose an upper limit to the model time step  $\Delta T$ . The upper part of Table 1 represents the steps that are taken in the time interval [t, t+ $\Delta T/2$ ]. The second part of the table corresponds to the interval [t+ $\Delta T/2$ , t+ $\Delta T$ ].

- If only region 1 would be considered, the model would be similar to the previous TM3 model. Numerical studies have shown that the symmetrical operator splitting as applied here produces more accurate results (Strang, 1968; Berkvens et al., 2002). However, this symmetrical splitting can not always be preserved in the zooming algorithm. For instance, the (symmetrical) stepping order in region 1 reads XYZVCCVZYX while the order in region 2 (XYZVCCVZYXCVZYXXYZVC) is only partly symmetrical. When communication between the parent and children occurs, this is indicated by the arrows
- in Table 1. Parents write the boundary conditions to their children (1) whereas the parents are updated with the information calculated by their children (1). An update of a parent involves a procedure in which the tracer masses of the parent are overwritten by information from the child cells. Likewise, boundary conditions (1) are provided by overwriting some child cells with tracer masses from the parent. Note that the algorithm
- allows for overlapping zoom regions, but that the results of the "last reporting" child will determine the status of the parent in the overlap zone of the children.

The mass-conserving advection algorithm that is used in the communication between parent and children has been discussed in detail by Berkvens et al. (1999). In

- <sup>20</sup> one dimension, the interface region is depicted in Fig. 3. The corresponding algorithm is summarized in Table 2. Cell C0 is used to store the boundary condition that is provided by the parent. The interface cells (C1, C2, and C3 in Fig. 3) are crucial in the algorithm. The sum of the masses in the interface cells corresponds to the mass of a parent cell and the individual cells are of child resolution. The situation appears rela-
- tively straightforward in one dimension, but complications arise when the y-dimension is also considered (denoted by the "..." in Table 2). First, the boundary conditions that are written to the child may already have been advected by the parent. For instance, the tracer masses that are written to the child just before Y advection in the JXJY sequence are already advected by the parent in the X direction. Therefore, the Y interface

#### **ACPD**

4, 3975-4018, 2004

# TM5: a two-way nested zoom model



cells of the child should not be advected again in the X direction. This is accomplished by restricting the X advection operator of the child to the core zoom region (from C4 on in Fig. 3). Second, the interface cells should operate as "parent"-like cells in one direction (e.g. X), while they should act as "child"-like cells in another advection direc-

- tion (e.g. Y). In the algorithm, this is solved by "compression" of the grid in the direction of the advection (cells C1, C2, and C3 are treated as one grid cell). After advection in that direction, the corresponding "decompression" is applied. Moreover, after each advection step the interface cells are mixed in order to avoid negative tracer masses (C1, C2, C3 receive equal masses).
- Interface cells are partially advected during some stages of the algorithm (e.g. during phase 5 of the algorithmic sequence in Table 2). It was noted (Krol et al., 2002) that it should be avoided to perform processes like chemistry (C) and vertical mixing (V) on these partially advected interface cells. For that reason these operations on the interface cells are performed by the parent. Thus, the operations V and C are restricted to the core of the zoom region (from C4 on). In the algorithm, the parent is forced to skip only the core cells of the child region in processing the V and C steps. After a child region in processing the V and C steps.
- finishes an operator sequence, the parent cells are overwritten by the child cells in an update parent procedure  $(\uparrow)$ .

#### 2.3. Tracer transport routines

- The zooming algorithm has been coupled to the slopes advection scheme (Russel and Lerner, 1981). Apart from this algorithm, the second moments scheme (Prather, 1986) has been implemented for numerical studies of stratospheric transport. Due to the regular latitude longitude grid in TM5, the x-spacing of the grid becomes very small close to the poles. In order to avoid violations of the Courant-Friedrichs-Lewy (CFL)
   criterion in these regions, two algorithmic provisions have been included. First, it is possible to choose a reduced grid around the poles in the x-direction (Petersen et al.,
- 1998). During advection in the x-direction, several grid cells are combined in the longitudinal direction and the advection is performed on this coarser grid. After advection,

4, 3975-4018, 2004

# TM5: a two-way nested zoom model



the masses of the coarse grid-cells are redistributed and the other processes are performed on the fine grid. The second provision consists of a general iteration in all advection routines. Whenever a CFL criterion is violated, the time step is reduced and the same advection routine is called two (and possibly more) times.

Non resolved transport by deep and shallow cumulus convection has been parameterised according to Tiedtke (1989). The vertical diffusion parameterisation of Holtslag and Moeng (1991) is used for near surface mixing. In the free troposphere, the formulation of Louis (1979) is used. To remain consistent to the meteorological input fields that are used in the model, it is important to note that these parameterisations are similar to those that are employed by the ECMWF model.

One of the advantages of TM5 is that the same model is used at different resolutions. Therefore, it is considered crucial that the required meteorological data are consistent for the various model resolutions. Thus, the changes in the surface pressure should match the vertically integrated convergence of the mass fluxes, also within the zoom regions. As described in Sect. 2.4, meteorological pre-processing software has been developed to supply fully consistent meteorology at the different model resolutions.

15

In an intercomparison exercise of <sup>222</sup>Rn simulations with different models it was shown that the TM3 model did not capture the full diurnal cycle of near surface mixing (Dentener et al., 1999). It was analysed that 6-h input of ECMWF was not always suf-

- ficient to describe the development of the convective boundary layer over continents. This layer remained systematically too shallow and it was concluded that the surface fields of latent and sensible heat fluxes should be resolved at a higher temporal resolution. As a result, too much <sup>222</sup>Rn accumulation in the surface layer over the continents was observed. In order to solve this problem, the new pre-processing scheme re-
- trieves 3-h ECMWF surface fields on a 1°×1° horizontal resolution. The calculation of the diffusion coefficients in the boundary layer (Holtslag and Moeng, 1991) requires integration of these surface fields over the resolution of the region (e.g. on 6°×4°). These integrated fields are subsequently combined with the 6-h 3-D fields of wind shear, temperature and humidity. These latter fields remain on a 6-h resolution to reduce data

4, 3975-4018, 2004

# TM5: a two-way nested zoom model

Title Page		
Abstract	Introduction	
Conclusions	References	
Tables	Figures	
	►I	
•	►	
Back	Close	
Full Screen / Esc		
Print Version		
Interactive Discussion		
© EGU 2004		

storage requirements.

5

20

Surface fields also include emissions, and parameters that are relevant for deposition of trace components. Similar to the heat fluxes, these fields are stored at a resolution of  $1^{\circ} \times 1^{\circ}$  and, for the varying meteorological fields, on a 3-h basis (see table in Appendix). Prior to use in TM5, these fields are coarsened depending on the specific model set-up.

#### 2.4. Meteorological pre-processing

The meteorological input for TM5 is created out of ECMWF data during a preprocessing stage. A complete list of TM5 input fields that are generated from ECMWF archived data is given in the Appendix. During the pre-processing stage, meteorologi-

- cal fields are converted from ECMWF resolution to the TM5 grid. Horizontally, the input data is retrieved from the ECMWF archive at spectral resolution T159 or reduced Gaussian grid N80, which is equivalent to a resolution of about 1.125°. Vertically, the data is retrieved at the 60 hybrid sigma-pressure levels employed by the ECMWF operational model.
- Although interpolation from one resolution to the other is in general straightforward, some topics will be discussed here in more detail: (i) the creation of mass fluxes, (ii) production of data for different zooming grids.

With TM5 being an Eulerian grid box model, the input required for advection should consist of mass fluxes through the boundaries of each grid box cell. The procedure is described in detail in Segers et al. (2002); here a brief outline is given.

The produced mass fluxes are valid for time intervals of 6 h. The vertical mass distributions (kg air) at the begin and end of an interval are computed from the surface pressures and the hybrid coefficients of the vertical layer structure. By this, the mass change per model grid cell (kg/s) during the time interval is defined. The mass fluxes

(kg/s) through the boundaries should describe how air mass is flowing from grid box to grid box, explaining the mass changes that are dictated by the changes in surface pressure. First, the vertical fluxes through the bottom of the grid boxes are computed by integration of horizontal divergence, and taking into account the horizontal pressure 4, 3975-4018, 2004

### TM5: a two-way nested zoom model

M. Krol et al.

Title Page	
Abstract	Introduction
Conclusions	References
Tables	Figures
∢ ▶	
•	►
Back	Close
Full Screen / Esc	
Print Version	
Interactive Discussion	

© EGU 2004

gradients on the hybrid grid (Segers et al., 2002). Second, a first guess of the horizontal fluxes is computed from horizontal winds, which in turn are computed from the ECMWF divergence and vorticity. Finally, these first guess values are slightly modified in a way that the modified horizontal fluxes and the vertical fluxes together explain the observed mass gradient. Several tests have revealed that this new pre-processing algorithm

significantly improves the vertical transport in the tropopause region (Bregman et al., 2001, 2003).

To be able to run TM5 on various resolutions and for various zoom definitions, the meteorological input on different resolutions should be in agreement with one another. For example, the total air mass in a  $3 \times 2$  block of  $1^{\circ} \times 1^{\circ}$  cells should be equal to the the

10 For example, the total air mass in a 3×2 block mass in the 3°×2° cell by which it is covered.

25

To achieve this, an archive has been created with meteorological data on  $3^{\circ} \times 2^{\circ} \times 60$  resolution for 3-D fields such as mass fluxes, temperature, and humidity. This set is used as reference for meteorological fields on other horizontal or vertical resolutions.

- <sup>15</sup> For a TM5 simulation with 3-level zooming such as depicted in Fig. 1, the input is created in the following way:
  - For the global 6°×4° grid, the fields from the 3°×2° archive are horizontally averaged, either area weighted or mass weighted. Mass fluxes through the boundaries of the boxes are simply summed.
- 3°×2° fields are just a subset from the archived fields.
  - For 1°×1° fields, the meteorological fields are extracted from the raw ECMWF data, in a similar way as has been done for the 3°×2° archive. However, the errors associated with sampling the ECMWF fields depend on the resolution. In order to obtain 1°×1° fields that are consistent with the 3°×2° archived fields, the 1°×1° fields are slightly modified, such that totals (mass fluxes) or averages (other fields) over blocks of 3×2 cells are equal to the values in the 3°×2° archive. The modifications that are needed are generally very small.

4, 3975-4018, 2004

TM5: a two-way nested zoom model

Title Page	
Abstract	Introduction
Conclusions	References
Tables	Figures
I	►I
•	•
Back	Close
Full Screen / Esc	
Print Version	
Interactive Discussion	
© EGU 2004	

The final step in production of the meteorological data is a reduction of the number of levels, if the model is defined on a subset of the full 60 vertical levels. Layers are combined by averaging or summing the meteorological parameters. When appropriate, weighting by the grid box masses is employed.

- <sup>5</sup> Creation of the 3°×2°×60 archive is the most expensive step in the procedure, since this requires global evaluation and interpolation of the ECMWF data. However, once this set is available, the production of data for any set of zooming area's and/or vertical levels is rather fast, since no interpolation is required (6°×4° and 3°×2° grids). Processing of the 1°×1° regions is only required for relatively small domains.
- 10 2.5. Implementation

The entire TM5 program has been coded in Fortran 90, which allows a flexible data structure. The model has been implemented and tested on various platforms, including some massive parallel machines. The operational version allows the use of multi processors employing the message parsing interface (MPI).

#### 15 3. Case studies

3.1. <sup>222</sup>Rn measurements on Crete

As mentioned in the introduction, the TM5 model setup is ideally suited for measurement campaigns. As an exploratory example, we will analyze the <sup>222</sup>Rn measurements that were made at the Finokalia station (Latitude 35°19' N, Longitude: 25°40' E) during the MINOS campaign (Lelieveld et al., 2002a). Figure 4 shows the position of the Finokalia measurement station, which is located on the island Crete in the Mediterranean sea. The station is positioned directly at the coast at an altitude of 130 m above sea level. During August 2001, the dominant wind direction is from north-westerly directions and local emissions from Crete can generally be ignored (Mihalopoulos et al., 1997; Kouvarakis et al., 2000).

3987

4, 3975-4018, 2004

TM5: a two-way nested zoom model

Title Page		
Abstract	Introduction	
Conclusions	References	
Tables	Figures	
	►I	
•	•	
Back	Close	
Full Screen / Esc		
Print Version		
Interactive Discussion		
© FGU 2004		

Figure 4 depicts the model grid of TM5 at horizontal resolutions of 1°×1°, 3°×2°, and 6°×4°. On the coarsest resolution, the Finokalia station resides in the same gridbox as the southern part of Turkey. This implies that in the model simulation with only the coarsest resolution active (i.e. no zoom regions), the <sup>222</sup>Rn emissions from Turkey (emissions are assumed to be 1.18 and 0.005 molecules cm<sup>-2</sup> s<sup>-1</sup> over land and sea, respectively (Dentener et al., 1999)) are sampled directly by the station in the model. On 3°×2° the situation improves, but still considerable artificial spread of the <sup>222</sup>Rn emissions will occur. The 1°×1° horizontal resolution starts to resolve the actual distribution of the land masses, although it is clear that an even higher resolution would be desirable.

We sample the station concentration in our model by three methods. A large spread among the station concentrations calculated with these three methods signals large gradients and hence a large sampling error. The first sampling method simply takes the average mixing ratio of a tracer in the grid box. The second method employs the simulated values of the x-y and z clopes (Puese) and Lerner 1091) together with

simulated values of the x, y, and z-slopes (Russel and Lerner, 1981) together with the mean mixing ratio to interpolate to the station location, when this location is not in the center of the grid. The third method uses bilinear interpolation with the adjacent grid-cells in the x, y, and z directions. In this method, the value of the tracer slopes within a grid cell are not used. For the simulation on 6°×4°, large sampling errors are encountered (often >20%, not shown). The situation improves if the zoom regions are activated. In the 1°×1° simulation, difference in the sampling methods only arise if large concentration gradients are present close to the station (e.g. around 21 August, as discussed below).

In Fig. 5 the <sup>222</sup>Rn concentrations simulated during August 2001 are compared to the measurements. A spin-up time of one month was used and bilinear interpolation was employed to calculate the concentration at the location of Finokalia. The simulated station concentrations with only the global 6°×4° resolution active, are systematically too high due to the emissions from the land masses that reside in the same grid box as the sampling station. The situation improves when the 3°×2° European zoom domain

#### **ACPD**

4, 3975-4018, 2004

# TM5: a two-way nested zoom model



is used and an even better agreement is obtained when also the  $1^{\circ} \times 1^{\circ}$  zoom region is used. Obviously, the match between measurements and model is still not perfect, but this example shows that the model results can improve considerably with higher model resolution.

- The largest deviations between the 1°×1° simulation and the measurements are observed around 21 August (see grey bar in Figs. 5–7), when the model overestimates the <sup>222</sup>Rn concentration by more than 50 pCu/SCM. In Fig. 6 the simulated concentrations are shown (in blue) for a location 1° north of Finokalia. While for most of the simulation period the differences are small, it can be observed that the simulated concentrations around 21 August at open sea are drastically lower. To analyse the situation in more detail, Fig. 7 shows the measured wind speed and direction along with the ECMWF values that are employed by the TM5 model. These values have been interpolated from the mass fluxes at the borders of the grid boxes. In order to exclude the influence of the island of Crete on these interpolated values, the wind data have also been
- analysed at a position one degree north of Finokalia. At this northerly position the measured wind speed is well reproduced by the mass fluxes derived from the ECMWF data. Not surprisingly given the location of the station, the measured wind speed at Finokalia appears to be representative for open sea. The measured wind direction is systematically more westerly than the wind direction in the model. This is most likely
- <sup>20</sup> caused by local effects. At the coast, the airflow is forced upward or sideward, which resulted in a backing of the wind direction. From the wind measurements and simulations, the situation around 21 August stands out as a period of low wind speeds (gray bar). During such a period, the influence of Cretean emissions on the simulated <sup>222</sup>Rn concentration increases. The <sup>222</sup>Rn accumulation is reinforced by the shallow
- <sup>25</sup> boundary layer heights that are calculated during this period (see Fig. 7). Since over sea most of the solar heat flux generates evaporation, the depth of the boundary layer is predominantly determined by the vertical wind shear. Inspection of the lower panel in Fig. 7 reveals that around 21 August, the boundary layer height collapses to about 100 m due to the low wind speeds. The grid box in which Finokalia resides contains

#### **ACPD**

4, 3975-4018, 2004

# TM5: a two-way nested zoom model



about 30% land masses, and a diurnal cycle in the calculated boundary layer height can be observed. Nevertheless, the boundary layer calculated by the TM5 model (and also by the ECMWF model) are very shallow. Inspection of the potential temperature profiles that were measured during the MINOS aircraft flights reveals a stable profile

- with several stronger inversions. One inversion layer is normally located between a few hundred and 1000 m. Other inversions are found higher up, normally between 1 and 3 km, but the situation appears quite variable. In general it can be stated that vertical mixing is not very strong due to a stable temperature profile that is associated with subsiding air motions.
- <sup>10</sup> From the analysis presented here, it appears that measurements of wind data and other meteorological parameters, including boundary layer heights, are (potentially) very important in the validation of the model results. Unfortunately, these meteorological parameters are not always measured during field campaigns and at long term measurement stations.
- 15 3.2. Effects of zooming on the transport of chemical trace species

As noted in the previous section, pronounced improvements can be achieved by resolving the interaction between emissions and transport of <sup>222</sup>Rn at a higher horizontal resolution. The artificial spread of local emissions is reduced and grid-boxes become more representative of the actual situation at sampling stations. Thus, the advantages of the local higher resolution are clear. Now, the question arises what the effects are of using a coarse grid outside the zoom region. Related to this: why would a one-way nested zooming algorithm not be sufficient? To explore these questions we introduced some artificial tracers in TM5. These tracers mimic some features of tropospheric ozone chemistry, however, without the detailed chemical feedback mech-

<sup>25</sup> anisms. Tracer L1 ("NO<sub>x</sub>") and L20 ("CO") are emitted using an emission distribution and strength comparable of that of NO<sub>x</sub> as given by the EDGAR3.2 inventory (Olivier and Berdowski, 2001). To mimic the chemical breakdown of NO<sub>x</sub> and CO, a simple radioactive decay (with lifetimes of 1 and 20 days, respectively) has been applied to 4, 3975-4018, 2004

# TM5: a two-way nested zoom model



the tracers L1 and L20. Furthermore, an artificial tracer L20L1 ("O<sub>3</sub>") is introduced that is, like O<sub>3</sub>, not emitted, but only chemically produced. The production of L20L1 is coupled to the decay of tracer L1 and this production depends non-linearly on the L1 concentration. Figure 8 depicts the assumed L20L1 production efficiency as a function of the L1 concentration. The curve consists of two linked Gaussian curves which are given by:

$$L1 > 1000 \text{ ppt}: 10 \exp -\frac{(\log(L1)-3)^2}{0.5}$$
 (1)

$$L1 \le 1000 \text{ ppt} : 10 \exp - \frac{(\log(L1) - 3)^2}{10.0}$$

At L1 concentrations of about 1000 ppt, the L20L1 production is assumed to be the <sup>10</sup> most efficient (10 molecules L20L1 per lost L1 molecule). At lower and higher L1 concentrations, the L20L1 production levels off, an effect that is stronger towards higher L1 concentrations. In this way, the resolution effects on the L1 concentrations are non-linearly translated into L20L1 production rates and concentrations. The lifetime of L20L1 has been taken as 20 days. As mentioned above, the L20L1 production curve <sup>15</sup> can be interpreted as representative for NO<sub>x</sub> dependent ozone production in the atmosphere. More rigorous attempts to study the resolution dependence of atmospheric chemistry are outside the scope of this paper. The L20L1 tracer is merely used to study mechanisms that lead to differences in simulations with non-linear chemistry. Specifically, the effects of zooming and two-way (versus one-way) nesting are investigated.

- In order to study the effects of two-way versus one-way nesting, several simulations are compared. The simulations are all initialized by fields that are taken from a yearly 6°×4° only simulation. In a first simulation (R3), the European zoom domain of Fig. 1 is employed in a two month simulation (July and August 2001). In a second simulation (R5), a second zoom domain over North America (3°×2°, and 1°×1°) is also activated.
- Due to less artificial mixing of the L1 emissions in the North American zoom region, the L1 concentration distribution becomes broader. Specifically, more grid cells will fall in the high concentration end of the curve depicted in Fig. 8. As a result, the non-linear production of L20L1 differs and these differences are still present outside the

4, 3975-4018, 2004

TM5: a two-way nested zoom model

M. Krol et al.

(2)



North American zoom region because two-way nesting is employed. This is illustrated in Fig. 9, which shows the comparison in the lowest model layer after two months of simulation. The figure is the last frame of a movie that animates daily plots of the L20L1 surface concentrations. The movie is discussed in more detail in the Appendix. The up-

- <sup>5</sup> per panel represents the simulation with only the European zoom domains active (R3). In the middle panel the zoom over North America is also activated (R5) and the lower panel depicts the differences (in %) between the two simulations. The difference plot within the North American zoom region signals large differences in the L20L1 concentrations that are the direct consequence of less artificial spread of L1 emissions in the
- R5 simulation. In the case of one-way nesting, these differences would have been confined to the zoom domain. Because of the two-way nesting, however, the differences also occur outside the North American zoom region, for instance in Europe. Within the European zoom domain the differences disappear quite rapidly for two reasons. First, the lifetime of the L20L1 is limited to 20 days and the budget over Europe is dominated
- <sup>15</sup> by local L20L1 production. Second, horizontal and vertical transport processes dilute the L20L1 concentration fields which causes annihilation of the negative and positive deviations. The consequence is that, when the concentrations are sampled at Mace Head (see Fig. 10), the differences between the R5 and R3 simulations are relatively small (usually smaller than 10%). Interestingly, the R5 simulation produces system <sup>20</sup> atically lower L20L1 concentrations at Mace Head. As mentioned before, more grid cells fall in the high concentration end of the curve depicted in Fig. 8 when the North
- American resolved at 1°×1°. Consequently, less L20L1 is produced and transported towards Europe.

The differences between the R5 simulation and the simulation with only the European zoom at 3°×2° active (R2, green), and the simulation with no zoom regions at all (R1, blue) are also shown in Fig. 10. These differences are usually much larger. This means that, at least for this artificial chemistry case, the "gain" of a better local representation is larger than the loss in accuracy that is caused by grid-coarsening at some distance from the measurement station. This observation is in line with the intuitive argument 4, 3975-4018, 2004

# TM5: a two-way nested zoom model



that it seems not logical to resolve the atmospheric transport and chemistry globally at a high resolution when the focus is on the local situation.

A similar conclusion can be drawn from the concentrations that are sampled at a station positioned in Omsk (see Fig. 1), east of the European zoom domain (Fig. 11).

Since this location falls outside all zoom regions, the concentrations are only available only on the 6°×4° model grid for all experiments (R1, R2, R3, R5). The differences between R5 (top panel) and R3 (lower panel, red) are very small. Thus the concentrations as simulated at Omsk are hardly influenced by the presence of the North American zoom region. The effects of European zooming (R2 and R3) are only marginally larger, but may reach 10% if the European resolution is degraded to 6°×4° (R1, blue line).

In order to check the importance of the various processes, a TM5 simulation is accompanied by a budget calculation. For the simulations described here, the budget of the boundary layer in the northern mid and high latitudes (between 30° N and 90° N) is analyzed. The values of the individual budget terms will depend on whether or not

- <sup>15</sup> zoom regions are present. Table 3 lists the individual budget terms for the various model simulations. Note that the initial conditions in the table already differ, because the simulation spans two months and the budget is shown for the second month. As outlined above, it is observed that zooming changes the production (and thus the concentration) of chemically active tracers in a systematic way. Interestingly, relative large
- changes in the vertical transport are observed. With coarser resolution, the convection parameterization appears to be more effective in venting the boundary layer. With higher resolution, however, the less effective convection is partly compensated by enhanced advective vertical transport. This is expected, since the vertical motions that are associated with frontal systems are better resolved.
- The same resolution dependencies are seen in the budgets of tracers L20 (see Table 4) and L1 (not shown). In more realistic atmospheric chemistry simulations these effects will also be present, although they may be obscured by feed-back processes. For instance, the simulated concentration of the hydroxyl radical concentration (OH) will depend on the model resolution. As a consequence, the lifetime of methane and

4, 3975-4018, 2004

### TM5: a two-way nested zoom model

Title Page	
Abstract	Introduction
Conclusions	References
Tables	Figures
۱۹	►I
•	•
Back	Close
Full Screen / Esc	
Print Version	
Interactive Discussion	
© FGU 2004	

other gases will also change with the grid size.

In general, the effects of model resolution on transport and specifically sub-grid vertical mixing has been poorly explored in global atmospheric chemistry studies. This example demonstrates the power of the TM5 model to quantify resolution dependent effects in a consistent and systematic way.

#### 4. Conclusions

5

TM5 extends the functionality of TM3 by the possibility to zoom over specific regions. In this way, a local high resolution is achieved while the computational costs remain relatively low. The major advantage of a local high resolution is that the model grid
<sup>10</sup> cells are more representative for the locations at which measurements are taken, as was shown by the <sup>222</sup>Rn study presented in Sect. 3.1. Boundary conditions, which are important for longer lived species, are provided in a natural way, i.e. by a simulation on the parent grid which is run simultaneously. In the present study, regions with a highest horizontal resolution of 1°×1° are employed, but the algorithm can easily be used for
<sup>15</sup> higher horizontal resolutions.

The TM5 model is ideally suited for budget studies. The import and export budgets of specific regions can be studied as was highlighted by the artificial tracer study presented in Sect. 3.2. With such studies in mind, we have pre-defined zoom regions over Europe, North America, Africa and Asia (see http://www.phys.uu.nl/~tm5).

- In this way, global "hot-spots" of atmospheric chemistry (high emission rates of ozone precursors) can be resolved at higher resolution without dramatically increasing the computational costs. Other ongoing efforts with the TM5 model include the coupling with forecast meteorology in order to provide chemical forecasts (chemical weather) and the use of TM5 in inverse modelling studies. The use of two-way nesting is impor-
- tant for inverse modeling studies since emissions, resolved at higher resolution within zoom regions, influence the simulated concentrations also outside these regions. Synthesis inversions that optimize emissions of trace gases by minimizing the differences

4, 3975-4018, 2004

TM5: a two-way nested zoom model

M. Krol et al.



© EGU 2002

between simulations and measurements will therefore benefit from the two-way nesting approach (Bergamaschi et al., 2004). To further assist inverse modelling studies, the adjoint version of the TM5 transport routines have been coded and been used to calculate the sensitivity of specific measurements for upwind emissions (Gros et al., 2003, Gros et al., submitted, 2004<sup>1</sup>). Validation of the global transport using SF<sub>6</sub> mea-

surements is currently ongoing (Peters et al., submitted, 2004)<sup>2</sup>. Finally, TM5 can be used to study the resolution dependence of processes. In Sect. 3.2 these effects were quantified by activating and deactivating zoom regions. Specifically the vertical mixing processes were shown to depend on resolution.

#### 10 Appendix

Movie (http://www.copernicus.org/EGU/acp/acpd/4/3975/acpd-4-3975-mv01.mov) of daily L20L1 concentrations in the lowest model layer. The upper panel (R3) only the European zoom is active. In the middle panel (R5) the North American zoom regions are activated. The lowest panel depicts the differences (%) defined as 100(R5–R3)/R5.

#### **ACPD**

4, 3975-4018, 2004

# TM5: a two-way nested zoom model

Title Page		
Abstract	Introduction	
Conclusions	References	
Tables	Figures	
14	-1	
•	►	
Back	Close	
Full Scr	Full Screen / Esc	
Print Version		
Interactive Discussion		

<sup>15</sup> 

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup>Gros, V., Williams, J., Lawrence, M., Kuhlmann, R. v., Aardenne, J. v., Atlas, E., Chuck, A., Edwards, D. P., and Krol, M.: Tracing the Origin and Ages of Interlaced Atmospheric Pollution Events over the Tropical Atlantic Ocean with in-situ Measurements, Satellites, Trajectories, Emission Inventories and Global Models, J. Geophys. Res., submitted, 2004.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup>Peters, W., Krol, M. C., Bruhwiler, L., Dlugokencky, E., Dutton, G., Hirsch, A., Michalak, A. M., Miller, J. B., Dentener, F. J., Bergamaschi, P., Velthoven, P. v., and Tans, P. P.: Towards regional scale inversion using a two-way nested global model: Characterization and transport using SF6, J. Geophys. Res., submitted, 2004.

#### Description of the movie

In the upper panel of the frames (R3) the North American zoom domain is not present. As a result, the L20L1 concentration fields retain the 6×4 block structure over this region throughout the movie. After a few days, the patterns of L20L1 over North America

- in the R5 simulation show more fine structure, with higher maxima (e.g. 15 June, 28 June, 19 July at the East Coast, 23 July in Central USA). Interestingly, at the west coast of the USA, the R5 simulation clearly shows systematically lower L20L1 concentrations. In that region, L1 is emitted in relatively stagnant air (Los Angeles area). The L1 concentrations in the high resolution R5 simulation reach very high levels, i.e.
- <sup>10</sup> beyond the concentrations at which L20L1 is produced most efficiently (1000 ppt, see Fig. 8). In the R3 simulation, artificial spread of the L1 emissions leads to spurious dilution and hence lower L1 concentrations over the emission hot-spots. As a result, systematically less L20L1 is produced in the R5 simulation. Clearly, the interaction between meteorology and emissions plays an important role in the non-linear chemical production of L20L1 (and ozone). At the east coast, both the meteorological variability
- and the area with substantial L1 emissions are larger.

The main transport routes out of the North American zoom region are to the northeast towards Europe and to the south-west towards the Pacific. These transport events are variable and depend strongly on the atmospheric circulation. Transport events are clearly visible in the difference plot that is depicted in the lower panel. Due to less production of L20L1 in the R5 simulation, it appears as if the negative deviations are

- transported out of the North American domain. Differences as large as –10% are seen towards Europe (25 June, 7 July, 11 July, 30 July) and the Central Pacific (20 June, 1 July, 30 July).
- Because of two-way nesting, air flowing out from a zoom domain may flow in again later. This is most clearly observed in the difference movie, where the perturbations seem to "flow in" at the south-eastern side of the North American domain. From the difference movie it is also clear that the differences between the R3 and R5 simulations

4, 3975-4018, 2004

# TM5: a two-way nested zoom model



are generally small over most of Asia and Russia.

TM5 input fields

Table 5 lists the TM5 input fields. These fields are produced in a pre-processing step (see Sect. 2.4) that uses ECMWF data. Only a subset of all the surface fields is listed. The complete set of surface fields contains parameters that are needed for deposition

calculations, such as surface roughness, surface stress, sea ice, etc.

*Acknowledgements.* Financial support for M. Krol was provided by the European FP5 project PHOENICS. M. Botchev, P. Berkvens and J. Verwer are acknowledged for their work on the numerical foundations of the zoom algorithm. Computer facilities were provided by the Dutch NCF (Nationale computerfaciliteiten). S. Wagner is acknowledged for his constructive comments on the manuscript. N. Mihalopoulos (ECPL, University at Crete) is acknowledged for providing the <sup>222</sup>Rn measurements from Finokalia.

#### References

10

15

20

Bauer, S. E. and Langmann, B.: Analysis of a summer smog episode in the Berlin-Brandenburg region with a nested atmosphere-chemistry model, Atmos. Chem. Phys., 2, 259–270, 2002. 3978

Bergamaschi, P., Hein, R., Heimann, M., and Crutzen, P. J.: Inverse modeling of the global CO cycle 1. Inversion of CO mixing ratios, J. Geophys. Res., 105, 1909–1927, 2000. 3977

Bergamaschi, P., Behrend, H., and Jol, A.: Inverse modelling of national and EU greenhouse gas emission inventories, report of workshop "inverse modelling for potential verification of national and EU bottom-up GHG inventories, Tech. rep., JRC, 20, 2004. 3995

Berkvens, P. J. F., Botchev, M. A., Lioen, W. M., and Verwer, J. G.: A zooming technique for wind transport of air pollution, MAS-R9921, 31, CWI, Amsterdam, 1999. 3979, 3980, 3981, 3982

<sup>25</sup> Berkvens, P. J. F., Botchev, M. A., Krol, M. C., Peters, W., and Verwer, J. G.: Solving vertical transport and chemistry in air pollution models, in Atmospheric Modelling, edited by D. Chock

4, 3975-4018, 2004

# TM5: a two-way nested zoom model

Title Page		
Abstract	Introduction	
Conclusions	References	
Tables	Figures	
•	•	
Back	Close	
Full Screen / Esc		
Print Version		
Interactive Discussion		
© FGU 2004		

and G. Carmichal, 130 of IMA Volumes in Mathematics and its Applications, 1–20, Springer, Berlin, 2002. 3982

Bousquet, P., Peylin, P., Ciais, P., Quere, C. L., Friedlingstein, P., and Tans, P. P.: Regional changes in carbon dioxide fluxes of land and oceans since 1980, Science, 290, 1342–1346, 2000. 3977

5

25

- Bregman, A., Krol, M. C., Teyssèdre, H., Norton, W. A., Iwi, A., Chipperfield, M., Pitari, G., Sundet, J. K., and Lelieveld, J.: Chemistry-transport model comparison with ozone observations in the midlatitude lowermost stratosphere, J. Geophys. Res., 106, 17479–17496, 2001. 3986
- Bregman, B., Segers, A., Krol, M., Meijer, E., and Velthoven, P. v.: On the use of massconserving wind fields in chemistry-transport models, Atmos. Chem. Phys., 3, 447–457, 2003. 3986
  - Broek, M. M. P. v. d., Aalst, M. K. v., Bregman, A., Krol, M., Lelieveld, J., Toon, G. C., Garcelon, S., Hansford, G. M., Jones, R. L., and Gardiner, T. D.: The impact of model grid zooming on
- tracer transport in the 1999/2000 Arctic polar vortex, Atmos. Chem. Phys., 3, 1833–1847, 2003. 3979, 3981
  - Cosme, E., Genthon, C., Martinerie, P., Boucher, O., and Pham, M.: The sulfer cycle at highsouthern latitudes in the LMD-ZT General Circulation Model, J. Geophys. Res., 107, 4690, doi:10.1029/2002JD002149, 2002. 3978
- <sup>20</sup> Cotton, W. R., Pielke, R. A., Walko, R. L., Liston, G. E., Tremback, C. J., Jiang, H., McAnelly, R. L., Harrington, J. Y., Nicholls, M. E., Carrio, G. G., and McFadden, J. P.: RAMS 2001: Current status and future directions, Meteorol. Atmos. Phys., 82, 5–29, 2003. 3978
  - Dentener, F., Weele, M. v., Krol, M., Houweling, S., and Velthoven, P. v.: Trends and interannual variability of methane emissions derived from 1979–1993 global CTM simulations, Atm. Phys. Chem., 3, 73–88, 2003. 3980
  - Dentener, F. J., Feichter, J., and Jeuken, A. B. M.: Simulation of transport of <sup>222</sup>Rn using online and off-line global models at different horizontal resolutions, Tellus, 51B, 572–602, 1999. 3984, 3988

Dlugokencky, E., Houweling, S., Bruhwiler, L., Masarie, K. A., Lang, P. M., Miller, J. B., and

- <sup>30</sup> Tans, P. P.: Atmospheric methane levels off: Temporary pause or a new steady-state?, Geophys. Res. Lett., 20, 1992, doi:10.1029/2003GL018 126, 2003. 3977
  - Frohn, L. M., Christensen, J. H., Geels, J., Brandt, C., and Hansen, K. M.: Validation of a 3-D hemispheric nested air pollution model, Atmos. Chem. Phys. Discuss., 3, 3543–3588, 2003.

4, 3975-4018, 2004

# TM5: a two-way nested zoom model

Title Page		
Abstract	Introduction	
Conclusions	References	
Tables	Figures	
	►I	
•	►	
Back	Close	
Full Screen / Esc		
Print Version		
Interactive Discussion		
© FGU 2004		

#### 3978

10

- Grell, G. A., Emeis, S., Stockwell, W. R., Schoenemeyer, T., Forkel, R., Michalakes, J., Knoche, R., and Seidl, W.: Application of a multiscale, coupled MM5/chemistry model to the complex terrain of the VOTALP valley campaign, Atmos. Environ., 34, 1435–1453, 2000. 3978
- <sup>5</sup> Gros, V., Williams, J., Aardenne, J. A. v., Salisbury, G., Hofmann, R., Lawrence, M. G., Kuhlmann, R. v., Lelieveld, J., Krol, M., Berresheim, H., Lobert, J. M., and Atlas, E.: Origin of anthropogenic hydrocarbons and halocarbons measured in the summertime European outflow (on Crete in 2001), Atmos. Chem. Phys., 3, 1223–1235, 2003. 3995

Heimann, M., Monfray, P., and Polian, G.: Long-range transport of <sup>222</sup>Rn – a test for 3D tracer models, Chem. Geology, 70, 98–98, 1988. 3979

- Holtslag, A. A. M. and Moeng, C.-H.: Eddy diffusivity and countergradient transport in the convective atmospheric boundary layer, J. Atmos. Sci., 48, 1690–1698, 1991. 3984
  - Houweling, S., Dentener, F., and Lelieveld, J.: The impact of nonmethane hydrocarbon compounds on tropospheric photochemistry, J. Geophys. Res., 103, 10637–10696, 1998. 3979
- Houweling, S., Kaminski, T., Dentener, F., Lelieveld, J., and Heimann, M.: Inverse modeling of methane sources and sinks using the adjoint of a global transport model, J. Geophys. Res., 104, 26 137–26 160, 1999. 3977

Jacob, D. J., Crawford, J. H., Kleb, M. M., Connors, V. S., Bendura, R. J., Raper, J. L., Sachse, G. W., Gille, J. C., Emmons, L., and Heald, C. L.: Transport and chemical evolution over the

- Pacific (TRACE-P) aircraft mission: Design, execution, and first results, J. Geophys. Res., 108, 8781, doi:10.1029/2002JD003276, 2003. 3976
  - Jonson, J. E., Sundet, J. K., and Tarrasón, L.: Model calculations of present and future levels of ozone and ozone precursors with a global and a regional model, Atmos. Environ., 35, 525–537, 2001. 3978
- Kaminski, T., Heimann, M., and Giering, T.: A coarse grid three-dimensional global inverse model of the atmospheric transport, 2, Inversion of the transport of CO2 in the 1980s, J. Geophys. Res., 104, 18555–18581, 1999. 3977
  - Kouvarakis, G., Tsigaridis, K., Kanakidou, M., and Mihalopoulos, N.: Temporal variations of surface regional background ozone over Crete Island in the southeast Mediterranean, J.
- <sup>30</sup> Geophys. Res., 105, 4399–4407, 2000. 3987
  - Krol, M., Peters, W., Berkvens, P., and Botchev, M.: A new algorithm for two-way nesting in global models: principles and applications, in Proceedings 2nd Int. Conf. Air Pollution Modelling and Simulation, April 9–12, 2001, edited by B. Sportisse, 225–235, Springer, Berlin,

4, 3975-4018, 2004

# TM5: a two-way nested zoom model

M. Krol et al.

Title Page	
Abstract	Introduction
Conclusions	References
Tables	Figures
I	►I
•	►
Back	Close
Full Screen / Esc	
Print Version	
Interactive	Discussion

© EGU 2004

Heidelberg and New York, 2002. 3979, 3980, 3983

- Krol, M. C., Lelieveld, J., Sturrock, G., Penkett, S., Brenninkmeijer, C., Gros, V., Williams, J., and Scheeren, H.: Continuing emissions of methyl chloroform from Europe, Nature, 421, 131–135, 2003. 3979
- Langmann, B., Bauer, S. E., and Bey, I.: The influence of the global photochemical composition of the troposphere on European smog, Part I, Application of a global to mesoscale model chain, J. Geophys. Res., 108, 4146, doi:10.1029/2002JD002072, 2003. 3978 Lelieveld, J., Berresheim, H., Borrmann, P. J. C. S., Dentener, F. J., Fischer, H., Feichter,
  - J., Flatau, P. J., Heland, J., Korrmann, R. H. R., Lawrence, M. G., Levin, Z., Markowicz,
- K. M., Mihalopoulos, N., Minikin, A., Ramanathan, V., M, d., Roelofs, G. J., Scheeren, H. A., Sciare, J., Schlager, H., Schultz, M., Siegmund, P., Steil, B., Stephanou, E. G., Stier, P., M, M. T., Warneke, C., Williams, J., and Ziereis, H.: Global air pollution crossroads over the Mediterranean, Science, 298, 794–799, 2002a. 3976, 3979, 3987

Lelieveld, J., Peters, W., Dentener, F. J., and Krol, M. C.: Stability of tropospheric hydroxyl chemistry, J. Geophys. Res., 107, 4715, doi:10.1029/2002JD002272, 2002b. 3980

Logan, J. A.: An analysis of ozonesonde data for the troposphere: Recommendations for testing 3-D models and development of a gridded climatology for tropospheric ozone, J. Geophys. Res., 104, 16115–16150, 1999. 3977

Louis, J. F.: A parametric model of vertical eddy fluxes in the atmosphere, Boundary Layer

<sup>20</sup> Meteor., 17, 187–202, 1979. 3984

15

Mihalopoulos, N., Stephanou, E., Kanakidou, M., Pilitsidis, S., and Bousquet, P.: Tropospheric aerosol ionic composition in the Eastern Mediterranean region, Tellus, 49B, 314–326, 1997. 3987

Novelli, P. C., Masarie, K. A., Lang, P. M., Hall, B. D., Myers, R. C., and Elkins, J. W.: Reanalysis

<sup>25</sup> of tropospheric CO trends: Effects of the 1997–1998 wildfires, J. Geophys. Res., 108, 4464, doi:10.1029/2002JD003031, 2003. 3977

Olivier, J. G. and Berdowski, J.: in The Clamate System, edited by Berdowski, J., Guicherit, R., and Heij, B. J., 33–78, Balkema/Swets & Zeiringer, Lisse, 2001. 3990

Peters, W., Krol, M., Dentener, F., Thompson, A. M., and Lelieveld, J.: Chemistry-transport

- <sup>30</sup> modeling of the satellite observed distribution of tropical tropospheric ozone, Atm. Phys. Chem., 2, 103–120, 2002. 3980
  - Petersen, A. C., Spee, E. J., Dop, H. v., and Hundsdorfer, W.: An evaluation and intercomparison of four new advection schemes for use in global chemistry models, J. Geophys. Res.,

4, 3975–4018, 2004

### TM5: a two-way nested zoom model

Title Page		
Abstract	Introduction	
Conclusions	References	
Tables	Figures	
	►I	
•	•	
Back	Close	
Full Screen / Esc		
Print Version		
Interactive Discussion		
© FGU 2004		

103, 19253–19269, 1998. 3983

20

25

Prather, M.: Numerical advection by conservation of second order moments, J. Geophys. Res., 91, 6671–6681, 1986. 3983

Prinn, R. G., Weiss, R. F., Fraser, P. J., Simmonds, P. G., Cunnold, D. M., Alyea, F. N.,

O'Doherty, S., Salameh, P., Miller, B. R., Huang, J., Wang, R. H. J., Hartley, D. E., Harth, C., Steele, L. P., Sturrock, G., Midgley, P. M., and McCulloch, A.: A history of chemically and radiatively important gases in air deduced from ALE/GAGE/AGAGE, J. Geophys. Res., 105, 17751–17792, 2000. 3977

Rödenbeck, C., Houweling, S., Gloor, M., and Heimann, M.: CO<sub>2</sub> flux history 1982–2001

- <sup>10</sup> inferred from atmospheric data using a global inversion of atmospheric transport, Atmos. Chem. Phys., 3, 1919–1964, 2003. 3977
  - Russel, G. and Lerner, J.: A new finite-differencing scheme for the tracer transport equation, J. Appl. Meteorol., 20, 1483–1498, 1981. 3983, 3988

Segers, A., Velthoven, P. v., Bregman, B., and Krol, M.: On the computation of mass fluxes

- for Eulerian transport models from spectral meteorological fields, in Proceedings of the 2002 International Conference on Computational Science, Lecture Notes in Computer Science (LNCS), Springer Verlag, 2002. 3985, 3986
  - Soulhac, L., Puel, C., Duclaux, O., and Perkins, R. J.: Simulations of atmospheric pollution in Greater Lyon, An example of the use of nested models, Atmos. Environ., 37, 5147–5156, 2003. 3978
  - Stohl, A., Eckhardt, S., Forster, C., James, P., and Spichtinger, N.: On the pathways and timescales of intercontinental air pollution transport, J. Geophys. Res., 107, 4684, doi:10.1029/2001JD001396, 2002. 3977

Strang, G.: On the construction and comparison of difference schemes, SIAM J. Num. Anal., 5, 506–517, 1968. 3982

- Taghavi, M., Cautenet, S., and Foret, G.: Simulation of ozone production in a complex circulation regime using nested grids, Atmos. Chem. Phys. Discuss., 3, 3833–3867, 2003. 3978
  Tang, Y.: A case study of nesting simulation for the Southern Oxidants Study 1999 at Nashville, Atmos. Environ., 36, 1691–1705, 2002. 3978
- Tiedtke, M.: A comprehensive mass flux scheme for cumulus parameterisation in large scale models, Mon. Wea. Rev., 177, 1779–1800, 1989. 3984
  - Trickl, T., Cooper, O. R., Eisele, H., James, P., Mücke, R., and Stohl, A.: Intercontinental transport and its influence on the ozone concentrations over central Europe: Three case

4, 3975–4018, 2004

TM5: a two-way nested zoom model

Title Page		
Abstract	Introduction	
Conclusions	References	
Tables	Figures	
	►I	
•	•	
Back	Close	
Full Screen / Esc		
Print Version		
Interactive Discussion		
© EGU 2004		

studies, J. Geophys. Res., 108, 8530, doi:10.1029/2002JD002735, 2003. 3977

- Verwer, J. G., Spee, E. J., Blom, J. G., and Hundsdorfer, W.: A second order Rosenbrock method applied to photochemical dispersion problems, SIAM J. Sci. Comp., 20, 1456–1480, 1999. 3980
- <sup>5</sup> Wang, Y., Shim, C., Blake, N., Blake, D., Cho, Y., Ridley, B., Dibb, J., Wimmers, A., Moody, J., Flocke, F., Weinheimer, A., Talbot, R., and Atlas, E.: Intercontinental transport of pollution manifested in the variability and seasonal trend of springtime O3 at northern middle and high latitudes, J. Geophys. Res., 108, 4683, doi:10.1029/2003JD003592, 2003. 3977
  - Wenig, M., Spichtinger, N., Stohl, A., Held, G., Beirle, S., Wagner, T., Jähne, B., and Platt, U.: Intercontinental transport of nitrogen oxide pollution plumes, Atmos. Chem. Phys., 3, 387–393, 2003. 3977
- <sup>745</sup> Wild, O. and Akimoto, H.: Intercontinental transport of ozone and its precursors in a threedimensional global CTM, J. Geophys. Res., 106, 27729–27744, 2001. 3977

#### **ACPD**

4, 3975–4018, 2004

TM5: a two-way nested zoom model

Title Page					
Abstract	Introduction				
Conclusions	References				
Tables	Figures				
14	۲				
•	•				
Back	Close				
Full Scre	en / Esc				
Print Version					
Interactive	Discussion				
© FGL	1 2004				

4, 3975-4018, 2004

# TM5: a two-way nested zoom model

M. Krol et al.

Title Page					
Abstract	Introduction				
Conclusions	References				
Tables	Figures				
I	►I				
•	►				
Back	Close				
Full Screen / Esc					
Print Version					
Interactive Discussion					
© EGU 2004					

**Table 1.** Stepping order in a three-region simulation. ↓ denotes writing of boundary conditions to the children, X and Y denote horizontal advection, Z vertical advection, V vertical diffusion and convection, and C chemistry (including emissions and deposition). ↑ represents the update parent procedure. The first and last three lines represent the first and second half of the time step, respectively.

	t t + ΔT/2
region 1	↓X↓YZ VC
region 2	↓X↓YZ↑
region 3	CVZYX XYZVC CVZYX†CVZYX XYZVC†
	$t + \Delta T/2$ $t + \Delta T$
region 1	CVZ↓Y↓X
region 2	CVZĮYĮXVC↑
region 3	XYZVC CVZYX XYZVC

4, 3975-4018, 2004

# TM5: a two-way nested zoom model

M. Krol et al.

Title Page						
Abstract	Introduction					
Conclusions	References					
Tables	Figures					
	►I					
•	►					
Back	Close					
Full Scr	een / Esc					
Print Version						
Interactive	Discussion					
© FGI	1 2004					

Table 2. Schematic description of advection at the interface between parent (P) and child (C).

Step	Description
1	The parent writes boundary conditions in the appropriate cells of the child (j)
	P1 is copied to C0; P2 is spread over C1, C2, and C3
2	The parent is advected $\Delta T/2$ (X)
3	
4	The entire parent flux at the P1-P2 boundary is applied at the C0–C1 boundary of the interface cells From the C3–C4 boundary on, advection with the child time step $\Delta T/4$
5	
6	From the C3–C4 boundary on, a second advection step with the child time step $\Delta T/4$ Now, the flux is set to zero at the C0–C1 boundary
7	
8	The child cells are used to update the tracer masses of the parent $(\uparrow)$
	P2 becomes C1+C2+C3, P3 becomes C4+C5+C6, etc.

4, 3975-4018, 2004

# TM5: a two-way nested zoom model

M. Krol et al.

Title Page				
Abstract	Introduction			
Conclusions	References			
Tables	Figures			
	<u>▶</u>			
•	►			
Back	Close			
Full Scre	en / Esc			
Print V	ersion			
Interactive Discussion				
© EGU	J 2004			

**Table 3.** Budget in August 2001 of tracer L20L1 in the atmospheric boundary layer (surface– 850 hPa) between 30° N and 90° N for the different simulations in 10<sup>9</sup> moles L20L1. R1 means only global 6°×4°; R2: +European zoom 3°×2°; R3: +European zoom 1°×1°; R5: + two North American zoom region on 3°×2° and 1°×1°. "Initial" and "Final" refer to the burden at the beginning and end of August, respectively. *y* and *z* refer to the net transport in the latitudional and vertical directions. "Conv": convection;  $P_{chem}$ : chemical production;  $L_{chem}$ : chemical loss.

	Initial	У	Z	Conv	$P_{chem}$	L <sub>chem</sub>	Final
R1	94	-69	-118	-212	+560	-154	102
R2	93	-66	-129	-204	+558	-152	101
R3	92	-65	-133	-198	+555	-150	101
R5	90	-61	-142	-176	+529	-145	96

4, 3975-4018, 2004

# TM5: a two-way nested zoom model

M. Krol et al.



**Table 4.** Budget in August 2001 of tracer L20 in 10<sup>9</sup> moles. "Emis": emission. Other symbols: see header Table 3.

	Initial	У	Z	Conv	Emis	L <sub>chem</sub>	Final
R1	17	-11	-23	-92	+154	-28	18
R2	17	-10	-26	-90	+154	-27	18
R3	17	-10	-28	-88	+154	-27	18
R5	16	-8	-33	-85	+154	-26	17

**Table 5.** Overview of the TM5 input fields that are produced using ECMWF data. 2-D refers to latitude×longitude fields. 3-D fields are resolved in height. Fields associated with boundary layer diffusion and the surface fields are resolved with a 3-h resolution. Fields related to surface processes are resolved at a spatial resolution of  $1^{\circ} \times 1^{\circ}$ .

Name	Dimension	Unit	Δt (hour)	description
ps	2-D	Pa	6	surface pressure
pu	3-D	kg s <sup>−1</sup>	6	eastwards mass flux
pv	3-D	kg s <sup>−1</sup>	6	northward mass flux
t	3-D	K	6	temperature
q	3-D	kg kg <sup>-1</sup>	6	specific humidity
lwc	3-D	kg kg <sup>−1</sup>	6	cloud liquid water content
iwc	3-D	kg kg <sup>-1</sup>	6	cloud ice water content
сс	3-D	0-1	6	fractional cloud cover
eu	3-D	kg m <sup>-2</sup> s <sup>-1</sup>	6	entrainment updraft
du	3-D	kg m <sup>-2</sup> s <sup>-1</sup>	6	detrainment updraft
ed	3-D	kg m <sup>-2</sup> s <sup>-1</sup>	6	entrainment downdraft
dd	3-D	kg m <sup>-2</sup> s <sup>-1</sup>	6	detrainment downdraft
clbas	2-D	—	6	level of cloud base
cltop	2-D	—	6	level of cloud top
cllfs	2-D	_	6	level of free sinking
kz	3-D	m <sup>2</sup> s <sup>-1</sup>	3	diffusion coefficient
blh	2-D	m	3	boundary layer height
oro	2-D 1°×1°	m <sup>2</sup> s <sup>-2</sup>	constant	surface geopotential (orography)
lsm	2-D 1°×1°	%	constant	land-sea mask
ср	2-D 1°×1°	ms <sup>-1</sup>	3	convective precipitation
lsp	2-D 1°×1°	ms <sup>-1</sup>	3	stratiform precipitation

#### **ACPD**

4, 3975-4018, 2004

# TM5: a two-way nested zoom model

M. Krol et al.

Title Page					
Abstract	Introduction				
Conclusions	References				
Tables	Figures				
14	►I				
•	•				
Back	Close				
Full Scr	een / Esc				
Print Version					
Interactive Discussion					

© EGU 2004



ACPD 4, 3975–4018, 2004 TM5: a two-way nested zoom model



**Fig. 1.** Horizontal resolution of the TM5 version that zooms in over Europe. Globally (blue), the resolution is  $6^{\circ} \times 4^{\circ}$  (longitude×latitude). Over Europe, the resolution is refined in two steps via  $3^{\circ} \times 2^{\circ}$  (green) to  $1^{\circ} \times 1^{\circ}$  (black). The two yellow dots denote the geographical locations of the Mace Head (Ireland) and Omsk (Russia) sampling stations (see Sect. 3.2).



Interactive Discussion

© EGU 2004

**Fig. 2.** Vertical resolution employed by the TM5 model. The dashed lines represent the 60 hybrid sigma-pressure (terrain following) levels of the operational ECMWF model. The red solid lines represent the subset employed by the 25 layer European zoom model depicted in Fig. 1. As indicated, about 5 layers represent the boundary layer, 10 the free troposphere, and the remaining 10 layers the stratosphere.

4, 3975-4018, 2004

# TM5: a two-way nested zoom model

M. Krol et al.



<p1< th=""><th colspan="2"><p1< th=""><th colspan="2">P2</th><th></th><th>P3</th><th></th><th>F</th><th>°4&gt;</th><th></th></p1<></th></p1<>	<p1< th=""><th colspan="2">P2</th><th></th><th>P3</th><th></th><th>F</th><th>°4&gt;</th><th></th></p1<>		P2			P3		F	°4>	
	C0	C1 C2 C3		C4 C5 C6		C7	C8	C9>		
		Interface								

**Fig. 3.** Schematic representation of the interface between a parent (...P1....P4...) and a child (C0....C9...). Cells C1, C2 and C3 represent the interface cells and align with parent cell P2. The refinement factor in the depicted case equals 3.



4, 3975-4018, 2004

# TM5: a two-way nested zoom model

#### M. Krol et al.



© EGU 2004

**Fig. 4.** Location of the Finokalia measurement station on Crete along with the model at various resolutions. Blue, green, and black show the  $6^{\circ} \times 4^{\circ}$ ,  $3^{\circ} \times 2^{\circ}$ , and  $1^{\circ} \times 1^{\circ}$  horizontal resolution, respectively.

4, 3975-4018, 2004

# TM5: a two-way nested zoom model

M. Krol et al.





**Fig. 5.** TM5 model simulations of <sup>222</sup>Rn compared to 2-h measurements (red crosses). Blue, green and black refer to a horizontal resolution of  $6^{\circ} \times 4^{\circ}$ ,  $3^{\circ} \times 2^{\circ}$ , and  $1^{\circ} \times 1^{\circ}$ , respectively. The grey bar indicates the time period with low wind speed.

4, 3975-4018, 2004

# TM5: a two-way nested zoom model

M. Krol et al.





**Fig. 6.** TM5 model simulations of <sup>222</sup>Rn compared to measurements (red crosses). The black line is the same as in Fig. 5 and the blue line refers to an artificial station 1° north of Finokalia. The grey bar indicates the time period with low wind speed.





© EGU 2004

**Fig. 7.** Meteorological analysis at the Finokalia station during the MINOS campaign. The brown lines in the upper and middle panel denote the measured wind speed and direction, respectively. The thick black lines are the 6-h wind speed and direction interpolated at the Finokalia station. The blue lines are interpolated to an artificial station one degree north of Finokalia. In the lower panel the modeled boundary layer heights are plotted for the two locations. The grey bar indicates the time period with low wind speed.

4, 3975-4018, 2004



**Fig. 8.** Artificial non-linear chemical production curve of L20L1 as a function of the L1 concentration. The maximum value of 10, for instance, implies that for each molecule of L1 that is lost at a concentration of 1000 ppt, a chemical production of 10 L20L1 molecules has been applied.

TM5: a two-way nested zoom model





Fig. 9. The last frame of a movie (see Appendix) that depicts the simulated L20L1 concentration in the lowest model layer. The upper panel shows the simulation with only the European zoom domains active (R3, the location of the zoom regions is denoted by the white frames). The middle panel shows the simulation with also the North American zoom domain active (R5). The lower panel depicts the % difference calculated as 100(R5-R3)/R5. Here, the focus is on the area outside the American zoom region. 4016

#### **ACPD**

4, 3975-4018, 2004

# TM5: a two-way nested zoom model

M. Krol et al.

Title Page				
Abstract	Introduction			
Conclusions	References			
Tables	Figures			
14	ÞI			
•	►			
Back	Close			
Full Scr	een / Esc			
Print Version				
Interactive Discussion				

© EGU 2004



**Fig. 10.** Upper panel: the simulated L20L1 concentration as a function of time at station Mace Head (Ireland, see Fig. 1) for the simulation with both the North American and European zoom domain activated (R5). The lower panel shows the concentration differences with three other simulations. Red: only the European zoom active (R3). Green: only the European zoom at  $3^{\circ} \times 2^{\circ}$  active (R2). Blue: no zoom regions active (R1). A value smaller than zero in the lower panel implies that the R5 simulation produces higher concentrations.

#### **ACPD**

4, 3975-4018, 2004

# TM5: a two-way nested zoom model





**Fig. 11.** Upper panel: the simulated L20L1 concentration as a function of time at station Omsk (Russia, see Fig. 1) location for the simulation with both the North American and European zoom domain activated (R5). The lower panel shows the concentration differences with three other simulations. Red: only the European zoom active (R3). Green: only the European zoom at  $3^{\circ} \times 2^{\circ}$  active (R2). Blue: no zoom regions active (R1). A value lower than zero in the lower panel implies that the R5 simulation produces higher concentrations.

#### ACPD

4, 3975-4018, 2004

#### TM5: a two-way nested zoom model

M. Krol et al.



© EGU 2004