

Interactive comment on “Soil-atmosphere exchange of CH₄, CO₂, NO_x, and N₂O in the Colorado Shortgrass Steppe following five years of elevated CO₂ and N fertilization” by A. R. Mosier et al.

A. R. Mosier et al.

Received and published: 13 June 2003

Response to Anonymous Referee #1: From A.R. Mosier

The reviewer has some good suggestions for improving the manuscript. Following is response to each comment:

1. Title change: Effect of water addition and nitrogen fertilization on the fluxes of CH₄, CO₂, NO_x, and N₂O following five years of elevated CO₂ in the Colorado Shortgrass Steppe
2. Introduction and Discussion have been modified. See response to specific comments.

3. Specific Comments:

Abstract has been modified to: Abstract. An open-top-chamber (OTC) CO₂ enrichment ($\sim 720 \mu\text{mol mol}^{-1}$) study was conducted in the Colorado shortgrass steppe from April 1997 through October 2001. Aboveground plant biomass increased under elevated CO₂ and soil moisture content was typically higher than under ambient CO₂ conditions. Fluxes of CH₄, CO₂, NO_x and N₂O, measured weekly year round were not significantly altered by CO₂ enrichment over the 55 month period of observation. During early summer of 2002, following the removal of the open-top-chambers from the CO₂ enrichment sites in October 2001, we conducted a short term study to determine if soil microbial processes were altered in soils that had been exposed to double ambient CO₂ concentrations during the growing season for the past five years. Microplots were established within each experimental site and 10 mm of water or 10 mm of water containing the equivalent of 10 g m⁻² of ammonium nitrate-N was applied to the soil surface. Fluxes of CO₂, CH₄, NO_x and N₂O fluxes within control (unchambered), ambient CO₂ and elevated CO₂ OTCs soils were measured at one to three day intervals for the next month. With water addition alone, CO₂ and NO emission did not differ between ambient and elevated CO₂ soils, while CH₄ uptake rates were higher and N₂O fluxes lower in elevated CO₂ soils. Adding water and mineral N resulted in increased CO₂ emissions, increased CH₄ uptake and decreased NO emissions in elevated CO₂ soils. The N addition study confirmed previous observations that soil respiration is enhanced under elevated CO₂ and N immobilization is increased, thereby decreasing NO emission.

Introduction has been modified:

Introduction

During the past few decades the atmospheric concentration of CO₂ has increased at historically unprecedented rates, as have N₂O and CH₄ concentrations (IPCC, 2001). Increasing CO₂ concentrations will have a direct effect on plant production and plant

[Full Screen / Esc](#)[Print Version](#)[Interactive Discussion](#)[Discussion Paper](#)

communities and indirectly feed back into a number of soil biotic systems that influence long term ecosystem viability (Hungate et al. 1997a,b,c; Owensby et al. 1993a). The impact of elevated CO₂ on the shortgrass steppe, which is used extensively for grazing and is similar to regions which occupy about 8 % of the U.S. and about 11% of global land area (Bailey, 1979) has not been previously addressed. These interactive feedbacks on the soil C and N cycles and their influence on trace gas fluxes have potentially important impacts on the global atmospheric budgets of the gases and the long term sustainability of the grassland. Earlier studies within the shortgrass steppe have demonstrated that such grasslands play an important role as consumers of atmospheric CH₄, and producers of N₂O (Mosier et al. 1991; 1996; 1997). Doubling CO₂ had little impact on trace gas fluxes in the shortgrass steppe (Morgan et al. 2001; Mosier et al. 2002a). The few measurements of NO_x, N₂O, CH₄ and CO₂ fluxes in CO₂ enrichment studies in other ecosystems have given contradictory results. Growth chamber studies suggest that plant C/N ratios, nitrogen use efficiency and water use efficiency all increase under elevated CO₂ (Drake et al. 1996; Morgan et al. 1994; Rogers et al. 1994). In the short term, increases in soil moisture content resulting from higher water use efficiency (Hungate et al. 1997a,b,c) may accelerate rates of C and N mineralization, increasing N availability for plant uptake. Over the long term, however, decreased litter quality is expected to increase N immobilization rates and reduce N availability for plant uptake. From these observations we hypothesized that initially elevated CO₂ would induce increased soil moisture and increased N mineralization rates (Hunt et al. 1988). As a result, CO₂, NO and N₂O emissions should increase on the short term under elevated CO₂, while CH₄ uptake should decrease. Over the longer term, however, increased C/N ratios in plant litter and roots would result in longer term decreases in N mineralization rates, and decreased NO and N₂O fluxes would be observed. To test these responses, Mosier et al. (2002a) monitored the soil-atmosphere exchange of CO₂, NO_x, N₂O and CH₄ weekly, year-round, April 1997 to November 2001 on unchambered control, ambient CO₂ and ~720 μmol mol⁻¹ CO₂ experimental plots in the Colorado shortgrass steppe. Mosier et al. (2002a; 2002b) observed no

[Full Screen / Esc](#)[Print Version](#)[Interactive Discussion](#)[Discussion Paper](#)

statistically significant CO₂ enrichment effect on ecosystem respiration, oxidation of atmospheric CH₄, or emissions of NO_x or N₂O. Methane oxidation tended to be higher under elevated CO₂ while NO_x and N₂O tended to be lower, but not significantly in either case. Above ground biomass production was higher under elevated CO₂ (Morgan et al. 2001), which utilized more soil N (King et al. 2003). However, soil N mineralization was probably enhanced under elevated CO₂ because of more moist soils (Hungate et al. 1997a,b,c). The two opposing processes apparently offset each other because NO_x and N₂O emissions, which reflect system N mineralization and nitrification, did not differ. Ecosystem respiration, which included soil and aboveground plant respiration, was not generally higher under elevated CO₂ (Mosier et al. 2002a). During the 5 years of the study trace gas exchange measurements suggested that soil microbial processes were not greatly altered under double CO₂ concentrations, at least in the short term. Plant production during a year of above average rainfall did, however, appear to be limited by N availability (King et al. 2003). By analyzing the concentration of soil CO₂ at different depths in the OTCs and calculating soil respiration, Pendall et al. (2003) and found that elevated CO₂ increased soil respiration by about 25% in a moist growing season and by about 85% in a dry season. Significant increases in soil respiration rates occurred only during dry periods. ¹³C analyses of soil CO₂ revealed that soil organic matter decomposition rates were more than doubled under elevated CO₂ whereas rhizosphere respiration rates were not changed. Estimates of net ecosystem production, which account for both inputs and losses of carbon, suggest that soil carbon sequestration is not increased under elevated CO₂ during dry years, but may be in wet years (Pendall et al., in review). We were interested to determine if residual effects on microbial processes persisted following CO₂ enrichment. During early summer of the year following the removal of the open-top chambers from the CO₂ enrichment sites we conducted a short term study to determine if soil microbial processes were altered in soils that had been exposed to double ambient CO₂ concentrations during the growing season for the past five years. The response of emissions of CO₂, NO_x and N₂O and the uptake of atmospheric CH₄ to water addition and water and mineral

[Full Screen / Esc](#)[Print Version](#)[Interactive Discussion](#)[Discussion Paper](#)

nitrogen fertilization to soils that had or had not been exposed to elevated CO₂ are reported in this paper.

Materials and methods Pg 2695 section 2.1. No changes were made in Table 1 because after 5 years under elevated CO₂ the soil total C and total N content did not change detectably from the beginning of the study. Also, soil water holding characteristics did not change. Soil moisture content was the same in all experimental plots at the start of the water/N addition study.

Results section

Pg 2698, line 15, section 3.1 has been modified to: Trace Gas Fluxes. During the five year study prior to the N-addition experiment NO_x flux averaged 4.3 in ambient and 4.1 $\mu\text{g N m}^{-2} \text{ hr}^{-1}$ in elevated chambers. NO_x flux was negatively correlated to plant biomass production. Nitrous oxide emission rates averaged 1.8 and 1.7 $\mu\text{g N m}^{-2} \text{ hr}^{-1}$, CH₄ flux rates averaged -31 and -34 $\mu\text{g C m}^{-2} \text{ hr}^{-1}$ and ecosystem respiration averaged 43 and 44 $\text{mg C m}^{-2} \text{ hr}^{-1}$ under ambient and elevated CO₂, respectively, over the same time period (Mosier et al. 2002a, b). We conducted the short-term N-addition to see if any residual effects of elevated CO₂ remained during the growing season following the five years of CO₂ fumigation and found that some important changes in soil microbial responses resulting from CO₂ enrichment.

Pg2698 line 10, pg 2698 line 12; section changed to:

NO and N₂O Emissions. NO emissions from the control soils were higher than from soils that had been under ambient or CO₂ enriched chambers when the soils were irrigated (Table 2). This response was similar to the long term NO fluxes observed where control soil NO emissions averaged 11 $\mu\text{g m}^{-2} \text{ hr}^{-1}$ compared to 4.3 and 4.1 in ambient and CO₂ enriched chambers (Mosier et al. 2002a). Plant production and uptake of nitrogen was opposite this trend with plant biomass being greater under elevated CO₂ >ambient CO₂>unchambered control (Morgan et al. 2001). This suggests that N availability for NO production by nitrifiers is partly regulated by plant uptake (Mosier

[Full Screen / Esc](#)[Print Version](#)[Interactive Discussion](#)[Discussion Paper](#)

et al. 2002a). Nitrous oxide emissions were significantly lower ($P < 0.05$) from soils that had been exposed to elevated CO_2 (Table 2). This may also reflect the lower N availability to soil microbes under elevated CO_2 due to enhanced plant production (King et al. 2003). Both N_2O and to a lesser extent NO emissions increased following water application (Fig. 1 a & b). Over the measurement period when water alone was added, $\text{NO}/\text{N}_2\text{O}$ ratios ranged between 2 and 5 with the highest in the elevated CO_2 soils. When NH_4NO_3 was added N_2O flux tripled in elevated CO_2 soils ($P < 0.05$), and increased slightly in control and ambient chamber soils ($P > 0.05$). NO emissions and N_2O emissions in N-fertilized soils increased markedly following each irrigation and precipitation event (Figs. 1 a, b, & e). Nitric oxide fluxes increased almost 10 fold with N addition in control and ambient CO_2 soils but only about 5-fold in elevated CO_2 soils. NO emissions were significantly lower from elevated CO_2 soils than from control or ambient CO_2 soils, again indicating the lower availability of N in the elevated CO_2 soils. Hungate et al. (1997 b,c) found that, during wet up, NO emissions were depressed by 55% in high nutrient conditions under elevated CO_2 (ambient + 360 $\mu\text{mol mol}^{-1}$) while there was no difference among treatments in N_2O emissions. They attributed the decreased NO emissions under elevated CO_2 to increased N immobilization. Increased utilization of added N by soil microbes, thus a decrease in NO emissions, appears to be the case in this study as well (Table 2).

Pg 2699 line 26, pg 2700 line 1: section changed to:

CO_2 and CH_4 Fluxes. Plant growth during the time of the study was virtually nonexistent because of the very low amount of precipitation that had fallen in the preceding year. Ecosystem CO_2 flux (dark chamber respiration which includes plant, root and soil microbial respiration) increases following water addition were similar in all soils (Fig. 1d; Table 2). Only with water + N addition did CO_2 fluxes from elevated CO_2 soils exceed those from control and ambient soils ($P < 0.05$). Microbial respiration appears to be enhanced under elevated CO_2 (Pendall et al., 2003), especially when microbes are not limited by water or N availability. N addition appeared to stimulate soil microbial res-

[Full Screen / Esc](#)[Print Version](#)[Interactive Discussion](#)[Discussion Paper](#)

piration while decreasing NO emissions because of increased microbial immobilization of added N. Hu et al. (2001) suggest that over the long term, soil microbial decomposition is slowed under elevated CO₂ because of N limitation. The rate of uptake of atmospheric CH₄ was significantly greater ($P < 0.05$) in elevated CO₂ soils than either control or ambient CO₂ soils (Fig. 1c; Table 2). CH₄ uptake rates were not measurably enhanced with N addition in control or ambient CO₂ soils but tended to be greater in elevated CO₂ soils ($P > 0.05$). During the 5-years of CO₂ enrichment CH₄ uptake rates tended to be higher under elevated CO₂. This short term study suggests that a microbial population developed under elevated CO₂ which tended to increase utilization of atmospheric CH₄. Ineson et al. (1998) observed lower CH₄ uptake rates under elevated CO₂ within a free-atmosphere CO₂-enrichment (FACE) study in Switzerland. They also observed lower CO₂ respiration rates and increased N₂O emissions under elevated CO₂. McLain et al. (2002) also observed lower CH₄ consumption rates under elevated CO₂ in a pine plantation. The decrease in CH₄ consumption was attributed, in part to wetter soils under elevated CO₂. Soil conditions in the pine forest were likely much more comparable to the grassland soils in Switzerland (Ineson et al. 1998) than to the much drier conditions in the Colorado shortgrass steppe. The wetter soil conditions under elevated CO₂ in the Colorado grassland (Ferretti et al. 2003) likely produced more favorable conditions for methanotrophic activity, rather than limiting CH₄ diffusion into the soil in the Swiss grassland (Ineson et al. 1998) and the pine forest (McLain et al. 2002).

Technical Corrections have been made in text

Interactive comment on Atmos. Chem. Phys. Discuss., 3, 2691, 2003.

[Full Screen / Esc](#)[Print Version](#)[Interactive Discussion](#)[Discussion Paper](#)