

Interactive comment on “Global distribution of tropospheric ozone from satellite measurements using the empirically corrected tropospheric ozone residual technique: Identification of the regional aspects of air pollution” by J. Fishman et al.

J. de Laat

a.t.j.de.laat@sron.nl

Received and published: 9 April 2003

The authors present the results of a retrieval algorithm of the total tropospheric O₃ column (TTOC). The use of a large number of satellite observations with a high horizontal resolution provides potentially a very detailed global picture of the TTOC. The procedure that is being followed is based on two different types of satellite observations of O₃: TOMS (total columns) and SBUV (profiles). The procedure is to derive the stratospheric O₃ column (SOC) from the SBUV profiles, and then subtract this from the total

Full Screen / Esc

Print Version

Interactive Discussion

Discussion Paper

O3 column (TOC) from TOMS.

This procedure differs from the one presented by Fishman and Balok [JGR, 1999] because the Logan tropospheric O3 climatology [Logan, JGR, 1999] is also included. The procedure is as follows. The SOC is determined by first empirically adjusting the SBUV-TTOC so that it equals the Logan climatology. Then, the SBUV-TTOC is subtracted from the SBUV-TOC to obtain the SBUV-SOC. After that, the SBUV-SOC is subtracted from TOMS-TOC, and the residual is the TTOC.

Formula-wise: the SBUV-TOC is the sum of the SBUV-SOC and the Logan-TTOC:

$$\text{SBUV-TOC} = \text{SBUV-SOC} + \text{Logan-TTOC}$$

$$\text{TTOC} = \text{TOMS-TOC} - \text{SBUV-SOC} = \text{TOMS-TOC} - \text{SBUV-TOC} + \text{Logan-TTOC}.$$

Now let us assume an ideal case, so that the satellite observations are perfect (no errors). In that case:

$$\text{SBUV-TOC} = \text{TOMS-TOC} \text{ or } \text{SBUV-TOC} - \text{TOMS-TOC} = 0$$

And thus

$$\text{TTOC} = [\text{TOMS-TOC} - \text{SBUV-TOC}] + \text{Logan-TTOC} = + \text{Logan-TTOC}$$

Conclusion: for the ideal case what one retrieves is only the Logan-climatology. Furthermore, my interpretation then would be that what is being retrieved is the Logan-climatology modified with the differences between TOMS and SBUV. This would for example explain why the "GHOST" features [Cuavas, JGR, 2001] occur in the TTOC. The "GHOST" feature is a TOMS related feature, which could very well be absent in the SBUV retrieval.

I therefore have two questions:

1) is this reasoning correct? If so, then I would argue that this is not a valid way to retrieve the TTOC.

[Full Screen / Esc](#)
[Print Version](#)
[Interactive Discussion](#)
[Discussion Paper](#)

2) what is the reason of including the Logan-climatology in the retrieval ?

Apart from these questions about the retrieval concept, there are some other concerns.

In Figure 3 it is shown that in the retrieval surface elevation features can be discerned very well. However, if the retrieval more or less shows the Logan-climatology, This is would be no surprise if in the Logan-climatology the surface were taken into account (which I assume is being done).

Furthermore, in Figure 5 it is shown that there are regions with a very high TTOC during local summer over China and the Ganges Valley in India. The authors link these features to the population density, which in a way is a derivative of surface pollution emissions. Although these features could very well be real, I have concerns about the seasonality of the feature. According to Figure 1 this feature occurs during local summer (JJA). However, as far as I know highest surface O₃ concentrations do not occur during local summer, but during local spring (MAM, at least for India an Japan). As an example, during JJA the O₃ concentrations over India are low because it is the wet season with strong convective activity over India. The convection removes O₃ precursors by wet deposition, limits the photochemical activity because clouds reduce the solar insolation and the air masses are low in O₃ to start with, because the convection is fueled by transport of warm humid air masses from the central equatorial Indian Ocean. Furthermore, the strong convection will mix the entire troposphere, hence O₃ mixing ratios will be low throughout the column. On the other hand, it is known that during winter free tropospheric O₃ concentrations are high over India [see for example: de Laat, ACP, 2002], and only boundary layer O₃ concentrations are low. It can therefore be expected that the TTOC is higher during winter or spring than during summer. I am therefore not sure if the observed feature is real.

Interactive comment on Atmos. Chem. Phys. Discuss., 3, 1453, 2003.

[Full Screen / Esc](#)[Print Version](#)[Interactive Discussion](#)[Discussion Paper](#)