

***Interactive comment on “Assessment of possible airborne impact from nuclear risk sites - Part II: probabilistic analysis of atmospheric transport patterns in Euro-Arctic region” by A. G. Mahura and A. A. Baklanov***

**H. van Dop (Referee)**

H.VANDOP@PHYS.UU.NL

Received and published: 5 November 2003

General comment

I have given my comments on the companion paper, part I and I would like to refer to that comment.

Since I think that part II is only an extension of the results to ~10 other nuclear I have no particular comments on part II. There are no new views or concepts in part II, so I would suggest to merge the two papers, unless the authors have strong arguments to present their results as separate papers.

technical comment In both paper the majority of the references is to Institute reports et cetera. I am not sure whether that's in line with the journal's policy.

---

Interactive comment on Atmos. Chem. Phys. Discuss., 3, 5319, 2003.

Interactive  
Comment

Full Screen / Esc

Print Version

Interactive Discussion

Discussion Paper