Atmos. Chem. Phys. Discuss., 3, S1625–S1625, 2003 www.atmos-chem-phys.org/acpd/3/S1625/ © European Geosciences Union 2003



ACPD

3, S1625–S1625, 2003

Interactive Comment

## Interactive comment on "Weekly cycle of NO<sub>2</sub> by GOME measurements: A signature of anthropogenic sources" by S. Beirle et al.

S. Beirle et al.

Received and published: 1 October 2003

In our reply to H. Eskes we stated that "for most places we can exclude an impact of systematic errors in the stratospheric estimation" (AC S1603). However, our revised study, performed for summer and winter seperately, revealed that indeed the stratospheric estimation is significantly biased (i.e. overestimated over northwestern Europe), and, moreover, that this effect is different for summer and winter, thus crucially limiting the quantitative comparison of summer and winter weekly cycles to each other.

Interactive comment on Atmos. Chem. Phys. Discuss., 3, 3451, 2003.

## Full Screen / Esc

**Print Version** 

Interactive Discussion

**Discussion Paper** 

## © EGU 2003