

**New-particle  
formation: SATURN**

F. Stratmann et al.

# New-particle formation events in a continental boundary layer: First results from the SATURN experiment

F. Stratmann<sup>1</sup>, H. Siebert<sup>1</sup>, G. Spindler<sup>1</sup>, B. Wehner<sup>1</sup>, D. Althausen<sup>1</sup>, J. Heintzenberg<sup>1</sup>, O. Hellmuth<sup>1</sup>, R. Rinke<sup>1</sup>, U. Schmieder<sup>1</sup>, C. Seidel<sup>1</sup>, T. Tuch<sup>1</sup>, U. Uhrner<sup>1</sup>, A. Wiedensohler<sup>1</sup>, U. Wandinger<sup>1</sup>, M. Wendisch<sup>1</sup>, D. Schell<sup>2</sup>, and A. Stohl<sup>3</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Institute for Tropospheric Research, Leipzig, Germany

<sup>2</sup>enviscope GmbH, Frankfurt/Main, Germany

<sup>3</sup>Technical University of Munich, Freising, Germany

Received: 6 February 2003 – Accepted: 20 March 2003 – Published: 27 March 2003

Correspondence to: F. Stratmann (straddi@tropos.de)

Title Page

Abstract

Introduction

Conclusions

References

Tables

Figures

◀

▶

◀

▶

Back

Close

Full Screen / Esc

Print Version

Interactive Discussion

© EGU 2003

## Abstract

During the SATURN experiment, which took place from 27 May to 4 June 2002, new particle formation in the continental boundary layer was investigated. Simultaneous ground-based and tethered-balloon-borne measurements were performed, including meteorological parameters, particle number concentrations and size distributions, gaseous precursor concentrations and SODAR and LIDAR observations.

Newly formed particles were observed inside the residual layer, before the break-up process of the nocturnal inversion, and inside the mixing layer throughout the break-up of the nocturnal inversion and during the evolution of the planetary boundary layer.

## 1. Introduction

Atmospheric aerosol particles influence climate (e.g. Charlson and Heintzenberg, 1995) and human health (e.g. Dockery and Pope, 1994). An important source of atmospheric aerosols is new particle formation from gaseous precursors, i.e. homogeneous nucleation. If newly formed particles grow up to diameters about of 50 nm and larger they become climatically relevant as particles in this size range can act as cloud condensation nuclei (CCN). A changing number of CCN may affect the size of cloud droplets and consequently the radiative properties of clouds. This effect is called the indirect aerosol effect on climate. If the newly formed particles grow up to sizes above 100 nm, they start to scatter light efficiently and alter the radiative properties of the Earth's atmosphere directly. This effect is called the direct effect of aerosol particles on climate.

Nucleation, usually detected as enhanced number concentration of particles in the size range below 10 nm, has been observed in Arctic areas (Wiedensohler et al., 1996; Pirjola et al., 1998), in marine locations (e.g. Hoppel et al., 1994; O'Dowd et al., 1999) and in continental locations, such as boreal forests (Mäkälä et al., 1997), remote (e.g. Weber et al., 1997), polluted sites (e.g. Birmili and Wiedensohler, 2000; McMurry et

## New-particle formation: SATURN

F. Stratmann et al.

Title Page

Abstract

Introduction

Conclusions

References

Tables

Figures

◀

▶

◀

▶

Back

Close

Full Screen / Esc

Print Version

Interactive Discussion

al., 2000), the free troposphere (Clarke, 1992; Schröder and Ström, 1997), and in the vicinity of evaporating clouds (Hegg et al., 1990; Wiedensohler et al., 1997; Keil and Wendisch, 2001).

To date, the fundamental processes causing nucleation and subsequent growth into the size-range of a few nanometers are still not well understood. Most likely there is not only one mechanism that controls atmospheric nucleation processes. Weber et al. (1999) e.g. suggested that nucleation mechanisms may vary with altitude. Other researchers noted that favourable atmospheric conditions, such as turbulence due to breaking Kelvin-Helmholtz waves (Bigg, 1997) or boundary layer mixing processes (Easter and Peters, 1994; Nilsson and Kulmala, 1998; Hellmuth and Helmert, 2002; Uhrner et al., 2002), and atmospheric waves in general (Nilsson et al., 2000) can enhance nucleation rates by up to several orders of magnitude. However, most of the measurements reported in the literature are single point measurements and therefore not suitable to gain insight into the above mechanisms.

In this work, which reports first results from the SATURN (“Strahlung, vertikaler Austausch, Turbulenz und Partikel-Neubildung”, radiation, vertical exchange, turbulence and new particle formation) experiment, a new approach is presented. Instead of performing only single point measurements, vertical soundings of meteorological parameters such as wind, temperature, relative humidity, and particle number concentrations were carried out utilizing a unique balloon-borne measurement platform. In addition, ground-based measurements of particle number size distributions and gaseous precursor concentrations (SO<sub>2</sub>, NH<sub>3</sub>) were performed at the site where the balloon was launched. Furthermore, at two additional locations (distance to the balloon site is about 40 km) particle number size distributions were measured. To gain information about the vertical structure and the development of the boundary layer, SODAR measurements were performed at the balloon site and a LIDAR was operated at about 50 km apart from to the balloon site.

---

**New-particle  
formation: SATURN**F. Stratmann et al.

---

[Title Page](#)[Abstract](#)[Introduction](#)[Conclusions](#)[References](#)[Tables](#)[Figures](#)[◀](#)[▶](#)[◀](#)[▶](#)[Back](#)[Close](#)[Full Screen / Esc](#)[Print Version](#)[Interactive Discussion](#)

## 2. SATURN experiment

### 2.1. Experimental sites

During the SATURN experiment, measurements were taken at three measurement sites (Fig. 1), i.e. Melpitz, Collm, and Panitzsch. All three sites can be classified as rural, urban influenced with varying distances to the city of Leipzig. Additionally, LIDAR measurements were performed at the Institute for Tropospheric Research (IfT) in Leipzig.

Melpitz: The Melpitz site ( $51.526^{\circ}$  N,  $12.928^{\circ}$  E, 87 m a.s.l.), located about 42 km north-east of Leipzig, is the permanent research station of the IfT and is situated near the village Melpitz in the vicinity of the city of Torgau (river Elbe valley). The station is located on a flat meadow (approximately 400 m  $\times$  500 m) surrounded by agricultural land. The nearest road is passing by around 1.5 km to the north. Edges of forests are 2.5 km to the north (Dübener Heide) and 1 km to the south (Dahlener Heide). For further information see [Spindler et al. \(1996\)](#) and [Spindler et al. \(2001\)](#).

Collm: The measurement site Collm is located on the isolated hill Collm ( $51.3077^{\circ}$  N,  $13.0026^{\circ}$  E, 230 m a.s.l.) approximately 40 km south-east of Leipzig. It is surrounded by small villages, forests, and agriculturally used areas and features a busy national road approximately 2 km from the measurement site. The hill is mainly covered with forest. The building of Collm Observatory of the Leipzig University hosted the measurement. Instrumentation was set up on the highest floor of the building and the aerosol inlet was mounted 1 m above the building, corresponding to a height of 25 m above ground.

Panitzsch: The site in the village Panitzsch ( $51.361^{\circ}$  N,  $12.544^{\circ}$  E, 139 m a.s.l., approximately 10 km to the east of Leipzig) was located at the edge of a residential area. The measurement van was set up 5 m from a local road and approximately

Title Page

Abstract

Introduction

Conclusions

References

Tables

Figures

◀

▶

◀

▶

Back

Close

Full Screen / Esc

Print Version

Interactive Discussion

20 m from a wheat field to the east. The surrounding area is flat and open to the east and north. The aerosol inlet was mounted on a 10-m mast. The height of the nearby housing was approximately 1 m below the inlet height.

2.2. Balloon-borne measurements of turbulence, trace gas, and particle number concentration in Melpitz

To measure the vertical distribution of particle number concentrations and turbulence (wind vector, temperature, and humidity) the tethered-balloon-borne payload ACTOS (Airship-borne Cloud Turbulence Observation System) was used. This system was originally developed to measure turbulence and microphysical parameters in boundary-layer clouds (Siebert et al., 2003). For the SATURN-experiment ACTOS was equipped with the following instruments.

- An ultrasonic (“sonic”) anemometer/thermometer (Solent HS, Gill Instruments Ltd., Lymington, United Kingdom) was used to measure the three-dimensional wind vector with 100-Hz resolution (Siebert and Muschinski, 2001). To correct the measured wind vector for altitude and lateral motion a navigation unit including GPS (Global Positioning System) and inertial sensors was included. The measurement height was derived from the static pressure measured with a barometer (PTB220, Vaisala Oy, Helsinki, Finland) and from GPS. The humidity fluctuations were determined with a closed Lyman- $\alpha$  absorption hygrometer which was calibrated against a slow more accurate capacitive sensor (Humicap HMP232, Vaisala Oy, Helsinki, Finland). The temperature fluctuations were measured with fine-wire sensors (UFT-B, see Haman et al., 2000), additionally the virtual temperature was derived from the speed of sound as measured with the sonic. Both probes were calibrated with an airborne thermometer (PT-100, T-139, Rosemount Inc., Eagan, MN, USA). A real-time data acquisition system with telemetry link for ground monitoring completes the equipment of ACTOS.

- Two condensation particle counters (CPCs, model 3762, TSI Inc., St. Paul, MN, 1697

New-particle formation: SATURN

F. Stratmann et al.

Title Page

Abstract

Introduction

Conclusions

References

Tables

Figures

◀

▶

◀

▶

Back

Close

Full Screen / Esc

Print Version

Interactive Discussion

---

**New-particle  
formation: SATURN**F. Stratmann et al.

---

Title Page

Abstract

Introduction

Conclusions

References

Tables

Figures

◀

▶

◀

▶

Back

Close

Full Screen / Esc

Print Version

Interactive Discussion

© EGU 2003

USA) were run in parallel for particle number concentration measurements. Both CPCs were operated at a flow rate of  $1.5 \text{ l min}^{-1}$  but at different temperature differences  $\Delta T$  between saturator and condenser (CPC-I  $\Delta T = 18 \text{ K}$  and CPC-II  $\Delta T = 24 \text{ K}$ ). [Banse et al. \(2001\)](#) determined the lower cut-off sizes of the TSI-3762 at 20 K and 23 K to 8 and 5 nm. For  $\Delta T = 18$  and 24 K, the lower cut-off size can be estimated to about 10 and 5 nm, respectively. From the two number concentrations  $N_I$  and  $N_{II}$  measured with these CPCs, the number concentration of particles in the diameter range 5–10 nm was determined. The time resolution of the concentration measurements is in the order of one second ([Buzorius et al., 1998](#)).

- For the detection of  $\text{SO}_2$  gas-phase concentrations a THERMO ENVIRONMENTAL, Model 43C Trace Level Instrument with a time resolution of 10 s was used. Since this instrument is designed for ground-based operation, it was modified with respect to weight reduction and power supply. Zero-point stabilization and span of the signal have been checked each day in the field by using an activated carbon filter bag and a 20 ppb standard-gas Teflon bag, respectively.

The complete system ACTOS is about 4 m in length and the payload is about 110 kg. The setup is illustrated in [Fig. 2](#) with indication of the main sensor equipment. ACTOS together with the tethered balloon MAPS-Y is shown in [Fig. 3](#). The ceiling of the system is at about 1.3 km at maximum wind speeds of  $10\text{--}15 \text{ m s}^{-1}$ . A more detailed description of the turbulence sensors and a general introduction of ACTOS and MAPS-Y is given by [Siebert \(2001\)](#) and [Siebert et al. \(2003\)](#).

### 2.3. Measurements of meteorological parameters and trace-gas concentrations at the Melpitz site

At the Melpitz site continuous measurements of meteorological parameters and trace gases concentrations were carried out during the SATURN experiment. Global solar radiation was measured using a pyranometer (CM11, Kipp and Zonen, Germany).

---

**New-particle  
formation: SATURN**F. Stratmann et al.

---

Title Page

Abstract

Introduction

Conclusions

References

Tables

Figures

I◀

▶I

◀

▶

Back

Close

Full Screen / Esc

Print Version

Interactive Discussion

© EGU 2003

Dry and wet bulb temperatures (PT100) were determined utilizing a psychrometer (Thies, Germany). In combination with pressure measurements (Vaisala, Germany), the relative humidity was calculated. Measurements of wind direction and horizontal wind velocity were carried out using a wind vane (Siggelkow, Germany) and a cup anemometer (Siggelkow, Germany), respectively. The temperature was measured 2.4 m above ground, wind direction and horizontal wind velocity at 12 m and 11.7 m height, respectively.

To characterize the development of the boundary layer at the Melpitz site, a monostatic Doppler SODAR (MODOS, manufactured by METEK GmbH, Elmshorn, Germany) was used. It measures the backscattered signal intensity  $S$  and the Doppler shift of reflected sound pulses. From  $S$ , which is mainly influenced by small temperature fluctuations, the position of the inversion layer can be estimated. The three-dimensional wind vector is derived from the Doppler shift. The instrument was operated on a continuous basis during the whole SATURN experiment. A more detailed introduction about acoustic remote sensing can be found in [Neff and Coulter \(1986\)](#).

Furthermore, radiosondes were launched during the whole measurement period several times each day. Two different types of radiosondes (Graw DFM90 and Vaisala RS80-A) were utilized to measure profiles of temperature, pressure, and relative humidity. As the Graw types were additionally equipped with a GPS receiver, they were applied to determine the actual wind velocity and direction.

$\text{SO}_2$  and  $\text{NH}_3$  concentrations were measured at the Melpitz site on a continuous basis.

For the  $\text{SO}_2$  measurements a pulsed fluorescence gas monitor (Model 43s, Thermo Environmental Instruments Inc., USA) was used. For calibration trace gas quantities, of  $\text{SO}_2$  prepared from a test gas cylinder with audit certificate (Messer Griesheim,

Germany) in combination with a gas mixer (EnviroNics 2000, USA), were used.

Ammonia was measured with an wet annular denuder system (Slanina et al., 1992; Wyers et al., 1993). The analysis in this system is made by membrane diffusion of  $\text{NH}_3$  at high pH into a counter flow of de-ionized water, with an online measurement of conductivity.

#### 2.4. Ground-based measurements of particle size distributions

Particle number size distributions were measured in the particle size range  $3 < D_p < 800$  nm at all three measurement sites. For that purpose, Twin Differential Mobility Particle Sizer (TDMPMS) systems were used (designed by Birmili et al., 1999) comprising two size spectrometers that simultaneously measure different particle size ranges at dry conditions. Nucleation mode particles ( $3 < D_p < 22$  nm) were measured with an Ultrafine Differential Mobility Analyzer (Hauke type) in conjunction with an Ultrafine Condensation Particle Counter (UCPC, Model 3025, TSI Inc., St. Paul, MN, USA). Particles in the range from  $22 < D_p < 800$  nm were measured by means of a Differential Mobility Analyzer (Hauke type) and a CPC (TSI-Model 3010). In Melpitz, the total particle number concentration ( $3 < D_p < 1000$  nm) was additionally measured using a UCPC (TSI-Model 3025). The inlets used at the three sites were commercially available low-flow PM10 inlets (Thermo Anderson, Smyrna, GA, USA).

#### 2.5. LIDAR

To gain information about structure and development of the planetary boundary layer (PBL), a multiwavelength aerosol Raman lidar (Mattis et al., 2002) was used at the IfT site. This instrument delivers the particle volume  $180^\circ$ -backscatter coefficient at 355, 532, and 1064 nm wavelength and the particle volume extinction coefficients at 355 and 532 nm wavelength as well as temperature and water-vapor mixing ratios. The LIDAR is part of the European Aerosol Research Lidar Network (EARLINET, Bösenberg et al., 2001).

Title Page

Abstract

Introduction

Conclusions

References

Tables

Figures

◀

▶

◀

▶

Back

Close

Full Screen / Esc

Print Version

Interactive Discussion



### 3. Meteorological situation

The SATURN experiment took place from 27 May to 14 June 2002. During this time period new-particle formation events were observed on 8 days. This paper focus on observations conducted on 3 June 2002.

The large-scale meteorological situation on 3 June 2002 is depicted in Fig. 4. During this day, the weather in central Europe was mainly influenced by a high-pressure system ranging from Northern Scandinavia over central Europe to the south-east of the Mediterranean. A low-pressure system with its frontal system west of Great Britain had no influence on the weather in the experimental site. Calm winds from southeasterly directions and sunny weather prevailed on 3 June 2002. Only a few convective clouds developed at noon.

Figure 5 shows a 120-h sequence of the backward trajectories for arrival heights of 400, 600, 800, 1000, and 1500 m asl at Melpitz at 09:00 UTC and for an arrival height of 400 m asl at the stations Collm, Leipzig, Melpitz, and Panitzsch at 11:00 UTC. These three-dimensional backward trajectories were calculated with the flexible trajectory model FLEXTRA (version 3.2d) (Stohl et al., 1995). FLEXTRA was driven with hemispheric model-level wind fields provided by the European Centre for Medium-Range Weather Forecasts (T213 L31 model, ECMWF, 1998), with a horizontal resolution of 0.5° and a time resolution of 3 h (analyses at 00:00, 06:00, 12:00, 18:00 UTC; 3-h forecasts at 03:00, 09:00, 15:00, 21:00 UTC).

The air was advected from the North Atlantic, crossed Great Britain, the North Sea, and southern Scandinavia. Then the flow turned south-westward over the Baltic Sea. For about 24 h the air mass traveled over continental sites in northern Poland before it reached the experimental area from the East. The flow pattern in the lowest

Title Page

Abstract

Introduction

Conclusions

References

Tables

Figures

◀

▶

◀

▶

Back

Close

Full Screen / Esc

Print Version

Interactive Discussion

**New-particle  
formation: SATURN**

F. Stratmann et al.

1500 m of the atmosphere did not change significantly, neither with time nor with height, during the entire day. Only the turning point over the Baltic Sea moved slowly eastward. This movement caused the air masses that arrived in the late afternoon to spend an additional 6–12 h over land.

5 Figure 6 shows time series of the horizontal wind speed in 50 and 225 m on the 3 June 2002 in Melpitz, as measured with the SODAR system. The horizontal wind speed in 50 m was about 3–4 m s<sup>-1</sup> during night and increased slightly in the morning (around 08:00 UTC) to values of 4–6 m s<sup>-1</sup> over the day. In the first part of the day  
10 much higher values of the wind speed were observed in 225 m. After the maximum of 20 m s<sup>-1</sup> was reached between 00:00 and 01:00 UTC, the wind speed decreased until 07:00 UTC to values similar to those measured in 50 m. This increased wind speed is due to a shallow low-level jet which occurred during night in the stable boundary layer (SBL) close to the inversion. For a general description of the PBL the reader is referred to textbooks like e.g. Stull (1988). The lack of data after 19:00 UTC is due to  
15 a negative signal-to-noise ratio for the level in 225 m.

Figure 7 shows a time-height contour plot of the back-scattered signal intensity *S* measured with SODAR for the same time as Fig. 6. According to Beyrich and Weill (1993) the height of the SBL (resp. the nocturnal inversion layer (IL) depth) is indicated by the maximum of the vertical gradient of the signal *S*. In Fig. 7 a local maximum of this gradient is identified around 200 m between 00:00 and 03:00 UTC. After 03:00 UTC (sunrise) the gradient became smaller and the height of the maximum varied between 150 and 260 m. At about 07:00 UTC the nocturnal IL broke up and intensive vertical mixing started. Within about one hour the mixed layer (ML) rose from about 260 m to higher than the ceiling of the SODAR. After sunset (19:20 UTC) again  
25 a strong vertical gradient of *S* with a maximum in about 150 m developed.

Figure 8 shows four vertical profiles of temperature *T* (left) and absolute humid-

[Title Page](#)[Abstract](#)[Introduction](#)[Conclusions](#)[References](#)[Tables](#)[Figures](#)[◀](#)[▶](#)[◀](#)[▶](#)[Back](#)[Close](#)[Full Screen / Esc](#)[Print Version](#)[Interactive Discussion](#)

© EGU 2003

[Title Page](#)
[Abstract](#)
[Introduction](#)
[Conclusions](#)
[References](#)
[Tables](#)
[Figures](#)
[◀](#)
[▶](#)
[◀](#)
[▶](#)
[Back](#)
[Close](#)
[Full Screen / Esc](#)
[Print Version](#)
[Interactive Discussion](#)

© EGU 2003

ity  $a$  (right) as measured with radiosondes (Vaisala RS80). The first two profiles (launched at 05:30 and 06:30 UTC) show the pronounced IL with positive temperature gradients of  $+2.4 \text{ K (100 m)}^{-1}$  below 260 m. In the residual layer (RL), between the inversion and about 1000 m, the gradient is  $-0.9 \text{ K (100 m)}^{-1}$ , that is, this layer is neutrally stratified. Above the RL, the atmosphere is slightly stably stratified with a mean gradient of about  $-0.65 \text{ K (100 m)}^{-1}$ . The solid lines with the gradients in Fig. 8 refer to the sounding from 06:30 UTC. The next two profiles (07:30 and 09:30 UTC) show the situation after the inversion broke up, no inversion can be found over the complete profile. This is in good agreement with the SODAR measurements. The humidity profiles show a local maximum below the inversion (first two profiles). A second jump is seen in 1000 m, which is also an indication for the height of the RL. After the ground inversion disappeared, the humidity profiles show no significant structures up to the PBL height in 1200 m (07:30 UTC) and 1400 m (09:30 UTC), respectively.

Figure 9 shows the development of the PBL between 04:30 UTC and 19:50 UTC on 3 June 2002, as measured with LIDAR at the IfT site in Leipzig. The time-height contour plot represents a measure of relative particle back-scattering:

$$P_{rel}(z) = \frac{P(z) - \bar{P}(z)}{\bar{P}(z)}. \quad (1)$$

Here  $P(z)$  is the actual LIDAR signal at height  $z$  detected with a resolution of 60 m and 30 s and  $\bar{P}(z)$  is the average signal at height  $z$  for a given measurement period. The periods are separated by white vertical bars in Fig. 9. Relative back-scatter values are shown, because measurements close to the LIDAR (i.e. close to the ground) are usually biased by the incomplete overlap between the laser beam and the receiver's field of view. In the case of relative back-scattering after Eq. (1) this overlap effect is canceled out. Thus, the observations cover the entire PBL. Note that the LIDAR is sensitive to optically active particles only, i.e. back-scattering is dominated by particles

with diameters >100 nm.

According to Eq. (1), bluish-green colors in Fig. 9 ( $P_{rel} \sim 0$ ) indicate atmospheric regions with marginal changes in particle back-scattering, whereas blue ( $P_{rel} < 0$ ) and red colors ( $P_{rel} > 0$ ) show strong negative and positive deviations from the mean, respectively. A rapid change between red and blue colors marks the atmospheric height regions of strongest dynamic activity, where particle-rich air is transported upwards and cleaner air moves downward. The SBL is observed in 200 m height between 04:30 and about 07:00 UTC. First up-drafts developed below 400 m height between 07:00 and 08:00 UTC. A rapid increase of the mixed layer from 300 to 1400 m associated with strong dynamic activity was observed between 08:00 and 09:30 UTC. From 09:30 to 15:00 UTC only slight variations of the PBL height around 1500 m occurred. In the late afternoon, a further increase of the PBL height to values around 1700 m, probably associated with a change in the air-mass, was found.

At both experimental sites, Melpitz and Leipzig, a similar development of the PBL was observed. During the cloudless night, a SBL developed about 200 m in depth. Above the inversion, the RL up to 1000 m in Melpitz and about 1400 m in Leipzig was clearly identified. Extensive vertical mixing started after the inversion broke up at both sites. At the Melpitz site, the first plumes reached heights of 500 m at about 08:00 UTC, while at Leipzig this height was reached about 0.5 h later. This time shift might be due to cirrus clouds which were observed above Leipzig but not over Melpitz. These clouds may delay the warming of the lower air masses and, therefore, the convective mixing of the PBL.

**New-particle formation: SATURN**

F. Stratmann et al.

Title Page

Abstract

Introduction

Conclusions

References

Tables

Figures

◀

▶

◀

▶

Back

Close

Full Screen / Esc

Print Version

Interactive Discussion

## 4. Observations in Melpitz

### 4.1. Ground-based observations

Figure 10 shows results from the ground-based measurements of global radiation  $G$ , temperature  $T$ , relative humidity  $RH$ , wind speed  $v$ , wind direction  $dir$ ,  $SO_2$ , and  $NH_3$  concentration, particle number concentration  $N$  (3–10 nm and 3–800 nm) and calculated particle volume concentration  $V$  as function of time as determined in Melpitz on June 3, 2002.

From Figs. 10 a-c, it can be seen, that June 3 featured an increase in global radiation (a radiation maximum near  $1000 \text{ W m}^{-2}$ ) and temperature, and decreasing relative humidity during morning hours. Around 10:00 UTC the global radiation decreased and varied afterwards due to small cumulus clouds. The wind speed was close to zero during the night and increased up to  $4 \text{ ms}^{-1}$  during the day forced by convection. The mean wind direction during the day was from east.

The  $SO_2$  concentration (Fig. 10 d) shows two peaks, the first one at 08:00 UTC, i.e. half an hour after the break-up of the nocturnal inversion layer (up to 900 ppt) and a second one at 12:00 UTC (up to 1050 ppt). The ammonia concentration (Fig. 10 e) increases fast in the early morning from 1 up to  $9 \mu\text{g m}^{-3}$ . During daytime the  $NH_3$  concentration is about  $4\text{--}6 \mu\text{g m}^{-3}$ .

As can be seen in Fig. 10 e, the number concentrations ( $N_{10}$ : 3–10 nm and  $N_{800}$ : 3–800 nm) show a significant increase at 07:30 UTC.  $N_{800}$  featured a second, higher maximum between 10:30 and 12:00 UTC. The volume concentration, as calculated from the number size distribution shows no correlation with the first morning maximum, it is even slightly decreasing between 08:00 and 10:00 UTC.

In Fig. 11 the diurnal variation of the number size distributions as measured at the Melpitz site is shown.

Title Page

Abstract

Introduction

Conclusions

References

Tables

Figures

◀

▶

◀

▶

Back

Close

Full Screen / Esc

Print Version

Interactive Discussion

**New-particle  
formation: SATURN**

F. Stratmann et al.

[Title Page](#)[Abstract](#)[Introduction](#)[Conclusions](#)[References](#)[Tables](#)[Figures](#)[◀](#)[▶](#)[◀](#)[▶](#)[Back](#)[Close](#)[Full Screen / Esc](#)[Print Version](#)[Interactive Discussion](#)

© EGU 2003

Approximately at 07:30 UTC, analogue to Fig. 10e, a rapid increase in number concentration of particles with diameters between 3 and 20 nm is observed. This increase coincides with the break-up of the nocturnal inversion at around 07:30 UTC (see Fig. 7) and the first increase in SO<sub>2</sub> concentration (Fig. 10d). In the particle size range below 20 nm, the particle size distribution at this time is bimodal featuring local maxima at approximately 5 and 15 nm. With increasing time, both maxima shift towards larger particle sizes with the lower maximum becoming more pronounced. Simultaneously, new particle formation and growth are taking place. Between 10:30 and 12:00 UTC (see also Fig. 10e), an absolute maximum located around 20 nm appears. The occurrence of this maximum coincides with the appearance of small cumulus clouds (see Fig. 10a) and the second increase in SO<sub>2</sub> concentration.

The ground-based observations at the Melpitz site showed, that newly formed particles occur from the beginning of the break-up of the nocturnal inversion until the mixing layer has reached the top of the PBL in around 1000 m after a few hours (cf. Fig. 9 for Leipzig). Assuming a particle diameter of 1 nm for the freshly nucleated particles and a reasonable growth rate of 6 nm/h (Lehtinen and Kulmala, 2002) nucleated particles grow within half an hour to a diameter of 4 nm. This time is comparable with the time scale of the evolving PBL discussed before. Consequently it may be concluded that at the Melpitz site on June 3, 2002, new particle formation is induced by the break-up of the nocturnal inversion and proceeds throughout the evolution process of the PBL. The occurrence of the second local size distribution maximum at around 15 nm maybe explained by the mixing down of particles that were newly formed and grew up to larger sizes inside the RL before the break-up of the nocturnal inversion. This hypothesis is supported by the balloon-borne observations described below.

## 4.2. Balloon-borne observations

Several vertical profiles and time series at a constant level were measured with ACTOS in Melpitz on 3 June 2002.

5 The first time series (Fig. 12) was measured at a height of 830 m from 06:26 to 06:30 UTC in the RL well above the inversion at 200 m. The time series of  $T$  and  $a$  show strong fluctuations with standard deviations of about  $\sigma_T = 0.05$  K and  $\sigma_a = 0.08$  g m<sup>-3</sup>, respectively. Most of the fluctuations of the thermodynamic variables were observed within the first 200 s. The mean horizontal wind speed  $U$  was  $3.8 \pm 0.15$  m s<sup>-1</sup>  
10 from easterly directions. Particle number concentrations are plotted on a logarithmic scale. For the particles between 12 and 1500 nm the concentration  $N_I$  was around  $1300 \pm 100$  cm<sup>-3</sup> whereas the concentration  $N_{II}$  which includes the smaller particles was at  $1700 \pm 320$  cm<sup>-3</sup>. Small particles show maximum concentrations  $\Delta N$  of several 100 cm<sup>-3</sup> in the first 200 s where  $\sigma_T$  and  $\sigma_a$  are higher.

15 Results from the following descent (starting at 06:31 UTC) from 830 m to ground are plotted in Fig. 13. The temperature profile indicates an inversion between 150 and 200 m with a mean gradient of about  $+2.8$  K (100 m)<sup>-1</sup>. Between 200 and 330 m the gradient is close to zero. For higher altitudes the profile shows an adiabatic lapse rate of  $-1$  K (100 m)<sup>-1</sup>.  
20

The water-vapor density shows strong fluctuations inside the ML with a slight decrease in the inversion from about 9.5 to 7 g m<sup>-3</sup>. In the first 100 m above ground,  $U$  is close to 2 m s<sup>-1</sup> and exhibits a local maximum around the inversion. In the RL,  $U$  varies between 3 and 4 m s<sup>-1</sup> from easterly directions. The number concentration  
25 profiles show a clear cut between the ML, inversion, and RL. Inside the ML,  $N_{II}$  is nearly constant with height and includes about 250 particles cm<sup>-3</sup> smaller than 10 nm. In a narrow region above the inversion (between 250–300 m), no small particles could be observed. Above,  $\Delta N$  increases up to values of 800 cm<sup>-3</sup> between 400 and 600 m.

Title Page

Abstract

Introduction

Conclusions

References

Tables

Figures

◀

▶

◀

▶

Back

Close

Full Screen / Esc

Print Version

Interactive Discussion

In this layer,  $\Delta N$  varies in the same range as in the time series shown in Fig. 12. A similar behavior can be observed for the  $\text{SO}_2$  concentration profile, which also features a local minimum around 300 m.

5 Taking  $\Delta N$  as an indicator for the presence of newly formed particles, the above profiles suggest, that between 06:26 and 06:53 UTC, new particle formation is taking place below the inversion and in the RL. However, ground-based NO and particle size distribution observations suggest that below the inversion the presence of small particles was most likely due to traffic emissions. Nevertheless, traffic emissions cannot explain large values of  $\Delta N$  in the RL. These small particles were most likely formed by nucleation as no other local sources were present.

10 Figure 14 shows vertical profiles about 2 h later compared to the profiles depicted in Fig. 13. The profiles were measured during an ascent from ground to 610 m. The inversion in 200 m had disappeared and  $T$  shows a near-adiabatic lapse rate of  $-0.9 \text{ K} (100 \text{ m})^{-1}$  over the complete altitude range. The profile of  $a$  features some fluctuations over the complete profile but a sharp decrease in 550 m, i.e. in the same height where a small increase of  $T$  can be observed. In 350 m,  $a$  has a local maximum which corresponds with a change of the wind direction from south-east to north-east and an increased  $U$ . The particle concentrations show high values from the ground up to 550 m. In this range  $\Delta N$  varies between 1000 and 5000  $\text{cm}^{-3}$  and  $N_{II}$  between 2000 and 12000  $\text{cm}^{-3}$ , respectively. Above 550 m  $\Delta N$  decreases rapidly to zero and  $N_{II} \approx N_I$  to about 1800  $\text{cm}^{-3}$ . The  $\text{SO}_2$ -profile is nearly height independent with values around 0.3 ppb.

25 After these vertical profiles were taken, the balloon was fixed at 600 m again. The corresponding time series (Fig. 15), started about 10 min after the maximum height of the profiles shown in Fig. 14 was reached. The time series of  $T$  and  $a$  but also  $U$  and  $d$  show very similar structure, periods with high fluctuations are interrupted by periods with nearly no structure. The same intermittent behavior is seen in the

---

**New-particle formation: SATURN**F. Stratmann et al.

---

[Title Page](#)[Abstract](#)[Introduction](#)[Conclusions](#)[References](#)[Tables](#)[Figures](#)[I◀](#)[▶I](#)[◀](#)[▶](#)[Back](#)[Close](#)[Full Screen / Esc](#)[Print Version](#)[Interactive Discussion](#)



**New-particle  
formation: SATURN**

F. Stratmann et al.

particle number concentrations. Most significant is  $\Delta N$  which shows variations of several orders of magnitude with maximum values of 4000 to 5000  $\text{cm}^{-3}$ . The total concentration  $N_{\text{II}}$  varies between 1300  $\text{cm}^{-3}$ , which seems to be the background concentration (cf. also Fig. 12), and maximum values of up to 12000  $\text{cm}^{-3}$  between  $t = 300$  and 340 s. This behavior can be explained with up-drafts which temporarily penetrate into the RL. Therefore, sometimes ACTOS is inside of such an up-draft with highly increased turbulence and sometimes ACTOS is inside the RL where the turbulence is much weaker and  $N_p$  is much less than in the ML.

This all together indicates, that at this stage, the ML has a height of about 550 m. Taking again  $\Delta N$  as an indicator for the presence of newly formed particles, the above profiles suggest, that between 08:43 and 09:05 UTC, new particle formation is taking place in the entire ML while inside the RL no newly formed particles were observed.

## 5. Comparison of particle size distributions at Melpitz, Collm, Panitzsch sites

Figure 16 shows the diurnal variation of the normalized number size distributions for the three ground-based measurement sites on 3 June 2002. Each number size distribution measured by the TDMPs-systems was divided by the total number concentration from 3 to 800 nm to emphasize the location, i.e. the particle diameter, of the size distribution local maxima independent of the total number concentration. The observations at the Melpitz and Collm sites show a rapid increase in number concentration of particles with diameters between 3 and 20 nm and the particle size distributions feature local maxima at approximately 5 and 15 nm. At the Panitzsch site, however, below 20 nm, only one local maximum occurs which is located around 15 nm. Compared to the Melpitz and Collm sites, the occurrence of this maximum is delayed by approximately half an hour in accordance with the delayed break-up of the nocturnal inversion layer as detected by the LIDAR-system at the lft site about 10 km away. At the Panitzsch site, no local maximum at 5 nm was observed. This suggests that at the this site, new

[Title Page](#)[Abstract](#)[Introduction](#)[Conclusions](#)[References](#)[Tables](#)[Figures](#)[◀](#)[▶](#)[◀](#)[▶](#)[Back](#)[Close](#)[Full Screen / Esc](#)[Print Version](#)[Interactive Discussion](#)

© EGU 2003

particle formation is significantly weaker throughout the evolution process of the PBL.

Considering the distances between the three sites, it is concluded that new particle formation is not a local phenomenon but its magnitude may vary locally to a significant extend.

## 6. Summary

In this work, first results from the SATURN experiment have been presented. During the experiment, vertical soundings of meteorological parameters and particle number concentrations were carried out utilizing a balloon-borne measurement platform. In addition, ground-based measurements of particle number size distributions and gaseous precursor concentrations took place at the balloon site. At two additional locations (distance to the balloon site about 40 km), particle number size distributions were measured. The vertical structure and the development of the PBL were observed utilizing a SODAR (at the balloon site) and a LIDAR system (distance about 50 km to the balloon site).

The SATURN experiment took place from 27 May to 14 June 2002. During this time period new-particle formation events were observed on 8 days. This paper focused on observations conducted on 3 June 2002 which was a typical radiation day. During night, a nocturnal inversion layer up to 200 m had developed below the overlaying RL. At around 07:00 UTC the inversion broke up and extensive vertical mixing increased the height of the ML up to about 1400 m in the afternoon. From the ground-based observations at the Melpitz site it was concluded that on 3 June 2002, new particle formation was induced by the break-up of the nocturnal inversion and proceeded throughout the evolution process of the PBL. The occurrence of a second local size distribution maximum in the particle size range below 20 nm was explained by the mixing down of particles that were newly formed and grew up to larger sizes inside the RL before the break-up of the nocturnal inversion. This hypothesis was supported by balloon-borne observations performed before the break-up of the nocturnal inversion. Balloon-borne

### New-particle formation: SATURN

F. Stratmann et al.

Title Page

Abstract

Introduction

Conclusions

References

Tables

Figures

◀

▶

◀

▶

Back

Close

Full Screen / Esc

Print Version

Interactive Discussion

**New-particle  
formation: SATURN**

F. Stratmann et al.

observations carried out after the break-up of the nocturnal inversion indicated that new particle formation was taking place in the entire ML while inside the RL no newly formed particles were observed. Comparing the particle size distributions observed at the three measurement sites, it was found that at two sites the evolution of the particle size distributions was similar. At the third site, in the particle size range below 20 nm, only particles supposedly formed in the RL and almost no newly formed particles were observed.

Summarizing the presented results it can be stated that new particle formation a) may take place inside the RL before the break-up of the nocturnal inversion, b) may be induced by the the break-up of the nocturnal inversion, c) may proceed during the evolution of the PBL, d) is not a local phenomena but d) may vary locally to a significant extend.

*Acknowledgements.* The authors acknowledge, the German Bundeswehr for their kind provision of the tethered balloon MAPS-Y, G. Sanftleben and his co-workers from the Bundeswehr Technical Centre for Ships and Naval Weapons for their excellent and very cooperative operation of the tethered balloon, J. Strüning and co-workers from the Office of Military Geophysics for his support, the IfT department heads (J. Heintzenberg, H. Herrmann, E. Renner) for making available the necessary financial resources, T. Conrath, A. Grüner, J. Hanss and the staff of the Collm observatory for supplying the needed and important technical support and A. Nowak for providing his meteorological expertise. Without all these contributors, the SATURN experiment would have been impossible!

**References**

- Banse, D. F., Esfeld, K., Hermann, M., Sierau, B., and Wiedensohler, A.: Particle counting efficiency of the TSI CPC 3762 for different operating parameters, *J. Aerosol Sci.*, 32, 157–161, 2001. [1698](#)
- Beyrich, F. and Weill, A.: Some aspects of determination the stable boundary layer depth from SODAR data, *Boundary-Layer Meteorol.*, 63, 97–116, 1993. [1702](#)

[Title Page](#)[Abstract](#)[Introduction](#)[Conclusions](#)[References](#)[Tables](#)[Figures](#)[◀](#)[▶](#)[◀](#)[▶](#)[Back](#)[Close](#)[Full Screen / Esc](#)[Print Version](#)[Interactive Discussion](#)

© EGU 2003

- Bigg, E. K.: A mechanism for the formation of new particles in the atmosphere, *Atm. Res.*, 43, 129–137, 1997. [1695](#)
- Birmili, W., Stratmann, F., and Wiedensohler, A.: Design of a DMA-based Size Spectrometer for large particle size range and stable operation, *J. Aerosol Sci.*, 30, 4, 549–554, 1999. [1700](#)
- 5 Birmili, W. and Wiedensohler, A.: New particle formation in the continental boundary layer: Meteorological and gas phase parameter influence, *Geophys. Res. Lett.*, 27, 3325–3328, 2000. [1694](#)
- Bösenberg, J., Ansmann, A., Baldasano, J. M., Balis, D., Böckmann, C., Calpini, B., Chaikovski, A., Flamant, P., Hagard, A., Mitev, V., Papayannis, A., Pelon, J., Resendes, D., Schneider, J., Spinelli, N., Trickl, T., Vaughan, G., Visconti, G. and Wiegner, M.: EARLINET: A European Aerosol Research Lidar Network, in 'Advances in Laser Remote Sensing', A. Dabas, C. Loth, and J. Pelon (eds.), Ecole Polytechnique, 91128 Palaiseau, France, 155–158, 2001. [1700](#)
- 10 Buzorius, G., Rannik, U., Mäkelä, J. M., Vesala, T., and Kulmala, M.: Vertical aerosol particle fluxes measured by eddy covariance technique using condensational particle counter, *J. Aerosol Sci.*, 29, 157–171, 1998. [1698](#)
- Charlson, R. J. and Heintzenberg, J. (eds.), *Aerosol Forcing of Climate*, John Wiley & Sons, Chichester, 1995. [1694](#)
- Clarke, A. D.: Atmospheric nuclei in the remote free troposphere, *J. Atmos. Chem.*, 14, 479–488, 1992. [1695](#)
- 20 Dockery, D. W. and Pope, C. A.: Acute respiratory effects of particulate air pollution, *Annu. Rev. Public Health*, 15, 107–132, 1994. [1694](#)
- Easter, R. C. and Peters, L. K.: Binary homogeneous nucleation: Temperature and relative humidity fluctuations, nonlinearity, and aspects of new particle formation in the atmosphere, *J. Appl. Met.*, 33, 775–784, 1994. [1695](#)
- 25 ECMWF: User guide to ECMWF products 2.1, Meteorological Bulletin M3.2, ECMWF, Reading, UK, 1995. [1701](#)
- Haman, K., Malinowski, S. P., Struś, B. D., Busen, R., Stefko, A., and Siebert, H.: A family of ultrafast aircraft thermometers for warm and supercooled clouds and various types of aircraft, Preprints 13<sup>th</sup> International Conference on Clouds and Precipitation, Reno, NV, USA (14–18 August 2000). [1697](#)
- 30 Hegg, D. A., Radke, L., and Hobbs, P. V.: Particle production associated with marine clouds, *J. Geophys. Res.*, 95, 13917–13926, 1990. [1695](#)

---

**New-particle formation: SATURN**F. Stratmann et al.

---

[Title Page](#)[Abstract](#)[Introduction](#)[Conclusions](#)[References](#)[Tables](#)[Figures](#)[I◀](#)[▶I](#)[◀](#)[▶](#)[Back](#)[Close](#)[Full Screen / Esc](#)[Print Version](#)[Interactive Discussion](#)

---

**New-particle  
formation: SATURN**F. Stratmann et al.

---

[Title Page](#)[Abstract](#)[Introduction](#)[Conclusions](#)[References](#)[Tables](#)[Figures](#)[◀](#)[▶](#)[◀](#)[▶](#)[Back](#)[Close](#)[Full Screen / Esc](#)[Print Version](#)[Interactive Discussion](#)

© EGU 2003

- Hellmuth, O. and Helmert, J.: Parameterization of turbulence-enhanced nucleation in large scale models: Conceptual study, *Air Pollution Modeling and Its Application*, XV, edited by Borrego and Schayes, Kluwer Academic/Plenum Publishers, New York, 295–304, 2002. [1695](#)
- Hoppel, W. A., Frick, G. M., Fitzgerald, J. W., and Larson, R. E.: Marine boundary layer measurements of new particle formation and the effects nonprecipitating clouds have on the aerosol size distribution, *J. Geophys. Res.*, 99, 14443–14459, 1994. [1694](#)
- Keil, A. and Wendisch, M.: Bursts of Aitken mode and ultrafine particles observed at the top of continental boundary layer clouds, *J. Aerosol Sci.*, 32, 649–660, 2001. [1695](#)
- Lehtinen, K. E. J. and Kulmala, M.: A model for particle formation and growth in the atmosphere with molecular resolution in size, *Atmos. Chem. Phys. Discuss.*, 2, 1791–1807, 2002. [1706](#)
- Mäkelä, J. M., Aalto, P., Jokinen, V., Pohja, T., Nissinen, A., Palmroth, S., Markkanen, T., Seitsonen, K., Lihavainen, H., and Kulmala, M.: Observations of ultrafine aerosol particle formation and growth in boreal forest, *Geophys. Res. Lett.*, 24, 1219–1222, 1997. [1694](#)
- Mattis, I., Ansmann, A., Althausen, D., Jaenisch, V., Wandinger, U., Müller, D., Arshinov, Y. F., Bobrovnikov, S. M., and Serikov, I. B.: Relative-humidity profiling in the troposphere with a Raman lidar, *Appl. Opt.*, 41, 6451–6462, 2002. [1700](#)
- McMurry, P. H., Woo, K. S., Weber, R. J., Chen, D.-R., and Pui, D. Y. H.: Size distributions of 3–10 nm atmospheric particles: implications for nucleation mechanisms, *Phil. Trans. R. Soc. Lond. A*, 358, 2625–2642, 2000. [1694](#)
- Neff, W. D. and Coulter, R. L.: *Acoustic Remote Sensing, Probing the atmospheric boundary layer.*, 239 p, D. H. Lenschow (Ed.). American Meteorological Society, Boston, USA, 1986. [1699](#)
- Nilsson, E. D. and Kulmala, M.: The potential for atmospheric mixing processes to enhance the binary nucleation rate, *J. Geophys. Res.*, 103, 1381–1389, 1998. [1695](#)
- Nilsson, E. D., Pirjola, L., and Kulmala, M.: The effect of atmospheric waves on aerosol nucleation and size distribution, *J. Geophys. Res.*, 105, 19917–19926, 2000. [1695](#)
- O'Dowd, C., McFiggans, G., Creasey, D. J., Pirjola, L., Hoell, C., Smith, M. H., Allan, B. J., Plane, J. M. C., Heard, D. E., Lee, J. D., Pilling, M. J., and Kulmala, M.: On the photochemical production of new particles in the coastal boundary layer, *Geophys. Res. Lett.*, 26, 1707–1710, 1999. [1694](#)
- Pirjola, L., Laaksonen, A., Aalto, P., and Kulmala, M.: Sulfate aerosol formation in the Arctic boundary layer, *J. Geophys. Res.*, 103, 8309–8321, 1998. [1694](#)
- Schröder, F. and Ström, J.: Aircraft measurements of submicrometer aerosol particles (> 7 nm)

**New-particle  
formation: SATURN**

F. Stratmann et al.

[Title Page](#)[Abstract](#)[Introduction](#)[Conclusions](#)[References](#)[Tables](#)[Figures](#)[◀](#)[▶](#)[◀](#)[▶](#)[Back](#)[Close](#)[Full Screen / Esc](#)[Print Version](#)[Interactive Discussion](#)

© EGU 2003

in the midlatitude free troposphere and tropopause region, *Atmos. Res.*, 44, 333–356, 1997.

[1695](#)

Siebert, H. and Muschinski, A.: Relevance of a Tuning-Fork Effect for Temperature Measurements with the Gill Solent HS Ultrasonic Anemometer-Thermometer, *J. Atmos. Oceanic Tech.*, 18, 1367–1376, 2001. [1697](#)

Siebert, H.: Tethered-balloon borne turbulence measurements in the cloudy boundary layer. Ph.D. thesis, University of Leipzig, Germany, 2001. [1698](#)

Siebert, H., Wendisch, M., Conrath, T., Teichmann, U., and Heintzenberg, J.: A new tethered balloon-borne payload for fine-scale observations in the cloudy boundary layer, *Boundary-Layer Meteorol.*, 106, 461–482, 2003. [1697](#), [1698](#)

Slanina, J., de Wild, P. J., and Wyers, G. P.: The application of denuder systems to the analysis of atmospheric components in: *Gaseous pollutants characterization and cycling*, *Advances in Environmental Science and Technology*, Ed. J. O. Nriagu, John Wiley and Sons Ltd, New York, USA, 24, 1992. [1700](#)

Spindler, G., Mölders, N., Hanss, J., Beier, N., and Kramm, G.: Determining the dry deposition of SO<sub>2</sub>, O<sub>3</sub>, NO, and NO<sub>2</sub> at the SANA core station Melpitz, *Meteorol. Zeitschrift*, N.F. 5, 205–220, 1996. [1696](#)

Spindler, G., Teichmann, U., and Sutton, M. A.: Ammonia dry deposition over grassland – micrometeorological flux-gradient measurements and bidirectional flux calculation using an inferential model, *Q.J.R. Meteorol. Soc.*, 127, 795–814, 2001. [1696](#)

Stohl, A., Wotawa, G., Seibert, P., and Kromp-Kolb, H.: Interpolation errors in wind fields as a function of spatial and temporal resolution and their impact on different types of kinematic trajectories, *J. Appl. Meteor.*, 34, 2149–2165, 1995. [1701](#)

Stull, R. B.: *An introduction to boundary layer meteorology*, Kluwer Academic Publishers, Dordrecht, The Netherlands, 666, 1988. [1702](#)

Uhrner, U., Birmili, W., Stratmann, F., Wilck, C., Ackermann, I. J., and Berresheim, H.: Particle Formation at a Continental Background Site: Comparison of Model Results with Observations., *Atmos. Chem. Phys. Discuss.*, 2, 2413–2448, 2002. [1695](#)

Weber, R. J., Marti, J. J., McMurry, P. H., Eisele, F. L., Tanner, D. J., and Jefferson, A.: Measurements of new particle formation and ultrafine particle growth rates at a clean continental site, *J. Geophys. Res.*, 102, 4375–4385, 1997. [1694](#)

Weber, R. J., McMurry, P. H., Mauldin, R. L., Tanner, D. J., Eisele, F. L., Clarke, A. D., and Kapustin, V. N.: New particle formation in the remote troposphere: A comparison of obser-

- vations at various sites, *Geophys. Res. Lett.*, 26, 307–310, 1999. [1695](#)
- Wiedensohler, A., Covert, D. S., Swietlicki, E., Aalto, P., Heintzenberg, J., and Leck, C.: Occurrence of an ultrafine particle mode less than 20 nm in diameter in the marine boundary layer  
ence 20 during Arctic summer and autumn, *Tellus*, 48B, 213–222, 1996. [1694](#)
- 5 Wiedensohler, A., Hansson, H.-C., Orsini, D., Wendisch, M., Wagner, F., Bower, K. N., Choularton, T. W., Wells, M., Parkin, M., Acker, A., Wieprecht, W., Fachini, M. C., Lind, J. A., Fuzzi, S., Arends, B. G. and Kulmala, M.: Night-time formation and occurrence of new particles associated with orographic clouds, *Atmos. Environ.* 31, 2545–2559, 1997. [1695](#)
- 10 Wyers, G. P., Otjes, R. P., and Slanina, J.: A continuous-flow denuder for the measurement of ambient concentrations and surface-exchange of ammonia, *Atmos. Environ.*, 27A, 2085–2090, 1993. [1700](#)

---


**New-particle  
formation: SATURN**F. Stratmann et al.

---

[Title Page](#)[Abstract](#)[Introduction](#)[Conclusions](#)[References](#)[Tables](#)[Figures](#)[I◀](#)[▶I](#)[◀](#)[▶](#)[Back](#)[Close](#)[Full Screen / Esc](#)[Print Version](#)[Interactive Discussion](#)

**New-particle  
formation: SATURN**

F. Stratmann et al.



**Fig. 1.** Map of the measurement sites during SATURN.


[Title Page](#)[Abstract](#)[Introduction](#)[Conclusions](#)[References](#)[Tables](#)[Figures](#)[◀](#)[▶](#)[◀](#)[▶](#)[Back](#)[Close](#)[Full Screen / Esc](#)[Print Version](#)[Interactive Discussion](#)



---

**New-particle  
formation: SATURN**F. Stratmann et al.

---



**Fig. 2.** The tethered-balloon borne payload ACTOS with ultrasonic anemometer (sonic), ultra-fast thermometer (UFT-B), and inlet for particle measurements.

[Title Page](#)[Abstract](#)[Introduction](#)[Conclusions](#)[References](#)[Tables](#)[Figures](#)[◀](#)[▶](#)[◀](#)[▶](#)[Back](#)[Close](#)[Full Screen / Esc](#)[Print Version](#)[Interactive Discussion](#)

© EGU 2003



**Fig. 3.** The tethered-balloon MAPS-Y with the suspended payload ACTOS.

---

**New-particle  
formation: SATURN**

F. Stratmann et al.

---

Title Page

Abstract

Introduction

Conclusions

References

Tables

Figures

◀

▶

◀

▶

Back

Close


Full Screen / Esc

Print Version

Interactive Discussion

**New-particle  
formation: SATURN**


F. Stratmann et al.

**Fig. 4.** Surface chart of the synoptic situation on 3 June 2002.[Title Page](#)[Abstract](#)[Introduction](#)[Conclusions](#)[References](#)[Tables](#)[Figures](#)[◀](#)[▶](#)[◀](#)[▶](#)[Back](#)[Close](#)[Full Screen / Esc](#)[Print Version](#)[Interactive Discussion](#)

© EGU 2003

New-particle  
formation: SATURN

F. Stratmann et al.




**Fig. 5.** Backward trajectories with arrival at **(a)** Melpitz on 3 June 2002, 09:00 UTC, and **(b)** Collm (black), Leipzig (red), Melpitz (blue), and Panitzsch (green) on 3 June 2002, 11:00 UTC. The arrival heights are (a) 400 (black), 600 (red), 800 (blue), 1000 (green), and 1500 m a.s.l. (orange) and (b) 400 m a.s.l. (right panels, time 0). The left panels present a horizontal projection of the trajectories with their positions marked every 6 h.

[Title Page](#)[Abstract](#)[Introduction](#)[Conclusions](#)[References](#)[Tables](#)[Figures](#)[◀](#)[▶](#)[◀](#)[▶](#)[Back](#)[Close](#)[Full Screen / Esc](#)[Print Version](#)[Interactive Discussion](#)

**New-particle  
formation: SATURN**

F. Stratmann et al.




**Fig. 6.** Time series of the horizontal wind speed in 50 and 225 m as measured with SODAR in Melpitz on 3 June 2002.

[Title Page](#)[Abstract](#)[Introduction](#)[Conclusions](#)[References](#)[Tables](#)[Figures](#)[◀](#)[▶](#)[◀](#)[▶](#)[Back](#)[Close](#)[Full Screen / Esc](#)[Print Version](#)[Interactive Discussion](#)

© EGU 2003

**New-particle  
formation: SATURN**

F. Stratmann et al.




**Fig. 7.** Height-time contour plot of the back-scattered signal intensity  $S$  as measured with SO-DAR in Melpitz on 3 June 2002. Sunrise is at 03:00 UTC, sunset is at 19:20 UTC, respectively.

[Title Page](#)[Abstract](#)[Introduction](#)[Conclusions](#)[References](#)[Tables](#)[Figures](#)[◀](#)[▶](#)[◀](#)[▶](#)[Back](#)[Close](#)[Full Screen / Esc](#)[Print Version](#)[Interactive Discussion](#)

© EGU 2003

New-particle  
formation: SATURN

F. Stratmann et al.




**Fig. 8.** Vertical profiles of temperature  $T$  and absolute humidity  $a$  as measured with radiosondes at the Melpitz site. The depicted gradients are related to the sounding from 06:30 UTC.

[Title Page](#)[Abstract](#)[Introduction](#)[Conclusions](#)[References](#)[Tables](#)[Figures](#)[◀](#)[▶](#)[◀](#)[▶](#)[Back](#)[Close](#)[Full Screen / Esc](#)[Print Version](#)[Interactive Discussion](#)

© EGU 2003

**New-particle  
formation: SATURN**

F. Stratmann et al.



**Fig. 9.** Development of the planetary boundary layer in terms of the relative back-scatter signal at 1064 nm observed with the IfT Raman LIDAR at Leipzig on 3 June 2002. The resolution is 60 m and 30 s.


[Title Page](#)[Abstract](#)[Introduction](#)[Conclusions](#)[References](#)[Tables](#)[Figures](#)[◀](#)[▶](#)[◀](#)[▶](#)[Back](#)[Close](#)[Full Screen / Esc](#)[Print Version](#)[Interactive Discussion](#)

© EGU 2003



New-particle  
formation: SATURN

F. Stratmann et al.



**Fig. 10.** Diurnal variation of global radiation  $G$ , temperature  $T$ , relative humidity  $rH$ , wind speed  $U$ , wind direction  $d$ ,  $\text{SO}_2$ ,  $\text{NH}_3$ , particle number concentration ( $N_{10}$ : 3–10 nm and  $N_{800}$ : 3–800 nm) and particle volume concentration  $V$  in Melpitz on 3 June 2002, at ground.

Title Page

Abstract

Introduction

Conclusions

References

Tables

Figures

◀

▶

◀

▶

Back

Close


Full Screen / Esc

Print Version

Interactive Discussion

**New-particle  
formation: SATURN**

F. Stratmann et al.




**Fig. 11.** Diurnal variation of number size distributions in Melpitz on 3 June 2002.

[Title Page](#)[Abstract](#)[Introduction](#)[Conclusions](#)[References](#)[Tables](#)[Figures](#)[◀](#)[▶](#)[◀](#)[▶](#)[Back](#)[Close](#)[Full Screen / Esc](#)[Print Version](#)[Interactive Discussion](#)

© EGU 2003

New-particle  
formation: SATURN

F. Stratmann et al.



**Fig. 12.** Time series of temperature  $T$ , absolute humidity  $a$ , horizontal wind speed  $U$ , wind direction  $d$ , particle number concentration  $N_I$  ( $10 < D_p < 1500$  nm),  $N_{II}$  ( $5 < D_p < 1500$  nm), and  $\Delta N$  ( $5 < D_p < 10$  nm) at constant level in 830 m (06:26–06:30 UTC).

Title Page

Abstract

Introduction

Conclusions

References

Tables

Figures

◀

▶

◀

▶

Back

Close

Full Screen / Esc


Print Version

Interactive Discussion

© EGU 2003

New-particle  
formation: SATURN

F. Stratmann et al.



**Fig. 13.** Vertical profile (descent from 830 m to ground, 06:31–06:53 UTC) of temperature  $T$ , absolute humidity  $a$ , horizontal wind speed  $U$ , wind direction  $d$ , particle number concentration  $N_I$  ( $10 < D_p < 1500$  nm),  $N_{II}$  ( $5 < D_p < 1500$  nm),  $\Delta N$  ( $5 < D_p < 10$  nm), and  $\text{SO}_2$ .

Title Page

Abstract

Introduction

Conclusions

References

Tables

Figures

◀

▶

◀

▶

Back

Close


Full Screen / Esc

Print Version

Interactive Discussion

New-particle  
formation: SATURN

F. Stratmann et al.



**Fig. 14.** Vertical profile (ascent from ground to 610 m, 08:43–08:48 UTC) of temperature  $T$ , absolute humidity  $a$ , horizontal wind speed  $U$ , wind direction  $d$ , particle number concentration  $N_I$  ( $10 < D_p < 1500$  nm),  $N_{II}$  ( $5 < D_p < 1500$  nm),  $\Delta N$  ( $5 < D_p < 10$  nm), and  $\text{SO}_2$ .

Title Page

Abstract

Introduction

Conclusions

References

Tables

Figures

◀

▶

◀

▶

Back

Close


Full Screen / Esc

Print Version

Interactive Discussion

New-particle  
formation: SATURN

F. Stratmann et al.




**Fig. 15.** Time series of temperature  $T$ , absolute humidity  $a$ , horizontal wind speed  $U$ , wind direction  $d$ , particle number concentration  $N_I$  ( $10 < D_p < 1500$  nm),  $N_{II}$  ( $5 < D_p < 1500$  nm), and  $\Delta N$  ( $5 < D_p < 10$  nm) at constant level in 600 m (08:59–09:05 UTC).

[Title Page](#)[Abstract](#)[Introduction](#)[Conclusions](#)[References](#)[Tables](#)[Figures](#)[◀](#)[▶](#)[◀](#)[▶](#)[Back](#)[Close](#)[Full Screen / Esc](#)[Print Version](#)[Interactive Discussion](#)

© EGU 2003

**New-particle  
formation: SATURN**

F. Stratmann et al.



**Fig. 16.** Diurnal variation of number size distributions normalized by the total number concentration in Melpitz, Collm, and Panitzsch on 3 June 2002. Red colors indicates relative high concentrations, whereas blue indicates relative low concentrations.

[Title Page](#)[Abstract](#)[Introduction](#)[Conclusions](#)[References](#)[Tables](#)[Figures](#)[◀](#)[▶](#)[◀](#)[▶](#)[Back](#)[Close](#)[Full Screen / Esc](#)[Print Version](#)[Interactive Discussion](#)