Atmos. Chem. Phys. Discuss., 2, S833–S834, 2002 www.atmos-chem-phys.org/acpd/2/S833/
© European Geophysical Society 2003



ACPD

2, S833-S834, 2002

Interactive Comment

Interactive comment on "Adjoint backtracking for the verification of the Comprehensive Test Ban Treaty" by J.-P. Issartel and J. Baverel

Anonymous Referee #1

Received and published: 9 January 2003

A comment on the following points would be useful for a better understanding of the practical results of your paper:

Is switching off vertical and horizontal diffusion in an Eulerian model sufficient for calculating a Lagrangian back trajectory (what about numerical diffusion and diffusion due to the coarse grid)?

Is the difference in the position of the back trajectory and the retro plume only a result of the special way in calculating the trajectory (due to a suppressed vertical exchange the trajectory seems to be formed mainly by the ground level winds in the lowest model layer)?

Is the 6 hour time step of the ECMWF meteo - data sufficient for a trajectory calculation (it seems to be large)?

Full Screen / Esc

Print Version

Interactive Discussion

Original Paper

S833

© EGS 2003

Interactive comment on Atmos. Chem. Phys. Discuss., 2, 2133, 2002.

ACPD

2, S833-S834, 2002

Interactive Comment

Full Screen / Esc

Print Version

Interactive Discussion

Original Paper

© EGS 2003