

## ***Interactive comment on “Aura OMI observations of regional SO<sub>2</sub> and NO<sub>2</sub> pollution changes from 2005 to 2014” by N. A. Krotkov et al.***

**Anonymous Referee #1**

Received and published: 1 December 2015

Krotkov et al. reported on long-term observations of SO<sub>2</sub> and NO<sub>2</sub> pollution using OMI. The paper is well-written, interesting and scientifically justified. I recommend publication in Atmos. Chem. Phys. after minor changes:

1) Introduction, P 26559, L 25-30:

- a publication describing the GOME-2 instrument is missing.
- OMPS should be mentioned as SO<sub>2</sub> results are presented in Supplementary material
- “..although with lower spatial resolution and sensitivity to PBL sources”. The word ‘sensitivity’ is misleading as it might be interpreted in terms of lower AMFs (which I believe is not what you meant). Please reformulate.

C9962

2) Section 2.1, P 26563, L 22-26:

The discussion on the detection limit is not easy to understand. For 100 cloud-free pixels, the detection limit on annual mean should be  $0.5 \text{ DU} / \sqrt{100} \rightarrow \sim 0.05 \text{ DU}$ . Please clarify. The same applies to section 3.1, P26569, L25. In addition, a total error estimate on SO<sub>2</sub> VCD should be given (as for NO<sub>2</sub> in section 2.2)

3) Figure 3: it would be good to assess the possible impact of changes in SO<sub>2</sub> profile shape on the trend analysis.

4) Conclusions, P26581,L15: 4km by 4 km is resolution at best. S4 UVN will not have such a small footprint.

---

Interactive comment on Atmos. Chem. Phys. Discuss., 15, 26555, 2015.

C9963