

Interactive comment on “Estimates of free-tropospheric NO₂ and HCHO mixing ratios derived from high-altitude mountain MAX-DOAS observations in the mid-latitudes and tropics” by S. F. Schreier et al.

Anonymous Referee #1

Received and published: 30 November 2015

Title: Estimates of free-tropospheric NO₂ and HCHO mixing ratios derived from high-altitude mountain MAX-DOAS observations in the mid-latitudes and tropics
Author(s): S.F. Schreier et al. MS No.: acp-2015-799 MS Type: Research article

In this work, MAX-DOAS data sets from observations at two mountain locations are analyzed to obtain NO₂ and HCHO mixing ratios in the free troposphere. The analysis is based on a modified geometrical approach proposed by Gomez et al. (AMT, doi: 10.5194/amt-7-3373-2014), which assumes a single scattering geometry and a scattering point altitude close to the instrument. The manuscript is well written and provides

C9942

Full Screen / Esc

Printer-friendly Version

Interactive Discussion

Discussion Paper



information on species that have been little studied. Especially the long period of time analyzed make this study interesting.

I recommend this manuscript for publication in ACP after minor revisions.

I have a few comments:

- The field of view of the instrument is not mentioned at all in the paper. This has to be taken into account specially when analyzing data from Pico Espejo.
- I think Fig. 1 does not add more information than the given in the text. It may be removed. Or, is there an estimation/equation to add?
- The symbol for degrees ($^{\circ}$) by describing elevation angles is missing in this new version.
- Is there any reason why could be or should be BrO included in the HCHO analysis? (apart from the obvious one that BrO absorbs in this spectral range)

Interactive comment on *Atmos. Chem. Phys. Discuss.*, 15, 31781, 2015.

ACPD

15, C9942–C9943, 2015

Interactive
Comment

Full Screen / Esc

Printer-friendly Version

Interactive Discussion

Discussion Paper

